2) 3 JOINT APPLICATION OF) Docket No. 05-057-T01 QUESTAR GAS COMPANY,) 4 THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC) UTILITIES, AND UTAH) 5 CLEAN ENERGY FOR THE) PUBLIC WITNESS HEARING 6 7 APPROVAL OF THE) 8 CONSERVATION ENABLING) 9 TARIFF ADJUSTMENT) 10 OPTION AND ACCOUNTING) 11 ORDERS) 12) 13 14 15 September 5, 2006 * 4:30 p.m. 16 17 Location: Public Service Commission 18 19 160 East 300 South, Hearing Room 20 Salt Lake City, Utah 21 22 23 Commissioner Richard M. Campbell 24 Commissioner Ted Boyer 25 Commissioner Ron Allen 26

B b bb BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

1 APPEARANCES 2 FOR QUESTAR CORPORATION: 3 Colleen Larkin Bell, Esq. Senior Corporate Counsel 4 Questar Gas Company 180 East 100 South 5 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0360 Tel: 801.324.5556 б and 7 Gregory B. Monson, Esq. 8 STOEL RIVES, LLP Attorneys at Law 9 201 South Main, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 10 Tel: 801.328.3131 11 FOR DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES: 12 Patricia E. Schmid, Esq. 13 ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 160 East 300 South, 5th Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 14 Tel: 801.366.0353 15 FOR COMMITTEE OF CONSUMER SERVICES: 16 Paul H. Proctor, Esq. ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 17 160 East 300 South, 5th Floor 18 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 Tel: 801.366-0353 19 FOR INDUSTRIAL GAS USERS: 20 William J. Evans, Esq. 21 PARSONS, BEHLE & LATIMER 22 Attorneys at Law One Utah Center 23 201 South Main Street, Suite 1800 Salt Lake City, Utah 84147-0898 24 Tel: 801.532.1234 25 26

```
1
      FOR UAE:
 2
                   Gary A. Dodge, Esq.
                   HATCH, JAMES & DODGE
                   Attorneys at Law
 3
                   10 West Broadway, #400
 4
                   Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
                   Tel: 801.363.6363
 5
      FOR UTAH CLEAN ENERGY:
 6
                   Sarah Wright
 7
                   Executive Director
                   917 2nd Avenue
                   Salt Lake City, Utah 84103
 8
      FOR CROSSROADS URBAN CENTER:
 9
                   Betsy Wolf
10
                   764 South 200 West
                   Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
11
                   Tel: 801.359.2444
12
      FOR ROGER BALL:
13
                   Roger J. Ball
                   1375 Vintry Lane
14
                   Salt Lake City, Utah 84121
                   Tel: 801.860.1715
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
```

1	I N D E X	
2	WITNESSES:	PAGE
3	Taz Biesinger	б
4	Philip Powlick	13
5	Curtis Clark	28
6	Clark Ivory	34
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	EXHIBITS	
16	NUMBER	OFFERED ADMITTED
17	TB1	12 12
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Let's go back on
3	the record. We are here for our Public Witness
4	Hearing.
5	Before we turn to our public witnesses, I
б	guess I'm looking for just a brief summary as to the
7	status of this docket. We heard this morning there's
8	a potential stipulation. Those who are here to give
9	public testimony perhaps did not hear that
10	information. So we want to let them know the current
11	status of this before they provide their testimony.
12	Ms. Bell, are you prepared to do that?
13	MS. BELL: I can give you an update. The
14	parties met for quite some time. The settlement
15	discussions are still confidential. We believe we're
16	moving closer to a document we hope to file. Not all
17	of the intervenors have had time to study the
18	document or to go back to their clients and see where
19	their clients are, but those discussions are moving
20	forward.
21	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Thank you.
22	Now, as far as our public witness
23	testimony, there are two methods that witnesses can
24	provide testimony. They can either provide sworn or
25	unsworn testimony. If they provide sworn testimony
26	

we can use their testimony in our findings, but you 1 also open yourself up to cross-examination by the 2 3 attorneys. Or you can provide unsworn testimony as information to the Commission. 4 5 With that, by way of introduction, let's б start with Taz Biesinger. Do you want to come 7 forward? MR. BIESINGER: Where do you want me? 8 9 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: We're going to put 10 you in this chair. Let me ask you first, do you want to provide sworn or unsworn testimony? 11 MR. BIESINGER: Sworn would be fine. 12 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Raise your right 13 hand. Do you swear that the testimony you're about 14 to give in this proceeding is the truth, the whole 15 16 truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 17 MR. BIESINGER: I do. 18 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Thank you. Please be seated. Mr. Biesinger, I'm going to have Mr. 19 Proctor, who is an attorney, walk you through a few 20 21 questions. 22 MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Biesinger, if you could 23 please state your name and spell it for the court 24 reporter. 25 MR. BIESINGER: My name is Taz, T-A-Z, 26

Biesinger, B-I-E-S-I-N-G-E-R. 1 MR. PROCTOR: Do you have a business 2 3 address, sir? MR. BIESINGER: 9069 South 1300 West, West 4 Jordan, Utah, 84088. 5 б MR. PROCTOR: On whose behalf are you 7 appearing here today? 8 MR. BIESINGER: The Home Builders 9 Association of Utah. 10 MR. PROCTOR: And do you have a statement to present to the Commission? 11 MR. BIESINGER: I have previously sent a 12 letter to the Commission. 13 14 MR. PROCTOR: How did you want to -- did 15 you want to provide that or ask that that letter be 16 admitted into evidence or did you have a summary of 17 it, or what was your preference? MR. BIESINGER: I would like to have that 18 19 letter admitted into evidence if possible. I don't want to be redundant on that letter. I just have a 20 21 couple of quick comments in addition and then I'm 22 finished. 23 MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Chairman, does the 24 Commission have a copy of the letter? 25 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: I recall seeing it 26

come through and we put it on the file. I do not 1 have it with me. 2 3 MR. PROCTOR: How would you wish to proceed where we don't have the document? 4 5 MR. BIESINGER: I have a copy here if you б want to look at it. It's my copy, but I'm happy to 7 let you look at it. 8 MR. PROCTOR: It's a matter of having 9 something for the record. 10 Mr. Biesinger, I understand that you have prepared and sent to the Commission a letter dated 11 August 29th of this year pertaining to this docket 12 which is a Questar docket; is that correct? 13 14 MR. BIESINGER: That is correct. 15 MR. PROCTOR: And I've provided a copy of 16 that letter to the court reporter for filing with the record, but it's my understanding you've also 17 18 supplied a copy to the Commission directly? 19 MR. BIESINGER: I have, yes. MR. PROCTOR: Did you send that letter to 20 21 any other party? 22 MR. BIESINGER: There were several on 23 there. I don't have them memorized. I can refer to the letter if you would like me to. 24 25 MR. PROCTOR: If I might, Mr. Chairman, I 26

move to admit the letter from Mr. Biesinger to the
 Commission dated August 29th.

3 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: All right. Are 4 there any objections? 5 MR. BALL: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I haven't б received a copy of the letter, I haven't seen the 7 letter, it wasn't available on the Commission's 8 website last night. 9 MR. PROCTOR: If we could have staff make 10 a copy of the letter and at the closing of Mr. Biesinger's statement perhaps I could offer it again 11 12 at that point. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: That would be 13 14 fine. 15 MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Biesinger, if you could provide a statement, then, sir. 16 17 MR. BIESINGER: Thank you. 18 Again, I represent the Home Builders Association of the State of Utah which is a trade 19 organization representing about 3,000 builders and 20 21 other companies that have their livelihood in the 22 housing industry. 23 This proposal was introduced to the Home Builders Association of Utah several months ago. 24 25 Some representatives from Questar Gas came to a Board 26

meeting of the Home Builders Association of Utah, 1 outlined the proposal, then they were excused. 2 3 The Board met for guite a while and discussed the pros and cons of this proposal and 4 voted unanimously to support this conservation 5 б effort. And I think -- again, I don't want to be 7 8 redundant of what's on the letter -- we think that 9 this is an opportunity for future homeowners and the 10 citizens of the State of Utah to benefit by utilizing more energy efficient building techniques in new 11 construction. 12 That's it. 13 14 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: That concludes 15 your statement? 16 MR. BIESINGER: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Are there questions for Mr. Biesinger? 18 Ms. Schmid? 19 20 MS. SCHMID: None. 21 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Ms. Bell? 22 MS. BELL: No. 23 MR. BALL: Please, Mr. Chairman? Hello, Mr. Biesinger. My name is Roger 24 25 Ball. I'm here representing my own interests as a 26

1

26

consumer of Questar Gas Company.

I don't think anybody is going to take
exception to the Home Builders of Utah supporting the
notion of energy conservation.

My question is, or my first question is, 5 б did your Board spend any time at all discussing 7 whether or not the mechanism that's proposed in this 8 docket for Questar Gas to recover the costs that it 9 incurs in encouraging its customers to undertake 10 conservation mechanisms, was that discussed at all? 11 MR. BIESINGER: There was an outline by Questar about their -- the way they received their 12 income. I'm here to tell you that they're builders, 13 they're not economists or accountants. We didn't 14 15 spend a great deal of time talking about that. The 16 majority of the time was spent discussing how this program could impact the builders, the building 17 industry, and more importantly, the future buyers and 18 the environment of Utah. 19 MR. BALL: From a conservation point of 20 21 view, do I understand you correctly? 22 MR. BIESINGER: Correct. 23 MR. BALL: Thank you very much. 24 Thank you Mr. Chairman. 25 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Thank you.

1 MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Chairman, first of all, the Committee has no cross-examination questions. 2 3 I've distributed a copy of the August 29th letter and if counsel could review it just a moment 4 and then I would like to reintroduce it as an 5 б exhibit. 7 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: All right. MR. PROCTOR: I believe at this point 8 9 counsel have reviewed the letter and the Committee 10 would move to admit it, if we could, as Exhibit TB1, the letter of August 29th, 2006 addressed to the 11 Commission from Mr. Biesinger. 12 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: All right. Are 13 there any objections? 14 15 MS. SCHMID: None from the Division. 16 MS. BELL: None from the Company. MR. BALL: None from Roger Ball. 17 MR. DODGE: None. 18 19 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: All right. We'll admit it. 20 21 Thank you, Mr. Biesinger. 22 MR. BIESINGER: Thank you. 23 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Next we have Philip Powlick. Did I pronounce your name correctly? 24 25 MR. POWLICK: Close enough. 26

1 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Do you wish to provide sworn or unsworn testimony? 2 3 MR. POWLICK: Sworn. 4 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Do you swear that the testimony you're about to give in this proceeding 5 б will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but 7 the truth, so help you God? 8 MR. POWLICK: I do. 9 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Thank you. Please 10 be seated. 11 Mr. Proctor. MR. PROCTOR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 Mr. Powlick, could you state your name and 13 14 spell it for the record, please? MR. POWLICK: My name is Philip Powlick. 15 16 MR. PROCTOR: I'm sorry. 17 MR. POWLICK: It happens all the time, don't worry about it. Spelled P-H-I-L-I-P 18 P-O-W-L-I-C-K. 19 20 MR. PROCTOR: What is your business 21 address, sir? 22 MR. POWLICK: 1594 West North Temple, 23 which is the Department of Natural Resources Building. 24 25 MR. PROCTOR: And are you employed by the 26

1 DNR?

2	MR. POWLICK: Yes.
3	MR. PROCTOR: In what capacity?
4	MR. POWLICK: I am Manager of the Utah
5	State Energy Program.
6	MR. PROCTOR: And is it in that capacity
7	that you're appearing and providing testimony today?
8	MR. POWLICK: Yes.
9	MR. PROCTOR: Have you earlier submitted
10	any written documents to the Commission?
11	MR. POWLICK: I have not. However, I do
12	have a written copy of testimony today.
13	MR. PROCTOR: Was it your request to enter
14	that into the record or simply provide the testimony
15	orally at this time?
16	MR. POWLICK: I would like to enter it
17	into the record.
18	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Let me back up.
19	Do you intend to read that right now or summarize it?
20	MR. POWLICK: Well, would you prefer that
21	I summarize it? I can summarize it if that's your
22	preference.
23	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: No. I think what
24	it appears to me that his intent is to actually
25	read this into the record.
26	

1 MR. PROCTOR: If that's acceptable to the Commission, I'm sure Mr. Powlick would --2 3 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Yeah, I think we'll do that. 4 MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Powlick, the Commission 5 6 would rather that you just simply read that into the 7 record. 8 MR. POWLICK: Thank you. 9 Good afternoon. I am Philip Powlick, 10 Manager of the Utah State Energy Program or SEP. SEP is housed within the Utah Geological Survey, a 11 division of the Department of Natural Resources. Our 12 mission is to promote energy efficiency and 13 alternative and renewable energy sources and 14 15 technologies within the State of Utah. We receive 16 federal and state funding for public outreach and assistance programs to increase the efficiency with 17 18 which Utah uses energy, to develop greater knowledge 19 about Utah's renewable energy resources, and to promote the deployment and use of alternative energy 20 21 technologies in the State. Our office is one of the successors to the functions of the former Utah Energy 22 23 Office.

24I have been with the State Energy Program25since January of 2006. However, prior to coming to

1 Utah I worked for the State of Indiana's Energy & Recycling Office for several years. I was Program 2 3 Manager for Energy Resources from 1998 to 2003 and Director of the Energy & Recycling Office from 2003 4 to 2005. In these roles I developed experience in 5 6 the management of energy programs, as well as a broad 7 background in energy technology and energy policy 8 issues, including energy efficiency.

9 In testifying before you today I am 10 presenting both my own views as Manager of the State Energy Program and those of Laura Nelson, Governor 11 Huntsman's Policy Advisor. Dr. Nelson and I had both 12 planned on testifying today, however, a scheduling 13 conflict has prevented her from attending. As a 14 15 result, this testimony was developed in consultation 16 with Dr. Nelson and she has given it her full 17 support.

Broadly speaking, we view the Joint Application before you today as consistent with two of Governor Huntsman's major policy initiatives in energy efficiency and climate change.

22 On August 26 of this year, Governor 23 Huntsman announced the Utah Policy to Advance Energy 24 Efficiency and signed an accompanying Executive Order 25 on May 30th implementing the policy into State

government activities. The Policy, developed in 1 conjunction with a wide variety of energy 2 3 stakeholders, sets a statewide goal of increasing 4 energy efficiency across all sectors in Utah by 20 percent by 2015. Achieving this goal will provide --5 6 I'm sorry. Achieving this goal will provide, a typo, 7 direct economic benefits to the State and its citizens and will also improve our state's 8 9 competitiveness in the global economy. While a 10 variety of specific measures are included in the policy that apply to the operations of State 11 12 government, energy efficiency in the private sector is also targeted. This includes the goal of, quote, 13 "Collaborating with Utilities, Regulators, and the 14 15 Private Sector to, a) Identify and remove barriers, 16 b) To create or expand efficiency programs, and c) To assist utilities in ensuring that efficiency programs 17 are effective, attainable, and feasible to 18 19 implement."

In order to meet the Governor's goal, significant actions within the private sector will be needed and the Joint Application and Questar's efforts to date to develop a series of demand side management programs represent important means to achieve the Governor's goal. The current rate

structure for recovery of fixed costs clearly 1 represents a barrier to Questar's promotion of energy 2 3 efficiency programs. We cannot expect Questar to embrace efficiency programs when they are detrimental 4 to shareholder interests. While some in this 5 6 proceeding have proposed that the Commission simply 7 order the Company to undertake demand-side management 8 programs as part of their responsibility to serve 9 Utah ratepayers, this does not remove the company's 10 disincentive. Indeed, zealous implementation of such an order could open the company to legal proceedings 11 12 alleging breach of fiduciary duty. During my time in Indiana, natural gas and electric utilities in that 13 state were anything but robust. The pattern in most 14 15 cases was to do as little as they could get away 16 with, or, in the case of electric utilities, to focus on load shifting rather than on overall energy 17 18 savings. Programs for residential customers, in 19 particular, were largely ineffective or non-existent. Allow me to say at this point that even 20 21 though I have read most of the filings in this matter 22 and I have attended several of the technical 23 conferences, I am not an economist and I am not here to provide detailed analysis of whether the mechanism 24 25 proposed in the Joint Application is the ideal

26

solution to this disincentive problem or whether the 1 2 CET will or will not affect Questar's rate of return. 3 But I will point out that the Governor's policy does 4 emphasize collaboration and cooperation between public and private entities. 5 6 While this does not mean that we feel that 7 the Commission should simply accept whatever a utility proposes in order to assure, quote, 8 9 "cooperation," we do feel that a solution that 10 protects the company's core interests is necessary to 11 ensure a willing partner in promoting energy 12 efficiency in Utah. We can only reach the goal of improving the state's efficiency by 20 percent in 13 just nine years, 2.2 percent per year, with willing 14 15 partners in the private sector. I believe at this 16 point that Questar is a willing partner that can be a key player in this effort, as I will discuss further 17 18 below.

Another emerging and related issue on the Governor's agenda is climate change. On August 25th, the Governor convened the first meeting of his new Blue Ribbon Advisory Council on Climate Change to review the science on climate change, address the economics of climate change actions, and to evaluate potential policy options. The group plans to build

on activities and efforts already taking place across 1 the State as it considers potential policy 2 3 recommendations. Since the implementation of the Governor's Energy Efficiency Plan will have 4 environmental co-benefits, it is anticipated that 5 6 this Council will evaluate associated reductions in greenhouse gases and will consider the Plan as a 7 8 foundational piece of policy. This further enhances 9 the need for the State to be well positioned to 10 expeditiously and effectively implement all cost-effective energy efficiency measures. 11 12 While carbon sequestration is one means of 13 removing carbon dioxide from the atmosphere, clearly the most effective and cost-effective means of 14 15 combating climate change with current technologies is 16 through energy efficiency. Simply put, if we increase energy efficiency as a means to burn less 17 18 fossil fuel, we can begin to address climate change 19 without drastic changes to our economy and our lifestyle. Voluntary energy efficiency measures such 20 21 as those contained in utility DSM programs are an 22 important way for Utah to start to address this 23 important issue. We are aware from the filings that some 24 25 concern has been expressed in this case regarding the

26

potential for shifting of risk from company to 1 customers, that Questar could potentially overearn, 2 3 that its profits could be, quote, "locked in," or 4 that natural gas customers who do not participate in DSM programs could be harmed by higher rates. If any 5 6 such problems did arise, we believe they could be 7 addressed because the current proposal is for a pilot 8 It is our understanding that this program program. can be undone or modified at a future date, that any 9 10 party could initiate such a change, and that the program will be regularly audited and reviewed to 11 12 evaluate for any potential problems. It is further our understanding that the Joint Applicants have 13 agreed to these terms. 14

15 We understand also that there have been 16 concerns about Questar's commitment to any DSM programs and doubts raised that Questar may not be 17 18 willing or able to reverse its corporate culture 19 emphasizing sales volumes. Based upon my involvement with the DSM Working Group that Questar has 20 21 established and upon review of its commitment to 22 investment and developing a DSM program ahead of 23 approval of the CET, I believe that the company is committed to developing and implementing a credible 24 25 and robust set of DSM programs.

1	Early in this regulatory process, as it
2	became apparent in the technical conferences that the
3	Joint Application would not be immediately resolved,
4	I, along with others such as Sarah Wright of Utah
5	Clean Energy, stressed the importance to Utah and to
6	Questar's customers of having a DSM program in-place
7	for the winter of 2006-2007. Questar's response
8	could have been to make no move until the CET was
9	approved. Instead, the company agreed that working
10	on the development of a program this summer was
11	critical and they committed to work toward that goal.
12	As a result, the company has convened
13	several working group meetings, hired Nexant for a
14	market characterization study and ongoing
15	consultation, and assigned a full-time staff member
16	to the role of DSM manager. Other staff have devoted
17	considerable time in support of this effort. In my
18	estimation, this demonstrates a good faith gesture by
19	the company to incur costs ahead of any guarantee of
20	recovery.
21	At this point, Questar has identified a
2.2	the the Market

working menu of DSM program measures that the Working Group will assess. Based upon the workings of this group, I believe that Questar will work cooperatively with diverse stakeholders in developing the final

program. My personal experience in this process has
 been that Questar has willingly accepted feedback
 from me and others. The Nexant study originally
 identified a limited menu of DSM programs. Members
 of the Working Group suggested additional items for
 consideration and Questar added them to the list.

7 The Nexant study also suggested limited 8 funds for marketing; a suggestion that was challenged 9 by Working Group members. Questar agreed and has 10 increased proposed marketing budgets. The company has not taken a minimal or incremental approach to 11 12 the long-term design of programs. Rather, they have expressed their intent to launch a robust set of 13 programs, including multiple measures for both 14 15 residential and commercial customers immediately.

16 At the most recent Working Group meeting, Questar also added to its list of measures, quote, 17 "market transformation," unquote, activities aimed 18 19 not at specific short-term measures but at long-term education, training, and building codes development. 20 21 These are the kind of measures that those of us who 22 have run energy programs know are necessary to 23 achieve energy savings but that are often difficult to justify with simple cost-benefit calculations. 24 25 Taken as a whole, I believe Questar's work

to develop DSM program proposals ahead of approval of 1 the CET, their embrace of feedback from stakeholders 2 3 on the Working Group, and their public promises to 4 deliver robust DSM programs signal a true commitment to this effort and recommend that the Commission take 5 6 this into consideration in its review of the CET. In seven years of working with utilities in Indiana 7 before coming to Utah I did not experience any 8 9 comparable commitment to energy efficiency, nor did I 10 experience as much willingness to listen to the input of other stakeholders. 11 As stated above, we believe that it is 12 13 vital that a robust set of natural gas DSM measures be implemented before the next heating season begins. 14 15 Providing consumers with both the tools and 16 incentives to reduce their natural gas usage during times of peak demand, and highest prices, is 17 18 important for the economy of Utah and, indeed, for 19 the health and safety for those for whom utility bills are a major burden. 20 21 To be sure, conservation measures, turning 22 down the thermostat, putting on sweaters, can be 23 employed at any time. Efficiency measures, however, 24 provide long-term means for reducing natural gas 25 usage without sacrifices of comfort or, in the case 26

of the elderly or ill citizens, risks to health. 1 2 Moreover, efficiency measures are full-time; they do 3 not stop when the cold spell is over and provide savings year-round. By reducing overall demand, 4 efficiency measures also have the long-term effect of 5 6 reducing regional natural gas demand, allowing Questar to avoid larger commodity purchases on the 7 open market. In the long-term this means lower 8 9 prices and greater price stability for Utah 10 residents. It is therefore our hope that the disincentive for aggressive DSM measures that we 11 12 believe does currently exist for Questar can be removed in as timely a manner as possible to allow 13 for effective programs to be launched this year. 14 15 Finally, we note that this hearing comes 16 at roughly the same time that Rocky Mountain Power has proposed to the Commission the expansion of their 17 18 electricity DSM measures into the residential sector 19 with a series of prescriptive measures and incentives. There is significant potential for 20 21 beneficial cooperation with Questar's new programs. 22 The two companies will be going after many of the 23 same measures, insulation, windows, heat pumps, and washers, for example, and having separate incentive 24 25 programs would be an inconvenience and confusing to

25

customers. Moreover, a joint program or joint
 contract with a third-party provider will likely
 result in administrative and marketing cost savings
 to the ratepayers that will ultimately fund these
 programs.

I have personally urged both companies to look at establishing a joint residential effort and we would urge the Commission to craft orders in this and in the Rocky Mountain Power proceedings that would strongly encourage or require a high degree of cooperation between the two companies in the residential DSM programs.

I appreciate the opportunity I have had 13 here today to speak and express my views and those of 14 15 the Governor's Office on this important issue. We 16 are, of course, aware of the settlement discussions that have been taking place in recent hours and both 17 18 I and Dr. Nelson strongly encourage the parties to 19 reach an agreement that will benefit the parties and the public. 20

Thank you for your time and commitment to receiving these comments. I would be happy to answer any additional questions that you have.

24 Thank you.

25 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Thank you.

2	MS. SCHMID: None from the Division.
3	MR. PROCTOR: No. Thank you.
4	MS. BELL: None from the Company.
5	MR. BALL: Chairman, I appreciate Dr.
6	Powlick being here with this very carefully four-page
7	written document. Obviously, it's rather dense. And
8	since we only received it just before he began
9	speaking, it's rather difficult to formulate
10	questions immediately. This morning the Commission
11	decided to hold a hearing on a potential Stipulation
12	in this matter on Monday the 25th of September and to
13	schedule Public Witness Hearing that afternoon. I
14	wonder if Dr. Powlick would be willing to return on
15	that occasion so that we might have time to formulate
16	any questions that we might have based upon this
17	testimony at that time?
18	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: That's a pretty
19	unusual request for a public witness. I mean, he
20	came here like all public witnesses and provided his
21	testimony and I believe now is the time to ask any
22	questions you might have. I think it's a little
23	it would break precedence to ask a public witness to
24	come back and to question him further. So if you
25	have questions, please ask them now.

1	MR. BALL: I have had no opportunity to
2	formulate appropriate questions. I'm sure there are
3	some that need to be asked, but I can't formulate
4	them at this point.
5	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: All right.
6	Mr. Dodge?
7	MR. DODGE: No questions.
8	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Thank you, Mr.
9	Powlick.
10	MR. POWLICK: Okay.
11	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Curtis Clark? Do
12	you want to provide sworn or unsworn testimony?
13	MR. CLARK: Sworn testimony.
14	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Do you swear that
15	the testimony you're about to give in this proceeding
16	is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
17	truth, so help you God?
18	MR. CLARK: I do.
19	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Thank you.
20	Mr. Proctor?
21	MR. PROCTOR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
22	Mr. Clark, could you state your name and
23	spell it for the record, please?
24	MR. CLARK: I'm Curtis Clark, spelled
25	C-U-R-T-I-S, and Clark is C-L-A-R-K.
26	

1 MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Clark, do you have a business address? 2 3 MR. CLARK: Yes. I'm at the State Office Building. It's 4110 State Office Building, Salt Lake 4 City, 84104. 5 6 MR. PROCTOR: You're employed by the State 7 of Utah? 8 MR. CLARK: I am. 9 MR. PROCTOR: In what capacity? 10 MR. CLARK: I am the State Energy Manager for State Facilities. 11 MR. PROCTOR: And is it in that capacity 12 13 that you're appearing here today? 14 MR. CLARK: Yes. MR. PROCTOR: Do you have a statement that 15 16 you would like to provide to the Commission? 17 MR. CLARK: I would like to read in a 18 statement. 19 MR. PROCTOR: Thank you. Go ahead. 20 MR. CLARK: Good afternoon. I am Curtis 21 Clark, State Energy Manager for the Division of 22 Facilities Construction and Management. I have 27 23 years of experience in green building design, energy engineering, and commercial construction. I am a 24 25 Professional Engineer licensed in Utah and many other 26

states. I am also the Chair of the US Green Building
 Council, Utah Chapter. In my career, all my areas of
 expertise are centered on building and energy
 efficiency.

5 I'm representing today DFCM's support for
6 the approval of the Conservation Enabling Tariff
7 Adjustment Option and Accounting Order.

For this fiscal year our goal is to 8 9 improve energy efficiency in State buildings by 2 10 percent. With Questar's tariff, the State could save nearly \$120,000 in natural gas cost. We believe the 11 reduction of -- a reduction of market purchased gas 12 would offset the cost of the Questar DSM program. 13 Incentives will help offset the incremental costs and 14 15 promote gas energy efficiency measures.

In July 2005, Governor Huntsman assigned the administration of the State Building Energy Efficiency Program with the Division of Facilities Ocnstruction and Management. It establishes and implements energy savings programs in State-owned buildings. DFCM will report the accomplishments to the Governor and Legislature.

There are more than 2,000 State buildings with 42 million feet of floor space. We spend nearly \$60 million on energy costs, \$28 million in natural

gas, \$32 million on electricity. Approximately
 \$10 million is in the GS-1 rate.

3 On March the 15th, 2006, the Utah Building 4 Board approved design standards for State buildings to improve energy efficiency and to promote 5 6 integrated design of components based on design goals to maximize building performance by providing better 7 air quality, better lighting, and better acoustics. 8 9 This work was developed from a High Performance 10 Building Task Force consisting of representatives of State agencies and institutions. 11 In most buildings the State will invest 12 13 energy efficiencies to save between 20 to 40 percent of operating cost. Currently we have 23 buildings 14 15 that are designed with a construction budget of \$427 16 million. Six of these buildings would fall under the Questar GS-1 rate. The State would study the 17 18 following energy efficiency measures in natural gas; 19 high efficiency furnace, condensing boilers, and advanced building controls. 20 21 DFCM has also established a 22 recommissioning program. Commissioning is a new 23 building that helps to ensure the equipment is installed and operating as intended. Recommissioning 24 25 is in existing buildings and helps to restore the

31

original and intended operating performance. It is 1 targeted on building performance by improving system 2 3 operation, reducing maintenance and repairs and costs, extending equipment lives, improving occupant 4 comfort and productivity for tenants and employees. 5 6 It is implemented with no or low-cost energy 7 efficiency measures. Currently we have seven buildings that are in the recommissioning program 8 9 with a partnership with Rocky Mountain Power. Three 10 of these buildings fall under the GS-1 rate. 11 The State would study the following 12 measures; nighttime and weekend shutdown controls, summer boiler shutdown with VAV box programming, 13 demand ventilation controls, and boiler burner 14 15 tune-ups. 16 DFCM has under development 45 separate energy efficiency products -- projects, I'll say. 17 18 Although all these projects will have a contract with 19 Rocky Mountain Power for demand-side management services that provide utility to improve the 20 21 efficiency of electricity, about 50 percent of these projects would fall under the GS-1 rate which we 22 23 would have used. The State would study the following energy 24 25 efficiency measures in natural gas; boiler stack

economy, attic and roof insulation, window 1 replacement, steam trap replacement, and many others. 2 3 I appreciate this opportunity to express my views on this important issue. I'm open for 4 questions. 5 б COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Thank you. 7 Any questions? MS. SCHMID: None from the Division. 8 9 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Any from you, Mr. 10 Proctor? 11 MR. PROCTOR: No. Thank you, Mr. 12 Chairman. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Ms. Bell? 13 14 MS. BELL: None from the Company. 15 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Mr. Ball? 16 MR. BALL: Thank you, Chairman. 17 Mr. Clark, you've said a great deal about energy efficiency and the benefits that conservation 18 19 through those measures can bring to the State budget and generally. 20 21 Have you given any thought to the 22 mechanism that's proposed in this docket for Questar 23 Gas Company to recover the costs it incurs in encouraging such measures? 24 MR. CLARK: I have not studied that 25 26

1 situation.

MR. BALL: Thank you very much. 2 3 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: All right. Thank 4 you very much, Mr. Clark. 5 б Clark Ivory? Sworn or unsworn testimony? 7 MR. IVORY: Sworn would be fine. 8 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: All right. Please stand up there and raise your arm. Do you swear that 9 10 the testimony you're about to give in this proceeding is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the 11 truth, so help you God? 12 MR. IVORY: I do. 13 14 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Thank you. 15 Mr. Proctor? 16 MR. PROCTOR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 Mr. Ivory, if you could state your name and spell it for the record. 18 19 MR. IVORY: Clark Ivory, C-L-A-R-K 20 I-V-O-R-Y. 21 MR. PROCTOR: What is your business address, Mr. Ivory? 22 23 MR. IVORY: 978 Wood Oak Lane, Salt Lake City, 84117. 24 25 MR. PROCTOR: On whose behalf are you 26

appearing here today?

2	MR. IVORY: I'm the CEO of Ivory Homes and
3	I represent Ivory Homes at this point.
4	MR. PROCTOR: Do you have a written
5	statement that you would like to read?
6	MR. IVORY: I have a letter that I penned
7	earlier today that I would leave with the
8	Commissioners.
9	MR. PROCTOR: If you could read your
10	letter into the record as well as leave a copy, that
11	would be helpful.
12	MR. IVORY: Okay, certainly.
13	"Dear Commissioners. Ivory Homes
14	encourages the Public Service Commission of Utah to
15	approve the proposed Conservation Enabling Tariff now
16	under consideration.
17	"With the rising cost of energy, Ivory
18	Homes is more committed than ever before to
19	introduce new products and new designs that will
20	enhance energy savings and conservation. Buyers are
21	demanding such changes and we want to respond to them
22	positively.
23	"The PSC should likewise be committed to
24	innovative approaches to utility ratemaking.
25	Especially important now are approaches that will
26	

help builders provide what new homeowners are
 demanding; more energy efficient construction.

3 "This year Ivory Homes became the first 4 Utah construction company to build 10,000 homes for Utah families. Depending on the market, it may only 5 б take us seven or eight years to build the next 10,000 7 homes. However, we want to do more than just build 8 homes. We want to create and develop a product that 9 will enhance both our home buyers' lifestyle and 10 their economy. 11 "Although including energy saving features 12 is the right thing to do, we have to use methods that are economical for the customer. A pilot program 13 that may include incentives for builders and 14 15 homeowners will certainly help. We all need to work 16 together with common goals and commitment. Then, and only then, will changes be made. 17 18 "Ivory Homes strongly supports Questar 19 Gas' proposal as good for builders, good for our state, and good for Utahns." 20 21 Let me add that we would also support 22 anything that Rocky Mountain would try to accomplish 23 in this area. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: All right. 24 25 MR. PROCTOR: Does that conclude your 26

1 comments?

2 MR. IVORY: No. I have a few other things 3 to say.

You know, as we approached just recently 4 our 10,000 home celebration this summer, we took time 5 б to pen our principles to clarify to our own employees 7 what was really important to us. And there's no 8 question that, whether it's a state or any other 9 organization, a family or a business, you will always 10 make better decisions if you are driven by principle. 11 And so what we did was we focused on principles in five areas as they related to our 12 people; our product, our process, the planet, and 13 profitability, of course. 14 15 And let me just read to you just one 16 paragraph as it relates to the planet. "We care about the larger world that we live in and our very 17 own community. It's ours, it's where we live and 18 where we raise our children, and we know it's our 19 responsibility to make it better." 20 21 Conservation. We all need to conserve the 22 valuable resources of our planet. To us this means 23 energy-saving packages available which not only conserve the earth's resources, but save our 24 25 homeowners hundreds of thousands of dollars over the 26

life of their home. But conserving is also part of 1 how we design and build communities. Wise planning 2 3 of open space and landscaping is good for the whole 4 community as well as the environment. 5 I think the steps that are made, that are б coming forward as settlement is perhaps approached, 7 are very progressive and needed. And at this point I believe there's a bit of a window of opportunity. 8 9 Builders have a greater and heightened sense of a 10 need to do something in this area right now. Our customers are calling for it. 11 I would urge the Commission to act on this 12 13 so that we can work on a pilot program that might be beneficial to those who are calling out for it right 14 15 now. 16 That's the end of my comment. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: All right. Thank 17 18 you. 19 Any questions? MS. SCHMID: None from the Division. 20 21 MR. PROCTOR: No thank you. 22 MS. BELL: No comment. 23 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Mr. Ball, any 24 questions? 25 MR. BALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 26

1 Hello, Mr. Ivory. 2 MR. IVORY: How are you? 3 MR. BALL: I'm good, thank you. And you? MR. IVORY: Fantastic. 4 5 MR. BALL: Good. 6 I'm going to ask you much the same 7 question that I've asked a couple of the folks ahead 8 of you. It's clear that you're very committed to the 9 idea of energy efficiency in the homes that you want 10 to build. 11 Have you or any of your colleagues or anyone on behalf of Ivory Homes spent any time 12 looking at the question of Questar Gas Company's 13 14 method of recovering costs it incurs in stimulating 15 such programs? 16 MR. IVORY: Unlike governmental entities 17 that you've quizzed on the same thing before, we always look at the bottom line. Not that they don't 18 19 always, but we find ourselves intensely focused on how it's going to be enacted for our customer mostly. 20 21 And certainly the rates at Questar affect all of our customers as well. And so we're very interested in 22 23 that. I do think, though, that there's a 24 25 balancing act right now that's going on in our 26

1 greater community where we're going to have to make some positive trade-offs or some trade-offs in order 2 3 to get these energy efficiencies put into place 4 because there is this gentle balance here, this fine balance between affordability which every builder is 5 6 pushing for on behalf of their customer, and it's 7 becoming more needed as home prices have been 8 escalating dramatically over the last few years, and 9 giving them energy saving techniques, whether it be 10 through appliances, greater "R" value in their homes through insulation and windows, whether there's other 11 12 ways we can capture energy and transfer it through the home and be more efficient. There's all kinds of 13 ways we can approach this, and we measure every 14 15 single thing. We will be very interested in the 16 specific proposal that they come forward with as 17 builders.

18 But we're also interested in knowing that 19 the overall rates to the customers are not going to be dramatically increased to the point that 20 21 affordability is also in question. But I think that 22 overall this is not a zero sum game, but there are 23 opportunities for us to go ahead and see benefits for all of us as a community. And that even though we 24 25 might see some rate increases initially to help put

these programs into place, that it's very likely that 1 the eventual outcome will lead to enough energy 2 3 savings that perhaps our rates will be less. And so we have had some discussions. 4 Certainly we don't know all the fine points, but we 5 б do talk about this thing all the time and we think 7 it's a very progressive notion that both Questar and Rocky Mountain will be involved with the building 8 9 community to look at seeing if we can make some 10 improvements here at this time. And I think it's a window of opportunity, Mr. Ball, that we need to all 11 12 take advantage of. MR. BALL: Thank you for that answer. I'm 13 not sure that I actually heard a direct response to 14 15 my question. 16 Have you or anyone at Ivory or for Ivory, in fact, studied the proposed cost recovery mechanism 17 in this docket? 18 MR. IVORY: You know, I don't think I have 19 to have studied the exact mechanism to understand in 20 21 philosophy what's going on. 22 MR. BALL: That wasn't the question, sir. 23 Am I to take it that, in fact, no one has studied it? MR. IVORY: Do you want to give me in a 24 25 sentence or two the mechanism? 26

1 MR. BALL: No. I want to know whether you or anyone has studied it on your behalf? 2 3 MR. IVORY: Like I say, we have studied in 4 detail many things relating to this and will continue to study those. And this is a pilot program and we 5 б certainly look forward to looking at it in its 7 finerv. MR. BALL: Would you describe for us, 8 please, the study process that you've undertaken? 9 10 MR. IVORY: Like I said, every month we look at these things in detail. We have a cost 11 12 control group that gathers and looks at the impact on our home buyers. Going forward we will continue to 13 look at these things. And in truth, understanding 14 15 both the mechanism or the programs the way they will 16 be set up with the builders will be important to us. 17 Now, have I looked at the fineries of the 18 mechanism? No. 19 MR. BALL: Thank you very much. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Mr. Dodge? 20 21 MR. DODGE: No questions. 22 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Thank you, Mr. 23 Ivory, for coming. Any other witnesses since the initial --24 25 MR. PROCTOR: I'm informed there are none. 26

1			COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: All right. Thank
2	you.	We'll	adjourn.
3			(The taking of the deposition was
4			concluded at 5:15 p.m.)
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF UTAH)
	: ss.
4	COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)
5	
	I, LANETTE SHINDURLING, a Registered
б	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter
	and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah,
7	residing at Salt Lake City, Utah hereby certify;
8	That the foregoing proceeding was taken
	before me at the time and place herein set forth, and
9	was taken down by me in stenotype and thereafter
	transcribed into typewriting;
10	
	That pages 1 through 44, contain a full,
11	true and correct transcription of my stenotype notes
	so taken.
12	
	I further certify that I am not of kin or
13	otherwise associated with any of the parties to said
	cause of action, and that I am not interested in the
14	event thereof.
15	WITNESS MY HAND and official seal at Salt
	Lake City, Utah, this 21st day of September, 2006.
16	
17	
18	LANETTE SHINDURLING, RPR, CRR
	Utah License No. 103865-7801
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	