#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

\_\_\_\_\_

In the Matter of the )
Investigation and the ) Docket 08-057-11
Consolidation of Dockets)
of the Formal Complaints)
Against Questar Gas )
Company Relating to )
Back-Billing. )

\_\_\_\_\_

#### TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS

\_\_\_\_\_

TAKEN AT: Public Service Commission

160 East 300 South, Room 403

Salt Lake City, Utah

DATE: October 22, 2008

TIME: 9:32 a.m.

REPORTED BY: Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR

1	APPEARANCES
2	For Questar Gas Company:
3	JENNIFFER N. BYDE, ESQ. COLLEEN LARKIN BELL, ESQ.
4	QUESTAR GAS COMPANY 180 East 100 South
5	P.O. Box 45360 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0360
6	(801) 324-5392 (801) 324-5935 (fax)
7	For the Division of Public Utilities:
8	PATRICIA E. SCHMID, ESQ.
9	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor
10	Post Office Box 140857 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-0857
11	(801) 366-0353 (801) 366-0352 (fax)
12	For the Utah Committee of Consumer Services:
13	PAUL H. PROCTOR, ESQ.
14	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor
15	Post Office Box 140857 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-0857
16	(801) 366-0353 (801) 366-0352 (fax)
17	For the Utah Ratepayers Association:
18	ROGER J. BALL
19	1375 Vintry Lane Salt Lake City, Utah 84121
20	(801) 998-8511
21	-000-
22	
23	
24	

1	WITNESSES	
2	PHILIP J. POWLICK	Page
3	Direct by Ms. Schmid Cross by Mr. Ball	13 35
4	Cross Continued by Mr. Ball	66
5	BARRIE McKAY	Page
6 7	Direct by Ms. Byde Cross by Mr. Ball Rebuttal by Ms. Byde	108 121 222
,	Reductal by Ms. Byte	222
8	MICHELE BECK	Page
9	Direct by Mr. Proctor	158
10	ROGER BALL	Page
11	Testimony of Mr. Ball Cross by Ms. Schmid	169 202
12	Cross by Mr. Proctor	207
13	-000-	
14	PUBLIC WITNESSES	
15	NAME	Page
16	BRIAN DEHAAN Cross by Mr. Proctor	60 63
17	Cross by Mr. Ball	65
18	DAN KENNELLY	89
19	NANCY MITCHELL Cross by Mr. Ball	93 98
20		
21	MARGARET McMAIN Cross by Mr. Ball	100 102
22	LORRY HORMAN	103
23	DUANE ROSE	105
24	DOLORES HART	231
25	-000-	

1		EXHIBITS	
2	No.	Description	Page
3	DPU 1	Division Report - Transponder Pre-Divide Exceptions and	15
4		Back-Billing Issues	
5	DPU 2	Appendix A to the Division Report	16
6	QGC Hearing 1	Reply Comments of Questar	110
7	goo mearing i	Gas Company regarding the Division Report on	110
8 9		Transponder Pre-Divide Exceptions and Back-Billing Issues	
10	CCS Hearing 1	Response of the Committee of Consumer Services	160
11	CCS Appendix A	Committee's Analysis and Concerns	160
12			
13 14	CCS Attachments 1 through 6	Attachment of Certain Exhibits	160
15	URA 1.0	September 9, 2008, Utah Ratepayers Association's Comments	172
16	URA 2.0	October 9, 2008, Utah	172
17		Ratepayers Association's Comments	
18		-000-	
19	(The provi	ous exhibits and related testin	ont
20	were prefi	led and are part of the PSC recoll filed at the Commission.)	
21		-000-	
22			
23			
24			
25			

1				EXHIBITS, CONTINUED	
2	No.			Description	Page
3	DPU	3		In the Matter of the	34
4				Investigation and the Consolidation of Dockets of	
5				the Formal Complaints Against Questar Gas Company Relating	
6				to Backbilling, Docket 08-057-011	
7	URA	Cross	1	Data Request No. 5.08	74
8	URA	Cross	2	Questar Gas Company Information Related to 3.4	74
9				Transponders Missing Magnets	
10	URA	Cross	3	Docket No. 03-035-06 Report and Order	71
11	T T D 70	Omoga	4	Docket No. 01-057-09	85
12	UKA	Cross	4	Report and Order	0.5
13	URA	Cross	5	Data Request No. 1.09	128
14	URA	Cross	6	Transponder Pre-Divide Backbills (not admitted)	129
15	URA	Cross	7	Joint Data Request No. 1.27	157
16	URA	Cross	8	Field Data Request No. 1.01	157
17	URA	Cross	9	Data Request No. 3.01	157
18	URA	Cross	10	Joint Data Request No. 1.25	157
19	URA	Cross	11	Data Request No. 5.11	157
20	URA	Cross	12	Joint Data Request No. 1.17	157
21	URA			Data Request No. 1.04	190
22	Olul	3.0		(Referenced but not admitted)	100
23	URA	4.0		Spreadsheet - Select Under-billed Sort by	191
24				Discovery Date (Referenced but not admitted)	
25				(Reference but not dumfeted)	

1	No.	Description	Page
2	URA 5.0	Spreadsheet - Select	193
3		Over-billed Sort by Set Date (Referenced but not admitted)	
4	URA 6.0	Spreadsheet - Select Under-billed Sort by	194
5		Discovery Date (Referenced but not admitted)	
6	URA 7.0		201
7	URA 7.0	Spreadsheet (Referenced but not admitted)	201
8		-000-	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

- 1 OCTOBER 22, 2008 9:32 A.M.
- 2 PROCEEDINGS
- 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place
- 4 duly noticed for the hearing of testimony on the
- 5 proposed settlement stipulation in Docket
- 6 No. 08-057-011, captioned: In the Matter of the
- 7 Investigation and Consolidation of Dockets of the
- 8 Formal Complaint Claimants Against Questar Gas Company
- 9 Relating to Back-Billing, sometimes referred to as
- 10 "The Transponder Case" or "The Back-Billing Case."
- 11 And our purpose here today is to hear
- 12 testimony for and against the proposed settlement --
- 13 for and against approval of the proposed settlement.
- 14 Because of heightened interest from the public we have
- 15 scheduled two public witness hearing periods today.
- One beginning at 11:45, which will run
- 17 through 12:30 this afternoon. And then a second one
- 18 at 4:30 in the afternoon, and that will run until 5:30
- 19 in the afternoon.
- Our proposal will be to proceed as in all
- 21 other cases, first hearing the proponents of the
- 22 proposed settlement stipulation. And then the
- 23 opponents will have an opportunity to speak. And then
- 24 the proponents will have the last word, as has been
- 25 our practice.

- 1 Are there any preliminary matters we need to
- 2 discuss before we take appearances? Ms. Schmid?
- 3 MS. SCHMID: The proponents have discussed
- 4 amongst their selves and would like to suggest that
- 5 the Division proceed first because the Division
- 6 prepared the report. However, the proponents
- 7 certainly are prepared to go in any order the
- 8 Commission wishes.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Will there be any objection
- 10 to beginning with the Division of Public Utilities,
- inasmuch as they did do the report? Very well, we'll
- 12 do that.
- 13 Mr. Proctor?
- 14 MR. PROCTOR: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, and the
- 15 Company would follow the Division. That was our
- 16 thought. Of course it's entirely up to you.
- 17 Ms. Wolfe, on behalf of Salt Lake CAP, informed the
- 18 Committee this morning that she was unable to attend
- 19 today.
- They are signatories to the stipulation. And
- 21 she had filed comments, but she asked that we, we
- 22 request that she be excused.
- 23 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well. She will be
- 24 excused. We'll miss her, but we'll proceed without
- 25 her then.

- 1 Okay, having taken care of those items --
- 2 MR. BALL: Chairman?
- 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Ball?
- 4 MR. BALL: Yes. One little thing, if you
- 5 wouldn't mind. I'm not, I'm not seeing any of the
- 6 formal complainants with whom I'm personally
- 7 acquainted here in the room at this stage.
- 8 But I, I do wonder, just in case there might
- 9 be one somewhere, is it the Commission's intention to
- 10 have the formal complainants speak, if they wish to do
- 11 so, during the main part of the hearing or during the
- 12 public witness part of the hearing?
- 13 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Actually, we hadn't
- 14 discussed that. I'm open to suggestion. We do have
- 15 some time constraints, in as much as we've scheduled
- 16 the day for hearing. We will have to take a lunch
- 17 break at some point.
- 18 We'll have to take periodic rest breaks. And
- 19 we do have the two public witness periods. But I
- 20 suspect that it's -- if time permitted and formal
- 21 complainants did appear, we could hear them in the
- 22 case in chief.
- Okay. With that, let's begin with taking
- 24 appearances then. Let's begin with -- the Division, I
- 25 quess, is gonna be driving the bus this morning. At

- 1 least starting out driving.
- MS. SCHMID: Thank you. Patricia E. Schmid,
- 3 with the Attorney General's Office, on behalf of the
- 4 Division of Public Utilities.
- 5 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Ms. Schmid. And
- 6 for Questar Gas?
- 7 MS. BYDE: Jenniffer N. Byde and Colleen
- 8 Larkin Bell for Questar Gas Company.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Would you spell your name
- 10 for the record, please?
- 11 MS. BYDE: Sure. Jenniffer is spelled
- 12 J-e-n-n-i-f-f-e-r, N, as in "Nancy," and then Byde is
- 13 spelled B-y-d-e.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Ms. Byde.
- 15 Mr. Proctor?
- 16 MR. PROCTOR: Thank you. Paul Proctor on
- 17 behalf of the Committee of Consumer Services.
- 18 CHAIRMAN BOYER: And Mr. Ball?
- 19 MR. BALL: Roger Ball, appearing in
- 20 accordance with Utah Administrative Code R746-106-B,
- 21 on behalf of the Utah Ratepayers Association, of which
- 22 I am the moderator and chancellor.
- 23 The Association is registered with the Utah
- 24 Division of Corporations as a nonprofit. And
- 25 determined by the Internal Revenue Service as exempt

- 1 from Federal Income Tax under Section 501(c)(4) of the
- 2 Internal Revenue Code as a social welfare
- 3 organization. Thank you.
- 4 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Ball. And
- 5 then there's a gentleman to your right.
- 6 MR. BALL: Oh, oh. Mr. Horman is sitting
- 7 here as an advisor.
- 8 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, great.
- 9 MR. BALL: He happens to be a member of the
- 10 Council of Governors of the Utah Ratepayers
- 11 Association.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well, welcome.
- Okay, I think we've covered all the
- 14 preliminary matters. Let's begin hearing testimony
- 15 from the proponents of the settlement stipulation.
- 16 Ms. Schmid?
- 17 MS. SCHMID: Thank you. The Division would
- 18 like to call --
- 19 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Oh. Beg your pardon, there
- 20 is one. Commissioner Allen has to make a disclosure
- 21 here that he wishes to make on the record before we
- 22 commence.
- 23 COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- 24 In the interest of full public disclosure I just want
- 25 to be clear that I've been informed by my homeowners

- 1 association for my small condo, which is not a primary
- 2 residence, that they may be a party in this hearing.
- 3 And that the amount is relatively small. So I just
- 4 wanted to assure parties that I can be completely fair
- 5 and impartial. Thank you.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Commissioner
- 7 Allen.
- Back to you now, Ms. Schmid.
- 9 MS. SCHMID: Thank you. The Division would
- 10 like to call Dr. Phil Powlick to the stand as its
- 11 first witness.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Dr. Powlick, have you been
- 13 sworn in these proceedings? I guess you have not.
- DR. POWLICK: I have not.
- 15 CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the first proceeding
- 16 in this case.
- 17 (Dr. Powlick was sworn.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN BOYER: And the microphone works.
- 19 You do have to push a button, and the green light --
- THE WITNESS: It's on.
- 21 CHAIRMAN BOYER: It is on.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I was trying to get my chair to
- 23 sit so that I'm not at the kid's table.
- 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Make yourself comfortable.
- 25 THE WITNESS: I think it's not going to work

- 1 today.
- 2 Good morning. My name is Phil Powlick. I'm
- 3 the Director of the Division of Public Utilities.
- 4 MS. SCHMID: Pardon me, I do have some
- 5 preliminary questions.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Ah.
- 7 MS. SCHMID: It's always good to have an
- 8 eager witness. It was a surprise, I have to get
- 9 focused.
- 10 PHILIP J. POWLICK,
- 11 called as a witness, having been duly sworn,
- 12 was examined and testified as follows:
- 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MS. SCHMID:
- 15 Q. Dr. Powlick, could you please give your full
- 16 name and business address for the record?
- 17 A. Philip, with one "l," Powlick, P-o-w-l-i-c-k.
- 18 Division of Public Utilities, 130 East 300 South, Salt
- 19 Lake City.
- 20 Q. In what capacity are you employed by the
- 21 Division of Public Utilities?
- 22 A. I am its director.
- Q. Could you please, in a sentence or two,
- 24 describe the responsibilities of the director?
- 25 A. Overseeing the operations of the Division.

- 1 Policy decisions, policy work, et cetera.
- Q. Thank you. Dr. Powlick, did you participate
- 3 in the preparation of the report dated July 18, 2008,
- 4 entitled: "Docket No. 08-057-11, In the Matter of the
- 5 Investigation and the Consolidation of Dockets of the
- 6 Formal Complaints against Questar Gas Company Relating
- 7 to Back-Billing, Division Report, Transponder
- 8 Pre-Divide Exceptions and Back-Billing Issues," which
- 9 was submitted and filed with the Utah Public Service
- 10 Commission and to the service list?
- 11 A. I did.
- 12 Q. This report the Division would like to
- 13 premark for identification as DPU Exhibit 1. It has
- 14 previously been filed in this docket and a copy has
- 15 been given to the court reporter. The Division would
- 16 like to move the admission of the so-described DPU
- 17 Exhibit 1.
- 18 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Pardon my pratfall. The
- 19 wheel came off of my chair.
- MS. SCHMID: Let's hope it's not indicative.
- 21 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Ms. Schmid.
- 22 Okay, the report is in the record. Are there any
- 23 objections to its admission as evidence in this
- 24 proceeding? Very well, it is admitted into evidence.
- 25 If you'll bear with me one moment.

- 1 (Exhibit No. DPU 1 was admitted.)
- 2 (Pause.)
- 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think I've heard rumors on
- 4 the street that the wheels were falling off the
- 5 Commission, and so there we have evidence of that
- 6 fact.
- 7 Okay. Pardon the interruption, Ms. Schmid.
- 8 You may proceed.
- 9 MS. SCHMID: Thank you. So in addition to
- 10 moving for the admission of what has been marked as
- 11 DPU Exhibit 1, the Division would like also to also
- 12 ask Dr. Powlick a couple of questions about a report
- 13 that will be premarked for identification as DPU
- 14 Exhibit 2. It is the Appendix A to the Division
- 15 report that was filed with the Division report.
- 16 Q. (By Ms. Schmid) Dr. Powlick -- Powlick, did
- 17 you prepare -- participate in the preparation of this
- 18 as well?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 MS. SCHMID: The Division would also like to
- 21 move the admission of what has been premarked for
- 22 identification as DPU Exhibit No. 2.
- 23 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Ms. Schmid. Any
- 24 objections to the admission of DPU Exhibit 2 into
- 25 evidence? Very well, it is admitted as well.

- 1 MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
- 2 (Exhibit No. DPU 2 was admitted.)
- 3 Q. (By Ms. Schmid) Dr. Powlick, have you
- 4 prepared a summary?
- 5 A. I have.
- 6 Q. Please proceed.
- 7 A. Good morning Commissioners. I'm appearing
- 8 this morning on behalf of the Division of Public
- 9 Utilities to support the settlement stipulation that
- 10 was signed by the Division, Questar Gas, the Committee
- 11 of Consumer Services, and Salt Lake Community Action.
- 12 Before I get into the stipulation
- 13 specifically I'd like to provide the Commission with
- 14 updated information. Information that's become
- 15 available since the report, to which other parties
- 16 have had access. Basically the supplemental
- 17 information helps bring you up to date in what we've
- 18 learned since the report came out, and also provides
- 19 context for the settlement stipulation itself.
- 20 After that I'll summarize the stipulation.
- 21 And conclude by explaining why the Division believes
- 22 that the settlement is just, reasonable, and in the
- 23 public interest.
- 24 The original DPU report of July 18th was
- 25 based upon information on VRT pre-divide errors that

- 1 were -- that was made available by Questar Gas on
- 2 May 16th in response to the joint data request from
- 3 the Division and the Committee.
- 4 You may recall that there were two types of
- 5 transponders installed as part of the automated meter
- 6 reading program: The older 3.4 model, which has been
- 7 found to have many fewer errors; and the more recent
- 8 VRT model, which has been responsible for the majority
- 9 of the pre-divide errors.
- 10 When the Company discovered that the VRT
- 11 models had the greater number of problems, they put
- 12 their emphasis on discovering those errors first. And
- 13 so we had the majority of that information when we
- 14 were preparing the report, but the inspections were
- 15 not yet complete.
- 16 And we also had relatively little information
- on the 3.4 transponders as well. So the report
- 18 reflects primarily the data that were available on
- 19 May 16th, with some additional data as they came out
- 20 with data requests.
- 21 During this interim period the Company has
- 22 finished the remote querying of these VRT
- 23 transponders, so we now have all of the pre-divide
- 24 error data from that model. We do not have all of the
- 25 data yet from the 3.4 models, and I'll go into that in

- 1 a little bit.
- 2 But we now know, at least with the VRT
- 3 models, the over number of -- the overall number of
- 4 customers affected and the dollar amounts involved.
- 5 So if I could ask my counsel to distribute this
- 6 exhibit that I've prepared today. It's based on
- 7 information that all of the parties have had access
- 8 to.
- 9 Also, some of the numbers in it have been
- 10 quoted in the news media. And that's another reason
- 11 why I'd like you to see where those numbers have come
- 12 from, so that, so that you're not thinking it was
- 13 completely out of the blue.
- 14 In the original DPU report there were 517
- 15 identified pre-divide errors from the VRT models. Of
- those errors, 488 were underbillings and 29 were
- 17 overbillings. The large ratio of underbilled meters
- 18 was due primarily to the fact that Questar put
- 19 emphasis on finding the so-called American 2-foot
- 20 meters first.
- Those were the meters that, when compared
- 22 with the transponders, showed the highest frequency of
- 23 errors. So early on in their, in their program it's
- 24 those underbilled 2-foot meters that, that showed the
- 25 most number of occurrences. And that's reflected in

- 1 the original report.
- 2 As the remote querying of VRT transponders
- 3 progressed, all of the meters with VRT transponders
- 4 were checked, both 1-foot and 2-foot. So the updated
- 5 data that you see here includes much larger numbers of
- 6 1-foot pre-divide errors than was in the original
- 7 report.
- 8 Recall that the 1-foot pre-divide errors will
- 9 generate a result in an overbilling rather than an
- 10 underbilling. In total, since the DPU report, an
- 11 additional 163 VRT pre-divide errors have been found.
- 12 And of those, 73 were underbillings and 90
- 13 overbillings.
- Now, in the exhibit that I just had
- 15 distributed to you, the far-right column represents
- 16 our most recent information. I did not include the
- 17 overbills in this. The overbills, when they're
- 18 discovered, are repaid with interest and aren't really
- 19 in dispute, at least with regard to the stipulation.
- 20 So the total number of underbillings now from
- 21 VRT transponders is 561, as shown in the exhibit. The
- 22 total amount underbilled from those VRT transponders
- 23 is, as you see, about 1.03 million. That is the final
- 24 number at this stage.
- 25 If cust -- if these customers were

- 1 back-billed for six months, as the proponents of the
- 2 stipulation are suggesting today, the amount collected
- 3 would be \$217,985. That's based on the Company's
- 4 billing records, and I believe that that is accurate.
- 5 The original DPU report dealt only with the
- 6 VRT transponders, as I've indicated. Shortly before
- 7 the report was completed there was a response to one
- 8 of Mr. Ball's data requests that reminded us that the
- 9 3.4 transponders are also involved in this case to the
- 10 extent that there are pre-divide errors.
- 11 The errors in those transponders have been
- 12 found through a separate inspection program. The VRT
- 13 errors were found through remote radio-based
- 14 interrogations of those instruments. The 3.4
- 15 transponder errors have been found as a result of the
- 16 so called MTIP, or Meter and Transponder Inspection
- 17 Program, which is a manual inspection of all of the
- 18 meters that have been -- that are in the Questar
- 19 system.
- 20 As you might imagine, that's a timely -- or
- 21 that's a time-consuming process the Company initiated
- 22 when it had completed installing the transponders.
- 23 It's not estimated that they're going to be finished
- 24 with all of those until the end of 2009. To this
- 25 point they've got about a third of those inspections

- 1 completed.
- 2 And in response to one of Mr. Ball's data
- 3 requests it was shown that there were some errors on
- 4 those older 3.4 models that essentially mimic the
- 5 pre-divide errors that we found on the VRT
- 6 transponders.
- 7 The reason for this particular kind of error
- 8 is an incorrect setting of magnets inside the
- 9 transponder itself, where it will spin at a different
- 10 rate if it doesn't have the correct number of magnets.
- 11 And therefore, while the indicator index on the meter
- 12 itself will show the right number, as with the VRT
- 13 problem, the transponder will transmit incorrect data.
- So as you see on lines 6 and 8 of the
- 15 exhibit, to date, with about a third of all of the
- 16 meters found, there have been seven pre-divide errors,
- 17 we're calling them. They're not the same as the VRT,
- 18 but they, they have the same result. That is, an
- 19 underbilling by exactly one-half.
- 20 And the data that the Company provided in
- 21 response to Mr. Ball's data request showed that there
- 22 have been seven of these to date, accounting for just
- 23 under \$16,000. And the back-billing, if it were six
- 24 months, would be a little over \$2,000.
- 25 One thing to be pointed out here is that

- 1 because the 3.4 models were installed first, they've
- 2 been in place the longest. And therefore the
- 3 back-billing amounts -- or I should say the
- 4 underbilling amounts per account are much higher than
- 5 we see in the VRT transponders, which have been on for
- 6 a shorter period of time. And that's why you see that
- 7 very high ratio of underbilled amounts to the amount
- 8 to be recovered through six month back-billing.
- 9 Now, in thinking about and framing the
- 10 stipulation the parties considered likely additional
- 11 discovery of 3.4 pre-divide errors. And knowing that
- 12 a third of the MTIP inspections have been completed,
- 13 we did an approximation, a forecast, an estimate --
- 14 choose your noun -- of what we might see as the MTIP
- 15 program went forward.
- 16 And so lines 10 through 12 just show a
- 17 forecast. Assuming that the error rates continue to
- 18 be found at the rate that they have -- and we have no
- 19 reason to think that they won't -- we expect that
- 20 there would be about 21 pre-divide errors from these
- 21 3.4s. About \$47,000 in underbillings. And about
- 22 6,000 that could be recovered through back-billing.
- 23 Remember, those are rough estimates. We --
- 24 they're ball bark. We don't expect them to be exactly
- 25 correct. And at the end of the MTIP program the

- 1 Company will, in the stipulation, provide us with
- 2 information about what those final numbers were.
- 3 So if you then move to lines 14 and 17 of the
- 4 exhibit you see are estimated totals that include what
- 5 we know about the VRT -- and those VRT numbers are
- 6 final -- 3.4 transponder numbers to date, and forecast
- 7 for 3.4 model transponders. In the estimation about
- 8 580 underbillings in the end will probably be found,
- 9 resulting in about \$1.08 million underbilled. And
- 10 back-billing will recover about \$224,000.
- 11 Again, those numbers will change. But they
- 12 are reasonably close to what we've think are gonna be
- 13 the final numbers. And again, I provide you with
- 14 these numbers to frame the settlement itself. As you
- 15 look at the distribution of the cost between the
- 16 Company, customers overall, and the back-billed
- 17 numbers -- the back-billed customers.
- 18 So with that, let me summarize the
- 19 stipulation itself for the record, and then talk about
- 20 why the Division signed it and supports it. Under the
- 21 terms of the stipulation, customers who were
- 22 underbilled due to pre-divide-related problems should
- 23 be back-billed no more than six months prior to the
- 24 time the specific error was discovered.
- 25 And such customers will have at least one

- 1 year to repay back-billed amounts. The stipulation
- 2 also requires that customers who can establish a
- 3 hardship in repaying within one year will be allowed
- 4 to repay over a greater period of time, subject to
- 5 negotiation with Questar Gas.
- 6 Interest will not accrue on those back-billed
- 7 amounts during those payback periods and has not
- 8 accrued to date. These back-billing provisions are to
- 9 apply to both formal complainants in this case, and
- 10 those who have not filed a complaint but who've been
- 11 affected by the underbilling and back-billing.
- 12 All of these customers, however, retain their
- 13 right to either initiate or continue formal complaints
- 14 to the extent that they feel the settlement has not
- 15 resolved their individual issues. Under the
- 16 settlement Questar will absorb \$480,000 of the revenue
- 17 lost from the underbilling.
- 18 The Division will audit and verify to ensure
- 19 that the total of \$480,000 is absorbed as
- 20 below-the-line costs. The Company will also make a
- 21 new tariff filing to modify its existing Tariff 8.02
- 22 to require no more than six month back-billing for
- 23 future transponder errors, and to allow up to one year
- 24 without interest to pay back-billed amounts.
- 25 And finally, under the settlement the Company

- 1 is required to submit a report to the Commission upon
- 2 the completion of the first round of its MTIP program
- 3 that will show the final results of the inspections,
- 4 the number of underbills, the transponder errors,
- 5 et cetera.
- 6 The Division believes that this settlement
- 7 represents a just and reasonable conclusion for this
- 8 case. Our reasoning for this conclusion is based
- 9 largely upon the analysis set forth in our July 18th
- 10 report, and upon subsequent events.
- 11 So let me talk about why we feel this is just
- 12 and reasonable. First with regard to the back-billing
- 13 provisions. The DPU report laid out what we see as
- 14 the legal options available for back-billing under
- 15 existing Commission rules and under Questar tariffs.
- 16 Options were essentially limited to six
- 17 months for a "slow registering meter," or 24 months
- 18 for all other errors. Our analysis, based upon we
- 19 felt -- what we felt was a commonsense reading of the
- 20 relationship between a meter and a transponder, was
- 21 that six months was the appropriate back-billing
- 22 period in this case.
- 23 Aside from these legal limits, we also feel
- 24 the six month back-billing is just and reasonable on
- 25 its own merits. The settlement represents a balancing

- 1 of many interests. We believe that fairness, not to
- 2 mention the legal rules and precedent, require that
- 3 customers that use the gas at issue should pay for at
- 4 least some share of it.
- 5 To permit all back-billed customers to waive
- 6 payment for all of the back-billed amounts would be
- 7 discriminatory to other ratepayers. It could even be
- 8 argued that limiting the back-billing to six months,
- 9 rather than having these customers pay for the full
- 10 amount of the gas they use, is also discriminatory.
- 11 However, the Commission has established
- 12 limits to back-billing time periods in order to avoid
- 13 imposing excessive burdens upon the individuals
- 14 affected. And those limits, in the form of the six
- 15 month or 24 month back-billing options, have been
- 16 upheld by the courts.
- So the DPU feels that the 24 month
- 18 back-billing option in this case would impose a very
- 19 large burden on the affected customers. Under
- 20 existing rules these customers' prior bills before the
- 21 errors were found would be doubled for up to 2 years.
- 22 The total amount -- the total estimated
- 23 amount that back -- ratepayers at large will recover
- 24 through a six month back-billing is shown on line 17
- 25 of the exhibit. As I indicated before, that's about

- 1 \$224,000.
- 2 The Division's report also proposed
- 3 increasing the repayment period to one full year. In
- 4 our report we noted that there is an inconsistency
- 5 between the Commission rules and the Questar tariff,
- 6 whereby the Commission rules set six month -- six
- 7 months as a minimum period for repayment, and the
- 8 Questar tariff had six months as the only option.
- 9 So we recommended allowing a year in order to
- 10 avoid imposing excessive burdens, particularly upon
- 11 those with fixed incomes. And this one year for the
- 12 payback is consistent with existing Commission rules.
- 13 The settlement also applies these back-billing
- 14 provisions on a going-forward basis.
- 15 Questar tariff -- the Questar tariff will
- 16 be -- the Company will file to change that. And this
- 17 will apply equal and fair treatment to future cases
- 18 with similar problems.
- 19 Making an allowance for customers to take
- 20 longer than a year to pay when there is shown hardship
- 21 is a new provision that we did not include in the
- 22 report, but we think it's a positive addition that
- 23 will ensure against imposing unreasonable burdens on
- 24 customers with limited ability to increase their
- 25 utility payments.

- 1 Of course we've not precluded the rights of
- 2 those who have or may file formal complaints. The
- 3 agreement allows them to pursue their individual
- 4 cases. If special circumstances argue for a
- 5 resolution that is different from the settlement, they
- 6 have the opportunity to make their cases to you.
- 7 Now on to the \$480,000 amount that the
- 8 Company will pay, and the overall distribution of
- 9 costs. Under the agreement Questar will absorb
- 10 \$480,000 as below-the-line losses. A breakdown of the
- 11 estimate of that is shown on lines 20 through 22 of
- 12 the exhibit that we've distributed.
- In the original report we estimated about
- 14 \$98,000 had already been lost by the Company in the
- 15 form of distributed natural gas costs that were not
- 16 collected during the period before the conservation
- 17 enabling tariff, or CET.
- 18 Of course after the advent of the CET in July
- 19 of '06, underbilled amounts would have been rolled
- 20 into the amortization for the CET, and so the Company
- 21 wouldn't bear the losses of those underbilled amounts.
- 22 The estimate now with the new information is that the
- 23 Company has already absorbed about \$150,000 in lost
- 24 G -- DNG revenues.
- 25 That is an estimate at this point. That will

- 1 only -- the final number on that will only be known
- 2 once the detailed individual customer accounting has
- 3 been done. Once that is done, then the Company will
- 4 make accounting adjustments to the appropriate
- 5 commodity and DNG balancing accounts.
- In the end, that \$480,000 is a fixed number.
- 7 That will be lost to the Company as a result of this
- 8 settlement. The DPU believes that the overall
- 9 allocation of the costs of the underbilled amounts in
- 10 this case is just and reasonable. And again, our
- 11 July 18th report lays a foundation for that.
- We examined several key aspects or decision
- 13 points involving the transponder program. We found
- 14 that the decision to deploy was reasonable, and likely
- 15 to save ratepayers several million dollars per year.
- 16 It also significantly reduced billing errors by
- 17 roughly three-quarters from what they had been before
- 18 under manual reading.
- 19 We also looked at the switch from VRT -- I'm
- 20 sorry, from 3.4 to VRT models. We found that to be
- 21 reasonable. We looked at the procedures for
- 22 installing transponders. We found that less than 1 in
- 23 1,000 showed pre-divide errors, and therefore that was
- 24 reasonable.
- 25 Actions taken by the Company after the

- 1 discovery of the problems and when they realized the
- 2 nature of the problem we found also to be appropriate.
- 3 The remote interrogations of VRT transponders led to
- 4 quick discovery. And the new software that was
- 5 developed even after that -- those queries began
- 6 speeded the effort and therefore reduced the
- 7 back-billing involved.
- 8 However, we did fault the Company in two
- 9 related regards: One, the time it took to discover
- 10 the pre-divide problem in general. And two, the
- 11 inability to detect 50-percent decreases in individual
- 12 billed gas amounts.
- 13 However, we did suggest that it was also
- 14 unreasonable to have expected the Company to have
- 15 quickly detected all pre-divide errors simply based
- 16 upon billing patterns.
- 17 For example, new buildings that had no usage
- 18 history accounted for about 30 percent of the
- 19 pre-divide errors. And it's not reasonable, we feel,
- 20 to expect that the Company, through its billing
- 21 software, should have found that mistake.
- We also found that transponders installed
- 23 during low usage periods may have taken longer to
- 24 discover until wintertime came and the billing pattern
- 25 became apparent. We thus recommended that the

- 1 Commission hold the Company responsible for a portion
- 2 of the underbilled amounts, but not the entire cost.
- 3 And we based that determination upon what the
- 4 Company reasonably could have known and could have
- 5 done. We didn't make a final recommendation, largely
- 6 because the final numbers for the VRT program not been
- 7 completed, nor had the MTIP. But we believe that the
- 8 distribution of costs in the stipulation is just and
- 9 reasonable.
- 10 Of the \$1.08 million that you see on the
- 11 exhibit for the estimated total underbilling, as I
- 12 say, 480,000 is to be absorbed by Questar. That is a
- 13 set number. About \$224,000 will be paid by
- 14 back-billed customers under the settlement. The exact
- 15 number will be known once the MTIP program is
- 16 complete.
- 17 This back-billing recognizes that these
- 18 customers had the benefits of using the gas. And it's
- 19 consistent with Court and Commission precedent that
- 20 indicate that some back-billing must take place.
- 21 However, six month back-billing does not impose a
- 22 heavy burden of lengthy and steep payments of the
- 23 nature that 24 months would have.
- 24 The remaining amount of underbilled dollars
- 25 uncollected -- 377,000, roughly -- will be absorbed by

- 1 ratepayers at large under the terms of the settlement.
- 2 Again, this is an estimate at this stage. These
- 3 under-collections in commod -- have already
- 4 essentially been paid through adjustments to commodity
- 5 and DNG accounts. There may still be a small amount
- 6 that is still being paid through the latest
- 7 amortizations in those accounts.
- 8 The estimated cost per ratepayer resulting
- 9 from this is 42.8 cents. And this is one time. This
- 10 is not an annual change in rates. On a percentage
- 11 basis, the costs absorbed are 44 percent to Questar,
- 12 21 percent to underbilled customers, and 35 percent to
- 13 ratepayers at large.
- 14 The issue will be raised -- has already been
- 15 raised -- of whether it's just and reasonable for
- 16 ratepayers at large to bear any of these costs. We
- 17 feel that ratepayers have had the benefit of this
- 18 transponder program.
- 19 The cost of the transponders plus
- 20 installation has come out to \$37 million, roughly.
- 21 The annual labor savings from avoiding the manual
- 22 reading of meters is about \$5.3 million. A good
- 23 payback, and a customer savings that will be ongoing.
- 24 In addition, billing errors have been reduced
- 25 significantly. We feel that some degree of human

- 1 error is to be expected in any human undertaking. It
- 2 is unreasonable that ratepayers should pay for the
- 3 cost of -- I'm sorry. It is reasonable that
- 4 ratepayers should pay for the cost of errors so long
- 5 as, one, these errors are reasonable and few, and two,
- 6 the costs are not great.
- 7 In this stipulation the cost to ratepayers of
- 8 this error represents a 1 percent increase in the
- 9 overall cost of the transponder program. As
- 10 regulators we feel that we need to keep in mind
- 11 cost/benefit tradeoffs involved in programs such as
- 12 this.
- 13 Could we insist on perfection in this program
- 14 and other meter reading programs? Yes. But we need
- 15 to consider at what cost to rates. Or at what cost to
- 16 the rate of return of the Company. If we disallow the
- 17 Company to recover all costs of errors, what are the
- 18 implications to their authorized return on equity?
- 19 Questar under the settlement is absorbing
- 20 nearly half of the cost of the errors in question. We
- 21 feel that is just and reasonable. We feel it is just
- 22 and reasonable that ratepayers at large absorb a
- 23 one-time 43 cent increase, in order to real realize a
- 24 \$6 per-customer annual savings that come from the
- 25 transponder program.

- 1 In conclusion, the Division feels that it is
- 2 just and reasonable and in the public interest that
- 3 the Commission accept this settlement, and we urge you
- 4 to do so. Thank you.
- 5 MS. SCHMID: The Division would also like to
- 6 move the admission of the exhibit referenced by
- 7 Dr. Powlick -- Powlick. And may we mark that for
- 8 identification as DPU Exhibit 3. And request its
- 9 admission.
- 10 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Ms. Schmid.
- 11 Are there objections to the admission of DPU
- 12 Exhibit 3? Seeing none, it is admitted into evidence.
- 13 (Exhibit No. DPU 3 was admitted.)
- MS. SCHMID: Thank you very much.
- 15 Dr. Powlick is now -- Powlick is now available for
- 16 cross examination.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Need some electroshock.
- MS. SCHMID: We do.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well. Let's see if
- 20 there is any cross examination for Dr. Powlick,
- 21 beginning with Questar Gas Company.
- MS. BYDE: We have no cross examination
- 23 questions.
- 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Turning now to the
- 25 Committee of Consumer Services.

- 1 MR. PROCTOR: No questions.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BOYER: No questions? Mr. Ball,
- 3 have you questions of Dr. Powlick?
- 4 MR. BALL: Yes, please, Chairman.
- 5 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. BALL:
- 7 Q. Good morning Dr. Powlick.
- 8 A. Good morning.
- 9 Q. I apologize, I'm -- I struggle with the
- 10 pronunciation of your name, as you do with mine. And
- 11 for opposite reasons. So if I get it wrong, I'm not
- 12 trying to be offensive.
- 13 A. First consonant -- or the first vowel of each
- 14 of our names are pronounced the same. Powlick.
- 15 Q. Okay. I'll try and absorb that. Powlick.
- 16 A. Paw. Paw-lick (phonetic.)
- 17 O. Paw-lick?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. Thank you. The Commission has admitted into
- 20 evidence the Division's report. Do I understand that
- 21 this was prepared partly by you and partly under your
- 22 direction?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- 24 Q. Thank you. You commented at the beginning of
- 25 your testimony that the report was based on errors in

- 1 setting VRT model transponders, based on data as of
- 2 the 16th of May. When was the report filed?
- 3 A. The report was filed July 18th. And let me
- 4 restate what I actually said. It was based largely
- 5 upon the May 16th data, but it was supplemented by the
- 6 results of the data requests that were received in
- 7 that intervening period.
- 8 Q. Thank you. If I understood correctly, you
- 9 commented that allowing the individual back-billed
- 10 customers to pay less than six months in arrears would
- 11 be discriminatory to other ratepayers. Do I, do I
- 12 have that correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How is that discriminatory to other
- 15 ratepayers?
- 16 A. To allow for no back-billing means that, for
- 17 an average of 28 months for each of these customers,
- 18 they paid half price for their natural gas.
- 19 Q. And how is that discriminatory to other
- 20 ratepayers?
- 21 A. Other ratepayers paid the full amount for
- 22 their gas during that entire period.
- Q. Just the full amount for the gas that they
- 24 consumed?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. They didn't contribute anything to the
- 2 unbilled portion of the gas that the individual
- 3 ratepayers consumed then?
- 4 A. You mean the individual underbilled
- 5 ratepayers?
- 6 Q. Yes.
- 7 A. Yes, that is correct. They did, they did
- 8 contribute a portion of that. But recall that the
- 9 volumetric rates are determined by the amortizations
- 10 in those balancing accounts. So yes, they paid for
- 11 the volumes of gas multiplied by the rates that were
- 12 in effect at the time.
- 13 Q. So take, for instance, a hypothetical
- 14 individual underbilled customer whose transponder was
- 15 installed. And about 48 months later -- 4 years
- 16 later -- Questar discovered that the transponder had
- 17 been set inaccurately.
- 18 Under Questar's recent policy that customer
- 19 would have been back-billed 24 months. So am I right
- 20 in thinking that the first 24 months of underbilling
- 21 would be passed on to be picked up by all the other
- 22 ratepayers?
- 23 A. That would be correct.
- Q. The Division is recommending that, in the
- 25 interest of those -- of that individual underbilled

- 1 customer, their back-bill should be limited to six
- 2 months, thereby passing on another 18 months worth of
- 3 underbilling to other customers?
- A. Correct. And this is, as I indicated in my
- 5 testimony, part of the balancing involved here. The
- 6 Commission, in establishing the six month and the
- 7 24 month back-billing rules, has recognized that
- 8 individ -- the burden upon individual ratepayers of
- 9 long periods of back-billing is very high.
- 10 And has limited the amounts that are
- 11 available to the Company, recognizing that those costs
- 12 will be passed on to ratepayers at large.
- 13 Q. Setting that last point aside for a moment --
- 14 and I'd like to return to it. So if I fail to do so,
- 15 please remind me. But setting that aside for a moment
- 16 and pursuing the issue of these different periods of
- 17 times and the effect on all the other ratepayers.
- 18 It seems that the, that the Division is
- 19 comfortable with the idea of a 48-month underbilled
- 20 customer being back-billed for only 24 --
- 21 MS. SCHMID: Pardon me. Is there a question
- 22 here?
- MR. BALL: Yes, there is.
- MS. SCHMID: I object.
- 25 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You may proceed, Mr. Ball.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Would you begin the question
- 2 again?
- 3 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Thank you. That's very
- 4 helpful. It seems that the Division is comfortable
- 5 with the idea of a 48-month underbilled customer being
- 6 back-billed for only 24 of those, passing on 24 months
- 7 of underbilling to everyone.
- 8 It seems that in this case it's recommending
- 9 six months, passing on 3 1/2 years worth of
- 10 back-billing. And yet your comment is that less than
- 11 six months is discriminatory to other ratepayers.
- 12 What I'm struggling with is how passing on
- 13 anything to other ratepayers isn't discriminatory to
- 14 those other ratepayers. And I'd really like you to
- 15 explain that to me again, please.
- 16 A. The Commission through its rules, and the
- 17 courts have upheld, the concept that back-billing for
- 18 long periods of time imposes large burdens upon
- 19 customers. I agree that that is an issue. The
- 20 Division agrees that that is an issue.
- 21 And that it is just and reasonable that the
- 22 ratepayers at large should pick up some portion of
- 23 that underbilled amount, spread across the entire
- 24 base. The 48-month hypothetical you give is, of
- 25 course, an extreme. The average in this case was

- 1 28 months.
- Q. You would agree though that there are some
- 3 cases where underbilling went on for 48 months, and in
- 4 some cases even longer?
- 5 A. There are, yes.
- 6 Q. Thank you. You commented that deployment of
- 7 transponders has saved ratepayers millions. It's also
- 8 safe -- it's also saved Questar millions, hasn't it?
- 9 A. It would have saved Questar millions in the
- 10 period between the deployment of transponders and, and
- 11 rate cases, whereby the expenses for reading meters
- 12 would have been reduced. And of course in a
- 13 subsequent rate case we would see their expenses go
- 14 down, and they would be given recovery solely for
- 15 those expenses.
- 16 Of course this is part -- this ability to
- 17 save costs between a rate case is something that we
- 18 believe should be encouraged. It gives them an
- 19 incentive to look for the cutting of costs. And of
- 20 course when we get to the next rate case we take that
- 21 into account and they don't receive excessive recovery
- 22 on that.
- Otherwise, the incentive for them to
- 24 aggressively reduce cost has been reduced if you don't
- 25 allow them to realize those savings in that

- 1 intervening period.
- Q. Has the Division made any estimate of the
- 3 balance of savings between Questar and ratepayers?
- 4 A. With regard to what?
- 5 Q. The millions that you say that the deployment
- 6 of transponders has saved.
- 7 A. I believe our report gave a ten-year
- 8 levelized estimate of the cost. I don't remember that
- 9 number off the top of my head, but it is in the
- 10 report.
- 11 Q. But has the Division made any attempt to
- 12 estimate how much of the savings accrue to Questar and
- 13 how much to ratepayers?
- 14 A. You mean how much of the savings in labor
- 15 expenses before or between rate cases?
- 16 Q. Yeah.
- 17 A. No, we have not.
- 18 Q. Thank you. We're fast approaching the end of
- 19 a Questar general rate case, aren't we?
- 20 A. We are.
- 21 Q. That rate case only addresses part of the
- 22 total rates that ratepayers pay for their natural gas,
- 23 right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. And that part is the distribution non-gas

- 1 part, yeah?
- 2 A. Yeah.
- Q. That would include meter reading expenses,
- 4 would it?
- 5 A. I believe so, yes.
- 6 Q. And it would also include the effect of
- 7 putting the capital costs of installing transponders
- 8 into rate base, wouldn't it?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. So in the rates that ratepayers pay we're
- 11 paying for the capital costs of the transponders,
- 12 right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Because those rates went into effect in
- 15 August, didn't they?
- 16 A. Yes. And I would point out that we've been
- 17 paying for the transponders before that, because there
- 18 were prior rate cases with transponders in them.
- 19 Q. But we're -- pardon me. We're basically
- 20 paying for all of them since August though, yeah?
- 21 A. I believe so.
- Q. Pretty much?
- 23 A. Yeah.
- Q. I mean, there will be more added as the
- 25 system grows and so on?

- 1 A. Right.
- Q. So if ratepayers are paying Questar to meter
- 3 their gas consumption. If they're paying Questar to
- 4 read the meters, whether it's sending people around on
- 5 foot to visually examine the index of each meter, or
- 6 whether to drive through neighborhoods and business
- 7 areas with electronic equipment in their vehicles to
- 8 collect data from transponders. If they're paying
- 9 Questar to bill them -- intermediate question. You'd
- 10 agree we're doing all of that, wouldn't you?
- 11 A. Sorry, the list was long. I agree that we
- 12 are reading meters predominantly through the
- 13 transponders at this point.
- Q. No. The question is whether ratepayers are
- 15 paying Questar to meter gas, read meters, and bill.
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 Q. Okay. So if we're paying them to do all of
- 18 that, isn't it reasonable to expect that they'll get
- 19 it right?
- 20 A. It's reasonable to expect reasonableness.
- 21 Perfection at low cost is an ideal that in reality is
- 22 probably not achievable. And that's why in my
- 23 testimony I indicated that we must balance the cost of
- 24 achieving absolute perfection or certainty in metering
- 25 and billing versus the benefits involved.

- 1 Q. Does the Division of Public Utilities
- 2 attribute any responsibility for the underbilling of
- 3 all of these customers to the customers themselves?
- 4 A. Through the six month back-billing that we're
- 5 advocating, yes.
- 6 Q. No, no. No, no. I'm sorry, let me clarify
- 7 the question if I may.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. In the Com -- in the Division's view, are any
- 10 of the 500-and-odd underbilled customers at fault for
- 11 having been underbilled?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Are any of the, I'll characterize it as
- 14 850,000 ratepayers at large at fault in any way for
- 15 their being underbilled?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Does the -- is it the Division's view that
- 18 there was any fault to be attributed to the people
- 19 installing these transponders? The individuals who
- 20 installed them?
- 21 A. I'm sorry, could you rephrase -- could you
- 22 restate that?
- Q. Is it the Division's view that there is any
- 24 fault to be laid at the feet of the individuals who
- 25 installed these transponders? The ones that were not

- 1 correctly set.
- 2 A. If you're asking if we are attributing a
- 3 monetary responsibility, the answer is of course no.
- 4 However, it is, as we understand it, very difficult to
- 5 attribute that fault to individuals. The majority of
- 6 these transponders were installed by contractors.
- But in addition to that, as I've stated, the
- 8 error rate that we saw in the actual installations was
- 9 very low. And to expect 100.0 percent perfection is
- 10 not reasonable.
- 11 Q. If you don't expect zero errors, are you ever
- 12 going to get zero errors?
- 13 A. I'm sorry, I don't understand that question.
- 14 Q. It appears from what you're saying that the
- 15 Division has no expectation that it's possible to
- 16 perform with zero defects.
- 17 A. I think it's possible to perform with zero
- 18 defects. However, we must balance that off against
- 19 the costs that would be incurred in achieving that.
- 20 For instance, the Company could presumably have sent
- 21 a, an inspector for every single bill every month to
- 22 every transponder to make sure that it was reading
- 23 correctly. Obviously that would be an unreasonably
- 24 high cost.
- 25 And as regulators I believe we have to find

- 1 some level of reasonableness in allowing the Company
- 2 to recover costs and in, frankly, tolerating some
- 3 degree of error as a necessary part of doing business.
- 4 Not just for Questar Gas, but for any business.
- 5 Q. You raised an, you raised an interesting
- 6 concept there, I thought. And let's explore that a
- 7 little bit. I think what you indicated was that if
- 8 you pay enough, you can achieve perfection; is that
- 9 right?
- 10 MS. SCHMID: Objection. Is Mr. Ball being
- 11 argumentative?
- MR. BALL: No. I'm just trying to --
- 13 MS. SCHMID: I believe Mr. Ball is being
- 14 argumentative at this point as the questions have been
- 15 asked and answered.
- 16 MR. BALL: I'm just trying to understand,
- 17 Chairman.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Almost seems as much a
- 19 metaphysical question.
- Q. (By Mr. Ball) Okay, let's, let's try and
- 21 come at it a slightly different way. Is it the
- 22 Division's view that one of the roles of the
- 23 regulation of monopoly utilities is to encourage the
- 24 utilities to provide the best possible service at the
- 25 most reasonable possible cost?

- 1 A. Absolutely. And I would emphasize the two
- 2 key qualifiers you put in there: Possible and
- 3 reasonable.
- 4 Q. What encouragement, what incentive does it
- 5 provide to a utility to avoid making mistakes when,
- 6 when it does make mistakes, it gets left -- let off
- 7 the hook?
- 8 A. The incentives occur -- or disincentives, if
- 9 you will, when regulators determine or may determine
- 10 that a degree of unreasonableness has been met. I
- 11 point out that in this agreement the Company is
- 12 absorbing \$480,000. I'm sorry, I forget off the top
- 13 of my head what percent that is.
- 14 They have recognized in that, by doing that,
- 15 that they bear some responsibility for the problems.
- 16 So it is not as if this settlement is letting them off
- 17 Scott free. And that is their incentive. That in
- 18 future cases they have an incentive to try to be
- 19 vigilant in the accuracy of their billing in order to
- 20 avoid situations such as this.
- Q. Which part of the problems aren't they
- 22 responsible for?
- 23 A. I would point you to our report. For
- 24 instance, accounts where there was no history of
- 25 billing. Brand new buildings, brand new meters

- 1 particularly. It would have been difficult, if not
- 2 impossible, for their billing software to have flagged
- 3 that account as being underbilled.
- 4 That is an example of one where I think it's
- 5 reasonable to say that, that they shouldn't be held at
- 6 fault for the period of time it took to discover the
- 7 error.
- 8 Q. That would have taken them by surprise, would
- 9 it?
- 10 A. What would have taken them by surprise?
- 11 MS. SCHMID: Objection.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- MS. SCHMID: It is impossible for this
- 14 witness to know the company's thoughts and reactions
- 15 to that incident.
- 16 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, I, I think Ms. Schmid
- 17 is on to something here. Dr. Powlick, as smart as he
- 18 is, probably can't divine what company representatives
- 19 are thinking or what their motivations are.
- Q. (By Mr. Ball) Let me put, put it to you this
- 21 way then. Dr. Powlick, do you think that only with
- 22 the introduction of transponders into their
- 23 distribution network did the installation of gas
- 24 meters on new premises begin?
- 25 A. No, of course not.

- 1 (Pause.)
- 2 MR. BALL: Chairman, I'd like to introduce an
- 3 exhibit for the purposes of cross examination, please.
- 4 Perhaps we could ask that it be labelled URA Cross 1.
- 5 (Pause.)
- 6 MS. SCHMID: May I have another copy, please?
- 7 MR. BALL: I'm not sure that I have enough.
- 8 We'll see.
- 9 THE WITNESS: There's an extra.
- 10 MS. SCHMID: May I approach the witness?
- 11 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You may.
- MR. BALL: Are there any other formal
- 13 complainants in this proceeding?
- 14 Mr. Chairman, I have one or two more copies
- 15 here if anybody wants them.
- 16 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Dr. Powlick, have you by any
- 17 chance seen the document that I just presented to you
- 18 previously?
- 19 A. Yes, although I'm refreshing my memory.
- 20 Q. Of course. Could you tell us what it appears
- 21 to be, please?
- 22 MS. SCHMID: If, if -- I believe the witness
- 23 indicated that he was refreshing his memory. Could we
- 24 make sure that the witness has time to do that
- 25 completely before he's asked questions?

- 1 MR. BALL: Of course, I'm sorry. When you're
- 2 ready.
- 3 THE WITNESS: This appears to be a response
- 4 to a Division data request.
- 5 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Would you agree that in fact
- 6 it's a response dated the 10th of July, 2008, by
- 7 Questar Gas Company to Data Request No. 5.08 requested
- 8 by the Utah Ratepayers Association?
- 9 A. I'm sorry, you are correct. I was reading
- 10 that first line.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. Where you reference the Division's request.
- 13 Yeah, I, I stand corrected. It appears to be a
- 14 response to a URA data request.
- 15 Q. Let me take you down -- I'm assuming that
- 16 you're, that you're ready, and let me know if you
- 17 aren't. Let me take you down to about halfway down
- 18 the page. In the answer there is a number 1. And it
- 19 then says "The VRT transponder." Do you see that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Would you be kind enough to read everything
- 22 between Item 1 and Item 2, please?
- 23 A. Remembering of course that this is
- 24 Questar -- I'm sorry, this is...
- 25 MS. SCHMID: Objection, the document speaks

- 1 for itself. And Dr. Powlick, although familiar with
- 2 this, is not the author of it.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 4 MS. SCHMID: And I am concerned about the
- 5 line of questioning.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, he, he hasn't yet
- 7 asked a question. And I think it's appropriate to
- 8 read that sentence. It's not voluminous. We can read
- 9 that sentence or two into the record.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Remembering, of course, that
- 11 this is a Questar Gas response to a data request, not
- 12 the Division response.
- 13 "The VRT transponder always has a
- 14 single magnet mounted on a rotating
- shaft, while the 3.4 has one or two
- 16 magnets depending upon the type of meter
- it is installed on.
- 18 "In the VRT type, the relationship
- 19 between the electronic impulses that
- 20 count the revolutions of the meter and
- 21 the volume of gas that passes through
- 22 the meter is determined by an electronic
- 23 setting in the transponder, while in a
- 3.4 the relationship is determined by
- 25 the number of magnets mounted on the

- 1 shaft."
- Q. (By Mr. Ball) Thank you. This is the, this
- 3 is the data request and the re -- and Questar's
- 4 response to it that you referred to earlier on, didn't
- 5 you, as triggering -- my words, not yours -- as
- 6 triggering the Division to start looking into
- 7 poten -- the potential for problems with the model 3.4
- 8 transponder?
- 9 A. It may well have been your fifth set of
- 10 requests. It was not specifically this answer. I
- 11 believe the answer to your question is the -- or the
- 12 response was a table that lists out all of the errors
- 13 by transponder type and meter. And it's 5.09. The
- 14 attachment to 5.09.
- MR. BALL: Chairman, I'd like to distribute
- 16 URA Cross 2 if I may, please.
- 17 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You may.
- 18 (Pause.)
- 19 MS. BYDE: Mr. Ball, can I seek clarification
- 20 on the origin of this exhibit?
- 21 MR. BALL: Share that if you would. Sorry,
- 22 was that for me?
- 23 CHAIRMAN BOYER: That was directed to you,
- 24 Mr. Ball.
- 25 MS. BYDE: Yes, I apologize. I'm wondering

- 1 where this originated. I'm not sure if I object to
- 2 its admission or not, but I'd just like to know where
- 3 it came from.
- 4 MS. SCHMID: I too.
- 5 MR. BALL: Yes. And I apologize that it's
- 6 not clearly labelled, Chairman, as to its source. But
- 7 I would represent that it came in an answer rather --
- 8 I'm gonna characterize it as rather a late-filed
- 9 supplementary attachment to, I want to think it was
- 10 DPU Data Request 3.01.
- 11 The main answer came sort of end
- 12 September/early October. And this came a few days
- 13 later, with nothing else covering it.
- 14 MS. SCHMID: I would object for lack of
- 15 foundation and attributability at this point.
- MR. BALL: I, I believe, Chairman, that this
- 17 is the table to which Dr. Powlick has been referring.
- 18 My question to him was going to be, is this the table
- 19 to which you have been referring.
- 20 MS. BYDE: Chairman, I'd like to take a
- 21 moment, even if we need to go off the record, to
- 22 verify the origin of this. My concern is that it may
- 23 be material that was handed out in settlement
- 24 discussions, which should be maintained as
- 25 confidential. I'd like to confirm its origin, that's

- 1 all.
- 2 MR. BALL: I'm willing to assure everyone
- 3 that that's not the origin of it. I printed it off
- 4 Questar's data response website directly.
- 5 MS. BYDE: I'll accept that. Thank you.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well. I guess I'm
- 7 going to overrule the objection at this moment. Let's
- 8 find out a little bit more about the exhibit and what
- 9 Mr. Ball intends to do with it.
- 10 Q. (By Mr. Ball) So Dr. Powlick, is this the
- 11 table to which you have been referring?
- 12 A. Referring with regard to what? This, this
- 13 table is the origin of one of the numbers in the
- 14 exhibit that I handed out earlier. It shows the total
- 15 underbilled amount of 15,709 that then appears in DPU
- 16 Hearing 1.
- 17 I do recognize it as a supplemental response,
- 18 as you characterize it, to the Division's third set of
- 19 data requests.
- 20 Q. And am I right in representing that that --
- 21 that the data request that it came in response to was
- 22 triggered by an earlier request by the Ratepayers
- 23 Association and by a response to that by Questar?
- 24 A. Yes. We had been focussing primarily on the
- 25 VRT transponders in the original report. As I think I

- 1 indicated earlier, late in the game in preparing that
- 2 report the response to this data request came out.
- 3 And essentially it was too late to
- 4 incorporate these 3.4 into the report. And that's why
- 5 I've supplemented the Commission's information today
- 6 with my exhibit that includes not only these data but
- 7 forecast data.
- 8 Q. In the course of the Division's investigation
- 9 how many maladjusted transponders did the Division, by
- 10 and purely through its own efforts, uncover?
- 11 A. None. We just, we relied upon the discovery
- 12 process.
- Q. So you, you asked Questar how many there
- 14 were?
- 15 A. If I may, if I may continue to answer that
- 16 question. Of course it's not the Division's role to
- 17 physically inspect transponders itself. I'm not sure
- 18 how the Division itself would discover transponders
- 19 without relying upon information from Questar through
- 20 the discovery process.
- 21 Q. Anybody from the Division go over to any
- 22 Questar buildings and start rooting around through
- 23 files and electronic records and so forth?
- A. No. As I say, we relied upon the discovery
- 25 process.

- 1 Q. You, you -- the Division --
- 2 A. As one would in any normal legal proceeding.
- 3 Q. The Division asked Questar to fess up?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 MS. SCHMID: Objection, argumentative.
- 6 MR. BALL: And, and -- oh, dear me.
- 7 MS. BYDE: I join in that objection. To the
- 8 extent that there is a suggestion that Questar hasn't
- 9 lived up to its obligations under the discovery
- 10 process, I think I might have something to say about
- 11 that.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, I think yes. I think
- 13 that the way the question was framed conveys sort of a
- 14 pejorative and subtle message -- not so subtle
- 15 message. So if you could just ask the facts,
- 16 Mr. Ball?
- 17 MR. BALL: Sorry Chairman.
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Early in your direct,
- 19 Dr. Powlick, as closely as I could jot it down. I
- 20 don't intend to misrepresent you in any way. But what
- 21 I jotted down that you commented was that the model
- 22 3.4 transponder was found to have many fewer errors.
- 23 A. Many fewer pre-divide errors.
- Q. Okay. Now, in fact is there even a
- 25 pre-divide in the 3.4?

- 1 A. I believe in my testimony I referred to this
- 2 as an error that mimicked pre-divided errors. And for
- 3 our purposes of the investigation and the information
- 4 I provided, we are considering it analogous to
- 5 pre-divide errors.
- 6 Q. Okay. Would you disagree with me if I said
- 7 the Division asked a bunch of questions for -- to
- 8 gather information from Questar, Questar provided what
- 9 information it could find, and the Division has
- 10 reached its conclusions based upon what Questar has
- 11 told it?
- MS. SCHMID: Objection to the question
- insofar as it states that the Division -- withdrawn.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You may answer,
- 15 Mr. -- Dr. Powlick, if you understand the question.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I, I've forgotten it now. If
- 17 you can restate it, Mr. Ball?
- 18 MR. BALL: Perhaps the reporter could read it
- 19 back, Chairman?
- 20 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Can you do that, please?
- 21 (The previous question was read back as
- 22 follows:
- "Would you disagree with me if I
- 24 said the Division asked a bunch of
- 25 questions to gather information from

- 1 Questar, Questar provided what
- 2 information it could find, and the
- 3 Division has reached its conclusions
- 4 based upon what Questar has told it?")
- 5 MS. BYDE: I'd like to assert the same
- 6 objection as before, in that the question presumes
- 7 that somehow Questar hasn't lived up to its obligation
- 8 or provided complete or accurate information. And I
- 9 take exception to that suggestion.
- 10 MR. BALL: Could Ms. Byde please specify what
- 11 it is exactly, what words exactly in the question have
- 12 that implication for her?
- 13 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Please, Ms. Byde.
- MS. BYDE: Yes, I'd be happy to. To the
- 15 extent that the question said "Questar provided the
- 16 information it could find. " And I think that suggests
- 17 that Questar did not provide complete information, and
- 18 I take exception to that suggestion.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, and the real problem,
- 20 Mr. Ball, is that Dr. Powlick doesn't know what
- 21 Questar did or didn't do. You can ask him what did
- 22 the Division do in response to this.
- MR. BALL: I'll move on.
- 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: So I'll sustain that
- 25 objection. Mr. Ball, I don't want to interrupt your

- 1 flow, but we do need to take a short recess here very
- 2 soon to give the reporter a moment to rest.
- 3 MR. BALL: I'm quite happy if you want to do
- 4 that now, Chairman.
- 5 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Lets do that now. Let's
- 6 take a ten minute recess, and then we'll resume with
- 7 Mr. Ball's cross examination.
- 8 (A recess was taken from 10:45 to 10:57 a.m.)
- 9 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Proceed, Mr. Ball.
- 10 MR. BALL: Mr. Chairman, we have a formal
- 11 complainant here with us in the room at the moment,
- 12 Mr. Brian Dehaan.
- During the break I spoke briefly to
- 14 Mr. Dehaan and established that he will have
- 15 difficulty with his professional schedule remaining
- 16 here through the public witness hearing that starts at
- 17 11:45.
- 18 And I wondered whether the Commission would
- 19 be willing to accommodate him now before we return to
- 20 my cross of Dr. Powlick, please.
- 21 MR. PROCTOR: And Mr. Chairman, I spoke with
- 22 Mr. Dehaan too. And he would like to go on now, and
- 23 we certainly agree with that.
- MS. SCHMID: The Division has no objection,
- 25 and indeed welcomes Mr. Dehaan.

- 1 MS. BYDE: The Company feels the same way.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well, let's accommodate
- 3 Mr. Dehaan. We appreciate him coming. Don't go way,
- 4 Dr. Powlick.
- 5 THE WITNESS: I'll be hiding under the desk.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Dehaan, you can give
- 7 either sworn or unsworn testimony this morning. To
- 8 the extent you give sworn testimony you can be cross
- 9 examined by parties to this action. On the other
- 10 hand, if it is unsworn we can't base our decisions
- 11 solely on your testimony. Do you wish to give sworn
- 12 or unsworn testimony?
- MR. DEHAAN: I'd be happy to be sworn.
- 14 (Mr. Dehaan was sworn.)
- 15 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Please be seated.
- MR. DEHAAN: Thank you.
- 17 CHAIRMAN BOYER: And as I understand it,
- 18 Mr. Dehaan, you are one of the individuals who has
- 19 filed a formal complaint against Questar in this
- 20 matter.
- 21 MR. DEHAAN: Yes, sir.
- 22 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well, proceed.
- MR. DEHAAN: Is this on?
- 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Yes, I believe it is on.
- 25 MR. DEHAAN: My concern is an individual

- 1 concern -- my concern is an individual concern. I'm
- 2 not speaking for anybody other than myself. When I
- 3 received a bill for underbilling of 1,800-plus dollars
- 4 it was really quite a blow to me.
- 5 But the real concern that I had, and the
- 6 reason that I filed the lawsuit after talking to the
- 7 Public Utilities Commission was that there's no
- 8 allowance in this settlement for someone to have fixed
- 9 the problem.
- 10 And I had a way to fix the problem. I have
- 11 two furnaces in my home. One, one heats the house and
- 12 does the water heater. The other one is for a therapy
- 13 pool that I bought about 12 years ago. My wife's had
- 14 8 major back surgeries, along with about 20 other
- 15 surgeries. And we needed a therapy pool for her.
- 16 It's the only kind of exercise she can do.
- 17 And so we installed that, at a great cost,
- 18 and put in its own separate furnace. And about five
- 19 years ago it became a little inconvenient for us to
- 20 both do that at the same time. And so we opted to
- 21 join the recreation -- the county rec system.
- 22 And so we go swimming. I swim, she does
- 23 exercise, four days a week at 5:30 in the morning. If
- 24 I had known five years ago -- or four years ago, or
- 25 whatever it was, that there was a problem, I could

- 1 have fixed it in an instant.
- When I received my bill for the \$1,800, and
- 3 after talking to Public Utilities Commission, I went
- 4 out and I turned off the furnace. I've since had it
- 5 winterized so that something won't break in it. I
- 6 emptied the pool.
- 7 And I could have done that four years ago.
- 8 And I wouldn't have -- you get -- Questar may have
- 9 owed me money. I may have been -- instead of
- 10 underbilled I may have been overbilled. But I could
- 11 have fixed that.
- 12 And that's my concern. And I don't know how
- 13 many others there are that could have fixed that. But
- 14 I could have fixed it. And I object to being charged
- 15 24 months, or 12 months, or even six months, because I
- 16 had a way to fix it and I could have fixed it. And
- 17 there wouldn't have been any charge.
- 18 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you,
- 19 Mr. Dehaan.
- 20 Do any of the parties wish to ask Mr. Dehaan
- 21 any questions? Ms. Schmid?
- MS. SCHMID: The Division has no questions.
- 23 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Proctor?
- 24 MR. PROCTOR: Just a few.
- 25 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Sure.

#### 1 CROSS EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MR. PROCTOR:
- 3 Q. Mr. Dehaan, you and I met just moments ago --
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. -- and I won't take much of your time,
- 6 because I know you have other places to be. Do you
- 7 know the period of time that the \$1,800 bill covered?
- 8 A. I'm not sure, but I'm -- I think in speaking
- 9 with the gas company it was one of the longer ones.
- 10 It wasn't one of the shorter ones. Didn't we
- 11 determine that in one of our meetings?
- 12 One of our meetings they looked up the
- 13 records or something and said, Oh yeah, yours was one
- 14 that was a long period of time instead of a short
- 15 period of time.
- Q. Well, perhaps we can, we can talk to Questar
- 17 about that and find that out.
- 18 A. Thank you.
- 19 Q. Had you been operating your therapy pool
- 20 prior to the installation of a transponder, if you
- 21 know?
- 22 A. Absolutely.
- 23 Q. You had? Do you recall if there was a
- 24 difference between your bills without the transponder
- 25 and with the transponder and dur -- that overlapped

- 1 the period that you were operating the pool?
- 2 A. No. I didn't notice anything like that at
- 3 all.
- 4 Q. Did you -- when you stopped using the pool
- 5 because you had other resources did, did you turn the
- 6 heat down or disconnect the furnace in any way?
- 7 A. Oh yeah. We turned it down, yeah. We
- 8 didn't, we didn't keep it at max.
- 9 Q. And you only had one meter; is that correct?
- 10 A. I don't have any idea how many meters I've
- 11 got.
- 12 Q. Do you --
- 13 A. I would think just one meter.
- 14 Q. That would have covered the fuel for both
- 15 furnaces?
- 16 A. Oh yeah.
- 17 O. Yeah?
- 18 A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
- 19 Q. Now, the \$1,800, do you know how much six
- 20 months of that, how much you would be billed under the
- 21 stipulation that's been proposed?
- 22 A. I don't know that, but I would suspect it
- 23 would be four or five hundred dollars.
- MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Dehaan, thank you very
- 25 much.

- 1 THE WITNESS: You bet.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Does Questar have any
- 3 questions?
- 4 MS. BYDE: Questar has no questions of this
- 5 witness.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Ball, do you have any
- 7 questions of Mr. Dehaan?
- 8 MR. BALL: I would like to ask one if I
- 9 could, Chairman.
- 10 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You may.
- 11 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MR. BALL:
- 13 Q. Mr. Dehaan, if I understand correctly your
- 14 concern is not whether you're back-billed 24 months or
- 15 six months, is it?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. Your concern is, if I'd known --
- 18 A. I could have fixed it at any time.
- 19 MR. BALL: Thank you very much, sir.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Commissioner Allen, do you
- 21 have any questions? Nor do I.
- Thank you so much for coming, Mr. Dehaan.
- MR. DEHAAN: Thank you, appreciate it.
- 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You may step down.
- 25 Okay, Dr. Powlick, let's resume with your

- 1 cross examination.
- 2 (The testimony of Dr. Philip Powlick resumes.)
- 3 CROSS EXAMINATION, CONTINUED
- 4 BY MR. BALL:
- 5 Q. Welcome back Dr. Powlick.
- 6 A. Glad to be here.
- 7 Q. To summarize where I think we were, the DPU
- 8 took the answers that it was given to its data
- 9 requests, and formed its conclusions, and wrote its
- 10 report. Continued to gather information from further
- 11 data responses. And now is where it is. Is that the
- 12 process that the Division went through?
- 13 A. If you're suggesting that --
- 14 MS. SCHMID: Objection, it is improper for
- 15 Mr. Ball to summarize the witness's testimony.
- MR. BALL: I'm trying to be clear, Chairman,
- 17 about exactly what it is. And I really don't quite
- 18 understand the sensitivity to all of this. I'm not
- 19 trying to put words into anybody's mouth. I'm not
- 20 trying to paraphrase what they're trying to
- 21 say -- what they're doing. I just want to be sure
- 22 that I understand.
- 23 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Maybe a better way to phrase
- 24 it would been -- be to ask Dr. Powlick if he said thus
- 25 and such, and then Dr. Powlick -- but one caution I

- 1 might give you, Mr. Ball, your is sentences tend to be
- 2 compound and contain various components, some of which
- 3 may be true and some of which may not be.
- 4 If you could maybe shorten the questions and
- 5 see if that works a little better for you.
- 6 MR. BALL: Thank you very much. I'd like to
- 7 pass out what I think will be URA Cross 3, Chairman.
- 8 (Pause.)
- 9 MR. BALL: For the sake of the folks in the
- 10 room who may not be familiar with the process,
- 11 Chairman, may I just describe why I'm handing copies
- 12 of these to whom I'm handing them to.
- 13 My understanding is that to introduce an
- 14 exhibit I need to provide copies to each of the
- 15 parties in the proceeding, of course to the three
- 16 Commissioners, and to the Commission secretary and
- 17 recorder.
- 18 Because the formal complainants are parties
- 19 in this proceeding, I'm passing copies to those of the
- 20 formal complainants that I know to be in the room.
- 21 Mr. Dehaan has left now, but Ms. Mitchell is still
- 22 with us.
- 23 And I just don't have enough copies to pass
- 24 them around to folks who may be here waiting for the
- 25 public witness portion of the proceeding. Thank you

- 1 for your toleration, Chairman. I just thought people
- 2 might wonder what was going on. Why they were being
- 3 left out.
- 4 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Dr. Powlick, I represent to
- 5 you that what I've given you as URA Cross 3 is a copy
- of the Commission's January 8, 2004, Report and Order
- 7 in Docket 03-035-06, the Formal Complaint of Rod
- 8 Mitchell against Utah Power. Do you have that in
- 9 front of you?
- 10 A. I do.
- 11 MR. BALL: Now, Chairman, I'm gonna seek some
- 12 quick direction here. I want, somehow or other I want
- 13 to get a description of this -- of some of the things
- 14 that are in this order into the record. Should I ask
- 15 Dr. Powlick to read it, or should I read it myself
- 16 before we move to questions?
- 17 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Proctor had a comment.
- 18 MR. PROCTOR: Does my facial expression
- 19 suggest I have an objection? Mr. Chairman, as you are
- 20 well aware, this is a case that would have no
- 21 precedential value to the Commission's decision in
- 22 this particular case since that is the practice and
- 23 procedure with respect to customer complaints, since
- 24 they are so fact intensive.
- Which also makes any of the findings or

- 1 conclusions in this case irrelevant because the facts
- 2 themselves are different, unique, and irrelevant to
- 3 the issue that's before this Commission at this time.
- 4 Furthermore, I think you'll find that
- 5 traditionally if there is any precedential value in an
- 6 opinion, for example, the opinion itself doesn't
- 7 become evidence. It's something that is based upon
- 8 argument, perhaps, in the legal brief.
- 9 So I would object to either consideration of
- 10 it or questions upon it. The questions should relate
- 11 only to the matters that are before this Commission.
- 12 Thank you.
- 13 MS. SCHMID: And I also would repeat
- 14 Mr. Proctor's objections, except he's far more
- 15 eloquent than I.
- 16 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Does the Company wish to say
- 17 anything?
- 18 MS. BYDE: The Company joins in the
- 19 objection.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You've heard their
- 21 objections, Mr. Ball. And they're correct to say that
- 22 it has really no relevance to this action or any
- 23 precedential value. However, we can certainly take
- 24 judicial notice of it.
- Or if you wish, we can put it into the

- 1 record. It therefore becomes a part of the record.
- 2 But in the view of the limited time that we have
- 3 today, I think it probably unwise to read it or
- 4 portions of it into the record.
- 5 MR. BALL: I would like it admitted to the
- 6 record please, Chairman.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Proctor?
- 8 MR. PROCTOR: It has no place even in the
- 9 record. Bear in mind, the record is prepared in
- 10 anticipation of the matter being reviewed by an
- 11 appellate court. Records are careful, and they should
- 12 be protected.
- 13 And to simply add something without reference
- 14 and without foundation to the case at hand becomes
- 15 confusing. And probably should be or would be
- 16 stricken by the appellate court as not belonging in
- 17 the record.
- 18 So I would object to inclusion of it at all.
- 19 And as a matter of if you wanted to take notice of it,
- 20 I believe that that is your right under any
- 21 circumstance. And it's certainly now been brought to
- 22 your attention.
- 23 MS. SCHMID: The Division would join with
- 24 Mr. Proctor and the Committee.
- 25 MS. BYDE: And the Company joins as well.

- 1 MR. BALL: Chairman, I would draw the
- 2 Commission's attention to the fact that this case was
- 3 referenced in the Division's report as having
- 4 precedential value here.
- 5 Are -- is the Com -- is the Ratepayers
- 6 Association really now to be prevented from responding
- 7 to that in a way that it believes is relevant to
- 8 consideration to this stipulation?
- 9 MS. SCHMID: Objection, I believe that
- 10 Mr. Ball is misconstruing the Division's report. I do
- 11 not believe that the Division's report constituted
- 12 legal argument.
- MR. BALL: Wow.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, we're going to admit
- 15 URA Cross 3 into the record, and we'll give it
- 16 appropriate weight. But we'll not take the time to
- 17 read portions of it into the record, inasmuch as it
- 18 will be in the record. Go ahead, Mr. Ball.
- 19 (Exhibit No. URA Cross 3 was admitted.)
- 20 MR. BALL: Chairman, may I have a moment,
- 21 please?
- 22 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You may.
- MR. BALL: Thank you.
- 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: By the way, Mr. Ball, you
- 25 have two other exhibits that you haven't offered into

- 1 evidence.
- 2 MR. BALL: I don't think I've offered any of
- 3 them into evidence, Chairman. Or, or have I?
- 4 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You just did URA 3.
- 5 MR. BALL: Oh, did I?
- 6 CHAIRMAN BOYER: We just admitted it.
- 7 MR. BALL: Very well. In that case,
- 8 Mr. Chairman, may I offer into evidence and request
- 9 that they be admitted URA Cross 1 and URA Cross 2.
- 10 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Are there any objections to
- 11 the admission of URA -- Exhibits URA Cross 1 and URA
- 12 Cross 2?
- 13 MR. PROCTOR: The Committee has an objection
- 14 to both.
- 15 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Seeing none, they are
- 16 admitted as well. Mr. Ball?
- 17 MR. PROCTOR: Was my mic not on? The
- 18 Committee has objections to both.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought
- 20 you said you had no objections.
- 21 MR. PROCTOR: No. I spoke softly. Which is
- 22 again not characteristic.
- 23 CHAIRMAN BOYER: I'll hold in abeyance my
- 24 ruling on that motion then.
- 25 MR. PROCTOR: Thank you. In connection with

- 1 both exhibits, they were provided to the witness as
- 2 recollection of -- or excuse me. Present recollection
- 3 recalled is the technical term, the evidentiary term.
- 4 His ques -- Mr. Ball's questions related to
- 5 the initiation of the Division's consideration of the
- 6 3.4 meters. And Dr. Powlick, Powlick described that
- 7 it was data requests. Mr. Ball then submitted the
- 8 answer to 5.8, reading part of it. And also his --
- 9 URA Cross Exhibit 2. And asking whether or not those
- 10 responses initiated the consideration of the 3.4s.
- 11 So the subject matter, the contents of these
- 12 two documents, was not the purpose. It was rather to
- 13 refresh the witness' recollection. So these exhibits
- 14 are not admissible because, as Ms. Schmid has also
- 15 pointed out, one speaks for itself, and the other was
- 16 described as a rather late-filed supplement so there's
- 17 a question about its foundation. Even though
- 18 foundation is easily found, it's not here.
- 19 So under those circumstances, they've been
- 20 referred to, but not for the substance of the
- 21 documents themselves. And we would object to their
- 22 admission into evidence.
- 23 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Anybody else wish to speak
- 24 to the motion to admit these two?
- 25 MS. SCHMID: The Division supports the

- 1 Committee.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, my recollection is
- 3 that Dr. Powlick did, in fact, recognize the document.
- 4 And stated on the record that one of, one of the
- 5 numbers in his exhibit which was admitted earlier
- 6 today, DPU Exhibit 3, was based on this chart.
- 7 So having said that, we're going to admit
- 8 them into evidence and we'll give them appropriate
- 9 weight.
- 10 (Exhibit Nos. URA Cross 1 and URA Cross 2
- 11 were admitted.)
- 12 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Have you other questions,
- 13 Mr. Ball?
- MR. BALL: Yes, please.
- 15 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Dr. Powlick, do you have
- 16 your -- the Division's -- a copy of the Division's
- 17 report available to you?
- 18 A. I believe I do. I believe that I do, but let
- 19 me find it. I intended to have it with me up here.
- 20 For some reason, I'm not seeing it. Did I leave it
- 21 over there?
- 22 CHAIRMAN BOYER: While we're looking for
- 23 that, Mr. Ball, is there any hope of you completing
- 24 your cross examination by 11:45, when we recess for
- 25 the public witness portion? We do have other

- 1 witnesses to be heard today.
- 2 MR. BALL: I believe there is hope, Chairman.
- 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well. I have expressed
- 4 my hope.
- 5 THE WITNESS: There it is. Report found.
- 6 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Would you be kind enough
- 7 please to turn to page 67?
- 8 MR. BALL: As usual, Chairman, I can't be
- 9 certain that we're gonna have the same pages and the
- 10 same stuff on the same lines, because of printer
- 11 formatting things. But that's just kind of a heads
- 12 up, it may not be an issue.
- 13 Q. (By Mr. Ball) About a third of the way down
- 14 the page I have a paragraph that starts: "This case
- 15 is not alone." Do you see that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Oh, excellent. Okay. Would you be kind
- 18 enough to just read the first two sentences of your
- 19 report, please? Of that paragraph of your report?
- 20 A. Of that paragraph?
- 21 "This case is not alone in strictly
- 22 applying the tariff even under harsh
- 23 results. Rod Mitchell v. Utah Power &
- Light, Docket" -- et cetera -- "resulted
- in a decision similar to Covey. In this

- 1 case the meters were crossed where
- 2 customers in one apartment were billed
- for those in another."
- 4 Q. Okay, that's sufficient. Thank you very much
- 5 indeed. Did you see this as legal argument,
- 6 Dr. Powlick?
- 7 MS. SCHMID: Objection.
- 8 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Sustained. Dr. Powlick does
- 9 have a Ph.D., but he's not a lawyer.
- 10 MR. BALL: I'm sorry, I don't quite see the
- 11 point there. I'm not asking --
- MS. SCHMID: Objection, calls for a legal
- 13 conclusion.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BOYER: I don't think he's qualified
- 15 to decide whether something is offered for legal
- 16 argument or as probative evidence.
- 17 MR. BALL: Chairman, with the greatest
- 18 respect, Dr. Powlick did say earlier on that this
- 19 report had been prepared by him and under his
- 20 direction.
- 21 CHAIRMAN BOYER: That's not the basis for the
- 22 objection, Mr. Ball. You've asked him if it was legal
- 23 argument or not. He's not a lawyer.
- MR. BALL: No, I asked him if he saw it as
- 25 legal argument, Chairman, which is a bit different, I

- 1 think.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, perhaps.
- 3 MS. SCHMID: Objection.
- 4 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Yeah, sustained.
- 5 MR. BALL: Okay.
- 6 (Pause.)
- 7 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Would you please go to the
- 8 bottom of that page, Mr. -- or Dr. Powlick and read
- 9 the second paragraph of the quotation from the
- 10 Commission's order that you have quoted there?
- 11 MS. SCHMID: Objection. Perhaps my objection
- 12 is premature, so I will withdraw it.
- 13 THE WITNESS: "Were this a Court with
- 14 broad equitable powers, ruling in favor
- of Mr. Mitchell may be appropriate.
- 16 However, we are constrained to make a
- determination of whether Utah power
- 18 acted in accordance with its tariff, and
- 19 applicable Commission rules."
- 20 MS. SCHMID: Having heard that, I would
- 21 object on the grounds that it ap -- never mind.
- 22 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well. Next question,
- 23 Mr. Ball.
- MR. BALL: Thank you.
- 25 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Is it your understanding,

- 1 Dr. Powlick, that in the Mitchell case, Mr. Mitchell
- 2 ended up paying a partial back-bill of 2 years worth,
- 3 24 months worth?
- 4 A. I would have to have my recollection
- 5 refreshed on this particular case.
- 6 MS. SCHMID: And I would object on the
- 7 grounds of rep -- repeated and repetity. I believe
- 8 that the Commission entered the Mitchell decision into
- 9 evidence, and so it, it speaks for itself. As does
- 10 the Division's report.
- 11 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Are you trying to make some
- 12 point about the Commission's equitable powers,
- 13 Mr. Ball, or?
- 14 MR. BALL: No, sir. I'm trying to make a
- 15 point about who bore the costs in the Mitchell case.
- 16 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, I don't think that has
- 17 any relevance to this particular case. Each, each
- 18 complaint is decided on its own merit based on the
- 19 facts thereof.
- 20 MR. BALL: Chairman, you may think that. And
- 21 you may be right. But isn't there room for another
- 22 reasonable opinion? And may I point out that the
- 23 stipulation that the Commission is considering in this
- 24 hearing provides that there shall be changes to
- 25 Questar Gas Company's tariff.

- 1 Changes which are intimately connected with
- 2 the Commission's rules about 24 months, six months,
- 3 whatever. And they're all intermeshed with one --
- 4 intermingled with one another. It's all the same
- 5 subject.
- 6 MS. SCHMID: And I would continue to object
- 7 on grounds of relevance.
- 8 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, I'm gonna sustain.
- 9 You can ask Dr. Powlick if he has an opinion on
- 10 whether or not 24 is -- 24 months is appropriate, or
- 11 six months is appropriate, or if he thinks those
- 12 issues should be studied further. But to dwell on the
- 13 Mitchell case is really immaterial and irrelevant in
- 14 this case.
- MR. BALL: Well, I'm, I'm going observe,
- 16 Chairman, that it seems to me that this is an
- 17 incredibly sensitive arena for the three -- or for the
- 18 stipulating parties. And they're all over me like a
- 19 rash whenever I ask a question about it.
- 20 MS. SCHMID: I would, I would object to that.
- 21 I believe that the Division's intention is to ensure
- 22 that the policies, procedures, and practices of the
- 23 Commission are observed properly so that a full and
- 24 sufficient and adequate record can be developed.
- That witnesses are crossed appropriately.

- 1 And the conduct of all complies with the Commission's
- 2 direction to abide with professionalism and civility.
- 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, I, I think we're all
- 4 being civil here. But she is correct in that we
- 5 are -- Ms. Schmid is correct in that cross examination
- 6 is limited to the scope of Dr. Powlick's direct
- 7 testimony.
- 8 I don't believe he addressed the Mitchell
- 9 case, or -- he did, he did talk a bit about the six
- 10 month and the 24 month periods, however. You may
- 11 pursue that if you wish.
- 12 MR. BALL: Well Chairman, I'm gonna point out
- 13 that the Division introduced the Division's report
- 14 into evidence as its Exhibit No. 1.
- 15 Dr. Powlick agreed that it had been prepared
- 16 partly by him and partly under his direction. He has
- 17 read to us a portion of the Division's report. And
- 18 I'm asking him a question about that.
- 20 inappropriate to cross examine, cross examine
- 21 Dr. Powlick about something that he was responsible
- 22 for writing in a report that has been admitted as a
- 23 Division exhibit.
- 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: See, I'm with you up to that
- 25 point. But he didn't write the Mitchell case, nor did

- 1 he participate in it.
- 2 MR. BALL: And I'm not asking him about the
- 3 Mitchell case. I'm ask -- about the order -- the
- 4 report and order in the Mitchell case. Except he
- 5 quote -- he read, at my request, a part of it as
- 6 background.
- 7 I'm asking him about what happened then with
- 8 the cost consequences of that. I think that's
- 9 entirely pertinent. And I think it illuminates the
- 10 cost consequences of this matter.
- 11 MS. SCHMID: And again, I continue my
- 12 objection, because the Mitchell report has been
- 13 admitted into evidence and it speaks for itself.
- 14 MR. BALL: But it doesn't say anything about
- 15 the cost consequences, Chairman.
- 16 CHAIRMAN BOYER: All right.
- 17 MR. BALL: Which is where we need to shine
- 18 some light into a dark corner.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BOYER: All right, Mr. Ball. You
- 20 can ask Dr. Powlick about the consequences if he
- 21 knows.
- 22 MR. BALL: Thank you very much.
- Q. (By Mr. Ball) Dr. Powlick, if you know, is
- 24 it your understanding that Mr. Mitchell paid part in a
- 25 back-bill -- as a result of the case we've been

- 1 talking about paid part of the underbilled amount,
- 2 limited to a maximum of 24 months back-billing?
- 3 A. I have not read the Mitchell decision. I am
- 4 familiar with some of the quotes from it that are
- 5 referenced in our report. I believe I understand some
- 6 of the principles behind it. I do not know, having
- 7 not read the actual decision, for what period
- 8 Mr. Mitchell was back-billed in that case.
- 9 Q. Okay. To the extent that Mr. Mitchell might
- 10 not have been back-billed for the entire
- 11 period that --
- MS. SCHMID: Objection, calls for
- 13 speculation.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, let's hear the
- 15 question first.
- MR. BALL: Let me recast it as a
- 17 hypothetical.
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Hypothetically let us say that
- 19 Mr. Mitchell was underbilled for more than 24 months.
- 20 Further hypothetically let us assume that Mr. Mitchell
- 21 was back-billed, after the case was decided and
- 22 settled, for 24 months.
- I would like you to tell me, if you know, who
- 24 bore the difference between the 24 and the
- 25 more-than-24 months of underbilling. Would it have

- 1 been Utah Power, or would it have been Utah Power's
- 2 ratepayers?
- 3 MS. SCHMID: Objection. Dr. Powlick was not
- 4 with the Division at that point, nor was he familiar
- 5 with the billing practices of the entities at that
- 6 point.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, over -- I'm gonna
- 8 overrule it. It is a hypothetical. And he can give
- 9 an answer to the hypothetical. To the extent he
- 10 knows.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I can only assume -- this being
- 12 a hypothetical and knowing what I know about the
- 13 billing structures of the companies at this point in
- 14 time, not necessarily being familiar with them in 2004
- 15 when this was handed down -- that uncollected
- 16 underbilled amounts -- amounts collected that were not
- 17 collected by Utah Power in the absence of balancing
- 18 accounts such as are in place now for Questar Gas
- 19 would be borne by the Company.
- Q. (By Mr. Ball) Thank you very much.
- 21 MR. BALL: Chairman, I would like to
- 22 introduce URA Cross 4, please.
- 23 (Pause.)
- MR. BALL: Chairman, we've been joined by
- 25 formal complainant Ms. Mitc -- Ms. McMain, and so I'm

- 1 going to hand her a copy as a party in this.
- 2 And I'll just ask again, are there any other
- 3 formal complainants in the room that I'm not aware of?
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Dr. Powlick, would you be kind
- 6 enough please to -- I'm trying to find the right page,
- 7 forgive me. Turn to page 65 of your report. And I
- 8 believe in the final paragraph on page 65.
- 9 A. Could you read to me the beginning of the
- 10 paragraph so I know that we're on the same page?
- 11 Q. "In interpreting these statutes."
- 12 A. Got it.
- 13 Q. Would you be kind enough to read just the
- 14 second sentence in that paragraph, please?
- 15 A. "The PSC case that has addressed this
- issue most directly is Covey Apartments
- 17 versus Questar Gas."
- 18 Q. Thank you.
- 19 MR. BALL: Chairman, URA Cross 4 is a copy of
- 20 the Commission's 9th of January, 2002, Report and
- 21 Order in the Complaint of Covey Apartments, Inc.
- 22 versus Questar Corporation, Docket 01-057-09. And I
- 23 ask that it be admitted, please.
- 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Objections to the admission
- of this purported copy of a Commission order?

- 1 MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Chairman, it should be
- 2 treated as the Commission treated Mitchell and placing
- 3 it in the record but not into evidence. That was my
- 4 understanding of your order.
- 5 CHAIRMAN BOYER: It will be placed in the
- 6 record, Mr. Ball.
- 7 MR. BALL: Thank you, Chairman.
- 8 (Exhibit No. URA Cross 4 was admitted.)
- 9 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Dr. Powlick, would you be kind
- 10 enough to read the third sentence in that same
- 11 paragraph? The one after the one you read.
- 12 A. I put it away. I thought we were done with
- 13 it.
- Q. I'm sorry.
- 15 A. Page 66?
- 16 Q. Sixty-five.
- 17 A. I'm sorry, 65. And which sentence now?
- 18 Q. The third sentence. The one after the one
- 19 you previously read.
- 20 A. "Due to a meter reading error that
- 21 was perpetuated for almost ten years,
- the customer was billed for 10 percent
- of actual consumption."
- Q. Thank you. Assuming that in the period of
- 25 time that we're talking about all things were as they

- 1 are now with regard to balancing accounts and so on.
- 2 Ratepayers at large would have picked up a large chunk
- 3 of the remaining 90 percent of the underbilling,
- 4 wouldn't they?
- 5 A. This -- the Covey case, as I understand it,
- 6 was a meter reading error rather than a slow read,
- 7 therefore would have been subject to 24 months of
- 8 back-billing. The remaining unbilled, gas if the
- 9 current rate structure were in effect and in the
- 10 absence of any Commission action, would be picked up
- 11 by ratepayers at large.
- 12 Q. Thank you.
- 13 MR. BALL: Chairman, I think I've completed
- 14 my cross of Dr. Powlick. Thank you very much.
- 15 Thank you, Dr. Powlick.
- 16 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Ball.
- 17 Redirect, Ms. Schmid, of Dr. Powlick?
- MS. SCHMID: No redirect.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well. Thank you,
- 20 Dr. Powlick.
- 21 Oh, Commissioner Allen has a question.
- 22 COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Just a real quick
- 23 question for you, Dr. Powlick. Towards the end of
- 24 your testimony you summarized the monetary amount
- 25 going to each of the parties directly and directly to

- 1 the company in a percentage. And I just got the last
- 2 one.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 4 COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Could you read that
- 5 again for me?
- 6 THE WITNESS: Sure. I'll give it to you to
- 7 the tenth of a percent this time. Questar Gas, 44.4.
- 8 Underbilled customers, 20.7. Ratepayers at large,
- 9 34.9.
- 10 COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you very much.
- 11 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Now you may step down,
- 12 Dr. Powlick, thank you.
- Now we had agreed, I guess, to go to the
- 14 Company now. Now we're, we are going to hear from
- 15 public witnesses at 11:45. And I believe we'll need a
- 16 bit of time to make sure we get everyone's name and so
- 17 on before we commence.
- 18 How long would you anticipate, Ms. Byde,
- 19 putting on your witness?
- 20 MS. BYDE: I think we'll need more than ten
- 21 minutes. And I would be more than happy to commence
- 22 public witness time now if the other parties are
- 23 amenable to it. We have public witnesses here.
- It -- whatever you prefer, Chairman, we're
- 25 happy to do. But ten minutes won't be enough for us.

- 1 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Won't be enough to put on
- 2 your witness?
- 3 MS. BYDE: No.
- 4 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, inasmuch as we do have
- 5 members of the public here and they're taking time out
- of their busy schedules, why don't we proceed to that
- 7 point. And we'll commence that at this point.
- 8 Are you okay, Kelly? The reporter? Okay.
- 9 Ms. Murray, have you had an opportunity to
- 10 take the names of interested people, the parties who
- 11 wish to testify today?
- MS. MURRAY: I do have some. I just
- 13 wondered -- (conversation out of the hearing of the
- 14 court reporter.)
- 15 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Sure, ask this gentleman as
- 16 well. While Ms. Murray is doing that let me explain,
- 17 if I could. During the public witness -- because of
- 18 the intense interest that this particular case has had
- 19 and the media scrutiny it's received, we determined to
- 20 have two public witness time periods in which to hear
- 21 public witness testimony.
- 22 And we're gonna commence the first of those
- 23 right now. Those who wish to testify can do so either
- 24 under oath or unsworn. For us to consider your
- 25 testimony in reaching a decision in this case the

- 1 testimony must be sworn. But in giving sworn
- 2 testimony you subject yourself to the possibility that
- 3 attorneys may cross examine you.
- 4 So with that explanation, Ms. Murray? All
- 5 right, thank you Ms. Murray.
- 6 Okay, at this point we have four individuals
- 7 who wish to be heard: Dan Kennelly, Nancy Mitchell,
- 8 Margaret McMain, I believe, and Lorry Horman. And
- 9 let's proceed in that order.
- 10 Mr. Kennelly, would you please come forward.
- 11 And Mr. Kennelly, do you wish to give sworn testimony
- 12 or unsworn testimony?
- MR. KENNELLY: I'll swear.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well. Raise your right
- 15 hand. We don't allow any swearing in the hearing
- 16 room, but we'd love to hear your sworn testimony.
- 17 (Mr. Kennelly was sworn.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Please be seated. I think
- 19 the microphone is on.
- MR. KENNELLY: It is on? Yeah, okay.
- 21 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You may proceed,
- 22 Mr. Kennelly.
- MR. KENNELLY: In reviewing and watching this
- 24 procedure and being here for the previous procedures
- 25 and so on, to me, I may not know all of the legal

- 1 terms and so on, but in my personal review of the
- 2 situation the gas company is the professional. They
- 3 have all the control.
- 4 The customer has none. And is subject to
- 5 doing whatever the gas company says they should do.
- 6 They also pay the bill that is sent by the gas
- 7 company, without having any way to question it.
- 8 The thing of it is, the gas company over the
- 9 past several years started out in changing the way
- 10 they did their bookkeeping and so on when it was
- 11 Mountain Fuel supply. And they changed it to the fact
- 12 that they didn't keep track of the cost or the process
- 13 of how much the gas coming out of the wells was put
- 14 into their bookkeeping statements.
- The gas company in the future after that has,
- 16 time after time after time, made changes and so on.
- 17 But they are the controllers. They are the ones that
- 18 supply the gas. They are the ones that send the
- 19 bills.
- 20 The thing of it is, they have put all of the
- 21 costs to the ratepayers. Now, the thing of it is,
- 22 they have taken no responsibility when things go
- 23 wrong. For example, when they got the gas, the coal
- 24 car -- coal gas and wanted to put it into the system
- 25 they said, No, that will go out of state and so we

- 1 don't need to.
- Well, it got into the system and they had to
- 3 put in the scrubbers to clean up the gas. That was
- 4 billed to the ratepayers of \$25 million. And then it
- 5 was determined that, no, that was the Company's
- 6 responsibility, so they paid that back over a period
- 7 of time.
- 8 The thing of it is, the gas company has not
- 9 been a fair corporation, in the fact that they have
- 10 taken all of the advantages and, and so on from the
- 11 ratepayers, they want them to pay for everything,
- 12 irregardless of whether it's their responsibility or
- 13 not.
- Now, the thing of it is when the gas company
- 15 makes a mistake, since they are the controlling party
- in the thing, it should be their responsibility.
- 17 Therefore, any errors or underbilling should be borne
- 18 by the gas company.
- 19 And when it goes on for years and they don't
- 20 even find it, and then you want to go back and charge
- 21 the ratepayer for it does not seem fair, reasonable,
- 22 or equitable to the ratepayer in any way, shape, or
- 23 form. That's my opinion.
- 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Kennelly. I
- 25 forgot to have you identify yourself for the record.

- 1 Would you please state your name and spell it for the
- 2 record?
- 3 THE WITNESS: My name is Dan Kennelly,
- 4 K-e-n-n-e-1-1-y.
- 5 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you very much,
- 6 Mr. Kennelly.
- 7 Do any of the attorneys have questions for
- 8 Mr. Kennelly?
- 9 MR. PROCTOR: Can I just have a moment with
- 10 my client?
- 11 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Yeah, just take one moment.
- 12 If you wouldn't mind sitting there for just a
- 13 moment, Mr. Kennelly?
- MR. KENNELLY: That's fine.
- MS. BYDE: The Company has no questions for
- 16 him.
- 17 MS. SCHMID: The Division has no questions
- 18 for Mr. Kennelly.
- MR. PROCTOR: No questions, thank you.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Ball, have you questions
- 21 for Mr. Kennelly?
- MR. BALL: No thank you, Chairman.
- 23 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Commissioner Allen?
- 24 Commissioner Campbell?
- Thank you so much for coming, Mr. Kennelly.

- 1 You may step down.
- MR. KENNELLY: Thank you.
- 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Ms. Nancy Mitchell?
- 4 Ms. Mitchell, do you wish to give sworn or unsworn
- 5 testimony?
- 6 MS. MITCHELL: Sworn, please.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well.
- 8 (Ms. Mitchell was sworn.)
- 9 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Please be seated.
- MS. MITCHELL: Thank you.
- 11 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Would you please state your
- 12 name and spell it for the record.
- MS. MITCHELL: My name is Nancy Mitchell.
- 14 N-a-n-c-y, Mitchell, M-i-t-c-h-e-l-l.
- 15 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Ms. Mitchell.
- 16 You may give your statement now.
- 17 MS. MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My
- 18 husband George and I requested this opportunity to
- 19 complain because we are one of the households who were
- 20 underbilled by Questar in the transponder issues.
- Our major complaint is how poorly we were
- 22 treated as customers as a result of Questar's
- 23 incompetence and mismanagement. Questar gave us no
- 24 information about transponder installation on our home
- 25 gas meter until they had discovered they had

- 1 underbilled us.
- We were informed on December 4, 2008, on our
- 3 statement by a line item labelled "Correction" in the
- 4 amount of \$2,174.41. Which was added to our current
- 5 charges, for the total of the bill of \$2,299.09.
- 6 Initially I thought it was a computer input
- 7 error of a misplaced decimal point. Upon calling I
- 8 found out that this was the intended amount and that
- 9 we had been underbilled. I wondered to myself, Why
- 10 are they picking on us?
- 11 Did they look through our accounts and decide
- 12 the Mitchells could pay more? Had our bill not risen
- 13 substantially enough so they could pick on us. It
- 14 felt totally arbitrary. As I learned more, I wondered
- 15 why we had to pay for their mistake.
- 16 Forget that they'd done -- did not inform us
- 17 they were adding a transponder or why they were doing
- 18 it. Questar did not even ensure the equipment was
- 19 installed properly. Few records were kept, as they
- 20 themselves acknowledged, and then they did not monitor
- 21 the new equipment.
- In a letter dated to us on December 5, 2008,
- 23 they claimed to have discovered the malfunction in a
- 24 routine inspection of gas meters. At the time I
- 25 thought two years with no monitoring was negligent.

- 1 Later I learned that our transponder had been
- 2 installed in 2003.
- Four years later they conducted an
- 4 inspection. "Routine" in their words. In my eyes
- 5 that was a management blunder and is grossly
- 6 negligent.
- 7 In the meantime the Company earned profits,
- 8 rewarded the stockholders, and the CEO and top
- 9 management received bonuses, presumably based on their
- 10 good performance. I don't understand why there should
- 11 be bonuses and rewards when a monopoly serving a
- 12 captive audience of residents and businesses has very
- 13 limited risk.
- 14 Questar is a monopoly. And it appears they
- 15 think they do not have to treat customers with
- 16 respect. We pay utility bills in Canada as well and
- 17 have never been treated so disrespectfully by our
- 18 suppliers there.
- 19 Oh, maybe I have to get a glass of water. I
- 20 didn't think I was nervous, but just a second.
- 21 (Pause.)
- 22 MS. MITCHELL: So I guess I think I was -- I
- 23 don't understand why there should be bonuses and
- 24 rewards when a monopoly serving a captive audience of
- 25 residents and businesses has very limited risk.

- 1 Questar is a monopoly. And it appears they
- 2 think they, that they do not have to treat customers
- 3 with respect. We pay utility bills in Canada and have
- 4 never been treated so disrespectfully by our suppliers
- 5 there.
- 6 We have lived in our home for nearly 30
- 7 years, yet Questar and its employees insulted us with
- 8 comments like, You should have known your bills
- 9 weren't high enough. Only a monopoly can afford to
- 10 treat long-time customers with such disrespect.
- 11 In recent years my husband and I, like many
- 12 conscientious and environmentally-concerned consumers,
- 13 have made improvements to our home to control our
- 14 energy consumption. We have resisted installing air
- 15 conditioning, and prefer using natural resources like
- 16 trees and breezes to aid in cooling our home.
- We have installed new furnaces and new water
- 18 heaters, and insulated our attic, all in an attempt to
- 19 control our energy consumption. Given we have made
- 20 these changes, my husband actually wondered why our
- 21 natural gas bills had not gone down even further.
- 22 I've had numerous conversations with friends
- 23 and business colleagues, many of them small business
- owners, who have said any other business could not go
- 25 back and say, I messed up on the price and you owe me

- 1 another \$2,000 on that contract from two or four years
- 2 ago, or .79 cents for that can of beans, even if it
- 3 was mis-marked.
- 4 The small business owners all agreed they
- 5 would have to eat it. Only a monopoly has the
- 6 audacity to charge customers for its own mistake. And
- 7 the cost of that mistake is being magnified by the
- 8 legal efforts and costs to fight us.
- 9 Isn't that a twist? We the consumers will
- 10 probably have to pay the cost of the Questar attorneys
- 11 to fight us. On the whole, Questar has broken it's
- 12 trust with me and my husband. I am skeptical. Our
- 13 whole economy operates on a basis of trust.
- 14 All across this nation our citizens and
- 15 businesses are struggling. We have lost faith and
- 16 trust in our economic system due to corporate and
- 17 executive greed. We the little people are working
- 18 hard and smart to pay our bills and save for
- 19 retirement.
- We have been betrayed. Even the very
- 21 institutions of government who are supposed to serve
- 22 and protect us fail us. They side with the corporate
- 23 greed. Without our monthly check, Questar, its
- 24 executives, and its employees would have no job and
- 25 its stockholders no return on investment.

- 1 Nor would there be jobs for State employees
- 2 like those at the Public Services Commission, the
- 3 Committee of Consumer Services, and the Division of
- 4 Public Utilities who are paid out of our state taxes.
- 5 They have all failed us.
- 6 Our system is crumbling. And until there is
- 7 some dignity and respect for the common worker and
- 8 taxpayer, there will be no trust. Certainly not from
- 9 me for Questar. As I have told the media, take the
- 10 underbillings out of the bonuses and the profits of
- 11 the CEO and management who are gouging us. Maybe then
- 12 they'll treat us customers with respect. Thank you.
- 13 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Ms. Mitchell.
- 14 Are there questions for Ms. Mitchell? The
- 15 Committee of Consumer Services? No? Ms. Schmid for
- 16 the Division?
- MS. SCHMID: No.
- 18 CHAIRMAN BOYER: The Company? Mr. Ball, have
- 19 you questions for Ms. Mitchell?
- 20 MR. BALL: I, I would just like to make it
- 21 absolutely clear for the record what Ms. Mitchell's
- 22 status in this proceeding is, Chairman, by asking a
- 23 question about that.
- 24 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MR. BALL:

- 1 Q. Ms. Mitchell, am I right to believe that you
- 2 are a formal complainant in this matter?
- 3 A. Yes, I am.
- 4 Q. And that Docket 08-057-08 is the formal
- 5 complaint of Mitchell against Questar Gas Company?
- 6 A. I, I'll have to take your word on that. I
- 7 don't have that in my head. But I have it in my
- 8 paperwork if you want me to check.
- 9 MR. BALL: Thank you very much.
- 10 Thank you, Chairman.
- 11 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Do the
- 12 commissioners have any questions?
- 13 Thank you, Ms. Mitchell.
- 14 The next person, is it Margaret or
- 15 Marguerite?
- MS. McMAIN: Margaret.
- 17 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Margaret. Do you wish to
- 18 give sworn testimony or unsworn testimony?
- 19 MS. McMAIN: Sworn. I expect to say
- 20 everything that's the truth.
- 21 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well.
- 22 (Ms. McMain was sworn.)
- 23 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Please be seated. And as
- 24 you do so would you please state and spell your name
- 25 for the record.

- 1 MS. McMAIN: I am Margaret McMain. My last
- 2 name is spelled M-c-M-a-i-n. And I have come here
- 3 today --
- 4 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Ms. McMain, would you pull
- 5 that microphone just a little closer to you? You're
- 6 speaking very softly.
- 7 MS. McMAIN: Is this okay?
- 8 CHAIRMAN BOYER: That's better, thank you.
- 9 MS. McMAIN: I have come here today to
- 10 request that Questar cancel the back-billing charges
- 11 they have sent me. For 50 years I have paid my bills
- 12 on time to the gas companies. And in the last four or
- 13 five years I have given Questar the automatic billing
- 14 that go into my checking account and take the charges
- 15 that they requested every month.
- 16 They happened to go back on the charges
- 17 they're making me to February 2'06, which was the
- 18 month my husband died. Since then I have tried to
- 19 carry on my life with this life-changing situation.
- 20 So when I received a bill from Questar for 16 -- over
- 21 \$1,600, I had -- was outraged and angered.
- I have not ever heard of any company,
- 23 corporation, individual, that could go back two years
- 24 and say that they had mis-billed you for something
- 25 that you had used. This seemed very wrong to me.

- 1 And I had never had any reason to distrust
- 2 Questar in the past. And I have been treated very
- 3 well by Questar in the past. And I appreciate what
- 4 they have done for us.
- 5 But this -- the whole -- my whole problem
- 6 here is Questar did not let me know what the actual
- 7 charges were. They were not charging me correctly, so
- 8 I made no effort to change my mode of living. I would
- 9 not have used the gas. I would not have used the gas
- 10 if I had known the actual price of the gas.
- 11 I would like to make an analogy here with the
- 12 present price of gasoline. When the people in the
- 13 country found the price of gasoline had gone up, they
- 14 stopped driving so much. They made other
- 15 accommodations. And I think we all can understand
- 16 that.
- I was not given this option by Questar to
- 18 stop and lower my gas. I went on living as I had. I
- 19 feel this is Questar's mistake, not my mistake. They
- 20 are a wealthy corporation. And I think it's wrong for
- 21 them to go on -- I think the rules should be changed
- 22 for them to go back two years and expect to keep
- 23 making mistakes and having the captive customers pay
- 24 for this.
- 25 This is the way I feel. And I would like to

- 1 request that Questar cancel the back-billing. It's
- 2 their mistake and not mine.
- 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Ms. McMain.
- 4 Are there questions for Ms. McMain? None
- 5 from the Committee. None --
- 6 MS. SCHMID: None.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BOYER: From the Division? None
- 8 from the Company?
- 9 Mr. Ball, have you any questions for
- 10 Ms. McMain?
- 11 MR. BALL: Please, Chairman. In the same way
- 12 as with Ms. Mitchell, I'd simply like to establish.
- 13 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MR. BALL:
- 15 Q. Ms. McMain, am I correct in representing that
- 16 you have filed a formal complaint with the Commission
- in this matter?
- 18 A. I did. And it's taken a great deal of time,
- 19 and turmoil, and anxiety on my part. I might say
- 20 that. And I think Questar should not have pursued
- 21 this the way they have.
- Q. Thank you.
- 23 MR. BALL: And Chairman, for the record let
- 24 me just say that the docket number for Ms. McMain's
- 25 complaint is 08-057-03.

- 1 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you.
- I have just one question for you, Ms. McMain.
- 3 I don't know if the other commissioners do or not.
- 4 Was the \$1,600 automatically withdrawn from your
- 5 checking account --
- 6 MS. McMAIN: No.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BOYER: -- is that how you found
- 8 out?
- 9 MS. McMAIN: They sent, they sent me a
- 10 letter --
- 11 CHAIRMAN BOYER: They sent you a notice?
- 12 MS. McMAIN: -- about this and said it would
- 13 be. Incidentally, the next day I changed the
- 14 automatic billing so that they couldn't take that out.
- 15 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you very much.
- MS. McMAIN: I didn't trust them.
- 17 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you so much,
- 18 Ms. McMain. You may step down. Thank you for coming.
- 19 Lorry Horman? Mr. Horman, I see you're gonna
- 20 give sworn testimony.
- 21 (Mr. Horman was sworn.)
- 22 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Please be seated. Would you
- 23 state and spell your name for the record, please, as
- 24 you sit down.
- MR. HORMAN: Yeah. First name's Lorry,

- 1 L-o-r-r-y, Horman, H-o-r-m-a-n.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. You may read
- 3 your statement or give your statement at this time.
- 4 MR. HORMAN: Thank you for allowing me, a
- 5 utility customer, to have a voice. I feel that my
- 6 needs as a ratepayer are generally neglected by the
- 7 system. How can I hope for equitable treatment from a
- 8 billion dollar monopoly?
- 9 Who will balance my needs, which are a
- 10 dependable and affordable delivery of natural gas,
- 11 against this giant corporation with its virtually
- 12 limitless resources and with its access to elected and
- 13 appointed officials, through a battery of lobbyists,
- 14 at my expense.
- 15 A device created by the legislature in 1977,
- 16 the Committee of Consumer Services has a checkered
- 17 history and is currently in Questar's pocket with this
- 18 stipulation.
- 19 I, as a ratepayer, should not be compensating
- 20 Questar Gas for the blunders of its managers and
- 21 employees. When I pay my gas bill I am paying Questar
- 22 to meter my consumption, read my meter, and bill me
- 23 accordingly.
- 24 When Questar fails to do so, it should accept
- 25 the financial correspond -- consequences of its

- 1 failure. And not come after me many months later, or
- 2 pass the under-collected, under-collection on to all
- 3 its ratepayers.
- 4 The stipulation under discussion transfers
- 5 the cost of Questar's blunder to certain underbilled
- 6 customers, and to its ratepayers at large. There is
- 7 no way this is just or reasonable or in the public
- 8 interest. Thank you.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Horman.
- 10 Are there questions for Mr. Horman? None
- 11 from the Committee? None from the Division? None
- 12 from the Company? Mr. Ball?
- MR. BALL: No thank you, Chairman.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Commissioner Allen?
- 15 Commissioner Campbell?
- 16 Thank you so much, Mr. Horman. Please, you
- 17 may step down now.
- 18 Ms. Murray, are there other members of the
- 19 public who've come?
- 20 Duane Rose. Would you please come forward,
- 21 Mr. Rose. Mr. Rose, do you wish to give sworn
- 22 testimony or unsworn testimony?
- MR. ROSE: Yes, sir.
- 24 (Mr. Rose was sworn.)
- 25 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Please be seated. And would

- 1 you state and spell your name for the record, please.
- 2 MR. ROSE: My name is Duane Rose. That's
- 3 D-u-a-n-e, R-o-s-e. I'm here representing the
- 4 condominium association where I reside, and that's
- 5 Easton Association. Our -- I do the accounting for
- 6 the association.
- 7 And we have five buildings that have a meter
- 8 on each building. The units are not individually
- 9 metered. And we had a problem in April of 2006 where
- 10 we had a bill of 12 thou -- or \$1,274 for one of our
- 11 buildings that has four units in it.
- We're honest folks, we figured we used the
- 13 gas, and we paid that bill. And I -- that's water
- 14 under the bridge for, for me. But by golly, we're
- 15 having the same problem again, and nobody at Questar
- 16 will acknowledge it.
- 17 We got a bill for this same unit in August of
- 18 this year for \$2.10. That's the bill for four units.
- 19 We had another four-unit building where the bill was
- 20 \$68.65. Is there someone here from Questar? Will you
- 21 please fix the meter?
- 22 We'd like to pay our bill. Please don't give
- us another \$1,200 bill in April of 2009.
- 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Rose, I'll bet somebody
- 25 is here in the audience today.

- 1 MS. BYDE: Yes.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BOYER: And after you finish your
- 3 testimony I'm sure that they'll be happy to talk to
- 4 you about that.
- 5 MR. ROSE: That's all I have to say.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you very much.
- 7 Any questions for Mr. Rose?
- 8 Seeing none, you may step down. And
- 9 hopefully your question and your problem will be
- 10 resolved, Mr. Rose. Thank you for coming.
- 11 MR. ROSE: Well, and if it isn't I'd like to
- 12 know if there's a provision in the proposed settlement
- 13 for when this does happen to us again. Thank you.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Ms. Byde has left the room,
- 15 but are there other members of the public that wish to
- 16 be heard?
- 17 I'm thinking that since we have to be here,
- 18 we've kept this period open until 12:30, why don't we
- 19 proceed with the Company witness in favor of the
- 20 stipulation, and then subject to the right to
- 21 interrupt should a member of the public come.
- 22 Would that be okay with everyone? Let's
- 23 proceed in that way.
- 24 (Pause.)
- 25 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. McKay, do you wish to

- 1 remain seated there or come up here to the witness
- 2 box?
- 3 MR. McKAY: I'm --
- 4 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Why don't we do that.
- 5 MR. McKAY: I can go up there.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BOYER: I couldn't tell from the way
- 7 you were arranging your materials.
- 8 MR. McKAY: I'm making sure, I think I have
- 9 what I need. But we'll find out if I don't here in a
- 10 minute.
- 11 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. McKay, you haven't been
- 12 sworn in this proceeding.
- 13 (Mr. McKay was sworn.)
- 14 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Please be seated.
- Ms. Byde?
- MS. BYDE: Thank you. And I wanted to
- 17 apologize for stepping out. I just wanted to be sure
- 18 Mr. Rose was put in touch with the right person.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you for doing that.
- 20 BARRIE McKAY,
- 21 called as a witness, having been duly sworn,
- 22 was examined and testified as follows:
- 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MS. BYDE:
- 25 Q. Mr. McKay, can you please state your name?

- 1 A. Barrie L. McKay. That's B-a-r-r-i-e. L, as
- 2 in Lane, and McKay, M-c capital K-a-y.
- 3 Q. And what is your position at Questar Gas
- 4 Company?
- 5 A. I'm manager of regulatory affairs.
- 6 Q. And can you describe briefly your job
- 7 responsibilities in that capacity?
- 8 A. I have the responsibilities not only for
- 9 energy efficiency programs, but also for our
- 10 regulatory affairs. Which is responsible for filing
- 11 our reports, putting together our information as far
- 12 as our pass-through cases as well as our general rate
- 13 cases, and have been involved with this matter also.
- 14 Q. Thank you, Mr. McKay.
- MS. BYDE: May I approach the witness,
- 16 please?
- 17 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You may.
- 18 Q. (By Ms. Byde) Mr. McKay, I've just handed
- 19 you what has been premarked as Questar Gas Company
- 20 Hearing Exhibit 1. It's a document entitled: "Reply
- 21 Comments of Questar Gas Company regarding the Division
- 22 Report on Transponder Pre-Divide Exceptions and
- 23 Back-Billing Issues."
- I just want to know if you recognize that
- 25 document?

- 1 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And is that a copy of the comments filed by
- 3 Questar Gas in this case?
- 4 A. Yes, it is.
- 5 MS. BYDE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to have
- 6 this admitted as an exhibit in this matter.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Are there objections to the
- 8 admission of Questar's comments in this matter being
- 9 admitted into evidence?
- 10 MR. PROCTOR: No objection.
- 11 MS. SCHMID: No objection.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BOYER: How shall we mark that,
- 13 Questar 1?
- 14 MS. BYDE: I'm sorry, Questar Gas Hearing
- 15 Exhibit 1.
- 16 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well. Seeing no
- 17 objections, that will be admitted into evidence.
- 18 (Exhibit No. QGC Hearing 1 was admitted.)
- 19 MS. BYDE: I would also like to note for the
- 20 record that this document, like the Division's report,
- 21 was provided to all of the parties to this matter
- 22 previously.
- 23 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you.
- Q. (By Ms. Byde) Mr. McKay, can you describe
- 25 Questar's participation in this investigation?

- 1 A. Yes. We became aware of the particular
- 2 accounts at the -- about the -- well, I think
- 3 beforehand, obviously. I don't know how far back we
- 4 want to go into history. But obviously our first
- 5 communication began, I think, as customers began to
- 6 file some concerns of informal complaints. Which some
- 7 of them have later turn into formal complaints.
- 8 We had communication with the Division of
- 9 Public Utilities, who's responsible for fielding those
- 10 complaints. And actually at their time in their --
- 11 fulfilling the duty of their responsibilities asked us
- 12 a -- they sent a data request as it related to these
- issues.
- 14 The concern continued to develop. And then I
- 15 think at that point in time the Committee of Consumer
- 16 Services actually requested a formal investigation.
- 17 And those to that point that had filed formal
- 18 complaints were combined there.
- 19 The Company I think has participated fully in
- 20 that in answering -- I didn't bring that book up
- 21 there, but it's about a four-inch binder of data
- 22 requests and information related to specific accounts.
- 23 Related to our perspective on why we chose to go down
- 24 the path of having transponders in the first place.
- 25 And has been able to provide that information.

- 1 And also have continued then to field
- 2 questions. And ultimately which led all of the
- 3 parties to sitting down on a couple of occasions and
- 4 talking about the possibility if there was a
- 5 reasonable resolution to the varying different
- 6 perspectives that were being played out in this
- 7 docket.
- 8 And after some negotiation back and forth, I
- 9 feel we've come together with a very reasonable
- 10 stipulation that is before the Commission today.
- 11 Q. Can you describe for me the material terms of
- 12 the settlement stipulation?
- 13 A. Sure. We've actually already had a fairly
- 14 good summary of that by Dr. Powlick, but I would just
- observe maybe a couple of things from the Company's
- 16 perspective. I know they've been at issue here as
- 17 it's related -- as I've listened to some of the
- 18 questions.
- 19 But just the first item. And this is in
- 20 paragraph 12 of the stipulation. But we are --
- 21 essentially what we had, after we got all the
- 22 information in and the specifics out on the table, is
- 23 we, we had an issue here of something that had not
- 24 specifically been addressed in the rules or in our
- 25 tariff.

- 1 And that is, as it relates to transponders,
- 2 how far back should the Company be required to bill
- 3 customers once an error may be found. And perhaps a
- 4 little perspective on that issue would be good here.
- 5 I think if we go back in history, and I think it's
- 6 back in 1995, at that point in time there actually
- 7 wasn't any limit that was related to back-billing of
- 8 customers.
- 9 And through various rules, or concerns, or
- 10 dockets that have come before the Commission, I think
- 11 at that time they came forward and we limited that
- 12 back-billing period to 24 months at that time.
- 13 That wasn't the only thing. There was other
- 14 issues that have come up. There's been crossed
- 15 meters. There's been slow-reading meters. And some
- 16 of those specific things have specifically been
- 17 identified in our tariff as only going back for six
- 18 months.
- 19 So I think that that was a question out
- 20 there. All the parties got together and we said, Boy,
- 21 there's some great precedence for needing to back-bill
- $22\,$   $\,$  customers. And might I say this right from the start,
- 23 that we are sorry that this ever occurred.
- It's not something that we like to do. That
- 25 we ever want to happen. We'd like to see that we

- 1 don't necessarily have that happen. But we recognize
- 2 that humans are involved with this proces. As has
- 3 been explained I think earlier, this absolutely was a
- 4 human error.
- Now, that said, we had to go about how best
- 6 to handle that. We felt very good, as the stipulation
- 7 has come forward, with of having this be comparable to
- 8 other types of errors that we've had in the -- with
- 9 meters. And therefore the Company supports
- 10 wholeheartedly the idea of only back-billing for a six
- 11 month period as it relates to this issue.
- 12 The other issues that -- I think have already
- 13 been put forth there. I don't know if we have a whole
- 14 lot that we can add to that. Other than the fact that
- 15 customers that have filed a formal complaint that may
- 16 not agree specifically with how the Commission may or
- 17 may not find on this -- and we're obviously seeking to
- 18 have you approve of the stipulation -- but we wanted
- 19 them to still be able to maintain their rights if they
- 20 didn't necessarily agree as a stipulating party on
- 21 that. And we thought that was an important thing in
- 22 the stipulation.
- 23 The Company has recognized that this needed
- 24 to be a balancing act in this. And so we wanted to
- 25 step forward, and we thought we did, in paying

- 1 nearly -- I think the percentage has been thrown out
- 2 there about half of the dollars that are at issue.
- 3 We recognize that we also have parties that
- 4 wouldn't use the gas, and we're sorry that we did not
- 5 be able to communicate to them sooner. And feel that
- 6 that's a tough thing for them. We actually recognize
- 7 that the approach of what we're doing here is having
- 8 them pay maybe about a quarter of what they actually
- 9 did use out there. They did use the gas, and we
- 10 thought that was a good balancing act.
- 11 And then maybe from another perspective is
- 12 that we're -- what we're concerned about here is all
- 13 the other customers. And know that that's been put
- 14 forth, so why don't I at least observe it. And if I
- 15 don't clarify that, I'm sure I'll be able to get some
- 16 fine cross on it.
- 17 But from the Company's perspective we had,
- 18 back in 1995, an opportunity to make a change in our
- 19 system. And there's new technology. Electronic meter
- 20 reading, or automated meter reading, or transponder.
- 21 And we went through some analysis and we found out
- 22 that we're gonna need to expend some dollars there.
- 23 And that we were -- but the benefits that we
- 24 could get from this was a significant reduction in our
- 25 operating costs. We could have a significant increase

- 1 in our safety. We could have also a significant
- 2 ability for us to better analyze what our customers
- 3 were using.
- 4 And perhaps the most important thing that
- 5 enticed us on this is that we could have a significant
- 6 improvement in our billing accuracy. And also in
- 7 having to do corrections of bills after they once went
- 8 out. And it also could help us significantly reduce
- 9 our estimated bills.
- 10 We went forward with that. And are actually
- 11 very pleased with the results. We recognized that we
- 12 were gonna be implementing technology. Technology
- 13 doesn't always work perfect. There's buttons that can
- 14 happen. There's switches that can be set. And when
- 15 humans are involved with it, mistakes can happen.
- 16 We recognized that we could go forward and
- 17 try to maybe have redundancy after redundancy on
- 18 trying to go out there to do that. But in an
- 19 effort -- and you've heard us say it an awful lot --
- 20 we actually take pride in wanting to bring what we are
- 21 saying -- and the facts bear it out -- some of the
- 22 lowest costs if not the lowest natural gas costs in
- 23 the nation.
- We said, Hey, we don't want to necessarily go
- and put all this technology in, and then through

- 1 duplicating all our efforts not have any dollar
- 2 savings. And so we chose not to continue to --
- 3 not -- we chose not to go through the path of having
- 4 increased costs for our customers just to have the
- 5 benefit of better accuracy and better ability to
- 6 analyze.
- 7 And so therefore we did not keep all of those
- 8 meter readers, that could have gone out every single
- 9 month and looked at every single one of those meters,
- 10 because that would have been a significant cost to all
- 11 of our other customers.
- We didn't think that was a wise thing for
- 13 them to bear. And so we chose to go forward with
- 14 significant reduction, recognizing that we might have
- 15 some errors in that. But when you take the cost of
- 16 those errors coupled with the savings, there was
- 17 tremendous benefit for all of our customers.
- 18 And that's a choice that we went forward and
- 19 did. And we've recognized since then we've made some
- 20 errors, so that's why the particular observation there
- 21 is that we wanted to bear in that. We feel like we're
- 22 taking almost half.
- 23 The rest of the customers are getting
- 24 tremendous benefit of that. I think that was
- 25 calculated to be about 20 or 22 percent. We felt that

- 1 was a reasonable balance from their perspective, given
- 2 the benefits that they were going to, and were, and
- 3 have experienced with this.
- I think the other one is that we're very
- 5 willing to move forward with making a tariff change.
- 6 And are prepared to do that following the -- or
- 7 assuming the approval of this stipulation.
- 8 And the other thing I also like that has
- 9 happened from this stipulation -- it wasn't really
- 10 clear and didn't really specifically spell it out, but
- 11 the ability to work with customers. And to be able
- 12 to, on the particular circumstances, allow them a
- 13 greater period of time.
- 14 We know that it's a hardship on them. We
- 15 wish those things didn't happen. And as we try to
- 16 implement whatever portion of their back-billing may
- 17 occur in the future I think that's a great thing to
- 18 have, for the Company's perspective as well as for
- 19 those customers.
- Q. So I take from your comments that you believe
- 21 this settlement stipulation is just and reasonable and
- 22 in the public interest; is that correct?
- 23 A. Absolutely. In summary of that, we do feel
- 24 that this is very reasonable from the perspective of
- 25 the Company. There was some give and take in this.

- 1 But also from the perspective of all the others
- 2 involved, that we think it's fair and should be
- 3 approved by this Commission.
- 4 Q. I wanted to ask you just a couple more
- 5 questions, Mr. McKay, about the, the nature and
- 6 significance of the number of billing errors here.
- 7 Can you speak a little bit to the issue of the
- 8 magnitude of the AMR program and the magnitude of the
- 9 errors at issue in this case?
- 10 A. Sure. Thank you. That's actually one of the
- 11 things that we, from the onset, were hoping to occur.
- 12 We had to estimate in the past about five percent of
- 13 our bills, just for one reason or another. It was
- 14 weather related, or somebody wasn't able to get into a
- 15 backyard, or there were too many dogs guarding the
- 16 meter that day.
- 17 The transponders have significantly helped.
- 18 We have been able to reduce our estimated bills by
- 19 88 percent. That's a tremendous success. We used to
- 20 have to regularly adjust or make billing corrections
- 21 on bills, 1.5 percent on a monthly basis.
- We have now been able to reduce that by over
- 23 60 percent. And then, to bring into context what this
- 24 issue really is, we have identified an error that is
- less than one-tenth of one percent. We are

- 1 tremendously pleased with that type of accuracy.
- 2 We are indeed sorry that that has happened.
- 3 We wish that it didn't. But we recognize that where
- 4 there's been a significant improvement, and are
- 5 pleased with what transponders have been able to
- 6 provide for us.
- 7 MS. BYDE: I don't have any questions at this
- 8 point.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BOYER: So I assume Mr. McKay is
- 10 available for cross examination?
- 11 MS. BYDE: He's available for cross
- 12 examination.
- 13 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Before we do that let's
- 14 check the hearing room to see if other members of the
- 15 public have come in who wish to be heard.
- 16 Okay. Seeing none, let's go turn now to the
- 17 Division. Do you have cross examination questions for
- 18 Mr. McKay?
- MS. SCHMID: No questions.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BOYER: The Committee of Consumers
- 21 Services, Mr. Proctor?
- MR. PROCTOR: No questions.
- 23 CHAIRMAN BOYER: No?
- Mr. Ball, have you questions for Mr. McKay?
- MR. BALL: Yes, please, Chairman.

- 1 CHAIRMAN BOYER: We'll, just for everybody's
- 2 scheduling and pacing, we'll go until about 12:30 and
- 3 then we're going take a recess. We'll come back and
- 4 conclude the formal portion of the hearing. And then
- 5 at 4:30 we will have one more public witness period.
- 6 Mr. Ball?
- 7 MR. BALL: I'm sorry, Chairman. What time
- 8 are we gonna reconvene?
- 9 CHAIRMAN BOYER: We're gonna reconvene at
- 10 2:00. We'll go to 12:30, we'll reconvene at 2:00.
- 11 MR. BALL: Thank you very much. My wife
- 12 accuses me of selective deafness and I guess you just
- 13 got the benefit of it there, I'm sorry.
- 14 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. BALL:
- 16 Q. Hello Mr. McKay.
- 17 A. Hello.
- 18 Q. I believe you characterized this as
- 19 potentially a fun experience. Has Questar Gas Company
- 20 made any kind of estimate of the financial benefits on
- 21 the one hand to it, and on the other hand to its
- 22 customers separately, of the transponder installation
- 23 program?
- 24 A. I don't think we ever look at it in the light
- 25 you're trying to portray it there. So to answer your

- 1 question specifically, no, we haven't. And I don't
- 2 think that's a proper way to look at this issue.
- 3 MR. BALL: Chairman, I'd like to distribute a
- 4 cross examination exhibit. I believe this will be URA
- 5 Cross Exhibit 5.
- 6 (Pause.)
- 7 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Mr. McKay, as Questar Gas
- 8 Company's manager of regulatory affairs did you, did
- 9 you write or did you oversee the preparation of
- 10 Questar's data responses in this case?
- 11 A. I was typically involved with the review of
- 12 our responses.
- 13 Q. Okay. What, what does URA Cross Exhibit 5
- 14 appear to be, please?
- 15 A. This looks like a data request from the
- 16 Division of Public Utilities that occurred prior to
- 17 the consolidation or the establishment of this formal
- 18 docket. And it was questions that I think they asked
- 19 during the normal course of their fulfilling their
- 20 duties and responsibilities and trying to become aware
- 21 of this issue.
- Q. Right, thank you. And the date on this
- 23 response was?
- A. I think it shows March 25th of '08.
- 25 Q. Thank you very much. So this is pretty early

- 1 on in the process, really. And by looking at the
- 2 spreadsheet attachment can you tell us how many
- 3 accounts are referred to in this response?
- 4 A. Assuming you've got numbering correct it
- 5 appears, if we look on just the last page, that we've
- 6 numbered up to 388.
- 7 Q. Right. Now, there, there aren't any
- 8 overbilled accounts on this list, are there?
- 9 A. I don't know that.
- 10 Q. Would you be kind enough to read the question
- 11 in Data Request 1.09?
- 12 A. Sure. "Please provide the details
- on the number of customers who have been
- 14 back-billed due to this issue and the
- amounts back-billed...Please provide
- this information by customer."
- 17 Q. And, and would you tell us the heading to
- 18 Column E of the spreadsheet, please?
- 19 A. Back-billed amount.
- 20 Q. You, you wouldn't have issued back-bills to
- 21 overbilled customers, would you?
- 22 A. We shouldn't. However, I have seen some of
- our responses here, and I therefore haven't looked
- 24 through all this and I'm trying to do this now to try
- 25 to help you. We often times have included underbilled

- 1 customers with a negative sign, and I want to make
- 2 sure that that hasn't occurred here.
- 3 So -- it appears they haven't. So I'm now,
- 4 after having had further opportunity to review, would
- 5 be comfortable in saying that these are customers that
- 6 would be -- have been identified as being underbilled.
- 7 Q. Thank you very much. And can you tell us the
- 8 total amount of underbilling on line 389, please?
- 9 A. That number shows \$472,708.
- 10 Q. And so that was essentially the state of play
- 11 when that was -- that was the extent of Questar Gas
- 12 Company's understanding of the underbilling problem
- 13 when it provided its response to the Division on the
- 14 25th of March. Would that be accurate?
- 15 A. I think that's fair for what we had at that
- 16 time.
- 17 Q. Thank you.
- 18 MR. BALL: Chairman, I'd like to introduce
- 19 what I would like to be called URA Cross Exhibit 6,
- 20 please.
- 21 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You may. And while you're
- 22 doing that, let me check with Ms. Murray.
- No more members of the public at this point?
- 24 Okay.
- 25 (Pause.)

- 1 MR. BALL: Chairman, I'm gonna represent that
- 2 this is the same data set that we've just been talking
- 3 about. However, on this occasion it's been sorted by
- 4 Column B, the start bill period. So they're all the
- 5 same accounts. They're all the same back-bill
- 6 amounts. The totals are the same.
- 7 You'll see that the number of lines are the
- 8 same. None of the line -- none of the dates on any of
- 9 the lines has been manipulated in any way. It's
- 10 simply been sorted by Column B, so that the earliest
- 11 start bill periods appear first.
- MS. BYDE: Can I just ask who sorted it and
- 13 when?
- MR. BALL: I did.
- MS. BYDE: Okay.
- MR. BALL: Well, actually it was my computer.
- 17 Excel is a wonderful thing. But I pushed the buttons.
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Mr. McKay, do you know, or
- 19 looking at line 1 can you tell us when the maladjusted
- 20 transponder on account 6505750000 was installed?
- 21 A. I think the information that you might be
- 22 wanting there would be in an answer to a previous --
- 23 or another -- a different data request. But on this
- 24 piece of paper it doesn't show that.
- 25 Q. Thank you. The start bill period date isn't

- 1 the same as the date when a maladjusted transponder
- 2 was installed, is it?
- 3 MS. BYDE: I'm just gonna object to the line
- 4 of questioning on two grounds. First, that Mr. McKay
- 5 did not prepare the data response. Did not
- 6 participate in the modi -- I apologize, the sorting of
- 7 the data response.
- 8 And I don't believe the foundation has been
- 9 laid that he has the background or the foundation to
- 10 testify as to the particulars about this particular
- 11 document.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BOYER: I'm gonna sustain the
- 13 objection, Mr. Ball, but I'm gonna give you a little
- 14 help here. Why don't you go to that same customer on
- 15 URA Cross 5 and ask your question.
- 16 (Pause.)
- 17 MR. BALL: If anybody finds the line before I
- 18 do they could save us all the time by saying what it
- 19 is.
- MS. SCHMID: What, what about line 336?
- 21 MR. BALL: Three thirty-six? It's on Page 7.
- 22 CHAIRMAN BOYER: I bet Ms. Schmid started
- 23 from the back of the list and the rest of us started
- 24 from the beginning. Am I right?
- MS. SCHMID: Yes.

- 1 CHAIRMAN BOYER: There you go.
- 2 MR. BALL: Dare I suggest that that's what
- 3 comes from being a horsewoman? This might be the
- 4 moment to tell the world that my wife had an
- 5 unfortunate experience on Dartmoor this summer by
- 6 approaching a Dartmoor -- a feral Dartmoor pony -- an
- 7 adult, but small in stature -- from the rear.
- 8 She landed on her rear as a result of that
- 9 experience. It's one of three of the memories of that
- 10 holiday that we will always remember, if not
- 11 necessarily enjoy.
- 12 Yes, okay.
- 13 Q. (By Mr. Ball) So on line 336 on Page 7 of
- 14 Cross Exhibit 5. And the question was, the start bill
- 15 period in Column B is -- or date is not the same as
- 16 the date when a maladjusted transponder was installed,
- 17 is it?
- 18 A. No. That was provided on a different data
- 19 request.
- 20 Q. Okay. So just to be -- just to nail it down.
- 21 These data responses don't tell us when maladjusted
- 22 transponders were installed, do they?
- 23 A. It'd be on the other data request.
- Q. Thank you very much.
- 25 MR. BALL: Chairman, I observe that it's

- 1 12:30. And so may I request admission of URA Cross
- 2 Exhibits 5 and 6. And pause while you decide whether
- 3 you'd like to break for lunch.
- 4 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's, let's do these
- 5 separately, because I'm anticipating objections on
- 6 Cross 6. Are there objections to the admission of URA
- 7 Cross Exhibit 5? Seeing none, it is admitted into
- 8 evidence.
- 9 (Exhibit No. URA Cross 5 was admitted.)
- 10 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Are there objections to the
- 11 admission of URA Cross Exhibit 6 into evidence?
- 12 MS. BYDE: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I object on
- 13 the grounds that it's duplicative -- well, to the
- 14 extent it is what has been representative --
- 15 represented, it is duplicative of No. 5. And I think
- 16 No. 5 is probably the best evidence of what Mr. Ball
- 17 is looking for.
- 18 MR. BALL: Chairman, if I may. We introduced
- 19 Cross 6 for the purpose of reference later on during
- 20 the case to these accounts. It's something closer to
- 21 the order in which customers started to be -- you
- 22 know, the back-bill trigger came into effect.
- We think, we think this presentation might
- 24 actually be more useful than the original is I guess
- 25 what I'm saying.

- 1 CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think that we don't have a
- 2 proper foundation for it. But you could work off of
- 3 that yourself as you cross examine other witnesses.
- 4 MR. BALL: Thank you.
- 5 (Exhibit No. URA Cross 6 was not admitted.)
- 6 CHAIRMAN BOYER: With that, let's recess for
- 7 lunch then. We'll reconvene at 2:00. And then we'll
- 8 proceed until 4:30, when we'll have another public
- 9 witness hearing period. Thank you all. We'll be in
- 10 recess.
- 11 (A recess was taken from 12:32 to 2:02 p.m.)
- 12 CHAIRMAN BOYER: We were -- Mr. Ball was
- 13 cross examining Mr. McKay. Just to sort of set the
- 14 pace for the remainder of the afternoon, we have two
- 15 and-a-half hours to finish this portion of the case.
- 16 We haven't yet heard from Ms. Beck, who I believe is
- 17 going to testify for the Committee.
- 18 And I don't know, Mr. Ball, were you
- 19 anticipating putting on another hat and testifying in
- the proceeding as well?
- MR. BALL: Yes, sir.
- 22 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. We'll subtract minute
- 23 for minute, then, from your cross -- I'm only kidding.
- 24 Were you listening, Mr. Ball?
- 25 MR. BALL: With half an ear, Chairman. My

- 1 understanding still is that tomorrow is scheduled if
- 2 we need it?
- 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: It is not. We are scheduled
- 4 for today until 5:30 for the public witness. We have
- 5 other commitments tomorrow. So with that, Mr. Ball,
- 6 you may proceed.
- 7 MR. BALL: Thank you, Chairman. May I ask a
- 8 question that would be educational for me, trying to
- 9 learn from what happened just before lunch and apply
- 10 it to what I had intended for this afternoon.
- 11 Am I right in thinking that it's legitimate
- 12 for me to introduce as cross exhibits data requests
- 13 and responses. But if I have manipulated the data in
- 14 order to make a point, that would be objected to as
- 15 improper?
- 16 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's go about it this way.
- 17 It's proper to introduce cross examination exhibits so
- 18 long as they don't go beyond the scope of direct
- 19 testimony. With respect to documents that you've
- 20 altered, it's a matter of laying a proper foundation
- 21 for them.
- One has to know who prepared the document,
- 23 when it was prepared, how it was prepared, what data
- 24 was used, and those sorts of things to establish a
- 25 proper foundation for its admissibility. I don't know

- 1 if that's helpful to you or not.
- 2 The other corollary issue is the rules, our
- 3 rules discourage trying to prove a case through cross
- 4 examination. And that's kind of what you have been
- 5 doing today.
- 6 I mean, we understand the point you're trying
- 7 to make, but you're trying to do it out of the mouths
- 8 of adverse witnesses. And probably a more expeditious
- 9 way to do that would be to make the points yourself in
- 10 your testimony.
- 11 MR. BALL: Okay. I'm not, I'm not sure that
- 12 I agree with some of the characterization of what I'm
- 13 trying to do there. All I'm really trying to do is,
- 14 from people who have provided the information in the
- 15 first place, to, to obtain confirmation as to what it
- 16 is.
- 17 And I'll make my own observations about the,
- 18 about the evidence in my own testimony. With regard
- 19 to the foundation thing, I'm afraid some of that's
- 20 just flying over my head. So I'm gonna, I'm gonna try
- 21 and do this a different way.
- 22 And what I'm worried about is -- what I'd
- 23 like to do is to introduce the actual -- the base
- 24 data, as provided in data responses, through
- 25 questioning of the stipulants' witnesses. And what

- 1 I'd then like to do is to present my, you know, the
- 2 same data that I have manipulated in one way or
- 3 another to show various points.
- 4 And it's just much, much easier to
- 5 demonstrate what I'm gonna try and demonstrate if the
- 6 data is arranged in certain sequences other than the
- 7 sequences it was originally presented in.
- 8 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, I'm thinking it would
- 9 be a lot more efficient if you would just do that
- 10 during your portion of the testimony --
- 11 MR. BALL: That's what I'm --
- 12 CHAIRMAN BOYER: -- and say, I've reviewed
- 13 the data and --
- 14 MR. BALL: That's what I'm saying. That's
- 15 what I'm proposing now to do. I'm hoping that when I
- 16 do that I'm not gonna walk into a slammed door again.
- 17 Because that's gonna, of course, become quite
- 18 frustrating.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BOYER: The other issue, and this is
- 20 a third, a third issue that's been, you know, I've
- 21 been trying to bend over backwards to accommodate you
- 22 because of your lack of legal training. And that is
- 23 that the only issue before us right now is whether or
- 24 not the stipulation, the settlement stipulation should
- 25 be approved. Whether it's just, reasonable, and in

- 1 the public interest.
- 2 And we've read your testimony, so we know
- 3 your points. And I assume that you'll flush them out
- 4 a little bit in your oral testimony. But going back
- 5 into the record and looking at earlier data requests
- 6 and so on, I'm just not sure how useful that is to us
- 7 in this proceeding.
- 8 MR. BALL: Well, and of course at this stage
- 9 of the proceeding I don't expect you to, to be able to
- 10 see that. But I hope that by the end of the day you
- 11 will be able to see that. That's my intent.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BOYER: All right. Well, let's,
- 13 let's proceed with your first question. Bearing in
- 14 mind the time constraints we're working under.
- 15 MR. BALL: I would like to pass around what I
- 16 would ask be identified as URA Cross Exhibit 7 please,
- 17 Chairman.
- 18 (Pause.)
- 19 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Hello, Mr. McKay. Welcome
- 20 back.
- 21 A. Hello.
- Q. Would you be kind enough to tell us what you
- 23 appear to have in front of you as Cross Exhibit 7,
- 24 please?
- 25 A. This looks like we have a copy of the -- a

- 1 Joint Data Request No. 1.27. And it looks like we
- 2 actually have two copies: One that was originally
- 3 sent on May 8th, and then an updated one that you sent
- 4 on May 16th.
- 5 And it looks like you've made a copy of the
- 6 attachment that was referred to in response.
- 7 Q. Yes, that sounds right to me. And would you
- 8 be kind enough to take a look at page 8 of 8, and tell
- 9 us how many accounts are represented on here?
- 10 A. Assuming we've got all the numbering in
- 11 sequential it looks like our last number that you're
- 12 probably referring to, which is the column to the left
- of Column A, would be 517.
- 14 Q. Thank you. And if you would just flip
- 15 through one or two pages. Do you see that this
- 16 listing is both under and overbilled accounts?
- 17 A. I think that's what Column G is supposed to
- 18 represent.
- 19 Q. And if you look at Column F, it just says
- 20 "und" or "ovr," doesn't it?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay, thank you.
- 23 MR. BALL: Chairman, next is something that
- 24 I'd like to introduce as Cross Exhibit URA 8, please.
- 25 (Pause.)

- 1 O. (By Mr. Ball) Mr. McKay, while I'm wandering
- 2 about with this would you be kind enough to tell us
- 3 what this appears to be?
- 4 A. This looks like it was a Division of Public
- 5 Utilities data request. It's been identified as a
- 6 field data request, so I don't know if that was one
- 7 where they actually were on our premises. I do think
- 8 that they were there at some time, or perhaps they
- 9 asked that in meetings with us on these issues.
- 10 It looks like it was responded to on the
- 11 10th of July. And it's referring to customers
- 12 accounts that were overbilled.
- 13 Q. Thank you. Would you explain what a field
- 14 data request is, please?
- 15 A. Sure. These are ones where they're doing
- 16 their work or their responsibility. And they're
- 17 either on site or they're in meetings. And in there,
- 18 there's a clarification that is sought. And they ask
- 19 that right there on the spot.
- 20 We'll write it down sometimes and say we'll
- 21 respond back to them. And I would assume that's how
- 22 this one occurred, I can't know specifically. But
- 23 that's typically what we characterize as a field data
- 24 request.
- 25 Q. I take it then that you don't actually know

- 1 the circumstances under which this one was made and
- 2 answered?
- 3 A. There's hundreds of data requests that we
- 4 have here. I could try to go back in our
- 5 documentation and try to see if I could figure out
- 6 when it occurred. I would think that that's important
- 7 on this issue. That could be found out.
- 8 Q. Would you, would you be willing to accept my
- 9 representation that this is the only field data
- 10 request in this case?
- 11 A. I don't know.
- 12 Q. Okay. Would you be kind enough to read the
- 13 answer, please?
- 14 A. "One hundred percent of credit
- 15 balances resulting from overbilling
- 16 corrections have been refunded. The
- 17 refunds included interest and were made
- in accordance with the Company's Tariff
- 19 and the Commission's Rules."
- 20 Q. Thank you. When a customer was overbilled
- 21 that would have the effect of a slight reduction,
- 22 wouldn't it, in the rates of ratepayers at large?
- 23 A. I don't understand what you're getting with
- 24 there.
- 25 Q. Hypothetically, you have a customer who has a

- 1 transponder added to his meter. It's maladjusted in
- 2 such a way that the transponder is under-report -- is,
- 3 excuse me, over-reporting the amount of gas that that
- 4 customer has consumed. And as a consequence, the
- 5 customer is overbilled.
- 6 The additional element of revenue represented
- 7 by the amount of the overbilling goes into the
- 8 balancing account, doesn't it? Or a chunk of it does.
- 9 Did before -- until two years ago. Now all of it
- 10 does?
- 11 A. You had about two or three questions there.
- 12 So we bill our customers and we recognize their
- 13 revenue. And I think what you're going after here is
- 14 that there's a couple of different portions of a
- 15 customer's bill.
- There's one that's related to a commodity
- 17 balancing account. And more recently there's actually
- 18 a balancing account of what we refer to as the CET
- 19 balancing account.
- 20 Q. If we go back pre-CET. We have the same
- 21 hypothetical. The overbilled amount gets put into the
- 22 balancing account, doesn't it? Most of it. Not all
- 23 of it, most of it.
- 24 A. Again, I think what you're trying to refer to
- 25 here is the commodity portion. And the commodity

- 1 portion of any customer's bill we take and we
- 2 recognize those revenues as being related to the
- 3 commodity.
- 4 And that is taken into consideration each
- 5 month when we make a balancing account entry for the
- 6 commodity balancing account.
- 7 Q. And, and so that, that element of the
- 8 overbilling would have the effect of reducing rates,
- 9 everybody, by a teeny, teeny, tiny amount, wouldn't
- 10 it?
- 11 A. Until it's corrected.
- 12 Q. Right. So when you refund that customer,
- 13 that's gonna have the opposite effect on the balancing
- 14 account, isn't it?
- 15 A. It would reverse the entry that was
- 16 previously made, if that's what you're asking.
- 17 Q. Now, in the meantime -- well, no. Belay
- 18 that.
- 19 When you make that refund with interest, the
- 20 interest is going have a similar effect to the refund
- 21 on the balancing account, is it not?
- 22 A. You need to remember there's interest
- 23 occurring when you make a -- put it into the balancing
- 24 account. So in this instance it's actually reduced
- 25 interest to begin with.

- 1 Q. So is it your contention that the interest
- 2 effect of overbilling and refunds essentially cancels
- 3 out?
- 4 A. I'd have to look at the particular entry. I
- 5 just recognize that interest goes both ways.
- 6 Q. Okay, thank you.
- 7 MR. BALL: I think we're up to Cross 8,
- 8 Chairman.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BOYER: The next one would be
- 10 Cross 9, Mr. Ball.
- 11 MR. BALL: Cross 9, I beg your pardon. Thank
- 12 you for that.
- 13 (Pause.)
- 14 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Again, would you be kind
- 15 enough to tell us what this appears to be?
- 16 A. You'll have to give me just a moment here
- 17 since we've got a lot more writing on this one.
- 18 Q. Certainly.
- 19 A. I've only read the request right now. So it
- 20 looks like this is a Division of Public Utilities data
- 21 request. That they're simply asking for an update as
- 22 it relates to a previous date request. Which I think
- 23 you've already handed that one to me, I don't know
- 24 which number that one is.
- 25 And this specifically relating to a couple of

- 1 components in that one, as well as a data request that
- 2 the URA had asked. And referring to some specifics
- 3 that they would like us to update there.
- Q. And that was URA Cross 7. How many, how many
- 5 accounts are listed on the spreadsheet attached to
- 6 this one?
- 7 A. The numbering I think you're again referring
- 8 to is the 665.
- 9 Q. Thank you. Turning to the written answer, at
- 10 the second paragraph of the answer, beginning: "The
- 11 information provided." Would you read the first two
- 12 sentences, please?
- 13 A. "The information provided in DPU 3.01
- 14 Attachment combines the previous
- JDR 1.27 Attachment with information
- 16 about new pre-divide errors discovered
- 17 as a result of the completion of the
- 18 system-wide interrogation of
- 19 transponders.
- 20 "Items from the first attachments
- 21 are labelled 1 in the first column of
- this attachment."
- Q. Oh, I beg your pardon. Would you read the
- 24 next sentence as well, please?
- 25 A. "New items that were added to the

- 1 schedule are labelled 2."
- Q. Okay. Could I direct your attention to line
- 3 502 of the attachment?
- 4 (Pause.)
- 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. I am there.
- 6 Q. (By Mr. Ball) How many, how many accounts
- 7 appear to have been brought forward from the previous
- 8 spreadsheet, the one in -- the URA Cross 7?
- 9 A. How many accounts appear to have been brought
- 10 forward -- oh.
- 11 Q. Characterized with the No. 1 in the repeat
- 12 column.
- 13 A. Oh.
- Q. I think it's repeat. Or report.
- 15 A. It looks like that number there is
- 16 corresponding to 502.
- 17 Q. Okay. Can I get you to refer back to URA
- 18 Cross 7 and remind us how many accounts there were in
- 19 total there?
- 20 A. I think that number was 517.
- Q. Okay. Would you accept that the difference
- 22 is entirely accounted for by 15 Wyoming accounts?
- 23 A. That could be. I'm not particularly
- 24 intimately familiar with these details.
- 25 Q. Okay. So now if there are 600 -- have you

- 1 got a pen and a piece of paper there as well?
- 2 A. I do have a pen, and I have a lot of paper
- 3 from you.
- 4 Q. Okay. You'll recall that you, you said a
- 5 minute ago that there appear to be 665 accounts on
- 6 here. And 509 of them -- sorry, beg your pardon, 502
- 7 were carried forward from Cross 7. How many does that
- 8 mean have been added, please?
- 9 A. About 163 is the difference between those two
- 10 numbers. Is that what you wanted me to calculate?
- 11 Q. Thank you. That seems to me to be exactly
- 12 correct. So from the 16th of May, when the response
- 13 to Joint Data Request 1.27 was provided, to the
- 14 23rd of September, effectively four months and one
- 15 week later, the list of over and underbilled accounts
- 16 increased by almost a, by almost a third. Would you
- 17 agree?
- 18 A. We -- yes. We had anticipated that to
- 19 happen.
- 20 Q. Turning to the written answer, again in the
- 21 second paragraph. Would you read the penultimate
- 22 sentence, please, the one beginning: "The number of
- 23 accounts"?
- 24 A. I'm sorry, I didn't have all of that paper
- 25 found until now. So what did you want me to read?

- 1 Q. Okay. In the answer, second paragraph,
- 2 penultimate question. Penultimate sentence, I beg
- 3 your pardon. The one beginning: "The number of
- 4 accounts."
- 5 A. Okay. "The number of accounts, the
- 6 magnitude of the data, and the
- 7 complexity of the billing process makes
- 8 it difficult to provide complete
- 9 assurance that all of the presented
- 10 information is without error."
- 11 Q. Thank you.
- MR. BALL: Sorry, Chairman.
- 13 (Pause.)
- 14 MR. BALL: Chairman, I'm sorry, I need some
- 15 help. Will this be Cross 9 or Cross 10?
- 16 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Ten.
- 17 MR. BALL: Thank you.
- 18 (Pause.)
- 19 Q. (By Mr. Ball) When you're ready, Mr. McKay,
- 20 will you tell us what this appears to be, please?
- 21 A. This is another data request. This is a
- 22 JDR 1.25. And it looks like it was responded to on
- 23 May 8th of '08.
- Q. Thank you. Could I ask you to turn to the
- 25 spreadsheet attachment?

- 1 MS. BYDE: Can I interpose? Perhaps not an
- 2 objection, but a request for clarification as to the
- 3 process here. As we look at these data requests --
- 4 and we, too, have tried to allow as much discussion as
- 5 is appropriate for this proceeding.
- 6 But given that we're here to discuss the
- 7 merits of the settlement, whether it's just,
- 8 reasonable, and in the public interest, I, I too would
- 9 like to know where we're headed with all of this. And
- 10 the second concern that I have is that each of these
- 11 data requests are prepared by someone other than
- 12 Mr. McKay.
- 13 And we are going into quite a bit of detail
- 14 with them. And, you know, thus far he's been able to
- 15 answer questions. Some of them, some of them not.
- 16 And I'm uncomfortable with that, but I would like a
- 17 little guidance as to how long we're gonna continue
- 18 down the road of the merits of the case rather than
- 19 the reasonableness of the settlement.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, I've been wondering
- 21 the same. I understand that there may be
- 22 inconsistencies between these various data requests
- 23 and explanations for them, but I'm wondering the
- 24 relevance to the stipulation.
- 25 MR. BALL: As I understand it, Chairman, the

- 1 purpose of the, of the proceedings today is to
- 2 determine whether or not the stipulation is in the
- 3 public interest and will result in just and reasonable
- 4 rates.
- 5 I don't know how to demonstrate the position
- 6 or the, the fundamentals of the position of the Utah
- 7 Ratepayers Association that it is -- that approval of
- 8 the stipulation would neither be in the public
- 9 interest nor would it be just and reasonable in effect
- 10 without putting before the Commission factual
- 11 information, such as it is in this case. Having an
- 12 opportunity to argue it.
- 13 When I heard that -- and I think it was like
- 14 a week and-a-half ago, on a Friday -- I heard that
- 15 Questar had asked the Commission to convert this
- 16 hearing to this purpose, I did write to the Commission
- 17 and say, There's liable to be extensive testimony. Do
- 18 you want it in written form? Do you want it live on
- 19 the, on the day, or what?
- 20 And essentially the answer to that particular
- 21 question was a resounding silence. Now, I learned
- 22 today, a few minutes ago really, that the Commission
- 23 has converted tomorrow's scheduled hearing date to
- 24 other purposes. I'm being given the hurry up here.
- 25 And I know that you understand and I know

- 1 that you're trying to give me latitude, recognizing
- 2 the fact that I'm not an attorney. That I'm here
- 3 essentially solo representing the Utah Ratepayers
- 4 Association.
- 5 But I don't know how to do this any other
- 6 way. I'm very, very worried in how --
- 7 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, let me ask this,
- 8 Mr. Ball. And maybe I can give you some guidance on
- 9 how to do it. What is the point you're trying to
- 10 make? We understand your argument and your position
- 11 that you believe it unfair for other general customers
- 12 to pay for any portion of the monies involved in the
- 13 underbilling.
- 14 We understand that. Are you trying to
- 15 quantify how much that is, or --
- 16 MR. BALL: Partly. And I want to bring in --
- 17 I want to put before the Commission the
- 18 inconsistencies in the numerical information that's
- 19 been provided, the gaps in the information that's been
- 20 provided, in order to point out that nobody reasonably
- 21 can argue that this stipulation is going to be in the
- 22 public interest and just and reasonable. And I ask
- 23 that you let me do that, please.
- 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, I'm still having a,
- 25 you know. The fact that there are, you know, six

- 1 Wyoming customers or not six Wyoming customers, I just
- 2 don't understand how that goes to the proof of your
- 3 point.
- 4 MR. BALL: I'm hoping that that will become
- 5 clear as the afternoon wears on, Chairman.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, let's do this then.
- 7 We'll give you until a quarter to three to conclude
- 8 your cross examination of Mr. McKay, and then we're
- 9 gonna move to another witness. Because the other
- 10 parties have to have an opportunity to present their
- 11 case as well.
- 12 And by the way, we, we had tomorrow scheduled
- 13 for the case in chief, but we only scheduled one day
- 14 for hearings on the settlement stipulation. And we've
- 15 made plans accordingly.
- MR. BALL: May I continue?
- 17 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Please do.
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Mr. McKay, would you be kind
- 19 enough to look at the attachment to the -- to what has
- 20 been identified as Cross 10, please? Would you --
- 21 A. I'm looking.
- Q. Would you be kind enough to look at the first
- 23 table, the one headed "Transponders Installed"? And
- 24 fairly briefly if you would, please, just explain to
- 25 us what we're looking at there.

- 1 A. Appears to be a summary of the transponders
- 2 installed by year, by area.
- 3 Q. Okay. Thank you very much.
- 4 MR. BALL: I guess we're on to 11, Chairman.
- 5 (Pause.)
- 6 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Again, Mr. McKay, when you're
- 7 ready please tell us what this appears to be.
- 8 A. Looks like you asked for the same data that
- 9 had already been provided in Data Request 1.25. And
- 10 we responded by referring you to JDR 1.25.
- 11 Q. This is, this is the Company's response to
- 12 Utah Ratepayers Association Data Request 5.11, isn't
- 13 it?
- 14 A. That would be what's numbered here, yep.
- 15 Q. And Questar provided it on the 20th of June,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Would you please be kind enough in the answer
- 19 to read the second sentence?
- 20 A. "Everything prior to approximately
- December 2002 was a 3.4 transponder and
- 22 everything after that date was a VRT
- 23 transponder."
- Q. Thank you.
- MR. BALL: I think this is gonna be 12,

- 1 Chairman.
- 2 (Pause.)
- 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Ball, we need one more
- 4 up here, please.
- 5 Q. (By Mr. Ball) Mr. McKay, would you be kind
- 6 enough to tell us what this appears to be?
- 7 A. This is a Joint Data Request No. 1.17. It's
- 8 responded to by the Company on the 8th of May of '08.
- 9 Q. Would you be kind enough, please, to read the
- 10 first sentence in the answer?
- 11 A. "Questar...first became aware of the
- 12 potential extent" -- and having actually reviewed this
- 13 one I ought to make sure you understand this. The
- 14 extent that we're referring to is the magnitude of
- 15 these about 580 customers, meaning that large of a
- 16 group -- "of the problem associated with the incorrect
- 17 pre-divide settings in the late spring of '07."
- 18 Q. Thank you. In your direct earlier on, before
- 19 lunch, you said that in '9 -- words to the effect of.
- 20 And I'm not speak seeking to misrepresent, so correct
- 21 me if I get the sense of it wrong. In '95 the Company
- 22 saw an opportunity to change its system to automated
- 23 meter reading and reduce operating costs.
- 24 My question is, did the Company seek
- 25 regulatory approval of that change?

- 1 A. Not to my memory. I do remember us coming
- 2 and talking with the regulators in what we call a
- 3 quarterly update meeting. And informing them of the
- 4 technology. Of how we were planning to do some pilot
- 5 areas to begin with. To see how they might work and
- 6 respond as we went forward.
- 7 Q. Did the, did the Company notify any of its
- 8 customers that tran -- that a transponder was going to
- 9 be installed on their meter prior to doing so?
- 10 A. Some I'm very much aware that they did.
- 11 Simply because as they came to homes, in feedback from
- 12 some of the people, they were wondering what they were
- 13 doing. And they were trained to explain what they
- 14 were doing.
- 15 I don't know specifically in these new areas
- 16 when we first did that. But I am aware that some of
- 17 the customers were aware. Others probably were not.
- 18 Q. When a transponder was installed on a meter
- 19 was that meter immediately taken off the manual meter
- 20 reading schedules?
- 21 A. I don't think it was. In fact, I'm quite
- 22 sure it was not. Typically, a company bills with
- 23 billing cycles. And so I don't think we were able to
- 24 get a complete cycle done all in perfect timing.
- 25 So we'd go through an area. Go through the

- 1 procedures of checking and following up with people.
- 2 Coming back a few days later and checking. Making
- 3 sure that all of the meters on that particular cycle
- 4 had the transponders in.
- 5 And then I think they -- initially when they
- 6 went out we even did a few dry runs to see how they
- 7 were responding. Typically, actually having driven
- 8 with a few meter readers, not all of the meters
- 9 respond when they go by.
- 10 So they're trained to get out of the vehicle
- 11 on those and be able to go up and try to find out why
- 12 it might not be responding. If that's unable for them
- 13 to do that day, they'll make a note of it.
- 14 And then we'll send readers back the next day
- 15 to see if it happened to just be a bad day for the
- 16 transponder and in fact it does respond the next day.
- 17 Or sometimes it may be one that doesn't respond and
- 18 they may have to replace it.
- 19 Q. Is it your recollection that the first --
- 20 that the date of discovery of the first maladjusted
- 21 transponder that is included on any of these data
- 22 requests was somewhere in 2005?
- 23 A. I'd have to look them over.
- Q. Can you do that then?
- 25 A. If you want me to go through that whole

- 1 thing, I'd have to. I don't know.
- Q. And then you've got a bunch of them in 2006,
- 3 didn't you?
- 4 A. I don't know what a "bunch" is.
- Q. Okay. I guess what I'm wondering is, if
- 6 meter readers kept on look -- kept on going and
- 7 looking at the meters for a cycle or two, how come in
- 8 some instances it was years before the Company
- 9 realized that there -- that a particular account was
- 10 being under or overbilled?
- 11 A. The transponder -- and maybe that's what's
- 12 being lost here, or you don't have -- the
- 13 understanding is not clear. The transponder in all of
- 14 these, they sent signals. They functioned exactly how
- 15 they had been set to, to respond.
- The problem was, is they'd been set to
- 17 respond either for double their usage or for one-half
- 18 their usage. And so all indications that we had from
- 19 just going around on the transponders, until our
- 20 people were able to develop good software packages to
- 21 do an interrogation between the meter type and the
- 22 transponder setting, was just simply a good signal
- 23 that came through and gave us half the read or double
- 24 the read.
- 25 So there's really no indication to think that

- 1 the transponder isn't necessarily giving us
- 2 information that it had been set to give us. In fact,
- 3 it was giving us the information it had been set to
- 4 give us. Unfortunate thing is, less than one-tenth of
- 5 one percent happened to be incorrectly set.
- 6 Q. Are you telling us that nobody was actually
- 7 comparing the manual meter reads with the early
- 8 transponder reads?
- 9 A. I think, if my understanding of our checking
- 10 system, is we actually sent people out there to the
- 11 premises to make sure that it was a registering
- 12 transponder as well as a meter. And so I think that
- 13 did take place.
- 14 But as far as going and trying to match what
- 15 the transponder had or what was particularly on the
- 16 meter, that's what was something we were able to
- 17 develop with the -- one of two things. One would be
- 18 the interrogation system now that allowed us to do
- 19 that on all the VRT meters.
- 20 And then the other is the MTIP program that
- 21 we're in the process of doing every -- well, we
- 22 continually do that over a three-year period. And as
- 23 soon as we're done there, we'll start over again.
- Q. I'm confused, Mr. McKay, and I'd appreciate
- 25 it if you would help unconfuse me. I thought I heard

- 1 you say just a few moments ago that it was typical for
- 2 manual meter reading to continue for a billing cycle,
- 3 or two, or three after a transponder was installed.
- 4 And now you're telling me that all that the
- 5 meter readers -- all that was going on, really, was
- 6 that people were concerned about whether the
- 7 transponder was working?
- 8 A. The --
- 9 Q. Not whether or not it was delivering an
- 10 accurate record; is that correct?
- 11 A. I think you are mixing a couple of different
- 12 issues there. So, one is related to the installation
- 13 of the transponder. To make sure that it's going to
- 14 send a signal. The other you're talking about is just
- 15 a meter reading of an account.
- And until we got all the transponders on a
- 17 particular billing cycle, we didn't go around -- which
- 18 is I think what you may -- I don't -- I guess I can't
- 19 put words in your mouth. I don't know what you're
- 20 referring to there.
- 21 But we did not go around and do a meter
- 22 reading run, if you will, using the transponders. And
- 23 then send somebody else out also to go and read the,
- 24 the meter by hand. That actually would have been a
- 25 tremendous expense that would not have been a prudent

- 1 choice for us to make and -- for all of our customers.
- Q. So what -- are -- am I now hearing that in
- 3 fact when the transponder was set, manual meter
- 4 reading ended. Is that what you're saying?
- 5 A. I don't think I said that.
- 6 Q. Well, and that's what I'm trying to
- 7 understand. Because it seems to me that I -- to my
- 8 mind I'm not getting a clear answer to what seems to
- 9 me to be a fairly straightforward question. Let me
- 10 try again.
- 11 When a transponder was installed on a meter I
- 12 understand that there was -- that somebody came and
- 13 had a look to see whether the transponder appeared to
- 14 be working and the meter index was still appearing to
- 15 be working. And I'm taking this from data responses.
- 16 And as a result of that -- because that was
- 17 done very shortly after the transponder was installed,
- 18 that's the reason why people might not notice that the
- 19 meter index reading and the transponder reading
- 20 weren't identical.
- I thought at one point that I heard you say
- 22 to me that meter reading continued for another one,
- 23 two, three cycles, manual meter reading, so that the
- 24 Company was getting both the transponder report and a
- 25 manual meter reading report.

- 1 A. That's where you're wrong.
- Q. Fine. So what really happened?
- 3 A. Okay. You just said that we were getting
- 4 both. We weren't getting both. I'm simply saying
- 5 that there was a lag of time on all the installation
- 6 of the transponders from when they actually went on
- 7 to -- it could have been a month. Some of them it
- 8 might have been the very next week.
- 9 It just kind of is the process of putting
- 10 them all on there. Some of them might have been two
- 11 months. But we did not go out and do the dual that
- 12 you just said there. And that's what I think I've
- 13 been trying to say.
- 14 That we did a switch over. But we had to
- 15 wait until all of the meters in a particular cycle had
- 16 the transponders. You might get a transponder that
- 17 had been put on, and had to wait a month or two until
- 18 all of the meters in that cycle had that. So that's
- 19 what I was referring to there.
- 20 Q. So were those transponders being
- 21 automatically read during that interim period?
- 22 A. No. They were just resting. They were
- 23 waiting for it to be turned on once we started.
- Q. Okay. So when -- it wasn't when the
- 25 transponder was installed. It was when the first

- 1 transponder meter reading run was done,
- 2 contemporaneously with that, manual meter reading
- 3 ended, did it?
- 4 A. I think that's a good description once we
- 5 were getting into full swing of this transition.
- 6 Maybe to just give you a little bit of understanding
- 7 on it, when we first went out and did this in some of
- 8 our pilot areas I think what you're referring to did
- 9 in fact occur to make sure we could have confidence.
- 10 And I think the evidence shows a tremendous
- 11 improvement in our accuracy.
- 12 MR. BALL: Thank you very much, Mr. McKay.
- 13 Thank you, Chairman.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Ball. Did
- 15 you want to move for admission of your cross
- 16 Exhibits 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12?
- 17 MR. BALL: Thank you very much, Chairman,
- 18 that's very helpful. Yes, please.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Are there any objections to
- 20 the admission of these data requests? URA Exhibits 7
- 21 through 12? Seeing none, they are admitted into
- 22 evidence.
- 23 (Exhibit Nos. URA 7 through 12 were admitted.)
- 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Is there other cross
- 25 examination of Mr. McKay? Ms. Schmid?

- 1 MS. SCHMID: No questions from the Division.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Proctor?
- MR. PROCTOR: None, thank you.
- 4 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Commissioner Allen?
- 5 Commissioner Campbell? Nor I. Redirect, Ms. Byde?
- 6 MS. BYDE: No, thank you.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well. Thank you,
- 8 Mr. McKay. You may step down.
- 9 Let's move now to the Committee of Consumer
- 10 Services.
- 11 MR. PROCTOR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 12 Ms. Beck will be the Committee's witness.
- 13 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Ms. Beck, let's swear you
- 14 in.
- 15 (Ms. Beck was sworn.)
- MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Chairman, if I could begin.
- 17 MICHELE BECK,
- 18 called as a witness, having been duly sworn,
- 19 was examined and testified as follows:
- 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. PROCTOR:
- Q. Ms. Beck, would you -- well, you're Michele
- 23 Beck?
- 24 A. Yes, I am.
- 25 O. You're the director of the Utah Committee of

- 1 Consumer Services?
- 2 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Proctor, I'm not sure
- 3 that your mic is on.
- 4 MR. PROCTOR: Oh. Well, it says it is.
- 5 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Maybe you need to move it a
- 6 little closer to you then.
- 7 MR. PROCTOR: Is that better?
- 8 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Yes, thank you.
- 9 MR. PROCTOR: Thank you.
- 10 Q. (By Mr. Procter) You are the director of the
- 11 Utah Committee of Consumer Services?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. We have prepared an exhibit list -- well,
- 14 actually the Committee prepared an exhibit list of the
- 15 filings that the Committee has made in this matter.
- 16 Consisting of CCS Exhibit -- or Hearing Exhibit 1,
- 17 which was the September 9, 2008, Response of the
- 18 Committee of Consumer Services.
- 19 And Attachment A, the Committee's Analysis
- 20 and Concerns. And 1 -- Attachment 1 through 6 of
- 21 certain exhibits. Are you familiar with these
- 22 exhibits, Ms. Beck?
- 23 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Were they prepared by you or under your
- 25 direction by Committee staff?

- 1 A. Yes, they were.
- Q. And do these represent the position of the
- 3 Utah Committee of Consumer Services in connection with
- 4 this matter?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 MR. PROCTOR: We would move to admit CCS
- 7 Exhibit -- or Hearing Exhibit 1, Appendix A, and
- 8 Attachments 1 through 6, please.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Are there any objections to
- 10 the admission of CCS Hearing Exhibit 1, together with
- 11 its exhibits?
- MS. SCHMID: No.
- 13 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well, they are admitted
- 14 into evidence.
- 15 (Exhibit Nos. CCS Hearing 1, Appendix A, and
- 16 Attachments 1 through 6 were admitted.)
- 17 MR. PROCTOR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Proctor) Ms. Beck, did you
- 19 participate in discussions with the -- Questar, the
- 20 Division of Public Utilities, the Utah Ratepayers
- 21 Association, and other interested parties that led up
- 22 to the stipulation which the Committee has now signed?
- 23 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Do you have a summary of your involvement or
- 25 the Committee's involvement and participation in those

- 1 discussions, and a statement with respect to the
- 2 stipulation itself?
- 3 A. A summary regarding our position and why we
- 4 believe it's in the public interest? Yes, I do.
- 5 Q. Go ahead.
- 6 A. Good afternoon Chairman and Commissioners.
- 7 I'm here today to speak on behalf of the Committee of
- 8 Consumer Services in favor of this settlement
- 9 presented to you. First I would like to thank the
- 10 Commission for launching the investigation, and for
- 11 its expeditious response to our initial requests back
- 12 in late March.
- 13 The Committee is pleased to have been
- 14 involved in articulating the parameters of the
- 15 investigation, but would like to clarify that the
- 16 investigation itself was conducted by the Division.
- 17 The Committee reviewed the investigation itself, the
- 18 data requests and responses, and the comments from
- 19 other parties.
- 20 We believe that the investigation addressed
- 21 the scope as we had envisioned it. The Committee has
- 22 also reviewed the analysis underlying the exhibit put
- 23 forth today by the Division. And is confident that
- 24 the approximately \$1,081,000 contained in that exhibit
- 25 as a total reasonably reflects the extent of the

- 1 transponder underbillings, and is the appropriate
- 2 starting place for discussing a resolution to the
- 3 problem.
- 4 As you know, the Committee, by statute,
- 5 represents residential and small commercial consumers
- 6 on matters before this Commission. Specifically where
- 7 we are mandated to represent consumers as a group, not
- 8 individual consumer complaints.
- 9 Under this mandate we considered multiple
- 10 aspects of the issues. On one hand, we analyzed the
- 11 issues regarding those consumers who were impacted by
- 12 the large back-bills. While the total number was
- 13 certainly not a majority of the consumers we
- 14 represent, there were no particular defining
- 15 characteristics that separated those who had
- 16 mis-programmed transponders from whose who did not.
- 17 Since this issue could easily have impacted
- 18 any of the small consumers we represent, the Committee
- 19 felt it was critical to find an outcome that would be
- 20 fair to these consumers. And we believe that these
- 21 back-bills that covered as much as two years, and in
- 22 some cases were extremely large, did not represent
- 23 their outcome.
- On the other hand, the Committee recognizes
- 25 that under current laws and regulatory framework the

- 1 vast majority of the costs associated with the
- 2 mis-programmed transponders have already been
- 3 recovered through the 191 and CET balancing accounts.
- 4 This means that to the extent that these
- 5 costs are not paid by the consumers who received the
- 6 large back-bills, the consumers who actually consumed
- 7 the gas, then they will have been paid by all other
- 8 consumers.
- 9 The Committee believes that this also would
- 10 not represent a fair outcome. Consumers in general
- 11 neither consume the gas, nor were responsible for this
- 12 mistake. Although they do benefit from lower rates
- 13 associated with a more efficient meter reading
- 14 program.
- One final element of fairness that the
- 16 Committee analyzed was to examine fair and equitable
- 17 treatment across different classes of mistakes and
- 18 remedies. For other similar types of meter errors the
- 19 current laws and tariffs give Questar the right to
- 20 back-bill the affected customer for six months of
- 21 usage.
- 22 Implicit in this longstanding practice is
- 23 that the majority of the remainder of unbilled costs
- 24 associated with meter error is absorbed into the rates
- 25 paid by all customers.

- 1 The Committee believes that the transponder
- 2 programming errors currently at issue are most closely
- 3 analogous to those kinds of meter errors. Therefore,
- 4 to prevent discriminatory treatment across
- 5 similarly-situated customers, the remedy to these
- 6 current problems should be treated the same as meter
- 7 errors.
- 8 Considering all of these different consumer
- 9 issues, the Committee is confident that the settlement
- 10 before you today provides appropriate balance. First,
- 11 we think that a six month back-bill is reasonable.
- 12 Anything different would result in discriminatory
- 13 treatment.
- 14 We've heard some consumer concerns that the
- 15 back-billed customers are the ones who consumed the
- 16 gas. That they should have somehow known something
- 17 was wrong with their bill, and therefore should be the
- 18 ones who pay.
- 19 Certainly there may have been some cases
- 20 where a customer's bill dropped -- it's bill dropped
- 21 in half from January to February, which would raise
- 22 certain questions. However, we are aware of many
- 23 individual cases in which the decrease in bills
- 24 coincided with conservation measures or a decrease in
- 25 the number of people in the household.

- 1 Others could not detect changes due to the
- 2 lack of history, having even monthly bills and budget
- 3 billing, or having the changes occur during the off
- 4 season. These customers deserve the opportunity to
- 5 know the level of their consumption and make
- 6 appropriate adjustments.
- 7 Therefore, the six months back-bill is much
- 8 more fair than the 24 months. And also results in
- 9 equity between these customers and other customers who
- 10 have experienced different types of meter errors.
- 11 Further, this settlement clarifies the policy going
- 12 forward to maintain this equity.
- 13 The second important piece of this settlement
- 14 is the \$480,000 that Questar has agreed to write off.
- 15 As part of our analysis the Committee looked at the
- 16 estimated difference between a 24 month and a six
- 17 month back-bill in total for all of the affected
- 18 errors.
- 19 We did not want our recommendation for a six
- 20 month back-bill to unreasonably burden other
- 21 customers. The analysis indicated that the amount
- 22 being written off by the Company is very close to the
- 23 difference between what would be repaid by the
- 24 individual customers on 24 month basis and on a six
- 25 month basis.

- 1 This means that consumers in general do not
- 2 have an increased burden as a result of reducing the
- 3 burden of the individuals with the large back-bills.
- 4 The Committee, in representing the interests of the
- 5 majority of small consumers, believed that this was a
- 6 critical outcome in achieving this settlement.
- 7 The last issue that I would like to address
- 8 is the concern that consumers in general have paid
- 9 anything at all toward resolving this problem. The
- 10 Committee notes that in setting the -- pardon me.
- 11 Notes that the new rates for Questar Gas that went
- 12 into effect this summer incorporates the net savings
- 13 associated with the automated meter reading.
- 14 And when I say "net savings," I examined what
- 15 the lower meter reading costs were, minus the -- part
- 16 of the new capital costs associated with the
- 17 transponders that are incorporated into rates. And
- 18 this net savings is approximately \$2.50 per customer
- 19 per year.
- 20 So in that context the approximately 40 cents
- 21 already paid by each average customer over the course
- of the last several years seems to be a reasonable
- 23 cost for the implementation of a new more efficient
- 24 technology.
- 25 The Committee would also like to reiterate

- 1 that it represents the small consumers as a group, and
- 2 as such did not specifically analyze the circumstances
- 3 of each individual complainant relative to this
- 4 settlement.
- 5 However, the Committee believes that the
- 6 terms of the settlement are clear that if any
- 7 individual consumer impacted by the transponder errors
- 8 believes that his or her individual facts and
- 9 circumstances warrant looking at separately and
- 10 potentially arriving at a different solution, those
- 11 individual consumers retain the right to pursue their
- 12 case further.
- Considering all of the consumer interests
- 14 that we represent, the Committee is confident that
- 15 this settlement results in a just and reasonable
- 16 outcome that is in the public interest. And
- 17 respectfully requests that the Commission approve it.
- 18 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Ms. Beck.
- 19 MR. PROCTOR: Ms. Beck is available for cross
- 20 examination.
- 21 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Let's begin with
- 22 Ms. Schmid. Questions for Ms. Beck?
- MS. SCHMID: No questions.
- 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Ms. Byde?
- 25 MS. BYDE: Questar Gas has no questions.

- 1 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well. Mr. Ball, have
- 2 you questions for Ms. Beck?
- 3 MR. BALL: No thank you, Chairman.
- 4 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Commissioner Allen?
- 5 Commissioner Campbell?
- I have one question, Ms. Beck. Assume, just
- 7 for argument's sake, that instead of five or six
- 8 hundred customers being underbilled because of
- 9 transponder issues, that five or six hundred customers
- 10 simply didn't pay their bills. Who would bear the
- 11 cost of that loss of revenue? Given our current
- 12 regulatory scheme and...
- 13 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I didn't hear
- 14 the end of your question.
- 15 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Given our current regulatory
- 16 scheme and the 191 balancing account.
- 17 THE WITNESS: As you know, Chairman, I'm not
- 18 a regulatory accountant, so I'm gonna give you my best
- 19 answer here.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BOYER: If you know.
- 21 THE WITNESS: And I -- what I, what I don't
- 22 know, I -- certainly the cost of gas -- I think if
- 23 they don't pay the bill it's, it's tracked in an
- 24 accounting method differently than if it wasn't
- 25 recorded.

- 1 So what I can't tell is you how much of that
- 2 would get picked up through balancing accounts and how
- 3 much would go into a different account for
- 4 uncollectibles. So that would, that would have to be
- 5 something -- I could certainly check with my experts
- 6 and get back to you.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BOYER: It was just a hypothetical
- 8 question. Thank you.
- 9 Ms. Byde -- or I beg your pardon.
- 10 Mr. Proctor, any redirect?
- MR. PROCTOR: No, thank you.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well.
- 13 Thank you, Ms. Beck. You may step down.
- Now, do the proponents -- are there any other
- 15 proponents who wish to be heard before we hear from
- 16 Mr. Ball?
- Okay. Let's turn now to you, Mr. Ball.
- 18 MR. BALL: Thank you, Chairman. Do you want
- 19 me to come up to the stand or to operate from here?
- 20 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You can operate from there
- 21 with your, your documentation and so on. But if
- 22 you're gonna give testimony we'll need to swear you.
- 23 Is that your intention?
- MR. BALL: Yes, please.
- 25 (Mr. Ball was sworn.)

- 1 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You may sit down and
- 2 proceed.
- 3 MR. BALL: Thank you. The Ratepayers
- 4 Association have some fundamental philosophical
- 5 differences with the arguments of the stipulants in
- 6 this matter and with the past practice of this
- 7 Commission.
- 8 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Before you begin, Mr. Ball,
- 9 why don't you for the record give us a little
- 10 background --
- 11 MR. BALL: Oh.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BOYER: -- on the Utah Ratepayers
- 13 Association. How many members do you have, what type
- 14 of -- are they customers of Questar, what type of
- 15 customers, that sort of thing.
- MR. BALL: Perhaps I should begin by saying
- 17 that my name is Roger J. Ball. I'm here to testify on
- 18 behalf of the Utah Ratepayers Association, its
- 19 members, and perhaps in the interest of other
- 20 similarly-situated ratepayers.
- 21 I've been qualified as an expert witness in
- 22 several proceedings before this Commission and before
- 23 the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada.
- On the 9th of September, 2008, on behalf of
- 25 the Ratepayers Association, I filed comments in

- 1 response to the 18th of July, 2008, report of the Utah
- 2 Division of Public Utilities, consisting of 25 pages,
- 3 including a service certificate, which I asked should
- 4 be marked as URA Exhibit 1.0.
- 5 That, that was --
- 6 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Excuse me, what was the date
- 7 of that?
- 8 MR. BALL: The 9th of September. That was
- 9 filed with the Commission on that day and copies were
- 10 provided to the other parties in this proceeding.
- 11 On the 9th of October, 2008, I filed the Utah
- 12 Ratepayers Association's comments in reply to the 9th
- of September, 2008, response comments of Questar Gas
- 14 Company, the Utah Committee of Consumer Services, and
- 15 Salt Lake Community Action Program, consisting of
- 16 13 pages, including a service certificate, which I ask
- 17 should be marked as URA Exhibit 2.0.
- 18 I offer the two sets of comments and ask that
- 19 they be admitted into evidence, please.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Are there any objections to
- 21 the admission of URA Exhibit 1, which consists of
- 22 comments and reply to the -- oh, no. I beg your
- 23 pardon, I've got these -- yes. Comments in response
- 24 to the September 9th comments by Questar.
- 25 And URA 2, filed -- I've got those backwards,

- 1 didn't I? Or did I? Is the document filed on October
- 2 9th your URA Exhibit 1.0, or?
- 3 MR. BALL: No, that's two.
- 4 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, I did get them
- 5 backwards.
- 6 MR. BALL: Yeah. It's the 9th of September
- 7 for one and the 9th of October for two, if I recall
- 8 correctly.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. I'm sorry, I had
- 10 those backwards. Are there objections to the
- 11 admission of URA Exhibit 1.0, which were comments
- 12 filed on September 9th, and URA 2.0, filed on
- 13 October 9th? Seeing none, they're admitted into
- 14 evidence, Mr. Ball.
- 15 (Exhibits Nos. URA 1.0 and URA 2.0 were
- 16 admitted.)
- 17 MR. BALL: Thank you. The Utah Ratepayers
- 18 Association's principal business address is
- 19 1375 Vintry Lane, Salt Lake City, Utah 84121. And it
- 20 was granted intervention in this proceeding by a
- 21 Commission order dated the 18th of April 2008.
- 22 The Association has a special interest in
- 23 ensuring that its members and ratepayers at large are
- 24 treated justly and reasonably in this matter by
- 25 Questar Gas Company. Ratepayers bear the expenses,

- 1 including a rate -- a return on equity, and repay the
- 2 investments of the Company. So its prudent and
- 3 efficient management are of particular importance to
- 4 them.
- 5 Moreover, other than individual formal
- 6 complainants with all of whose positions it is not
- 7 familiar, the Association is the only party to stand
- 8 in opposition to the stipulation.
- 9 Members of the Association, like ratepayers
- 10 at large, use natural gas for space and water heating
- 11 in their homes and businesses. For which they have no
- 12 choice of alternative suppliers and are therefore
- 13 captive customers who must necessarily pay whatever
- 14 rates are approved by the Commission.
- 15 The economic impact of those rates on members
- 16 is proportionately similar, as will be the effect of
- 17 the future prudence and efficiency of company
- 18 management to that upon Questar Gas Company's
- 19 ratepayers at large. So their legal rights and
- 20 interests will be equally and perhaps substantially
- 21 affected by the Commission's adjudication of this
- 22 matter.
- 23 Furthermore, those members who have received
- 24 correcting bills are similarly affected to others of
- 25 the 635. The Association became aware of the issue on

- 1 the 19th of March, 2008, when a ratepayer reported
- 2 having received an unexpectedly high bill in February
- 3 and sought assistance in resolving questions about it.
- 4 It has subsequently emerged that others are
- 5 affected, either because they have received back-bills
- 6 or because their rates have already increased to allow
- 7 Questar to recover the under-collections. Questar Gas
- 8 Company's employees and contractors incorrectly
- 9 installed radio transponders on at least 635 meters,
- 10 causing under-reporting of gas consumption in many of
- 11 those cases over a period of up to ten years.
- 12 In many, perhaps the overwhelming majority of
- 13 cases, ratepayers were unaware that their meter
- 14 readings were now being downloaded remotely,
- 15 consequently they were not alert to even the
- 16 possibility of a new source of billing errors. The
- 17 total under-collected over the past ten years is
- 18 probably well in excess of the \$1 million to which
- 19 Questar admits.
- 20 The commodity costs of half the gas used by
- 21 those whose consumption was under-metered nevertheless
- 22 went into the balancing account, where it tipped the
- 23 account balance against the interests of ratepayers at
- 24 large. And caused rates set in the semiannual
- 25 pass-through cases to be higher increasingly from

- 1 about 1998 until roughly 2006 as transponders were
- 2 installed on more and more meters.
- 3 To compensate, ratepayers at large may well
- 4 already have paid much more than \$375,000 -- oh, dear.
- 5 I need to double that. \$730,000, which is 73 percent
- 6 of the million dollars extra in contributions to the
- 7 191 account, for which they've received no benefit.
- 8 The Association takes a fundamentally
- 9 different philosophical --
- 10 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Could -- would you mind
- 11 responding to my question? Who -- how many members do
- 12 you have, who are they, what type of customers. Do
- 13 you represent -- do your members consist of some who
- 14 were underbilled, and thereby, under your view of
- 15 this, case be benefitted by the stipulation. And
- 16 others who were not who would be prejudiced by the
- 17 stipulation under your view? Can you -- do you know
- 18 that?
- 19 MR. BALL: I'm sorry, this is, this is
- 20 get-you-back time. I lost count of how many questions
- 21 there were. But let me try and answer --
- 22 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Those were --
- 23 MR. BALL: Let me try and answer some of
- 24 them. And you can -- you will have to come back with
- 25 any I missed. One of the questions was how many

- 1 members does the Association have, and I don't know.
- 2 It has more than 100. We haven't reached
- 3 1,000 yet. There are residential customers, old and
- 4 young. There are also business customers. They're
- 5 located all over the state. Are they affected by this
- 6 case? I, I am not certain if they are all Questar Gas
- 7 customers.
- 8 I can't think off the top of my head of
- 9 anyone who, who probably isn't, if you take my
- 10 meaning. But we don't ask them. Because the
- 11 Ratepayers Association is introduce -- is interested
- 12 in representing the interests of ratepayers of all
- 13 kinds of utility-type operations.
- 14 But I would hazard a guess that virtually
- 15 every Association member is a customer of Questar Gas,
- 16 and just a slightly smaller proportion of Rocky
- 17 Mountain Power. What did I miss?
- 18 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Only one element. You did
- 19 very well. And that is, do some of your members --
- 20 were some of your members underbilled, some of them
- 21 not underbilled?
- MR. BALL: I'm not aware of any who were
- overbilled, but that doesn't mean there weren't any.
- 24 I am aware of a few who were underbilled and therefore
- 25 received back-bills.

- 1 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you.
- 2 MR. BALL: Oh, and you said something else
- 3 that intrigued me in your question a bit ago. You
- 4 said something that indicated to me that you think
- 5 that if the Association has members who were
- 6 underbilled, that the stipulation would somehow be to
- 7 their benefit.
- 8 CHAIRMAN BOYER: In, in your view of this
- 9 case -- well, you heard the description that Ms. Beck
- 10 made when she discussed her different constituencies.
- 11 Some of the members of her constituent group were
- 12 underbilled. And if the stipulation is approved
- 13 they'll only have to pay back six months of their
- 14 underbilled amounts.
- 15 She also represents groups -- individuals and
- 16 customers who were not underbilled and they were just
- 17 part of the general customer base who, under your view
- 18 of this case, would unfairly have to pay that portion
- 19 that's not picked up by the, by the underbilled
- 20 customers and Rocky Mountain Power.
- 21 And so I'm just wondering if you base that
- 22 same inherent conflict of interest among your
- 23 constituents.
- 24 MR. BALL: If I may, I'll not directly answer
- 25 that right now. If it's not clear by the time I'm

- 1 done, I'd be very happy if you asked me again. Would
- 2 that be okay?
- 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well. I just want the
- 4 record to reflect for whom you speak.
- 5 MR. BALL: Thank you. The Utah Ratepayers
- 6 Association takes a fundamentally different
- 7 philosophical approach to that of the stipulants.
- 8 That seems to be founded on the notion, the
- 9 longstanding notion, time-honored, even, notion that
- 10 somehow or another the utility should be held
- 11 harmless, regardless of what it does.
- 12 That ratepayers at large are there to pick up
- 13 the tab. There are three groups that need to be
- 14 considered in comprehending all that's going on here.
- 15 The third group are the individual customers who have
- 16 been inappropriately billed. They're, in turn,
- 17 subdivided into those who were overbilled and those
- 18 who were underbilled.
- 19 The case has similarities to the issue of
- 20 rural communities paying GSS and EAC rates. And
- 21 whilst I don't want to get into that here, the
- 22 parallels are striking. There are, again, three
- 23 constituencies: Questar, ratepayers at large, and a
- 24 relatively small number of customers with special
- 25 circumstances different from everybody else.

- 1 In this particular case the Association
- 2 believes that Questar took -- saw and took a business
- 3 opportunity. It's not at all novel to contemplate
- 4 that once Questar manages to reduce its labor costs
- 5 for meter reading, it benefits by that reduction until
- 6 its next general rate case.
- 7 Once that general rate case takes place, the
- 8 capital costs of transponders are put into rate base.
- 9 And the Company's rates are increased, or, you know,
- 10 they're higher than they would otherwise be to take
- 11 account of those additional -- of that additional
- 12 investment.
- So at the same time that the Company loses
- 14 the benefit of the labor cost reduction, it starts to
- 15 get additional money in the rate of return line of its
- 16 accounts. And I'm speaking very, very generally. I'm
- 17 not speaking as an accountant about these things. It
- 18 starts to see an increase there from depreciation and
- 19 from rate of return.
- 20 So the Association believes it's completely
- 21 inaccurate to suggest that \$5.3 million a year go
- 22 entirely to the benefit of the ratepayers over the
- 23 period since the installation project started. And we
- 24 have not quantified it, and I don't think we have the
- 25 ability to quantify it as either the Company or the

- 1 Division do.
- 2 There have been costs and there have been
- 3 benefits to Questar Gas as well as to ratepayers. Now
- 4 we take no issue whatsoever with the fact that Questar
- 5 decided to install transponders. We're, we're not in
- 6 any way arguing that that was an unreasonable thing to
- 7 do, a foolish thing to do, or anything of that kind.
- 8 But we have heard that Questar didn't ask for
- 9 prior approval. They made a business decision to do
- 10 it. Fine. I've spoken of rate of return. One of the
- 11 underlying concepts for giving a utility a rate of
- 12 return up to which it's allowed to earn, a rate of
- 13 return for equity which is higher than its rate of
- 14 return for its borrowings, is to compensate
- 15 stockholders for the risks that they take in investing
- 16 their money in the Company.
- Now, what are those risks? The problem the
- 18 Association has, is that there never seem to be any
- 19 risks that stockholders should actually bear the costs
- 20 of. We're not saying they don't exist but, you know,
- 21 show us what they are. We haven't seen them.
- In our view of the world there are two kinds
- 23 of risks. There are those over which you have
- 24 control, and there are those over which you have no
- 25 control. Somebody else, or the vaque market, or

- 1 something of that kind imposes those risks.
- 2 Here we're talking about losses that came
- 3 about as a direct result of Questar Gas Company taking
- 4 its business decision to insist transpond -- to
- 5 install transponders.
- 6 Even if Questar Gas Company had come before
- 7 this Commission and said, Please approve our
- 8 transponder program, I venture to suggest that the
- 9 Commission would not have contemplated approving a
- 10 percentage for Questar blundering along the way.
- 11 Not that anyone would necessarily have
- 12 anticipated the blunder. But I don't think the
- 13 Commission would have added extras and contingencies
- 14 on to the, on to the amount built into the cost of the
- 15 project on the notion that there was going to be
- 16 underbilling and overbilling because contractors and
- 17 employees maladjusted transponders as they installed
- 18 them.
- 19 The 42 cents, or whatever that number is that
- 20 we have had introduced to us today -- I don't mean to
- 21 misrepresent it, I just don't remember exactly what it
- 22 is. That 42 cents is not a cost of the transponder
- 23 problem. It's a cost of error. In quality management
- 24 terms, it's a cost of poor quality. And cost of poor
- 25 quality is exactly what quality management is all

- 1 about avoiding.
- Now, a well-known quality guru called Phil
- 3 Crosby holds out the concept of zero defects. It's
- 4 well-known in the quality community. Has been for
- 5 probably a couple of decades. Is it something that
- 6 you can necessarily achieve? Perhaps not. But if you
- 7 don't aim to achieve it, you most certainly will not.
- 8 A classic example that's quoted again to
- 9 illustrate what I'm talking about is the notion of the
- 10 electronics manufacturer -- we'll say here in the
- 11 United States, it doesn't matter where really -- who
- 12 put out a, put out to tender a bid for some electronic
- 13 components.
- 14 And as part of the, part of the description
- of what was wanted essentially said 1 in 100 can be
- 16 defective. The bid was won by a Japanese company.
- 17 And a while later a huge cardboard box arrived at the
- 18 company's premises containing lots and lots of
- 19 components and on top a zip lock bag.
- 20 And they happened to notice that inside the
- 21 zip lock bag was one percent of the total quantity
- 22 that they asked for. And they called the supplier and
- 23 said, What's this all about?
- And he said, Well, we've provided you
- 25 100 percent of what you ordered. And they're all --

- 1 they all meet the specification. The 1 in 100 in the
- 2 plastic bag, they're the ones that don't meet the
- 3 specification that you said you wanted.
- 4 It's all about philosophy. It's all about
- 5 approach. Now, the question for the Association is,
- 6 how is the Commission to encourage Questar Gas
- 7 Company, or indeed any other utility, to get it right
- 8 the first time when there is no cost, or when there is
- 9 only a partial cost -- as under this stipulation -- to
- 10 the utility when it doesn't get it right the first
- 11 time?
- 12 It isn't about penalizing the Company.
- 13 Penalizing the Company would be about saying, We're
- 14 going to make you pay all the costs of this, and then
- 15 we're gonna charge you three times as much more for
- 16 being naughty boys and getting it wrong. We're not
- 17 talking about penalizing them. We're talking about
- 18 who should reasonably bear the costs of a mistake. An
- 19 error. A blunder.
- 20 Should it be ratepayers at large? Well,
- 21 ratepayers at large already pay, in their rates, for
- 22 Questar to meter their gas consumption, to read the
- 23 meters, by whatever means, and to render an accurate
- 24 bill. What we believe the stipulants are arguing here
- 25 is that the Commission is saying -- I beg your pardon.

- 1 If the Commission approves this stipulation
- 2 it will be saying, It's all right for you to get that
- 3 process wrong in a percentage of cases. And we're
- 4 going to make ratepayers at large pay for that. That
- 5 feels, to us, like ratepayers at large paying twice
- 6 for the same thing.
- 7 First of all, we're paying them to do it
- 8 right. And then when they blunder, we're paying them
- 9 to correct their mistakes. And we simply do not see
- 10 that as being just and reasonable in result. We do
- 11 not consider that to be in the public interest.
- 12 It does not happen in the competitive arena.
- 13 And here's why. In the competitive arena if a company
- 14 makes a mistake that costs it money, it has a choice.
- 15 It either swallows that cost whole, or it puts its
- 16 prices up to compensate.
- 17 If it puts its prices up to compensate, in a
- 18 competitive marketplace it's going lose market share.
- 19 Either way, the Company that made the mistake is going
- 20 to carry the can for its error. Now, we do not
- 21 believe that the job of regulation is to featherbed a
- 22 utility.
- We believe that the job of regulation is to
- 24 provide a surrogate for competition. We believe,
- 25 gentlemen, that it's your job to determine what would

- 1 have happened if, in this situation, if Questar Gas
- 2 Company operated in a competitive environment.
- 3 And we believe that the answer should be they
- 4 would not be able to raise their prices, and so they
- 5 shouldn't be able to raise their rates. But they
- 6 should have to carry the costs that they incurred
- 7 because they made a mistake.
- Now, that's the ratepayer at large thing.
- 9 Now, we've heard a fair amount about how it would be
- 10 unfair to ratepayers at large for the individual
- 11 underbilled ratepayers not to have to pay six months
- 12 or 24 months worth of back-billing, or up to that,
- 13 because some of them of course were underbilled for
- 14 less than six months even.
- 15 Well, I agree. I can't for the life of me
- 16 see why ratepayers at large -- let me correct that.
- 17 The Utah Ratepayers Association agrees it can't for
- 18 the life of it see why ratepayers at large, its
- 19 members in general, should pay more because one or two
- 20 of its members or, you know, however many there are,
- 21 or 150-some -- 500 and some, I'm sorry, ratepayers
- 22 were underbilled and are now only going to be capped
- 23 at six months.
- 24 But we're back in the same arena. It's about
- 25 shifting costs from some customers to all customers

- 1 for a mistake that Questar made. That's not just and
- 2 reasonable either. So now let's for a moment turn to
- 3 the relatively small number of folk who actually were
- 4 underbilled.
- 5 What did they get wrong? What? They trusted
- 6 the bills they got from Questar? That's outrageous.
- 7 They should have been out there checking their meter
- 8 every month. Well, let me share a personal example.
- 9 I was working with my wife doing a bit of yard clear
- 10 up about this time last year. And I have to tell you,
- 11 that's a very rare event. I don't do yard work.
- But I thought, Where's my gas meter gone?
- 13 And I went searching. And some shrubs around the
- 14 periphery of the house, the immediate periphery of the
- 15 house had grown, and grown, and grown, and grown, and
- 16 my gas meter, my gas meter had disappeared. I had an
- incredible job to even find the meter.
- 18 And then when I thought, Well, let's have a
- 19 look and see if I can actually write down what the
- 20 index reading is and see whether I'm being billed
- 21 properly, the, the meter -- the house is about
- 22 30 years old, and I'm guessing that the meter is about
- 23 the same age.
- 24 The plastic on the index is obscured with
- 25 scratching. It's very difficult to see anything. I

- 1 couldn't make it out at all. And I thought, Well, how
- 2 in the world have they been reading my meter? And
- 3 that was about a year ago. And then of course
- 4 starting in March I started to find out how they've
- 5 been reading my meter.
- 6 This year my wife decided that she wanted to
- 7 remodel the yard. And those bushes are now entirely
- 8 gone, or in one case there is a root still left that
- 9 we still have to get out. The meter is now in plain
- 10 view, and it's easy to see the transponder. And you
- 11 can even get up close to it and read the index
- 12 reading.
- 13 Shame on me, I haven't read it. I haven't
- 14 written it down. I haven't compared it with my bill.
- 15 As far as I know, I'm not one of these folks who's had
- 16 a misreported bill. But I do need to check that
- 17 still. People don't check their meters.
- When we were arguing ten years ago before
- 19 the, before the legislature about deregulation and
- 20 about time metering -- charging different rates at
- 21 different times of the day for electricity metering, I
- 22 remember arguing for the Committee that it was an
- 23 unreasonable preset.
- 24 People are not used to paying attention to
- 25 what their meters are doing. Not even on a monthly

- 1 basis, much less on a daily or an hour-by-hour basis.
- 2 It's not gonna send a meaningful time signal using the
- 3 technology that we're talking about.
- 4 And people are not paying attention on a
- 5 monthly basis to what's going on, on their meters.
- 6 And why should they? They pay Questar to do it. And
- 7 they pay Questar to do it on the basis of trusting
- 8 them that they're gonna get it right.
- 9 The Commission has heard today from some
- 10 customers who were underbilled and then back-billed.
- 11 And the burden, the common burden of their message
- 12 was, If we'd known, we could have done something to
- 13 curb our consumption.
- I heard the other day that gasoline
- 15 consumption had gone down by about 25 percent lately.
- 16 I was amazed. I mean, I have not consciously curbed
- 17 my gasoline intake. I, I've lived my life. But yes,
- 18 I'm spending a lot less on gasoline this time this
- 19 year than I was this time last year.
- 20 There's a kind of a sense if you're looking
- 21 at paying \$60 or more every time to fill your tank,
- 22 that's a very different story than paying \$30 a time
- 23 to pay your tank, and you react subconsciously
- 24 accordingly.
- 25 If you're not getting those price signals in

- 1 the monthly bill that you are paying to get, is it any
- 2 wonder that you don't react as a sensible consumer
- 3 would naturally?
- 4 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Ball, let me check on
- 5 our reporter to make sure we're --
- 6 MR. BALL: Of course.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. We've been going for
- 8 about an hour and-a-half now, would you like a break?
- 9 THE COURT REPORTER: That would be
- 10 appreciated.
- 11 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's take a ten-minute
- 12 recess. It looked like you were at sort of a break
- 13 point in your testimony.
- 14 MR. BALL: Absolutely. Thank you.
- 15 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's do that.
- 16 (A recess was taken from 3:30 to 3:43 p.m.)
- 17 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Ball, you were
- 18 presenting your evidence. You may continue.
- 19 MR. BALL: Thank you, Chairman.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BOYER: While you're collecting your
- 21 thoughts there, we probably need to give the
- 22 proponents an opportunity to present any additional
- 23 evidence you might have, so. We'll probably be
- looking at winding up at around four or few minutes
- 25 after four, 4:10 or something like that.

- 1 MR. BALL: There are at least two significant
- 2 areas where the Ratepayers Association believes that
- 3 there are very considerable troubling concerns about
- 4 the basis for this stipulation. One of them has to do
- 5 with the data that is in the arena.
- I want to introduce an exhibit. What should
- 7 we call this, URA Exhibit 3?
- 8 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's call it URA 3.0, to be
- 9 consistent with your numbering system.
- 10 MR. BALL: Thank you.
- 11 (Pause.)
- MR. BALL: This is a 25th of March, 2008,
- 13 data response by Questar to the Division in response
- 14 to their Data Request 1.04. And for right now what I
- 15 would like to draw the Commission's attention to is
- 16 the answer. The fourth paragraph, the rather lengthy
- 17 one there, which refers to errors being found. The
- 18 most common problem being a broken or malfunctioning
- 19 meter dial/index.
- The second most common problem a discrepancy
- 21 between the index read and the setting or program of
- 22 the initial transponder read. And then they get on
- 23 and basically say that maladjusted transponder
- 24 settings were really only the third most frequent
- 25 cause.

- 1 So let's look at that second reason. That
- 2 second problem. The -- my rec -- well, let's take a
- 3 look at the appendix here. And if you look at the
- 4 very bottom of the page, in column d and e, you'll see
- 5 that pre-divides caused problems .07 percent of the
- 6 time. And programming errors .16 percent of the time.
- 7 Now, look up above there. About the middle
- 8 of the page, the totals there: 199 cases of
- 9 pre-divide errors, 477 cases of programming errors.
- 10 Seems to me that there's, seems to the Ratepayers
- 11 Association -- I'm sorry, I'm used to appearing in my
- 12 own behalf.
- 13 Seems to the Ratepayers Association that
- 14 there is considerable scope to imagine that there were
- 15 greater errors for those reasons than there were for
- 16 the maladjusted transponders themselves, and yet they
- 17 really haven't been inquired into. No data requests
- 18 probing that piece of information.
- 19 I'm sorry, I'm going skip about here a bit.
- 20 I'm trying to be consistent but it's taking too much
- 21 time. Let's, let's turn to something else. Let's
- 22 call this Exhibit 4.0.
- 23 (Pause.)
- MR. BALL: Now, if you'll just -- what's,
- 25 what's -- what this exhibit is, I've taken the

- 1 underbilled customers out of that most recent list.
- 2 The one that had 635 over and underbilled customers on
- 3 it.
- 4 There were 486 underbilled customers.
- 5 They're all shown on this exhibit. And they are
- 6 sorted by discovery date.
- 7 (Pause.)
- 8 MR. BALL: And -- okay, I, I apologize. I've
- 9 misrepresented. This is not -- I'm getting confused
- 10 trying to be quick. This is not the first set -- this
- 11 is not the last set of data. This is the DPU CCS
- 12 Joint 127 data. The sort of middle-order one.
- 13 And the point of this is, if you will take a
- 14 look with me in column k you will see that 22 accounts
- 15 were discovered in 2006. You will see that by the,
- 16 the bottom of page 1, the 5th of June, that 64
- 17 accounts have been discovered. So 64 out of 486 of
- 18 these accounts had been discovered by early June 2007,
- 19 which is when Questar began to realize that they've
- 20 got a problem.
- 21 If you look back up at some of the early ones
- 22 there you'll see that they're -- they've been
- 23 trickling through. There was one in August of '05,
- 24 but they've really been trickling through since, since
- 25 April of 2006.

- 1 Now, let me see what I've got here. I want
- 2 to -- I guess this will be 05.
- 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's call it 5.0.
- 4 MR. BALL: There you go, I'm sorry.
- 5 (Pause.)
- 6 MR. BALL: And I'd like you to look at line
- 7 63 on here if you will, please.
- 8 (Pause.)
- 9 MR. BALL: Now, these are, these are indeed
- 10 the third most recent set of data from about
- 11 September. And what's happened here is I've pulled
- 12 out the overbilled customers and I've sorted them by
- 13 set date. That is to say, the date when the
- 14 transponder was installed.
- 15 Looking at line 63, the point that I want to
- 16 make is this. Questar has represented to you that all
- 17 overbills have been refunded with interest. And yet
- 18 what we see here in column g is that this customer was
- 19 overbilled by \$1,886.22. And then if you will look
- 20 over in column aa, and the data is repeated in
- 21 column cc, he was refunded \$1,270.14.
- 22 (Pause.)
- MR. BALL: There are 15 other accounts where
- 24 the amount refunded appears to be less than the amount
- 25 overbilled. The total difference is \$1,214.38. What

- 1 isn't clear is whether the refunded amount, where they
- 2 are the same as the overbilled amounts, are amounts
- 3 that include interest or whether the interest is
- 4 additional.
- 5 That is simply not clear. If you look at the
- 6 bottom of this exhibit you can see that I've totaled
- 7 the overbilling. And that number is consistent with,
- 8 with the numbers that you've received earlier today.
- 9 The number in column g.
- 10 The numbers over in columns aa and cc are the
- 11 totals supposedly refunded. And for some -- and
- 12 again, you know, there's that 1,200-or-so dollar
- 13 difference. And it's not well explained.
- One of the issues that we're dealing with is
- 15 the question of what is a reasonable period to
- 16 back-bill. I'm sorry, Chairman. What number will
- 17 this be, please?
- 18 CHAIRMAN BOYER: This one will be 6.0.
- 19 MR. BALL: Six zero?
- 20 (Pause.)
- 21 MR. BALL: This exhibit again is the most
- 22 recent data, the September data set. The underbilled
- 23 customers again. Sorted this time by discovery date,
- 24 which is in column k.
- 25 The interesting point to note about this

- 1 exhibit is if you will look over in the very
- 2 right-hand column, ee, and see the number of months
- 3 for which these customers have been back-billed. You
- 4 will see that down to a certain point, all -- probably
- 5 80 percent of the way down the column? The number is
- 6 six or below.
- 7 If you now take that final six and refer back
- 8 across the line, you will see that on July the 6th of
- 9 2007 that customer was notified -- which I presume
- 10 means they were sent a back-bill -- and they were
- 11 back-billed for six months.
- 12 Now take a look in column i -- j, I beg your
- 13 pardon, and you will see that they were actually
- 14 underbilled for 27 months. Now, my point here is
- 15 again if you look at the number of lines there, we're
- 16 talking about 64 customers who were underbilled. The
- 17 first ones that were discovered through to the
- 18 beginning of June 2007. And they were back-billed for
- 19 a maximum of six months.
- 20 Now, if you look at -- if you go back to a
- 21 question that I asked earlier on in cross of
- 22 Mr. McKay, I believe it was, we're, we're talking here
- 23 about, you know, 64 out of -- I withdraw that. I
- 24 don't think I did cross Mr. McKay on this.
- We're talk -- but we're talking about 64 out

- of 562 cases. In other words, we're talking about
- 2 well more than ten percent of all cases. Where the
- 3 back-bills were limited to six months. And the
- 4 Association believes it would be clearly
- 5 discriminatory to charge these underbilled customers
- 6 more than six months. Any of them.
- 7 The pattern was set. The pattern was set by
- 8 Questar. Questar can't just arbitrarily start
- 9 charging other customers a different amount. And
- 10 therefore, Chairman, one of the positions of the
- 11 Association is that the stipulation doesn't give
- 12 back-billed customers anything that they aren't
- 13 totally entitled to with regard to, with regard to a
- 14 six month limitation.
- 15 Given the opportunity, the Association will
- 16 argue that six months is too long, just on the basis
- 17 of being reasonable. Questar needs to be incented to
- 18 get its measurement, its meter reading, and its
- 19 billing process right. And it should do that in a
- 20 reasonable period of time.
- 21 And we think that a reasonable period of time
- 22 is no more than two billing cycles. We'll accept that
- 23 there is room for error. And we'll accept that there
- 24 should be an opportunity to correct those errors. And
- 25 that customers who have been underbilled should catch

- 1 up with and pay for what they use.
- 2 But they should do it within two billing
- 3 cycles. In other words, effectively within two
- 4 months. And that back-billing any further than two
- 5 months is not in ratepayers' interest. It's not in
- 6 the public interest. It's only in the interest of
- 7 this company. It protects them from the consequences
- 8 of their errors. That and nothing more.
- 9 (Pause.)
- MR. BALL: In URA Cross 2 -- and I'll, I'll
- 11 link that to Cross 1. Cross 1 was the data request
- 12 that talked about the differences between VRT and
- 13 3.4 transform -- transponders. Cross 2 was the table
- 14 that Dr. Powlick said produced some of the numbers
- 15 that were included in his exhibit.
- 16 I'm not sure, quite frankly, whether this is
- 17 in evidence or not. Perhaps you could advise me,
- 18 Chairman. It needs to be in evidence. And I'll
- 19 reintroduce it if I must.
- 20 (Pause.)
- 21 CHAIRMAN BOYER: It has been admitted into
- 22 evidence.
- 23 MR. BALL: Thank you very much. What this,
- 24 what this Cross 2 does is it identifies just seven
- 25 cases of 3.4 transponders, quote, missing magnets.

- 1 The data response that this is a copy of was produced
- 2 very late in the day, for the simple reason that
- 3 nobody had paid any attention to these.
- 4 The Association asked a question about it.
- 5 That alerted the Division. The Division asked a
- 6 question about it. The Company responded to the rest
- 7 of the question, and then a few days later responded
- 8 with this scanty information.
- 9 Now, there was a suggestion earlier on that
- 10 I'm trying to suggest, the Association is trying to
- 11 suggest, that the Company has not been forthcoming.
- 12 The pattern the Association sees is not so much that
- 13 these -- that the Company is not forthcoming, but that
- 14 the Company just doesn't keep adequate records.
- 15 It doesn't have any paper records about its
- 16 decision to adopt transponders in the first place. It
- 17 has very, very sparse information about transponder
- 18 errors up until really quite recently. For example,
- 19 we introduced evi -- we introduced evidence through
- 20 cross earlier on that the first transponder error was
- 21 on a transponder installed in, I think it was May of
- 22 2003.
- 23 There was also a data response that indicated
- 24 that through the end of 2002 Questar used 3.4
- 25 transponders. And from the beginning of 2003 onward,

- 1 Questar used VRT transponders. Why were none of the
- 2 transponders -- the VRT transponders installed in
- 3 January through April maladjusted?
- I don't think it's because they weren't
- 5 maladjusted. I think it's because there's simply no
- 6 data to be had about that. Customers come and go on
- 7 the system. There are all kinds of reasons for which
- 8 Questar takes a physical look at a meter, or pulls a
- 9 meter for testing, or whatever.
- There are all kinds of things that go on.
- 11 People do whatever they do. They move on. I am not
- 12 suggesting inappropriate behavior. I'm simply saying
- 13 I don't -- the Association doesn't think we have fully
- 14 bottomed all of the relevant data in this matter.
- 15 And I -- and we do not think that the
- 16 \$1 million that have been spoken of here today
- 17 appropriately reflect the total extent of
- 18 underbilling. Now, what's the consequence of that?
- 19 Well, one of the consequences is that a
- 20 number of people may very well have walked away from
- 21 being customers of Questar Gas having been
- 22 underbilled, and never having been caught up with, and
- 23 now it's not practical to catch up with them.
- 24 There are some cases identified in some of
- 25 these data responses with asterisks and a footnote

- 1 indicating that there were special circumstances where
- 2 these folks could not be pursued for their
- 3 underbilling. Essentially, Questar has chosen to
- 4 write those off.
- 5 Whose expense are they written off at? They
- 6 are currently -- up till now they have been written
- 7 off largely at the expense of ratepayers at large.
- 8 Why? How is that possibly fair? And how does that
- 9 possibly result in just and reasonable rates or is in
- 10 the public interest?
- 11 In the Association's suggestion, it cannot be
- 12 any of those things. We're unhappy with the, with the
- 13 stipulation because it appears to cap Questar Gas
- 14 Company's liability. It caps their liability,
- 15 effectively, at \$480,000. It may look as though
- 16 there's some balance there now today, but only if you
- 17 accept that \$1 million is the extent of this mess.
- 18 If, as we believe, the mess goes a lot
- 19 further than that, then considerably more than that
- 20 amount of money has been charged to customers,
- 21 ratepayers at large, through the balancing account.
- 22 And if this stipulation is approved, that will simply
- 23 be overlooked, put away in a locked cupboard
- 24 somewhere, and customers will continue to have been
- 25 unfairly charged as a result of it.

- 1 (Pause.)
- MR. BALL: I think, Chairman, this is gonna
- 3 be 7.0, isn't it?
- 4 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Yes. And it looks like we
- 5 have time for a big finish, Mr. Ball.
- 6 MR. BALL: Hang on. Hang on. Just bear with
- 7 me, I'm sorry. Did I introduce this before? I don't
- 8 know where it's gone. Let me just say -- I'm not
- 9 gonna introduce an exhibit on this because I don't
- 10 know where the heck it's gone.
- We have some data from the Company. We
- 12 summarized it. The data talked about tests and
- 13 inspections of the different kind of meters
- 14 under -- of the different kind of transponders under
- 15 the meter and transponder inspection program.
- 16 This is looking at 3.4s and VRTs. We
- 17 summarized that data. And what we see is that in
- 18 terms of inspection defects as a percentage of
- 19 completed inspections, .2 percent of VRTs were
- 20 defective, .14 percent of 3.4s were defective.
- 21 But something like four times as many VRTs
- 22 had been inspected and defects found on them as on
- 23 3.4s. Our point, again, is we just don't know that
- 24 there isn't a much bigger problem that is yet to be
- uncovered with regard to the 3.4 transponders.

- 1 And putting this matter to bed, and limiting
- 2 Questar's liability to \$480,000, simply isn't a
- 3 sufficient answer to what's outstanding. Thank you
- 4 very much for your attention, Chairman.
- 5 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Ball.
- 6 Let's see if there's cross examination for
- 7 Mr. Ball. Ms. Schmid, for the Division?
- 8 MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
- 9 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MS. SCHMID:
- 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Ball.
- 12 A. Hello Ms. Schmid.
- Q. Does the Utah Ratepayers Association have any
- 14 reason to believe that the 2.4 transponders yet to be
- 15 inspected are any different from the ones that have
- 16 already been inspected?
- 17 A. We just don't know.
- 18 Q. Please explain the formula that the Utah
- 19 Ratepayers Association used to come to the conclusion
- 20 that it -- and again, I'm paraphrasing. I don't mean
- 21 to put words in your mouth. But I believe I wrote it
- 22 down accurately, and correct me if I didn't.
- 23 So the question again is, please explain the
- 24 formula that the Utah Ratepayers Association used to
- 25 come to the conclusion that it does not think the

- 1 \$1 million referenced in the settlement stipulation
- 2 appropriately reflects the total extent of the
- 3 underbillings?
- 4 A. Certainly.
- 5 MR. BALL: I have an exhibit, Chairman. I
- 6 don't know how you want to mark this one.
- 7 (Pause.)
- 8 MR. BALL: My fingers are no longer
- 9 separating sheets as they did earlier in the day.
- 10 CHAIRMAN BOYER: And this exhibit is to help
- 11 you answer --
- MR. BALL: It's to answer Ms. Schmid's
- 13 question. Yes, it's directly on point.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BOYER: We'll mark this as URA
- 15 Exhibit 7.0.
- 16 MR. BALL: Thank you. The data, the data in
- 17 columns A through D -- B through D, I'm sorry, come
- 18 directly from Company data responses. I believe the
- 19 information in columns B and C were in our
- 20 Exhibit 1.0.
- 21 The Company provided a data response -- and I
- 22 believe I crossed on it today -- that indicated that
- 23 VRT transponders began to be installed at the
- 24 beginning of 2003. So in column D we have taken the
- 25 number of transponders set in 2003 and then

- 1 accumulated it through to the end of -- through to the
- 2 latest data that we have.
- 3 We've taken data in the data response table
- 4 that had the 665 mis-billed customers in it and broken
- 5 them down by the year in which they were found.
- 6 Sorry, the year in which they were set. And then
- 7 we've accumulated that in column F.
- Now, you'll see in column G that we have
- 9 taken the final cumulative number in column F, 665,
- 10 and we have taken that as a percentage of the number
- of transponders set, 854,915 in column C. This is the
- 12 calculation that essentially the stipulants have
- 13 performed. They've looked at how many errors were
- 14 there out of the total population of transponders.
- Well, we believe that to be the wrong
- 16 percentage, because really we've only analyzed the
- 17 VRTs. We also see some outliers in the data in these
- 18 columns. For example, we don't believe -- you'll see
- 19 that in 2003 and 2004 the number of transponders
- 20 installed was almost identical.
- 21 And you will see that only 65 of those set in
- 22 2003 were found to be wrongly set, whereas 253 of
- 23 those in 2004 were found to be mis-set. So we figure
- 24 we ought to be able to disregard such an outlier.
- 25 Going to the other extreme from the

- 1 0.08 percent, we've taken the number of transponders
- 2 set in 2006 as a percentage of the transpond --
- 3 total transponders set that year and come up
- 4 with .42 percent.
- Now, we're not saying that that's the number.
- 6 We're just saying that that's the other end of the
- 7 range from 0.08. And if you take the ratio of those
- 8 two numbers, there's a factor of 5.4. Now, if that's
- 9 applied to the million-odd dollars that has been
- 10 identified by the stipulants, you're looking at
- 11 something closer to \$5.5 million.
- 12 And that is one illustration of how Questar's
- 13 limiting its liability at \$480,000 is an extremely
- 14 good deal for Questar and extremely bad deal for
- 15 ratepayers at large.
- Now, as to what the actual numbers should be
- 17 beside the point for these purposes today, we're
- 18 merely illustrating that there is good reason to
- 19 suppose that approving this deal, approving this
- 20 stipulation, will be giving a considerable benefit to
- 21 Questar at the expense of ratepayers at large.
- 22 And that is not in the public interest.
- 23 Won't result in just and reasonable rates. And this
- 24 is just one element.
- MS. SCHMID: I'm sorry, this is not

- 1 response --
- THE WITNESS: We've introduced --
- 3 MS. SCHMID: Objection, this is not
- 4 responsive to the question that was posed and is more
- 5 in the form of final argument.
- 6 MR. BALL: I, I've talked as well about two
- 7 other issues. The three point --
- 8 MS. SCHMID: I'm sorry, I asked for a
- 9 formula. Objection, I asked for a formula, and he's
- 10 not responding to my formula.
- 11 MR. BALL: Well, this is the formula. This
- 12 is --
- 13 CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is how he --
- 14 THE WITNESS: -- the only formula there is.
- 15 (The speakers were talking over one another
- and had to be interrupted.)
- 17 THE COURT REPORTER: I need one at a time,
- 18 please.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is how he calculates
- 20 why the numbers that are presented in this case may be
- 21 wrong. But I think that point is made and the
- 22 question has been answered. Do you have other
- 23 questions, Ms. Schmid?
- MS. SCHMID: No.
- 25 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Proctor, have you

- 1 questions for Mr. Ball?
- 2 MR. PROCTOR: Yes. Thank you,
- 3 Mr. Chairman.
- 4 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. PROCTOR:
- 6 Q. Mr. Ball, I just have a couple of questions
- 7 first on this document that you just handed out. And
- 8 I -- if you could confirm for me. Is the .08 percent
- 9 indicative of the percent of 665 to 854,915?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And your.42 percent is an indicator of the
- relationship between 187 and 44,467?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you. If you could look to URA 6.0. Do
- 15 you have it there, sir?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You were using that document to establish
- 18 that some customers had been back-billed for 24 months
- 19 and some customers had been back-billed for six
- 20 months; is that correct?
- 21 A. I'm using it to illustrate that the first 64
- 22 customers to be back-billed were back-billed for no
- 23 more than six months.
- 24 Q. And that there were other customers who were
- 25 back-billed for 24?

- 1 A. Later, yes.
- Q. And based upon that, you're claiming that
- 3 indeed those customers, all customers would be
- 4 entitled to be billed six months; is that correct?
- 5 A. I'm arguing that it would be -- that it has
- 6 been discriminatory, and therefore unlawful, for
- 7 Questar Gas to bill customers more than six months
- 8 subsequent to those first 64.
- 9 Q. Well, assuming that it is discrimination,
- 10 could it not also be cured by billing everyone
- 11 24 months?
- 12 A. I'm sorry, are you, are you suggesting that
- 13 the first 64 customers, including the one that was
- 14 back-billed in August of 2005, should now be
- 15 back-billed for an additional period of time?
- 16 Q. Sir, I asked you --
- 17 A. Is that the meaning of your question?
- 18 Q. Sir, I asked you a question whether or not
- 19 the discrimination could be cured by billing everyone
- 20 24 months.
- 21 A. I think that if Questar wanted to avoid
- 22 discrimination, it -- and wanted to back-bill
- 23 24 months, it should have back-billed all the way
- 24 along.
- 25 O. So indeed the discrimination could have been

- 1 cured by billing 24 months? That is your testimony
- 2 is, it not?
- 3 A. No. My testimony is that discrimination has
- 4 occurred. I don't believe that you can cure that
- 5 discrimination by going back and, and billing the
- 6 early back-billed customers more than they've already
- 7 been back-billed.
- 8 Q. How do you define discrimination in the
- 9 context of -- in this case it would be price
- 10 discrimination or a form of price discrimination. How
- 11 do you define it as you have used it in your
- 12 testimony?
- 13 A. I'll need a moment to check something,
- 14 please.
- 15 Q. What you -- would you be checking Mr. Ball?
- 16 A. Utah Code.
- 17 Q. Which part of the Utah Code?
- 18 A. That's a good question. The
- 19 discrimination --
- 20 MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Chairman?
- 21 MR. BALL: -- section.
- 22 MR. PROCTOR: I don't want to get involved in
- 23 a banter back and forth with a witness who is clearly
- 24 hostile. Perhaps the Commission -- or the Chairman
- 25 could direct Mr. Ball to simply answer the question.

- 1 CHAIRMAN BOYER: He did ask, Mr. Ball, how
- 2 you define discrimination in the utility ratepayer
- 3 context.
- 4 Now I'm paraphrasing, Mr. Proctor.
- 5 Can you answer that without looking at the
- 6 code?
- 7 MR. BALL: I'd like to refresh my memory
- 8 please, Chairman.
- 9 (Pause.)
- 10 MR. BALL: Well --
- 11 MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Chairman, I don't want to
- 12 waste anyone's time any further, so I'll withdraw the
- 13 question.
- Q. (By Mr. Proctor) Mr. Ball, are you relying
- 15 upon a legal principle that a utility ratepayer is
- 16 always entitled, in this particular case, in a
- 17 back-billing context, to the least number of months of
- 18 back-billing?
- 19 A. I don't understand that question. Could you
- 20 rephrase it?
- 21 Q. Are you relying upon a legal principle
- 22 that -- in the utility ratemaking context, in the
- 23 billing context -- that a customer is always entitled
- 24 to be back-billed for the least number of months
- 25 allowed by the statute?

1 A. I don't think statute has anything to say

2	about the months of back-billing, does it? I think
3	you're talking about Commission rules, aren't you?
4	Q. It does not matter whether I'm talking about
5	statute or rules. It doesn't matter what I am talking
6	about, sir. Can you answer the question?
7	A. Please repeat it.
8	Q. I'll broaden it a little bit. Do not the
9	Utah statutes Utah Code or administrative rules
10	governing the Commission allow for both a six month or
11	24 month or either six month or 24 month billing
12	period, depending upon the particular error or the
13	source of the error?
14	A. Commission rules do make such a distinction
15	at the present, yes.
16	Q. Are you do you are you relying upon a
17	legal principle that in fact a customer is always
18	entitled to the fewer months, or six months?
19	(Begin stricken testimony.)
20	***
21	***
22	***
23	***
24	***
25	***

1	***
2	***
3	***
4	***
5	* * *
6	***
7	***
8	* * *
9	***
10	***
11	***
12	***
13	***
14	***
15	***
16	(End of stricken testimony.)
17	MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Chairman, I would move to
18	strike the balance or the actually his entire
19	answer, since it's certainly non-responsive and became
20	argumentative.
21	CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. We'll sustain that.
22	It doesn't
23	MR. PROCTOR: Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN BOYER: lend anything to the case
25	in chief anyway.

- 1 MR. PROCTOR: And I apologize how difficult
- 2 this might be.
- 3 Q. (By Mr. Proctor) Mr. Ball, could you turn to
- 4 your Exhibit URA 5.0?
- 5 A. Okay, I don't know what I've done with it.
- 6 Could you refresh my memory as to what it is?
- 7 Q. It's the exhibit in which you had identified
- 8 that of the overpayments the Company had received
- 9 \$221,487, rounded, and paid back only \$220,253 --
- 10 A. All right, thank you. Let me, let me see if
- 11 can find that now that I know which one you are
- 12 talking about.
- 13 (Pause.)
- 14 THE WITNESS: I have that. Thank you for
- 15 your patience.
- 16 Q. (By Mr. Proctor) Mr. Ball, you testified
- 17 that there had been no explanation given for the
- 18 \$1,238 difference, and that that had some implications
- 19 to the validity of the stipulation. Now, did you ever
- 20 submit a data respon -- request to the Company to
- 21 determine what would explain the \$1,238 difference?
- 22 A. No, the Ratepayers Association didn't. And
- 23 it was pretty apparent, from the responses that we
- 24 were getting from everybody --
- 25 MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Chairman, objection. It's

- 1 not responsive.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Just answer the question,
- 3 Mr. Ball. Thank you.
- 4 MR. BALL: No, it's perfectly responsive.
- 5 Why didn't we?
- 6 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, no. That's a separate
- 7 question. Did you is the question.
- 8 MR. BALL: Everybody else gets to explain
- 9 their answers. Why don't we?
- 10 CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think you've had more than
- 11 a fair bit of latitude in -- with your presentation
- 12 and your cross examination.
- MR. BALL: Well, if that's true --
- 14 CHAIRMAN BOYER: But we're running out of
- 15 time now. So if you could just restrict yourself to
- 16 answering the questions asked, it would be helpful to
- 17 us all.
- 18 MR. BALL: What did he accuse me of earlier
- 19 on, about three or four answer -- questions ago? Can
- 20 I get the court reporter to read that back?
- 21 CHAIRMAN BOYER: No, Mr. Ball, you can't.
- MR. BALL: What a shame.
- 23 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Answer this question and
- 24 we'll see if we can get through this case.
- 25 MR. BALL: He's allowed to call me names, but

- 1 I'm not allowed to explain my answers? That's really
- 2 fair.
- 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Ball? Or.
- 4 Q. (By Mr. Proctor) Mr. Ball, I next want you
- 5 to turn to URA 4.0.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Do you have it, sir?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Now, as I understand this version of the data
- 10 request -- data response, it identifies the date in
- 11 column k when the transponder error was identified,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. In column k, yes.
- 14 Q. And so in 2005 there was one error found,
- 15 according to your exhibit?
- 16 A. According to my sort of the Company's data,
- 17 yes.
- 18 Q. Would one exhib -- one error in a transponder
- 19 discovery in August of '05 -- in fact the only one
- 20 discovered in 2005 -- would that justify the Company
- 21 engaging in an investigation to test all the meters
- 22 that they had installed, all the transponders they had
- 23 installed?
- 24 A. The point of the introduction of the
- 25 exhibit --

- 1 Q. Sir, yes or no.
- 2 A. The point of the introduction of the exhibit
- 3 was to demonstrate how few --
- 4 MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Chairman?
- 5 MR. BALL: -- of these errors were discovered
- 6 early in the -- early after they had started to be
- 7 installed.
- 8 MR. PROCTOR: That's not my question, sir.
- 9 Mr. Chairman, would you direct the witness to
- 10 answer?
- 11 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Yes. Please answer the
- 12 question.
- 13 Would you restate the question, Mr. Proctor?
- 14 Q. (By Mr. Proctor) Would the finding of one
- 15 transponder error in 2005 justify the Company
- 16 initiating a testing of all transponders that had been
- 17 installed to that point?
- 18 A. The Company clearly didn't think so.
- 19 Q. Do you think so, sir?
- 20 A. I don't know. It's 2008 now. That's a long
- 21 time ago. It's hard to get rid of the benefit of
- 22 hindsight.
- Q. So are you refusing to answer the question,
- 24 Mr. Ball?
- 25 A. No. I'm giving you the best answer I'm able

- 1 to here now, today.
- Q. All right. Going down, you've -- your
- 3 exhibit also reveals that there were 22 transponder
- 4 errors discovered in 2006. If --
- 5 A. By the end of 2006, yes.
- 6 Q. By the end of 2006. So over a period of two
- 7 years there had been a total of 23 transponder errors
- 8 identified.
- 9 A. We don't know that.
- 10 Q. According to your exhibit. Would that
- 11 justify the Company initiating a test of all
- 12 transponders installed to that day to determine their
- 13 accuracy?
- 14 A. I repeat, we do not know that. And this is
- 15 merely a sort of data provided by the Company. It's
- 16 not my data. And the problem with the data is that
- 17 the data set is simply not guaranteed to be complete.
- 18 It may very well be complete to the best of
- 19 Questar's knowledge. But what they --
- 20 MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Chairman?
- 21 MR. BALL: -- how many errors they, they
- 22 really tripped over by the end of 2006 we simply don't
- 23 know.
- MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Chairman, would you direct
- 25 the witness to answer the question?

- 1 CHAIRMAN BOYER: If you can, Mr. Ball. I
- 2 think you're saying you don't know. But if you can be
- 3 a little more specific in answering the question.
- 4 MR. BALL: The question is, do I think that
- 5 finding 22 things by the end of 2006 would be enough
- 6 to justify a major drains up operation; is that it?
- 7 CHAIRMAN BOYER: I believe that is the
- 8 question. And he's only asking for your opinion, not
- 9 what Questar may or may not have thought about that.
- 10 MR. BALL: It's really pretty much impossible
- 11 for me to give an honest answer to that. I mean, I
- 12 wasn't there. We are not questioning the
- 13 justification of Questar's actions or failure to act.
- 14 We are here to question the consequences of
- 15 this stipulation in attributing the cost
- 16 responsibility of all of this -- well, we don't know
- 17 what it all is. We don't think it's just one million.
- 18 We think it may be up to five times that much.
- I don't know how to answer the question.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BOYER: We'll take that as an, I
- 21 don't know.
- MR. PROCTOR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- Q. (By Mr. Proctor) Mr. Ball, you've testified
- 24 that the URA believes that it is appropriate for a
- 25 company to adopt new technologies. And in particular,

- 1 in this case anyway, Questar was, was correct in
- 2 adopting new technologies; is that correct?
- 3 A. I think what I said was that we're not
- 4 questioning it.
- 5 Q. Okay. Now, in order to reach a level of
- 6 certainty with the accuracy of the transponders would
- 7 the URA have encouraged or approved if Questar would
- 8 not only read by transponder but would also, let's say
- 9 for a year, send meter readers out into the field to
- 10 check each meter to make certain the transponder was
- 11 operating properly?
- 12 A. At the time, they had the meter readers.
- 13 They were trained. They were there and could continue
- 14 to be deployed for a little while. We would not argue
- 15 that they should have done that for an extra year. We
- 16 think that would have been well more than needed --
- 17 than was needed.
- 18 We think that it would have made sense in the
- 19 winter to have read for a month or two. We think it
- 20 would have made sense in the summer to read for
- 21 several months. And to balance those two sets of
- 22 numbers together.
- 23 We think that if you shift -- well, let me
- 24 give you an example.
- 25 MR. PROCTOR: Well, excuse me, I think he's

- 1 answered the question. Anything else beyond that is a
- 2 speech.
- 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think you have answered it
- 4 very well then.
- 5 MR. BALL: Perhaps we could talk later,
- 6 Chairman, and I'll share you the speech. It's really
- 7 quite fascinating.
- 8 Q. (By Mr. Proctor) So URA would also approve
- 9 of the inclusion of that duplicate cost for meter
- 10 readers and transponders into rates?
- 11 A. We think it would have been a sensible
- 12 precaution. And Questar, all on its own, for its own
- 13 business reasons, chose not to do it.
- Q. Now, you've also testified, however, that if
- 15 there's any error in the adoption of a new technology,
- 16 that the Company should absorb a hundred percent of
- 17 the cost of that error.
- 18 A. We don't understand what risk it is --
- 19 Q. Yes or no, Mr. Ball.
- 20 A. -- that we are paying insurance premiums for
- 21 when we pay a rate of return, unless --
- 22 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Ball --
- MR. BALL: -- that's one of them.
- 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Ball, that's not even
- 25 close to being responsive.

- 1 MR. BALL: Okay. What was the question
- 2 again?
- 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is a yes or no
- 4 question.
- 5 MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Chairman, I think the
- 6 demeanor of the witness is such that I will conclude.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you,
- 8 Mr. Proctor.
- 9 Does Questar have questions for Mr. Ball?
- 10 MS. BYDE: Before I answer that I just want
- 11 to clarify process. I think we are prepared to
- 12 respond to some of what he has said and some of what
- 13 he has raised by recalling Mr. McKay. And I just
- 14 wanted to be sure that you were going to allow that.
- 15 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let me check with
- 16 Ms. Murray. Have others members of the public come?
- 17 Okay. So we'll just take the liberty of encroaching
- 18 upon the public witness time to permit that.
- 19 MS. BYDE: Thank you.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BOYER: But maybe we ought to keep
- 21 it brief, just in case.
- 22 MS. BYDE: Absolutely, thank you. And in
- 23 that case we have no questions for Mr. Ball.
- 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, very well.
- 25 Commissioner Allen? Commissioner Campbell? I have no

1 questions either. Okay, Mr. Ball, thank you. 2. 3 Now let's turn back to the proponents of the stipulation, who are entitled to the last word. 5 MS. BYDE: May we have about three minutes to 6 confer? 7 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's even take -- let's take a five-minutes recess. 8 9 MS. BYDE: Thank you. 10 (A recess was taken from 4:35 to 4:41 p.m.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Ms. Byde? 11 12 MS. BYDE: We're prepared to call Mr. McKay. And I wonder if it would be okay for him to stay here 13 14 to testify this time. He's got --CHAIRMAN BOYER: Certainly. That will be 15 16 fine. MS. BYDE: Thank you. 17 18 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Just pull the mic towards 19 you, and you should be fine. BARRIE McKAY, 20 called as a rebuttal witness, having 21 22 previously been duly sworn, was examined

and testified as follows:

24

23

25 \*\*\*

#### 1 REBUTTAL EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MS. BYDE:
- Q. Mr. McKay, you have been sitting here with us
- 4 while Mr. Ball was testifying. And he addressed a
- 5 number of issues, and I wonder if you have responses
- 6 to some of them.
- 7 A. Sure do. Is that -- oh, if I lean forward it
- 8 sounds better.
- 9 I do have a few responses. And just, I'm
- 10 gonna kind of go --
- 11 Q. In -- yes, Mr. McKay. In the interest of
- 12 time would you please summarize those responses?
- 13 A. Sure. Let's just take a few of the things.
- 14 And obviously this is the first time we've had the
- 15 opportunity to see some of this.
- But I think what we have here is we're trying
- 17 to have a particular party trying to leave an
- 18 impression that we haven't got our hands around what
- 19 this issue really is. And in some areas that we're
- 20 kind of grasping and stretching what the numbers
- 21 really can produce.
- 22 And if I can really quickly just set at ease
- 23 some of the concerns that were just thrown out there.
- 24 And see -- as soon as you have an understanding of why
- 25 there might be some differences, or what the

- 1 comparisons are.
- 2 So let's just walk through just a few in
- 3 response. And it's not necessarily any order of
- 4 importance, but we'll turn to URA 5.0. This was the
- 5 exhibit in which Mr. Ball tried to raise this
- 6 question -- and I don't remember exactly the number --
- 7 of which he was able to calculate a difference between
- 8 an adjustment paid and an under and over amount that
- 9 was in column g and in column aa.
- 10 And actually there's quite a simple
- 11 explanation of it. And we do keep good track of who
- 12 is at a premise. And so we do -- we keep track of the
- 13 entire amount that occurred at a premise. But often
- 14 times -- and if I remember right, it was a relatively
- 15 small number -- customers move.
- We have the identification of a specific
- 17 customer, so we know where they may have gone into the
- 18 system, and so we're able to identify where they are.
- 19 And so all we have here is just simply the recognition
- 20 at that particularly -- particular premise we only
- 21 adjusted the customer's bill for how long they had
- 22 been there.
- 23 And hence you're gonna be able to have a few
- 24 of these have some differences. And that's all that
- 25 Mr. Ball has been able to observe there.

- 1 Q. Can I interrupt and just ask a couple of
- 2 questions on that point --
- 3 A. Sure.
- 4 Q. -- in the interest of a clear record? That
- 5 is the -- confirm for me please that that was the
- 6 exhibit that Mr. Ball raised concern -- used to raise
- 7 concerns that a customer was overbilled more than it
- 8 was refunded, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And the explanation you gave was the
- 11 reasoning for that, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. So you may have had two customers in that
- 14 circumstance?
- 15 A. That's a good clarification.
- 16 Q. Okay. Thank you. Did you have any other
- 17 observations?
- 18 A. Sure. Mr. Ball characterized -- and I
- 19 actually wrote down his word, because it caught my
- 20 mind here. He observed that the Division suddenly
- 21 discovered the -- this 3.4 issue. Which is simply the
- 22 type of transponder that we installed originally.
- The fact is, from the very beginning the
- 24 Division was aware of this. It was responded to them
- 25 in a set of data requests that they asked us. And we

- 1 responded to them -- and it's actually 1.4 of their
- 2 very first set -- before the docket even got brought
- 3 together in a combined docket.
- 4 All that the Division was doing at the end
- 5 was making sure all the "t's" were crossed and the
- 6 "i's" were dotted. And saying, Hey, we need to be
- 7 able to have final feedback as it relates to these
- 8 3.4s.
- 9 The reason it hasn't been a significant issue
- 10 here is that -- is the realization of how small the
- 11 number of errors. And there's only seven. And we
- 12 recognize we've only been able to go through about a
- 13 third, and we tried to take that into consideration in
- 14 coming up with this settlement.
- But it's a significantly small amount of
- 16 these 3.4s that have been installed all over our
- 17 system. Which is actually -- and I will freely admit,
- 18 this is not a random sampling. But it is a
- 19 significant amount of the population, one third of it,
- 20 that we've gone forth.
- 21 And that's why we were able to feel
- 22 comfortable with moving forward on that. And it
- 23 absolutely was not a sudden discovery of it.
- 24 Another observation here which was
- 25 interesting, and actually I think relates to some of

- 1 the thought process that the Company had the
- 2 opportunity to go through. Our choice was to try to
- 3 keep costs as low as we could, and as reasonable as we
- 4 could, with increased accuracy.
- 5 Mr. Ball has stated that he would like to
- 6 have back-billing just for two months. If those were
- 7 the ground rules that were laid out there, what really
- 8 the URA would be arguing for is a significant increase
- 9 for all customers.
- 10 Because to have that be our standard, there
- 11 would be different levels of what we would need to be
- 12 going through so that that indeed would be the end
- 13 result of us being able to get everything accurate in
- 14 just the two months.
- 15 In weighing the tradeoffs there, that would
- 16 be a very imprudent decision, I think, if we wanted to
- 17 try to keep costs and prices as low as we reasonably
- 18 should for our customers.
- 19 Another observation -- and I just have two
- 20 here to finish -- is that a statement was made by
- 21 Mr. Ball that we have, quote, been incorrectly billing
- 22 customers since 1998.
- 23 Actually, I was a little surprised to hear
- 24 that. So I went back through all of his cross
- 25 examination exhibits to see if there was any evidence

- 1 that was out here on the record that showed that a
- 2 customer had been billed related to the pre-divide or
- 3 the 3.4 missing magnet prior to 2002.
- 4 And there is none. But there perhaps is the
- 5 recognition that we were putting in transponders, and
- 6 we can freely accept that. But what we have as
- 7 evidence on the record is that the first to have
- 8 occurred was in 2002.
- 9 Now, I think the reason that statement comes
- 10 out -- and I guess I shouldn't make any thinking on
- 11 it. I will simply observe this. That we had an
- 12 effort -- and I would like to speak to his exhibit,
- 13 which is I think 7.0, which is the formula calculation
- 14 that Mr. Ball put forth.
- There's been a significant effort in trying
- 16 to grow this number. I think that there is a large
- 17 dollar amount out there that's being ignored or
- 18 unobserved. And I think that there's some significant
- 19 analysis problems with what we have laid before us
- 20 here.
- 21 I -- and it's simply put this way. Let's
- 22 walk down through some of it, if you would like. But
- 23 in the column C -- sorry, sorry, sorry. Let's go with
- 24 column G. Is the calculation that essentially the
- 25 stipulating parties have put forth.

- 1 We've recognized, after all the efforts that
- 2 the Company has gone through, that we ended up with
- 3 essentially less than one tenth of one percent of
- 4 errors. And that's calculated here to be .08.
- 5 What Mr. Ball wants to try to do is he wants
- 6 to try to pick and choose a particular year's worth of
- 7 errors. And so what he goes to is column H. And he
- 8 calculates for one year -- happened to be the last
- 9 year.
- 10 And he goes, Oh, wow, we have in that year
- 11 almost one-half of one percent of the meters that were
- 12 set that looked like they had a -- that we have found
- 13 a transponder error with. And then he wants to try to
- 14 do an interpolation between these two to come up with
- 15 a five multiplier.
- 16 It's interesting that he didn't choose the
- 17 year before, where we could have had .001 of a
- 18 percent. Or even the year before that, a .0016. If
- 19 we took those years to do this, I want to pick this
- 20 part of the elephant to do my calculation on, we would
- 21 be significantly lower than our \$1 million estimate.
- 22 All we're trying to do is -- and that's, I
- 23 guess, the final thing I'd like to say -- I think the
- 24 stipulating parties didn't try to pick and choose a
- 25 given year. But they put all of the data which we

- 1 have, which is all of the numbers of the transponders
- 2 that are out there. They're fully installed now.
- 3 We took the percentages that we had that we
- 4 knew specifically related to VRTs, as well as
- 5 one-third of the 3.4s out there. Made a very
- 6 reasonable estimate of where we thought things would
- 7 be. And have come forward feeling very comfortable
- 8 this is a just and reasonable settlement that we put
- 9 before the Commission.
- 10 MS. BYDE: I don't have any further questions
- 11 of Mr. McKay.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Are there other proponents
- 13 who wish to add anything to the presentations made
- 14 earlier?
- MR. PROCTOR: No, thank you.
- MS. SCHMID: No, thank you.
- 17 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Let's check with
- 18 Ms. Murray. Have --
- 19 MS. MURRAY: We had one, but she stepped out.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Well, I believe that
- 21 will conclude this portion of the hearing. At least
- 22 the formal part. We'll see if we can now get back to
- 23 the public witnesses and hear from them.
- 24 (Pause.)
- 25 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Dolores Hart. Welcome to

- 1 the Public Service Commission.
- 2 MS. HART: H-a-r-t.
- 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: H-a-r-t? Were you here
- 4 earlier today?
- 5 MS. HART: Pardon?
- 6 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You weren't earlier -- here
- 7 earlier today, were you?
- 8 MS. HART: I was at another hearing, but they
- 9 didn't record it at that time.
- 10 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Oh. Let me explain that as
- 11 a public witness you can choose to either give sworn
- 12 testimony or unsworn testimony.
- MS. HART: I'll be sworn because I'm telling
- 14 the truth, so.
- 15 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well. And if you're
- 16 sworn then we can rely on your testimony in coming to
- 17 our decision. You need to stand up, please, and raise
- 18 your right hand.
- 19 (Ms. Hart was sworn.)
- 20 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Ms. Hart. Then
- 21 please state your name and spell it for the record.
- MS. HART: My name is Dolores Hart. I go by
- 23 Dee sometimes. But it's D-o-l-o-r-e-s, H-a-r-t. And
- 24 I live around 54 and Redwood, in Murray. Well,
- 25 actually Taylorsville. But my story is -- and I'm not

- 1 sure what year it was, probably 2003, 2004.
- I decided that I would just read my meter
- 3 just to see what, what my bill was saying. If it was
- 4 corresponding with what I would read with the meter.
- 5 So I called Questar. They sent me the cards. I went
- 6 out and read the meter.
- 7 I'm not sure if it was one month or two
- 8 months. I think it was the second reading. And so I
- 9 sent them in. And then after that -- I think I got my
- 10 bill.
- 11 And then after that a Questar lady called me
- 12 and said, You don't have to read the meter anymore
- 13 because there's a truck that goes by and reads the
- 14 meter. We have a transponder. And you won't have to
- 15 fill out the cards anymore.
- 16 Well, what I should have done was go ahead,
- 17 for my own satisfaction, to fill out the card just to
- 18 see if it corresponded, but I didn't do it. So that's
- 19 basically what happened. And then I just kept paying
- 20 the bills.
- 21 That's when the, that's when the bills went
- 22 up so high. Which was ridiculous at that time I
- 23 thought, you know, to be that high. The difference in
- 24 the raised bill.
- 25 So anyway, that's my story. And I think it's

- 1 very unfair that -- why should we be having to pay for
- 2 other people's mistakes? It just doesn't make any
- 3 sense. It's been that way with a lot of things, so.
- 4 Not just Questar, other things.
- 5 And another thing is, I think Roger Ball is
- 6 doing a great thing for the ratepayers. That's my
- 7 statement.
- 8 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Ms. Hart. Let's
- 9 see if anyone has questions for you.
- 10 Ms. Schmid, have you questions for her?
- MS. SCHMID: No questions.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BOYER: All right. Mr. Proctor?
- MR. PROCTOR: No, thank you.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Um --
- MS. BYDE: No, thank you.
- 16 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Ms. Byde no. Mr. Ball, have
- 17 you questions for Ms. Hart?
- 18 MR. BALL: No thank you, Chairman.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you very much for
- 20 coming and sharing your story with us.
- Okay, there are no other members of the
- 22 public here at the moment. However, we'll remain
- 23 available until 5:30. So we'll be in recess until the
- 24 earlier of a member of the public coming, or at 5:30
- 25 we'll go back on the record and conclude the

1	proceeding.					
2	Thank you all for your patience.					
3	(A recess was taken from 4:55 to 5:33 p.m.)					
4	CHAIRMAN BOYER: I guess we ought to let the					
5	record show that no more members of the public came.					
6	And that will conclude this hearing.					
7	We're going to take the matter under					
8	advisement and get an order out as soon as humanly					
9	possible, given our workload. Thank you all for					
10	participating. See you in the next case.					
11	(The hearing was concluded at 5:34 p.m.)					
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	CERTIFICATE						
2	STATE OF UTAH )						
3	) ss. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE )						
4	COUNTY OF SALI LAKE )						
5	This is to certify that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, KELLY L. WILBURN, a Registered						
6	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah.						
7	That the proceedings were reported by me in						
8	stenotype and thereafter caused by me to be transcribed into typewriting. And that a full, true, and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed is set forth in the foregoing pages, numbered 1 through 234, inclusive.						
9							
10							
11	I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said						
12	cause of action, and that I am not interested in the event thereof.						
13	WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL AT KEARNS, UTAH						
14	THIS 4th DAY OF November, 2008.						
15							
16	Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR My Commission Expires:						
17	May 16, 2009						
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							