**Consumer Services** 

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application of
Questar Gas Company to Increase
Distribution Non-Gas Rates and
Charges and Make Tariff Modifications

Docket No. 07-057-13
Pre-filed Direct
Test Year Testimony of
Eric Orton
For the Committee of

January 28, 2008

1		INTRODUCTION
2		
3	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION AND BUSINESS ADDRESS?
4	A.	My name is Eric Orton. I am a utility analyst on the staff of the Committee
5		of Consumer Services (Committee). My business address is 160 East
6		300 South Salt Lake City, Utah.
7		
8	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
9	A.	I will present the Committee's policy position regarding the timing of the
0		Public Service Commission's (Commission) determination of the test year
1		period.
12		
3	Q.	WILL YOUR TESTIMONY ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE'S
14		RECOMMENDATION OF THE APPROPRIATE TEST YEAR FOR THIS
15		RATE CASE?
16	A.	No, it will not. The Committee has retained the services of Larkin &
7		Associates, PLLC to analyze Questar's (Company) application for a rate
8		increase. Donna DeRonne, a CPA with that firm, will present the
19		Committee's position regarding the appropriate test period for this case.
20		
21	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMMITTEE'S POSITION REGARDING
22		DETERMINATION OF THE TEST YEAR PERIOD TO BE USED?

It is the Committee's position that the Commission should make a determination and order on the test year to be used in this case very early in these proceedings. Utah Code Section 54-4-4(3) plainly allows the Commission to make this decision at this time. The Commission's scheduling order dated December 27, 2007 in this docket clearly lays out a schedule for determination of test year issues. Although it does not specify a date by which a test year order will be issued, the schedule for determining the test year is separate from and prior to hearings on the other issues in the rate case.

Based upon the scheduling conference and the Commission's scheduling order, the Committee understood that the early resolution of the test year issues was a preliminary and necessary step to allow all parties to more effectively examine the revenue requirement issues and present their cases more efficiently. The Committee planned its case accordingly.

Α.

## Q. WHY DOES THE COMMITTEE BELIEVE THAT RESOLVING THE TEST YEAR EARLY IS IMPORTANT?

A. There are a number of reasons why early resolution of test year is important. As mentioned above, early resolution of the issue will certainly provide more efficient utilization of parties' resources. Additionally, the Commission will be better able to determine the appropriate rates for the rate effective period since costs and revenues will be matched. Ms.

DeRonne will provide more detail on the importance of both of these issues in her direct testimony.

Α.

# Q. ARE THERE POLICY IMPLICATIONS IF THE COMMISSION DOES NOT ORDER ON TEST YEAR EARLY IN THE PROCEEDINGS?

Yes, the Committee believes that there are. The Committee is concerned that if the Commission does not determine the appropriate test year for this case early on, then at any point in the case a party may present an alternate test year that it determines better represents its interests.

Logistically it would be impossible for participants in the case to adequately respond to multiple test years filed at different intervals in the proceedings. Based on the Commission's scheduling order it is apparent that the option to present a test year proposal should be conducted in that portion of the case and the issue closed following the test year hearing.

### Q. DOES THE COMMITTEE BELIEVE THAT A TEST YEAR DETERMINATION IN THIS RATE CASE WOULD BE PRECEDENT

#### **SETTING FOR FUTURE RATE CASES?**

A. No. The Committee recognizes that the relevant Statute allows the Commission to select from a number of options in determining the appropriate test year. The Committee believes the test year that best reflects any rate effective period may vary depending on circumstances at the time. With each rate case filing it is the responsibility and burden of

68		the Company to provide evidence to support any test year that it contends
69		is best reflective of the rate effective period for that case.
70		
71	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY ON TEST YEAR ISSUES?

07-057-13

CCS-1D TY Orton

72

A. Yes.

Page 4