Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

As an aggrieved party, I am writing to express my concerns concerning DOCKET NO. 07-057-13, and request a rehearing if the grievances of those of the Utah NGV community who have already responded to the docket cannot be reconciled in any other way.

My concerns regarding the docket are as follows:

1. I take issue with this statement from the docket on page 41,"Also, we do not find it appropriate to include the benefits of Questar's Wexpro gas resource in the pricing of this non-traditional utility service, especially since CNG is available to the general public and is not limited to Questar Gas Company customers. Therefore, we require the NGV schedule to reflect the price of natural gas, exclusive of the Wexpro resource."

Though I am not a Questar home service subscriber, I AM A QUESTAR CUSTOMER the second I purchase gas from their pumps. The Wexpro gas resource should be used included in the calculation of the cost of CNG for NGV. If the gas Questar is selling me comes from that source, or at least a majority of it, then it certainly should be include in the cost calculation if you want to reflect cost of service. If I buy an item like food at the local farmers market, I expect to pay the local rate, not what is being charged on the other side of the country.

2. There certainly may be a time for removing the subsidy that Questar business/home customers help provide, and maybe that time is now, but when I survey the CNG for NGV infrastructure outside of the Salt Lake valley, I have to wonder. But if you remove subsidy, and then also exclude the Wexpro resource, then where is there any monetary or stability of cost incentive for any business to invest in NGVs. Growing NGV use not only helps the air quality, but could be a real attraction for potential companies looking to relocate in Utah if they knew the cost would be more stable here in Utah and the state was committed maintaining that stability.

Thank you for your time.

John Reinhard