### Division of Public Utilities QGC Results of Operations December 2002 Revenues - New Customers

Exhibit No. DPU 4.1 PSCU Case No. 02-057-02 Witness: Thomas F. Peel

Utah (000)

**Adjustment to Revenues:** 

DNG Revenues 148

## **Description of Adjustment:**

This adjustment includes revenues from three new 2002 customers which were not included in the original filing.

Source Information: CCS Data Request No. 17.15

Division of Public Utilities QGC Results of Operations December 2002

Exhibit No. DPU 4.2 PSCU Case No. 02-057-02

### **Revenues - Customer Usage**

**Utah** (000)

Exhibit No. DPU 4.3

Witness: Thomas F. Peel

PSCU Case No. 02-057-02

Witness: Thomas F. Peel

**Adjustment to Revenues:** 

DNG Revenues 1,036

### **GS-1** Usage Calculation

GS-1 (excl. GSE and based on normal DD 1970 - 1999) Change in normal DD (based on 1972 - 2001) 1.00637 118.00 = 117.25 UPC

Year-end customers (excl. GSE) 709,69 CST x 117.25 = 83,211,387 Dths GSE 99.36 UPC/1.00637 = 98.73 x 9,652 CST = 952,952 Estimated 2002 year-end Dths (84,164,339/719,344 = 117.00) 84,164,339 Dths

Gary Robinson's Exhibit QGC 4.6, page 3A

& DPU data request 9.6 **BY INDICATE SET 19.6 BY IN** 

### **Description of Adjustment:**

This adjustment increases the company's forecasted 2002 usage per customer from 116.16 Dths to 117.00 Dths.

Division of Public Utilities QGC Results of Operations December 2002 Revenues - Geneva Steel

<sup>\*</sup> Refer to Exhibit No. 4.2.1 for pricing

Adjustment to Revenues:	<b>Utah</b> (000)
DNG Revenues	944

Based on recent information, this adjustment restores Geneva Steel revenues on the basis of average Dths (12.8 million) for the years 1999 and 2000 as a Schedule FT-1 customer.

Division of Public Utilities QGC Results of Operations December 2002 Revenues - Change in Rate Schedules Exhibit No. DPU 4.4 PSCU Case No. 02-057-02 Witness: Thomas F. Peel

Adjustment to Revenues:	Utah (000)
DNG Revenues	88

This adjustment reflects a change in rate schedules for certain transportation customers (Schedule FT2) who no longer satisfy the 50% load factor requirement.

Source Information: DPU Data Request No. 22.1

Division of Public Utilities QGC Results of Operations December 2002 Revenues - Net gains on Property Sales Exhibit No. DPU 4.5 PSCU Case No. 02-057-02 Witness: Thomas F. Peel

**Utah** (000)

**Adjustment to Revenues:** 

Other Revenues 310

**Adjustment to Expenses:** 

Depreciation (158)

**Adjustment to Expenses:** 

Plant in Service (4,218)

Summary of Net Gains 2001/20	002
Richfield Office	23,200
GN Vernal	(38,776)
Cedar City Service Center	(334,620)
Silver Creek - Park City	719,913
Backhoe Dispositions	476,815
Other	83,637
DPU Adjustment - Net Gains	930,169/3yrs = 310,056

#### **Description of Adjustments:**

This adjustment includes the net gains from the sale of various properties and equipment in the 2001 and 2002 time frame. The Division proposes that the gains be amortized over three years. In addition, the adjustments related to depreciation expense and year-end rate base relate to prior property sales that were still being depreciated and included in year-end 2001 rate base.

Acct.

Source Information: DPU Data Requests Nos. 10.15U, 13.2

Division of Public Utilities QGC Results of Operations December 2002 Expenses - Uncollectible Accounts

Exhibit No. DPU 4.6 PSCU Case No. 02-057-02 Witness: Thomas F. Peel

**Utah** (000)

**Adjustment to Expenses:** 

Utah DNG Revenues	207,453,997
Revenue Factor	.007
DPU Uncollectible Accounts - 2002	1,452,178
QGC Uncollectible Accounts - 2002	1,876,569
DPU Adjustment	424,391

This adjustment lowers QGC's 0.9% of revenues for uncollectible accounts to 0.7% of revenues, which is more in line with the other major utility providing service in Utah to generally the same customers.

Division of Public Utilities QGC Results of Operations December 2002 Income Taxes - Section 29 Tax Credits Exhibit No. DPU 4.7 PSCU Case No. 02-057-02 Witness: Thomas F. Peel

System
<b>Total</b>
<u>(000)</u>

## **Adjustment to Income Taxes:**

Section 29 Tax Credits

(1,735)

This adjustment restores the Section 29 Tax Credits removed by QGC. Although the credit does expire at the end of 2002, Congress is currently considering a possible extension. If Congress does extend the credit it may be modified to some degree. In any event, whatever Congress may come up with by the end of 2002 should be reflected in the current case in place of the above adjustment. If Congress does nothing by year-end 2002, then the above adjustment should be eliminated.

Source Information: DPU Data Request No. 5.4

Division of Public Utilities QGC Results of Operations December 2002 Rate Base - 2002 Capital Budget Revisions (New Customer Plant and Expenses) Exhibit No. DPU 4.8 PSCU Case No. 02-057-02 Witness: Thomas F. Peel

Adjustment for Rate Base Reductions:	Acct.	System Total (000)
Accumulated Depreciation	108	41

Accumulated Deferred Taxes	282	378	
Adjustment to Expenses:			
Depreciation Expense	403	(41)	
Taxes Other	408	81	
Office Supplies & Expenses	921	(458)	

This adjustment relates to 2002 capital budget revisions (new customers plant) which were not included in the original filing. The above adjustments result from calculating the differences between Gary Robinson's original Exhibit QGC 4.6 (Page 2B) and his updated exhibit. Testimony will be provided by Mr. Ron Burrup (DPU) in regards to the adjustment relating to Office Supplies & Expenses (12 new employees).

Source Information: DPU Data Request No. 10.3

Division of Public Utilities QGC Results of Operations December 2002 Rate Base - 2002 Capital Budget Revisions (Other Additional Plant and Expenses) Exhibit No. DPU 4.9 PSCU Case No. 02-057-02 Witness: Thomas F. Peel

	System Total
Acct.	(000)

**Adjustment for Rate Base Additions:** 

Gas Plant in Service 101 (17,669)

## **Adjustment for Rate Base Reductions:**

Accumulated Depreciation	108	4,657
Accumulated Deferred Taxes	282	1,345
Adjustment to Expenses:		
Depreciation Expense	403	461
Taxes Other	408	(81)

### **Description of Adjustment:**

This adjustment relates to 2002 capital budget revisions (other plant) which were not included in the original filing. The above adjustments result from calculating the differences between Gary Robinson's original Exhibit QGC 4.6 (Page 4) and his updated exhibit.

Source Information: DPU Data Request No. 10.3

Division of Public Utilities QGC Results of Operations December 2002 Rate Base - Depreciation Expense

Exhibit No. DPU 4.10 PSCU Case No. 02-057-02 Witness: Thomas F. Peel

Adjustment to Expenses:	Acct.	System Total (000)
Depreciation	403	(1,449)

### **Adjustment to Rate Base:**

Accumulated Depreciation	108	1,449	
Accumulated Deferred Taxes	282	(551)	

## **Description of Adjustment:**

This adjustment reduces depreciation expense from \$42.217 million to \$40.768 million. It is based on a composite rate of 3.5% applied to the year-end adjusted gas plant in service of \$1,164,803,000.

Source Information: DPU Data Request No. 10.3

Division of Public UtilitiesExhibit No. DPU 4.2.1QGC Results of Operations December 2002PSCU Case No. 02-057-02Revenues - Customer UsageWitness: Thomas F. Peel

### **Pricing**

Season Pct.		Units (Dth)	Rate \$	<u>DPU</u>	_
Winter					
Blk #1	0.795	338,095	2.00	0986	\$679,523
Blk #2	0.205	87,182	0.8	7609	76,379
	0.704	425,277			\$755,902

Summer Blk #1 Blk #2	0.865 0.135 0.296	154,670 <u>24,139</u> 178,809	1.70401 0.67736	\$263,560 <u>16,351</u> \$279,911
	Total	604,086		\$1,035,813
			rounded	\$1,036,000

Division of Public Utilities QGC Results of Operations December 2002 Revenues - Gadsby/West Valley City Usage Adjustments

Exhibit No. DPU 4.11 PSCU Case No. 02-057-02 Witness: Thomas F. Peel

Adjustment to Revenues:	Acct.	<b>Utah</b> (000)
DNG Revenues		226

In the original filing, the 2002 Gadsby usage was over-estimated and the West Valley City usage was under-estimated. The net effect of the revised projections is an increase in revenues of \$226,415.

Source Information: CCS Data Request Nos. 17.13 and 17.14