## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

IN THE MATTER OF THE ) PASS-THROUGH APPLICATION ) Docket Nos.: 09-057-12 OF QUESTAR GAS COMPANY FOR) 09-057-13 AN ADJUSTMENT IN RATES AND) 09-057-14 CHARGES FOR NATURAL GAS ) SERVICE IN UTAH, ) TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING APPLICATION OF QUESTAR GAS) COMPANY TO AMORTIZE THE ) CONSERVATION ENABLING ) TARIFF BALANCING ACCOUNT ) September 24, 2009 ) 2:01 p.m. and APPLICATION OF QUESTAR GAS) COMPANY TO AMORTIZE THE ) DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT DEFERRED ACCOUNT BALANCE. )

\* \* \*

Location of:
Public Service Commission
160 East 300 South, Room 451
Salt Lake City, Utah

\* \* \*

Rossann J. Morgan
- Certified Shorthand Reporter - Registered Professional Reporter -

1	APPE	ARANCES	
2			
3	FOR QUESTAR GAS COMPANY	:Jennifer Nelson, Esq. QUESTAR GAS COMPANY 180 East 100 South	
4		P.O. Box 45360 Salt Lake City, Utah 84	145 0260
5		Sait have city, otali 04.	143-0300
6			
7	FOR THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:	Michael Ginsberg, Esq. UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	
8		160 East 300 South, Fif- Post Office Box 140857 Salt Lake City, Utah 84	
9		Sait have city, otali 64.	414-0037
10			
11			
12			
13	Т	N D E X	
14			
15	WITNESSES		PAGE
16	John Ernest Kennedy Examination by Ms. Ne	lson	4
17			
18	Kelly B. Mendenhall Examination by Ms. Ne	lson	6
19			
20	Marlin Barrow Examination by Mr. Gi	nsberg	10
21			
22			
23			
2.4			

- 2 PROCEEDINGS
- JUDGE ARREDONDO: This is in the matter of
- 4 Questar Gas Docket No. 09-057-12, 13 and 14. My name is
- 5 Ruben Arredondo. I'm the ALJ assigned to hear these
- 6 matters. And with that, we'll take appearances starting
- 7 with the company, please.
- 8 MS. NELSON: Jennifer Nelson here appearing
- 9 on behalf of the company, and with me I have John Kennedy
- 10 and Kelly Mendenhall who will serve as witnesses for
- 11 these matters.
- 12 JUDGE ARREDONDO: Thank you.
- MR. GINSBERG: Michael Ginsberg for the
- 14 Division of Public Utilities and the Division has Marlin
- 15 Barrow here today.
- JUDGE ARREDONDO: All right. Thank you.
- Okay. Would you like to proceed first,
- 18 Ms. Nelson?
- MS. NELSON: Yes, thank you.
- JUDGE ARREDONDO: Who's your first witness?
- 21 MS. NELSON: The company would like to call
- 22 John Kennedy to speak to the 09-057-12 docket.
- JUDGE ARREDONDO: Okay. Actually, what I'll
- 24 do is I'll swear both of you, Mr. Kennedy and
- 25 Mr. Mendenhall. If you could raise your right hand for

- 1 me.
- 2 (Whereupon, Mr. Kennedy and Mr. Mendenhall
- 3
  were duly sworn.)
- 4 JUDGE ARREDONDO: Thank you. Go ahead.
- 5 EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MS. NELSON:
- 7 Q. Mr. Kennedy, would you please state your name
- 8 and business address for the record?
- 9 A. John Earnest Kennedy. I work at Questar Gas
- 10 Company, is 180 East 100 South, Salt Lake City, Utah.
- 11 Q. And what position do you hold at Questar?
- 12 A. I'm a regulatory affairs specialist.
- 13 Q. Did you participate and oversee the
- 14 preparation of the Pass-Through Application of Questar
- 15 Gas Company for an Adjustment in Rates and Charges for
- 16 Natural Gas Service in Utah, also known as Docket
- 17 No. 09-057-12?
- 18 A. Yes, I did.
- 19 Q. Can you describe what Questar is seeking
- 20 through that docket?
- 21 A. I sure will. Questar Gas Company, the
- 22 applicant in this Pass-Through Docket, No. 09-057-12,
- 23 respectfully asks the Utah Public Service Commission for
- 24 approval to implement a decrease to the commodity and
- 25 supplier of non-gas costs portions of its Utah rates.

- 1 The driving force behind this proposed price
- 2 decrease in this filing is a forecasted decrease in
- 3 purchased gas prices. This filing is based on the August
- 4 2009 average subjective gas prices from two nationally
- 5 recognized forecasting organizations; namely, Global
- 6 Insight and Cambridge Energy Research Associates.
- 7 This application asked for a combined
- 8 decrease of \$32.8 million which includes a decrease of
- 9 \$4.3 million in the supplier non-gas portion rates and
- 10 \$28.5 million in the commodity portion rates.
- 11 Therefore, the company requests an overall
- 12 decrease of \$32.8 million to be effective Thursday,
- 13 October the 1st, 2009, resulting in a typical residential
- 14 customer using 80 decatherms per year seeing a decrease
- 15 in the total annual bill of \$24.59 or 3.2 -- 72 percent.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 Q. Are you aware that the Division of Public
- 18 Utilities filed a memorandum September 8th, 2009,
- 19 regarding this docket?
- 20 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Are you familiar with that memorandum?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And what did they conclude?
- 24 A. They concluded it was in the public's best
- 25 interest and they recommended approval of it subject to

- 2 MS. NELSON: I have no further questions for
- 3 this witness.
- 4 JUDGE ARREDONDO: Any questions,
- 5 Mr. Ginsberg? You have any questions for Mr. Kennedy?
- 6 MR. GINSBERG: Just I think he was probably
- 7 referring to the Division's September 21st memorandum.
- 8 MS. NELSON: That's right.
- 9 MR. GINSBERG: As opposed to September 8th
- 10 which just requested the hearing.
- MS. NELSON: That's right.
- 12 JUDGE ARREDONDO: All right. And anything
- 13 else for Mr. Kennedy, Ms. Nelson?
- MS. NELSON: No, I have nothing further.
- 15 JUDGE ARREDONDO: Would you like to proceed
- 16 with Mr. Mendenhall?
- MS. NELSON: Sure.
- 18 EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MS. NELSON:

- Q. Would you please state your name and business
- 21 address for the record?
- 22 A. Kelly B. Mendenhall. And my address is 180
- 23 East 100 South, Salt Lake City, Utah.
- Q. And by whom are you employed?
- 25 A. Questar Gas Company.

- 2 title?
- 3 A. My title is a supervisor of regulatory
- 4 affairs and I am responsible for tariff filings and rate
- 5 cases.
- 6 Q. Did you participate in or oversee the
- 7 preparation of the application of Questar Gas Company to
- 8 Amortize the Conservation Enabling Tariff Balancing
- 9 Account, Docket No. 09-057-13?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And did you also participate in or oversee
- 12 the preparation of application of Questar Gas Company to
- 13 Amortize the Demand-Side Management Deferred Account
- 14 Balance, Docket 09-057-14?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- 16 Q. Can you describe for us what Questar seeks
- 17 through those two dockets?
- 18 A. Certainly. In Docket 09-057-13, the
- 19 Application of Questar Gas to Amortize the Conservation
- 20 Enabling Tariff Balancing Account, the company proposes
- 21 to amortize the July 2009 ending balance of \$1,857,014.
- 22 This is about \$1.4 million higher than the January 2009
- 23 balance that is currently being amortized.
- The increase would be spread to GS-1
- 25 customers by applying a percentage increase to current

- 1 rates. This change in the CET amortization rate will
- 2 result in a two-tenths of a one percent increase to the

- 3 typical GS residential customer's bill. The company is
- 4 proposing that this change be made effective October 1st,
- 5 2009.
- In Docket 09-075-14, the Application of
- 7 Questar to Amortize the Demand Side Management Deferred
- 8 Account Balance, the company proposes to amortize the
- 9 July ending -- the July 2009 ending balance of
- 10 \$42,927,605.
- 11 As a result of increased customer
- 12 participation in the company's ThermWise program, the
- 13 July balance is about \$25 million higher than the January
- 14 2009 balance that is currently being amortized.
- The DSM amortization rate will increase from
- 16 20.2 cents per decatherm to 44.996 cents, an increase in
- 17 about 25 cents per decatherm. This change would amount
- 18 to about \$19.79 per year increase for the typical GS
- 19 residential customer. The company is proposing that this
- 20 change maybe -- this change be made effective
- 21 October 1st, 2009.
- 22 And for informational purposes, I've also
- 23 calculated what the overall effect would be on the
- 24 typical customer if all three of these applications are
- 25 -- are approved. And that would be about a decrease of

- 1 \$3.50 per year, about five-tenths of a percent decrease
- 2 in a typical customer's bill.

- 3 Q. Mr. Mendenhall, are you familiar with the
- 4 memorandum from the Division of Public Utilities
- 5 previously referenced?
- 6 A. Yes, I am.
- 7 Q. And are you familiar with its contents?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And what was -- were there any
- 10 recommendations in that memorandum relating to these two
- 11 dockets?
- 12 A. I believe they recommended that these two
- 13 applications be approved.
- 14 MS. NELSON: I have no further questions for
- 15 Mr. Mendenhall.
- JUDGE ARREDONDO: All right. Any questions,
- 17 Mr. Ginsberg?
- MR. GINSBERG: No.
- 19 JUDGE ARREDONDO: Okay. Anything else that
- 20 you'd like to --
- MS. NELSON: No. Thank you.
- JUDGE ARREDONDO: All right. Thank you.
- 23 Mr. Ginsberg. Mr. Barrow.
- MR. BARROW: Yes.
- JUDGE ARREDONDO: You could raise your right

- 1 hand for me.
- 2 (Whereupon, Mr. Barrow was duly sworn.)
- JUDGE ARREDONDO: Thank you.

- 5 BY MR. GINSBERG:
- 6 Q. Go ahead and state your name for the record
- 7 and your position with the Division.
- 8 A. My name is Marlin Barrow. I'm a technical
- 9 consultant with the Division of Public Utilities of Utah.
- 10 Q. You prepared the September 21st memorandum
- 11 that provides the Division's recommendation in these
- 12 three dockets?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.
- 14 Q. And can you provide a brief summary of the
- 15 Division's findings and recommendations?
- 16 A. Yes. A very few summary of the docket, the
- 17 Pass-Through Docket 09-057-12, reflects a projected
- 18 continuation of relatively low gas prices through
- 19 September 2010. These low prices coupled with rejection
- 20 of reduced supplier non-gas costs result in a savings of
- 21 \$32.8 million to the sales customers of Questar Gas
- 22 Company.
- 23 The effect of these gas cost rate changes on
- 24 a typical sales customer receiving an annual use of 80
- 25 decatherms a year is a decrease of \$24.59 or 3.72 percent
  - 10

- 1 decrease.
- The CET amortization in Docket 09-057-13
- 3 requests a change to the distribution non-gas or DNG

- 4 rates of the GS rate class and affects only that rate
- 5 class. The filing in this docket requests an increase in
- 6 the conservation enabling tariff or CET amortization rate
- 7 in the order -- in the order to amortize a \$1 point
- 8 million July balance in the 191.9 account, which is set
- 9 up as a CET balance end account. This request is an
- 10 increase of \$1.4 million over the previous amount
- 11 requested in Docket 09-057-04. If approved by the
- 12 Commission, a typical GS rate class customer assuming an
- 13 annual use of 80 decatherms will see of an increase in
- 14 their annual bill of \$1.33 or two-tenths of a percent
- 15 increase.
- 16 When the CE tariff was approved as a pilot
- 17 program, limits or caps were placed on the amounts that
- 18 could be accrued in any 12-month period, as well as the
- 19 amounts that could be amortized in any 12-month period.
- 20 The 12-month CE cap for accruals through July 2009 is
- 21 \$11.5 million. The amount actually accrued for this same
- 22 12-month period is \$1.5 million. The 12-month CET
- 23 amortization cap ending July 2009 is \$5.8 million. The
- 24 amount to be amortized per this request is \$1.9 million.
- 25 Both the 12-month CET accrual and the CET amortization

- 1 amounts requested in this filing are well below their
- 2 capped limits.
- 3 The DSM amortization in Docket 09-057-14 is a
- 4 request to increase the Demand Side Management or DSM

- 5 amortization rate component of the GS rate class from the
- 6 current rounded amount of about 20 cents per decatherm to
- 7 a rate of about 45 cents per decatherm. The requested
- 8 rate increase will amortize the July 2009 balance of
- 9 \$42.9 million in the DSM 182.4 account. If approved by
- 10 the Commission, a typical GS customer consuming 80
- 11 decatherms a year will see an average increase in their
- 12 bill of \$19.79 or a 2.99 percent increase.
- 13 I'm not going to go into the details
- 14 concerning the main drivers of this increase, as that was
- 15 testified in the Division's memo to the Commission
- 16 regarding these applications as well as discussed in more
- 17 detail in other memos and correspondence from the company
- 18 and the Division to the Commission in Docket 09-057-T04.
- 19 However, the Division would like to emphasize
- 20 the fact that even though the DSM atomization rate has
- 21 increased to 45 cents per decatherm for all GS customers,
- 22 for those customers who take advantage of the DSM
- 23 programs and implement one of the measures offered they
- 24 can expect to save \$5.30 per decatherm in gas costs
- 25 during the winter heating season based on the gas cost --

- 1 gas cost rates recommended in Docket 09-057-12 now before
- 2 the Commission.
- In summary, Docket 09-057-12, passes on lower
- 4 gas costs to Questar Gas sales customers and would save a

- 5 typical customer \$24.59 in their annual bill. Docket
- 6 09-057-13, increases the CET amortization rate for GS
- 7 customers, which will increase a typical GS customer's
- 8 annual bill by \$1.33. While Docket 09-057-14 increases
- 9 the DSM atomization rate, which will increase the typical
- 10 GS customer's annual bill by \$19.79.
- 11 The net effect of all three of these
- 12 applications to a typical GS class customer is a \$3.46
- 13 reduction in their annual bill, while other sales
- 14 customers will see a reduction of \$24.59 from lower gas
- 15 costs.
- 16 The Division recommends to the Commission the
- 17 approval of these three dockets as filed by Questar Gas
- 18 and represents them as being fair and in the public
- 19 interest. Thank you.
- JUDGE ARREDONDO: Thank you. Ms. Nelson, do
- 21 you have any questions for Mr. Barrow?
- MS. NELSON: I don't.
- JUDGE ARREDONDO: I'm sorry. Do you have any
- 24 follow-up questions?
- Q. (BY MR. GINSBERG) Just that your

- 1 recommendation is that they only be approved on an
- 2 interim basis?
- A. That's true. In our original memo, we just
- 4 request that these be approved on an interim basis until
- 5 the Division can complete the audits of the various

```
7
               JUDGE ARREDONDO: Thank you. Anything else
   from either parties?
9
               MS. NELSON: No, sir.
10
               JUDGE ARREDONDO: Okay. Then we'll go ahead
   and submit the -- I'll make a recommendation to the
11
12 Commission and we will get this out before October 1st,
13 an order out. Thank you.
14
               MS. NELSON: Thank you.
15
               MR. GINSBERG: Thank you.
        (Whereupon, the matter concluded at 2:15 p.m.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
                                                        14
1
                     CERTIFICATE
2 STATE OF UTAH
                      : ss.
3 COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)
               I, ROSSANN J. MORGAN, Registered Professional
```

6 accounts affecting these dockets.

5 Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter residing at West Jordan, Utah, do hereby certify:

6				
7	That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 3 to 14, was stenographically reported by me at the time and place hereinbefore set forth; that the same was			
8	thereafter reduced to typewritten form, and that the			
9	foregoing is a true and correct transcript of those proceedings.			
10	I further certify that I am neither counsel			
11	for nor related to any party to said action nor in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.			
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name this 6th day of October, 2009.			
13	Subscribed my name this oth day of october, 2009.			
14				
15	ROSSANN J. MORGAN, CSR, RPR			
16	License No.:			
17	4948384-7801			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				