

RESPONSE TO POST-HEARING DATA REQUEST

The monthly GS-1 use per customer model that was developed by Dr. Dismukes and provided in his surrebuttal testimony and exhibits utilizes data that was originally provided by Questar in Response to CCS Data Request 7.01 and Data Request 7.04.

Two Excel spreadsheets were provided by the Company in these responses. One spreadsheet was entitled "CCS 7.01a Attach.xls" and "CCS 7.04 Attach.xls"

If the Company and the Division will verify the "properties" tab on both spreadsheets, they will be able to confirm that this data was created by "Questar" and by author "04708."

The Company and the Division should recognize that this data was provided to the Committee and represented by Company as being the most accurate information upon which their load forecast (i.e., demand model) is based.

It is also the data that the Company represented as being the source upon which the price elasticity of demand was generated. This data was discussed in detail in a conference call between the Committee and the Company in January 2007.

If the Company (or the Division) will refer to the following files and columns it should be able to extract the appropriate data to replicate the statistical analysis.

The usage per customer data comes column AC of the file CCS 7.01a Attach.xls
The weather data comes from column Z of this same file.

Prices come from a separate file, entitled "CCS 7.04 Attach.xls" and are provided in column L. The heading for the data is entitled "Retail Total \$/Dth Lag 12 months." This is also the file upon which the price elasticity of demand that was referenced in Response to CCS 4.05 was calculated (see the last tab GS1 Cust & UPC Regression). This is the -0.06 price elasticity referenced by Mr. McKay and Dr. Hansen as being authoritative during the hearing.

While the title in the spreadsheet references dollars per decatherm, the prices appear to be denominated in dollars per therm. Thus, the decimal point on prices in this file were assumed to be in the wrong location and was changed for modeling purposes. Therefore, a price of \$66.08 in the original data was assumed to be \$6.608 for modeling purposes.

The files referenced above, that were originally provided by Questar, are attached.