AMENDED ACTION REQUEST

Date: February 13, 2013

Due: March 27, 2013__

Public Service Commission

FROM:

modification we make."

SUBJECT:	Questar Gas Company's Meter and Transponder Inspection Program Final
Summary Rep	oort 08-057-11 (Company Name, Case Number, etc.)
	TIP FINAL SUMMARY REPORT In the Matter of the Investigation and the of Dockets of the Formal Complaints against Questar Gas Company Relating to
This is a reque	est for the Division to:
X	Review for Compliance and Make Recommendations (See Note Below)
	Review Application and Make Recommendations
	Analyze the Complaint
	Review Notice and Make Recommendations
	Review Request for Agency Action and Make Recommendations
	Investigate
	Other – Explanation and Statement of Issues to be Addressed (See Below):
(Questar) MT. Commission's (Order) in this and actual rest	vision's February 1, 2013, memorandum addressing Questar Gas Company's IP Final Summary Report (Memo) references the following language from the S December 3, 2008, Order Approving Settlement Stipulation with Modifications adocket: "Any true up between initial accounting adjustment based on calculations ults can be implemented when the MTIP is completed and reviewed." The Order he Division will continue to be responsible to audit and verify that the accounting

adjustments contemplated by the Stipulating Parties are made, but consistent with the

The Division's Memo contains the following information:

FDR 1.03 How much was ultimately refunded to customers and how much was

ultimately written off by the Company?

Answer: Our records indicate that \$221,466 was refunded to customers due to

incorrect transponder settings where customers were over-billed. \$719,264 was written off by Questar Gas Company for customers who were under-billed beyond the designated 6 month period that customers

were responsible to pay for.

The Company reported that in November 2008, \$857,357 was written off below the line for customers who were under-billed beyond the designated 6 month period. After the final inspections were completed in 2009, an adjusting entry of \$138,093 was made in June 2009 for a net write-off \$719,264.

Although not related to the transponder issues discussed above, the Company also reported that an additional \$15,540 was written off in December 2009 due to magnet issues on older meters that were discovered through the MTIP process.

The Division's memo does not indicate whether the Company's information was audited by the Division or the final adjustments were made in accordance with the Commission's Order in this docket. Due to the importance of this matter, it would be beneficial for the Division to provide its final audit report verifying the accounting adjustments in this matter were made in accordance with the Commission's Order.

In addition, the Company's MTIP Final Summary Report indicates a total of 919 Pre-Divide errors were identified. During the October 22, 2008, hearing in this matter, the Division estimated a total of 582 meters with underbilled pre-divide errors (see attached exhibit labeled Exhibit No. DPU Exhibit 3). It would be helpful if the Division explained the difference between these two numbers (i.e., 919 and 582) and indicated the actual final number of meters with underbilled pre-divide errors. It may be helpful for the Division to prepare a table similar to Exhibit No. DPU Exhibit 3 updated with final information.

Finally, the Commission requests the Division to provide a more detailed explanation of the \$138,093 accounting adjustment.