#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application Of Questar Gas for Approval of the Wexpro II Agreement

Docket No: 12-057-13

# 

#### HEARING BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
TAKEN AT:	Heber M. Wells Building 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, Utah
DATE:	January 31, 2013
TIME:	12:00 noon
REPORTED BY:	Kellie Peterson, RPR

	Hearing Before the Public Service Commission 01/31/13	2
1	APPEARANCES	
2		
3	For the Division of Public Utilities:	
4	Patricia Schmid, Esq.	
5	Attorney General's Office	
6	160 E. 300 S.	
7	Fourth Floor	
8	Salt Lake City, UT 84111	
9		
10	For Questar Gas:	
11	Colleen L. Bell, Esq.	
12	Gregory Monson, Esq.	
13	QUESTAR CORPORATION	
14	333 S. State Street	
15	PO Box 45433	
16	Salt Lake City, UT 84145-0433	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Hearing Before the Public	Service Commission 01/31/13	3
1	INDEX		
2	Witness	Page	
3	LANE BEATTIE	5	
4	JEFF EDWARDS	10	
5	BARRIE MCKAY	16	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	Hearing Before the Public Service Commission 01/31/13 4
1	Hearing Before the Public Service Commission
2	January 31, 2013
3	PROCEEDINGS
4	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Good morning, or good
5	afternoon. My name is David Clark. I'm a commissioner and am
6	pleased that Chairman Ron Allen is here today, as well.
7	This is the time and place duly noticed for public
8	witness testimony in Docket No. 12-057-13 in the matter of the
9	application of Questar Gas Company for approval of the Wexpro
10	II Agreement.
11	And as I mentioned, we're here to receive
12	comments from the public, and for those of you who have come
13	with the intent to give comments, we have notice of two
14	individuals that desire to do that; Mr. Lane Beattie and Mr. Jeff
15	Edwards.
16	Is there anyone else present who intends to provide
17	testimony or comments to the Commission today? Okay. Well,
18	for these two people, let me just mention that you may offer
19	your comments without receiving an oath, or without making
20	sworn testimony, or you may offer sworn testimony to the
21	Commission. If you choose to do so, and if you do, then you're
22	subject to cross-examination by the counsel who are here
23	present here today. So I'll just be asking you to elect one of
24	those two forms of comment to the Commission as you come
25	forward.

	Hearing Before the Public Service Commission 01/31/13
1	So with that preliminary information, the first
2	person on the list that I have is Mr. Beattie. Mr. Lane Beattie,
3	please come forward, and how would you like
4	MR. BEATTIE: Sworn.
5	COMMISSIONER CLARK: You would like to make
6	sworn testimony?
7	MR. BEATTIE: Uh-huh.
8	LANE BEATTIE, called as a witness and having
9	been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
10	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Please be seated, Mr.
11	Beatty.
12	MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Commissioner.
13	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Could you provide your
14	full name and spell your name for the court reporter?
15	MR. BEATTIE: My full name is Russell Lane
16	Beattie, B-E-A-T-T-I-E.
17	COMMISSIONER CLARK: And please provide any
18	other identifying information that you would like to.
19	MR. BEATTIE: If I may, Chairman, I would like to
20	pass outI have a copy of the statement that I would like to
21	read, if that's all right, then make a few other statements. I
22	have several of them. May I just leave them with the clerk or
23	how
24	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Sure. Sherri, would you
25	mind distributing those? I would like to have a copy in my

	5
1	hands as Mr. Beattie speaks.
2	MR. BEATTIE: As I said, my name is Lane Beattie.
3	I do have a Russell in front of it. Most people don't know that
4	but it is on my passport and is my given name. I'm president
5	and CEO of the Salt Lake Chamber, and if I could, I would just
6	like to read a statement and just make a few comments.
7	The Salt Lake Chamber is Utah's largest business
8	association. The Chamber members employ nearly half of
9	Utah's workforce, in the entire State of Utah. We havewith
10	roots that date back to 1887, the Chamber has stood as the
11	voice of business, supporting its members' success and
12	championing community prosperity for over a century.
13	For 83 years, prosperity in Utah has been linked to
14	our abundant, affordable natural gas resources. The Chamber
15	supports the balanced development of Utah's rich energy
16	resources. Development and wise use of our essential resources
17	ensures access to reasonably priced energy, creates jobs and
18	provides a solid foundation for broader economic strength.
19	For over 30 years, wise use of our natural gas
20	resources have givenhave been governed by the Wexpro II
21	Agreement. This unique agreement has been good for Questar
22	Gas customers, saving them over \$1 billion. In turn, it has been
23	good for Salt Lake City and Utah, as a whole, making us a prime
24	candidate for any business wishing to locate or expand. The
25	State should seize the opportunity to approve Questar Gas'

	Hearing Before the Public Service Commission 01/31/13
1	application to implement an identical cost of service program for
2	the benefit of Utah customers.
3	Many of the Chamber's most important initiatives
4	hinge on continued availability of stably priced natural gas.
5	This is a quote from our current policy guidelines: Indeed, one
6	of our priorities is to promote increased availability of Utah's
7	abundant and inexpensive natural gas reserves. We support
8	environmentally responsible expansion of Utah's natural gas
9	infrastructure and encourage business, organizations, and
10	individuals throughout the State to explore natural gas vehicle
11	options to help improve our air quality.
12	For Utah to capitalize on the numerous benefits of
13	natural gas, the State should work to ensure supplies are
14	available to customers at the most reasonable price. The
15	Chamber believes the best way to do so would be to expand on
16	the proven track record of the Wexpro model by approving
17	Wexpro II.
18	Questar Gas is a phenomenal corporate citizen and
19	one of the Chamber's most valuable partners. We have come to
20	rely on the company and the ideas of its innovative employees.
21	Much effort has gone into the Wexpro II proposal and will
22	benefit the whole State. The Chamber supports Questar Gas'
23	application because it supports the Chamber's long-term goals.
24	Now if I can say off of the written text, there are
25	many aspects of this. We do have a committee at the Chamber

	Hearing Before the Public Service Commission 01/31/13
1	that is called our Clean Air Committee. We have dedicated two
2	pages to clean air. Thank goodness today, it is cleaner than it
3	has been this last month. Because of the importance that we
4	feel for the future's growth of the State of the Utah, clean air is
5	a very important issue to us.
6	We believe that natural gas continues to be not just
7	an asset to businesses that want to come here and have low
8	energy costs, but it also gives us an opportunity to grow industry
9	and to keep it clean. We believe that the investments that are
10	made through the Wexpro exploration and production gives us
11	an opportunity to have even more future than we have had in
12	the past, as people are looking, we hope, more and more to
13	stability within the State of Utah for our own resources to supply
14	the energy needs we have. To do so with a clean energy source
15	is extremely important to us now and in the future.
16	With that, I would be delighted to answer any
17	questions.
18	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you very much,
19	Mr. Beattie.
20	Are there any questions for Mr. Beattie?
21	Thank you very much for your testimony.
22	MR. BEATTIE: Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Jeff Edwards. Mr.
24	Edwards, do you intend to provide sworn testimony or
25	MR. EDWARDS: I would, sworn testimony.

	Hearing Before the Public Service Commission 01/31/13 9
1	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you.
2	JEFF EDWARDS, called as a witness and having
3	been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
4	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Please be seated.
5	MR. EDWARDS: Thank you.
6	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Would you state your
7	name please and spell your name for the reporter and provide
8	any other identifying information that you would like to?
9	MR. EDWARDS: My name is Jeffrey Barker
10	Edwards. I amE-D-W-A-R-D-S. I am president and CEO of
11	Economic Development Corporation of Utah, and I have a
12	prepared statement that I would like to read, and then I would
13	be happy toI have a few comments to add, and then I would be
14	happy to take any questions.
15	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you.
16	MR. EDWARDS: The Economic Development
17	Corporation of Utah strongly supports Questar Gas' Wexpro II
18	application. EDCUtah is a 25-year-old private nonprofit
19	organization that works with state and local government and
20	private industry to attract and grow competitive, high-value
21	companies and spur the development and expansion of the local
22	Utah businesses.
23	EDCUtah's primary mission is to recruit new
24	businesses to Utah. Access to affordable, reliable energy
25	sources always weighs heavily in s business' decision to locate

1	or relocate in Utah. EDCU's support for Questar Gas'
2	application is based on evidence that the utility's
3	company-owned natural gas reserves are a substantial
4	contributor to Utah's reputation as having energy costs 20
5	percent to 30 percent below the national average.
6	The original Wexpro Agreement has saved Questar
7	Gas' customers well over a billion dollars, but is limited to the
8	gas reserves from properties defined many years ago. There
9	are obvious benefits to EDCUtah's ongoing and future
10	recruitment efforts if Questar Gas is able to replicate the
11	success of the Wexpro Agreement in the future.
12	Beyond our primary recruiting mission, EDCUtah
13	represents about 250 supporting members. About 200 are
14	businesses with potential to expand; the rest are municipalities
15	and counties interested not only in recruiting new business, but
16	in retaining current businesses. The competition with other
17	states is fierce. Affordable, sustainable energy sources are the
18	key to retaining current and expanding businesses and their
19	contributions to Utah's economy. With labor and real estate
20	prices beginning to rebound, the fact that Utah's local natural
21	gas provider has a unique hedge against extreme increases is a
22	value tool for EDCUtah.
23	EDCUtah believes Questar Gas has demonstrated
24	that it can be counted on to act in the best interests of its
25	customers. Having Utah's primary natural gas utility

	Hearing Before the Public Service Commission 01/31/13
1	headquartered in Utah, while maintaining a high profile in the
2	community helps our recruiting. But that is not unique. What
3	has been unique for more than three decades is Utah's natural
4	gas rates have ranked among the nation's lowest. That has led,
5	in large part, to a reputation and accolades in business
6	publications and forums that has proved invaluable as recruiting
7	tools for economic development.
8	It seems to EDCUtah that legislators and regulators
9	would be looking to replicate our successes when possible. The
10	Wexpro Agreement's win-win-win record speaks for itself, and is
11	one of those successes that should be replicated. EDCUtah
12	encourages the State to approve the Wexpro II Agreement.
13	If I might add to that, as well, I can't the
14	competitive advantage to that low natural gas prices brings to us
15	cannot really be understated, and not only the low prices, but
16	also the fact that there is a stable energy environment, which is
17	not the case unnecessarily in other parts of our country. So
18	when we talk to companies, the ability to offer this kind of a
19	stable, long-term and well-priced resource would be a
20	tremendous advantage to us as we are competing with other
21	states going forward.
22	And I think also a very unique resource to be able
23	to point to these kinds of resources and say they are available
24	and plentiful, even with all the other gas exploration going on in
25	the country and having the unique ownership and delivery and

	Treating Defore the Fubic Service Commission 01/31/13
1	system that we have in our State is a real advantage to us going
2	forward.
3	I also want to emphasize, too, that I believe, as has
4	been said elsewhere, there is a timely decision to be made here,
5	an opportunity, as the market has changed dramatically and
6	prices are down, the opportunity exists now to acquire these
7	resources at a very reasonable cost, I think, and a wonderful
8	opportunity for the State to make this happen.
9	So I should also add, in closing, that Questar Gas
10	has been a key supporter of our organization since the very
11	beginning. We have been around for 25 years and Questar has
12	been, and back in the days when it was known as Mountain
13	Fuel, it was on the list way back then, and we really appreciate
14	that support. They've provided a very generous support of the
15	economic development, wherever it goes in the State and
16	whether they are gas customers are not, they have been very
17	generous in their support and we appreciate that very much, and
18	think that having a utility of the quality and character, that we
19	are so fortunate to have that in our community.
20	So that is all I have prepared. I'd be happy to take
21	any questions, if there are any.
22	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you very much.
23	Any questions? Thank you very much.
24	MR. EDWARDS: You are welcome. Thank you.
25	COMMISSIONER CLARK: It's about 17 minutes

1after 12:00. Has anyone else joined us that intends or desires2to make a statement of any kind or is anyone aware of someone3that they thought would appear? Okay. Then that will conclude4our public witness hearing, and we have just a couple of matters5that are technical in nature to address.6One with the Division, and this is just a small thing,7but on your list of witnesses, the date of Mr. Wheelwright's8testimony is identified as 12-11-2013, rather than 2012. We9think it was 2012; is that correct, Ms. Schmid?10MS. SCHMID: That is correct. I apologize for the11error.12COMMISSIONER CLARK: No, that's okay. And13then for the Company, yesterday I asked some questions14regarding what is Exhibit C in Wexprothe Wexpro II Agreement15and Exhibit D in Wexpro I, and my question is related to this16marginal composite tax rate calculation and the formula. And it17still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas18that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is20correct.21So let's go off the record for a moment and let you22discussion was held off the record.)24COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you25address the question I've asked, let me just state for the record		
<ul> <li>that they thought would appear? Okay. Then that will conclude</li> <li>our public witness hearing, and we have just a couple of matters</li> <li>that are technical in nature to address.</li> <li>One with the Division, and this is just a small thing,</li> <li>but on your list of witnesses, the date of Mr. Wheelwright's</li> <li>testimony is identified as 12-11-2013, rather than 2012. We</li> <li>think it was 2012; is that correct, Ms. Schmid?</li> <li>MS. SCHMID: That is correct. I apologize for the</li> <li>error.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: No, that's okay. And</li> <li>then for the Company, yesterday I asked some questions</li> <li>regarding what is Exhibit C in Wexprothe Wexpro II Agreement</li> <li>and Exhibit D in Wexpro I, and my question is related to this</li> <li>marginal composite tax rate calculation and the formula. And it</li> <li>still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas</li> <li>that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is</li> <li>approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>So let's go off the record for a moment and let you</li> <li>discuss how you might want to address this.</li> <li>(A discussion was held off the record.)</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you</li> </ul>	1	after 12:00. Has anyone else joined us that intends or desires
<ul> <li>our public witness hearing, and we have just a couple of matters</li> <li>that are technical in nature to address.</li> <li>One with the Division, and this is just a small thing,</li> <li>but on your list of witnesses, the date of Mr. Wheelwright's</li> <li>testimony is identified as 12-11-2013, rather than 2012. We</li> <li>think it was 2012; is that correct, Ms. Schmid?</li> <li>MS. SCHMID: That is correct. I apologize for the</li> <li>error.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: No, that's okay. And</li> <li>then for the Company, yesterday I asked some questions</li> <li>regarding what is Exhibit C in Wexprothe Wexpro II Agreement</li> <li>and Exhibit D in Wexpro I, and my question is related to this</li> <li>marginal composite tax rate calculation and the formula. And it</li> <li>still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas</li> <li>that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is</li> <li>approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>So let's go off the record for a moment and let you</li> <li>discuss how you might want to address this.</li> <li>(A discussion was held off the record.)</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you</li> </ul>	2	to make a statement of any kind or is anyone aware of someone
<ul> <li>that are technical in nature to address.</li> <li>One with the Division, and this is just a small thing,</li> <li>but on your list of witnesses, the date of Mr. Wheelwright's</li> <li>testimony is identified as 12-11-2013, rather than 2012. We</li> <li>think it was 2012; is that correct, Ms. Schmid?</li> <li>MS. SCHMID: That is correct. I apologize for the</li> <li>error.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: No, that's okay. And</li> <li>then for the Company, yesterday I asked some questions</li> <li>regarding what is Exhibit C in Wexprothe Wexpro II Agreement</li> <li>and Exhibit D in Wexpro I, and my question is related to this</li> <li>marginal composite tax rate calculation and the formula. And it</li> <li>still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas</li> <li>that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is</li> <li>approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>So let's go off the record for a moment and let you</li> <li>discuss how you might want to address this.</li> <li>(A discussion was held off the record.)</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you</li> </ul>	3	that they thought would appear? Okay. Then that will conclude
6One with the Division, and this is just a small thing,7but on your list of witnesses, the date of Mr. Wheelwright's8testimony is identified as 12-11-2013, rather than 2012. We9think it was 2012; is that correct, Ms. Schmid?10MS. SCHMID: That is correct. I apologize for the11error.12COMMISSIONER CLARK: No, that's okay. And13then for the Company, yesterday I asked some questions14regarding what is Exhibit C in Wexprothe Wexpro II Agreement15and Exhibit D in Wexpro I, and my question is related to this16marginal composite tax rate calculation and the formula. And it17still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas18that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is19approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is20correct.21So let's go off the record for a moment and let you22discuss how you might want to address this.23(A discussion was held off the record.)24COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you	4	our public witness hearing, and we have just a couple of matters
<ul> <li>but on your list of witnesses, the date of Mr. Wheelwright's</li> <li>testimony is identified as 12-11-2013, rather than 2012. We</li> <li>think it was 2012; is that correct, Ms. Schmid?</li> <li>MS. SCHMID: That is correct. I apologize for the</li> <li>error.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: No, that's okay. And</li> <li>then for the Company, yesterday I asked some questions</li> <li>regarding what is Exhibit C in Wexprothe Wexpro II Agreement</li> <li>and Exhibit D in Wexpro I, and my question is related to this</li> <li>marginal composite tax rate calculation and the formula. And it</li> <li>still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas</li> <li>that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is</li> <li>approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>So let's go off the record for a moment and let you</li> <li>discuss how you might want to address this.</li> <li>(A discussion was held off the record.)</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you</li> </ul>	5	that are technical in nature to address.
<ul> <li>testimony is identified as 12-11-2013, rather than 2012. We</li> <li>think it was 2012; is that correct, Ms. Schmid?</li> <li>MS. SCHMID: That is correct. I apologize for the</li> <li>error.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: No, that's okay. And</li> <li>then for the Company, yesterday I asked some questions</li> <li>regarding what is Exhibit C in Wexprothe Wexpro II Agreement</li> <li>and Exhibit D in Wexpro I, and my question is related to this</li> <li>marginal composite tax rate calculation and the formula. And it</li> <li>still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas</li> <li>that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is</li> <li>approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>So let's go off the record for a moment and let you</li> <li>discuss how you might want to address this.</li> <li>(A discussion was held off the record.)</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you</li> </ul>	6	One with the Division, and this is just a small thing,
<ul> <li>think it was 2012; is that correct, Ms. Schmid?</li> <li>MS. SCHMID: That is correct. I apologize for the</li> <li>error.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: No, that's okay. And</li> <li>then for the Company, yesterday I asked some questions</li> <li>regarding what is Exhibit C in Wexprothe Wexpro II Agreement</li> <li>and Exhibit D in Wexpro I, and my question is related to this</li> <li>marginal composite tax rate calculation and the formula. And it</li> <li>still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas</li> <li>that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is</li> <li>approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>So let's go off the record for a moment and let you</li> <li>discuss how you might want to address this.</li> <li>(A discussion was held off the record.)</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you</li> </ul>	7	but on your list of witnesses, the date of Mr. Wheelwright's
10MS. SCHMID: That is correct. I apologize for the11error.12COMMISSIONER CLARK: No, that's okay. And13then for the Company, yesterday I asked some questions14regarding what is Exhibit C in Wexprothe Wexpro II Agreement15and Exhibit D in Wexpro I, and my question is related to this16marginal composite tax rate calculation and the formula. And it17still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas18that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is19approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is20correct.21So let's go off the record for a moment and let you22discuss how you might want to address this.23(A discussion was held off the record.)24COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you	8	testimony is identified as 12-11-2013, rather than 2012. We
11error.12COMMISSIONER CLARK: No, that's okay. And13then for the Company, yesterday I asked some questions14regarding what is Exhibit C in Wexprothe Wexpro II Agreement15and Exhibit D in Wexpro I, and my question is related to this16marginal composite tax rate calculation and the formula. And it17still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas18that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is19approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is20correct.21So let's go off the record for a moment and let you22discuss how you might want to address this.23(A discussion was held off the record.)24COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you	9	think it was 2012; is that correct, Ms. Schmid?
12COMMISSIONER CLARK: No, that's okay. And13then for the Company, yesterday I asked some questions14regarding what is Exhibit C in Wexprothe Wexpro II Agreement15and Exhibit D in Wexpro I, and my question is related to this16marginal composite tax rate calculation and the formula. And it17still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas18that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is19approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is20correct.21So let's go off the record for a moment and let you22(A discussion was held off the record.)24COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you	10	MS. SCHMID: That is correct. I apologize for the
<ul> <li>then for the Company, yesterday I asked some questions</li> <li>regarding what is Exhibit C in Wexprothe Wexpro II Agreement</li> <li>and Exhibit D in Wexpro I, and my question is related to this</li> <li>marginal composite tax rate calculation and the formula. And it</li> <li>still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas</li> <li>that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is</li> <li>approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>So let's go off the record for a moment and let you</li> <li>discuss how you might want to address this.</li> <li>(A discussion was held off the record.)</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you</li> </ul>	11	error.
14regarding what is Exhibit C in Wexpro-the Wexpro II Agreement15and Exhibit D in Wexpro I, and my question is related to this16marginal composite tax rate calculation and the formula. And it17still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas18that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is19approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is20correct.21So let's go off the record for a moment and let you22discuss how you might want to address this.23(A discussion was held off the record.)24COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you	12	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No, that's okay. And
15and Exhibit D in Wexpro I, and my question is related to this16marginal composite tax rate calculation and the formula. And it17still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas18that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is19approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is20correct.21So let's go off the record for a moment and let you22discuss how you might want to address this.23(A discussion was held off the record.)24COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you	13	then for the Company, yesterday I asked some questions
<ul> <li>marginal composite tax rate calculation and the formula. And it</li> <li>still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas</li> <li>that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is</li> <li>approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>So let's go off the record for a moment and let you</li> <li>discuss how you might want to address this.</li> <li>(A discussion was held off the record.)</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you</li> </ul>	14	regarding what is Exhibit C in Wexprothe Wexpro II Agreement
<ul> <li>still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas</li> <li>that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is</li> <li>approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>So let's go off the record for a moment and let you</li> <li>discuss how you might want to address this.</li> <li>(A discussion was held off the record.)</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you</li> </ul>	15	and Exhibit D in Wexpro I, and my question is related to this
<ul> <li>that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is</li> <li>approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>So let's go off the record for a moment and let you</li> <li>discuss how you might want to address this.</li> <li>(A discussion was held off the record.)</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you</li> </ul>	16	marginal composite tax rate calculation and the formula. And it
<ul> <li>approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>So let's go off the record for a moment and let you</li> <li>discuss how you might want to address this.</li> <li>(A discussion was held off the record.)</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you</li> </ul>	17	still appears to me that something's happening in these formulas
<ul> <li>20 correct.</li> <li>21 So let's go off the record for a moment and let you</li> <li>22 discuss how you might want to address this.</li> <li>23 (A discussion was held off the record.)</li> <li>24 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you</li> </ul>	18	that may not be intended, and in the event that the agreement is
<ul> <li>So let's go off the record for a moment and let you</li> <li>discuss how you might want to address this.</li> <li>(A discussion was held off the record.)</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you</li> </ul>	19	approved, I think we will want to understand that the formula is
<ul> <li>22 discuss how you might want to address this.</li> <li>23 (A discussion was held off the record.)</li> <li>24 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you</li> </ul>	20	correct.
<ul> <li>23 (A discussion was held off the record.)</li> <li>24 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you</li> </ul>	21	So let's go off the record for a moment and let you
24 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you	22	discuss how you might want to address this.
	23	(A discussion was held off the record.)
address the question I've asked, let me just state for the record	24	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McKay, before you
	25	address the question I've asked, let me just state for the record

1	that the Office is not represented by counsel today but Ms. Beck
2	happens to be here and we appreciate the fact that she is, and
3	she's indicated that she does not object to us having you
4	address this matter today, subject to the Office's opportunity to
5	provide any subsequent information or evidence in this area if
6	they feel the need to do so. And so on that basis, then, we will
7	go forward unless someone else objects.
8	Okay, Mr. McKay? And let me remind you, you are
9	under oath, Mr. McKay, and why don't you identify yourself for
10	the record.
11	MR. MCKAY: I am Barrie L. McKay, general
12	manager of regulatory affairs at Questar Gas Company and I
13	recognize I am still under oath.
14	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you.
15	MR. MCKAY: We had the opportunity to review this
16	yesterday following my explanation on the stand and I do think
17	that we may have had what I would describe as a typo, using an
18	equal's sign instead of maybe a dash here on the front page,
19	and if we need to replace thatand also recognize that on the
20	front page, that we could, in our effort to show that this is the
21	formulas that are on the right here, under the composite tax rate
22	formula, we are trying to illustrate the formula, you would also
23	want to have an indication that you would have a summation
24	that sums down to the total in your explanation. It is what is
25	occurring under the marginal composite state tax numbers that

are there in the center of that front page.

1

25

2 But I will also observe that on the second page, this 3 is played out in very explicit detail that shows for each specific 4 state the investment, the revenues, and wages, if, in fact, that 5 state's methodology using those three components, or, for 6 example, in Wyoming's instance, it only uses--it uses none of 7 those and you see none on their line. And in Colorado's, if you 8 use--and that would be on lines 4 through 6 on the second page 9 in column B, you would see that the gross revenues are the only 10 thing that that state uses.

11 And this formula, as you see it, works across the 12 page with the marginal tax rates that are applied, the state, and 13 then the percentages that are used calculates to these same 14 numbers that are on--and that same numbers would be column F 15 on the second page, those are the same numbers that are being 16 shown in the marginal composite state tax rate, which is at the 17 center of page one. And so I do think the theory and the 18 numbers are correct on this page. I will apologize for a 19 fat-fingered equal sign instead of a dash sign.

20 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, if the Agreement is 21 approved, we just want to make sure we all understand what the 22 right calculations are and we recognize that a premise of the 23 application is that this may be in place for some time if--24 MR. MCKAY: Yes. In fact, I think that is a good

clarifying. We were trying to give it as an example for formulas;

Hearing Before the Public Service Commission 01/31/13	Hearing Before	e the Public	Service	Commission	01/31/13
-------------------------------------------------------	----------------	--------------	---------	------------	----------

	Hearing Before the Public Service Commission 01/31/13
1	and, therefore, an equal sign in a formula does represent
2	something different than a dash, and, therefore, that may be
3	more beneficial for us to have that be cleared, whichever way
4	you feel or the Commission would recommend.
5	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I think we will
6	leave it to the applicant and the other participants and the
7	Agreement, if it's approved, to address this in some way that is
8	satisfactory to all of you. But if I'm understanding your
9	testimony correctly, because of the detail on the second page of
10	Exhibit C, I think you're telling us that you don't believe there is
11	any chance for misunderstanding as to what is meant.
12	MR. MCKAY: I would strongly observe is that I
13	think that is an excellent example with a good detail of the
14	components that do go into making that up, so I would hope that
15	there is no misunderstanding, and I think that that's a great
16	example.
17	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. Is there
18	anything else that we need to address? Then we are adjourned.
19	Thank you all very much for your participation.
20	(The hearing was concluded at 12:28.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Hearing Before the Public Service Commission 01/31/13	17
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
2	State of Utah )	
3	)	
4	County of Salt Lake)	
5	I, Kellie Peterson, Certified Shorthand Reporter,	
6	Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public for the	
7	State of Utah, do hereby certify:	
8	THAT the foregoing proceedings were taken before	
9	me at the time and place set forth herein; that the witness was	
10	duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	
11	truth; and that the proceedings were taken down by me in	
12	shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my	
13	direction and supervision;	
14	THAT the foregoing pages contain a true and	
15	correct transcription of my said shorthand notes so taken.	
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my	
17	name and affixed my seal this 15th day of January, 2013.	
18		
19	Kellie Peterson, RPR	
20	Notary Public	
21	My commission expires: December 29, 2012	