BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Pass-Through Application of Questar Gas Company For an Adjustment in Rates and Charges for Natural Gas Service In Utah.

Docket No. 13-057-03

HEARING AND PROCEDURAL ORDER ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF

PLACE: Heber M. Wells

1 60 East 300 South

Salt Lake City, UT

DATE: May 29, 2013

TIME: 1:00 p.m.

REPORTED BY: Kellie Peterson, RPR

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE DIVISION:
4	Justin Jetter, Esq.
5	DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES
6	160 E. 300 S.
7	Fourth Floor
8	Salt Lake City, UT 84111
9	
10	FOR THE APPLICANT:
11	Jenniffer Nelson Clark, Esq.
12	QUESTAR GAS COMPANY
13	333 S. State Street
14	PO Box 45360
15	Salt Lake City, UT 84145-0360
16	
17	ALSO APPEARING:
18	Austin Summers
19	Dan Martinez
20	Barry McKay
21	Eric Orton
22	
23	
24	
25	

		#10.1 0 1 d. 0 1 0 0 1 = 0 1 1 0	
1	INDEX		
2	WITNESS	PAGE	
3	AUSTIN SUMMERS		
4	Examination by Ms. Clark	6	
5	ERIC ORTON		
6	Examination by Mr. Jetter	8	
7	DAN MARTINEZ		
8	Testimony provided	13	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	Hearing and Procedural Order
2	May 29, 2013
3	PROCEEDINGS
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: All right, we
5	will be on the record. Good afternoon, everyone. I am Melanie
6	Reif, the administrative law judge for the Utah Public Service
7	Commission, and this is hearing Docket 13-057-03, entitled, "In
8	the matter of the pass-through application of Questar Gas
9	Company for an adjustment in rates and charges for natural gas
10	services in Utah."
11	We will start by taking appearances, please.
12	MS. CLARK: Jenniffer Nelson Clark on behalf of
13	Questar Gas Company, and with me, I have Austin Summers
14	and Barry McKay.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Mr. Jetter?
16	MR. JETTER: Justin Jetter, representing the Utah
17	Division of Public Utilities, and with me is Erick Ward.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Okay, and
19	Dan?
20	MR. MARTINEZ: Dan Martinez with the Office of
21	Consumer Services.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: And, Mr.
23	Martinez, you are represented pro se today?
24	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Okay, thank

1	you.
2	Ms. Clark, I will let you begin since this is your
3	application.
4	MS. CLARK: I have Mr. Summers here excuse
5	me, I have Mr. Summers here with me to summarize the relief
6	the Company has requested, and I also have Mr. McKay in the
7	event there are questions that stray beyond Mr. Summers'
8	knowledge. I don't know if you prefer to have them sworn or if I
9	should just proceed.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Why don't
11	we swear them in and in the event that we do need Mr. McKay's
12	testimony, we'll swear them in both at the same time.
13	MS. CLARK: Thank you.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Okay. So,
15	Mr. Summers and Mr. McKay, would you please raise your right
16	hands?
17	AUSTIN SUMMERS, called as a witness and having
18	been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Thank you
20	both. You may proceed.
21	EXAMINATION
22	BY-MS.CLARK:
23	Q. Mr. Summers, would you please state your name
24	and business address for the record?
25	A. My name is Austin Summers. My business address

1	is 333 Sout	h State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah.
2	Q.	And do you work for Questar Gas Company?
3	Α.	I do. I work for Questar Gas Company.
4	Q.	What is your title?
5	Α.	Supervisor of regulatory affairs.
6	Q.	In your capacity, did you participate in the
7	preparation	of the application in this matter?
8	Α.	I did.
9	Q.	Could you please summarize the Company's
10	request for	relief?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	Thank you.
13	Α.	In pass-through Docket No. 13-057-03, Questar
14	Gas Compa	ny respectfully asked the Utah Public Service
15	Commission for approval for \$611,127,889 in Utah gas cost	
16	coverage. This represents an overall increase of \$61,435,000.	
17	Components of the increase are \$65,209,000 in commodity, and	
18	a decrease of \$3,775,000 in supplier non gas costs.	
19		Also, we are requesting amortization of the
20	commodity portion of the March, 2013, over collected 191	
21	account balance of \$7,863,844 by a credit surcharge of .07576	
22	cents per decatherm. We usually average the forecasted gas	
23	prices from two agencies; namely, PIRA Energy Group and	
24	Cambridge Energy Research Associates, to develop the cost of	
25	purchased	gas.

1	If this filing is allowed, the typical Utah GS
2	customer using 80 decatherms per year will see an increase of
3	\$47.39, for total annual increase of about 7.08 percent.
4	Therefore, we request the increase proposed in commodity and
5	SNG rates be allowed to go in effect as filed on June 1, 2013.
6	Thank you.
7	MS. CLARK: Mr. Summers is available for further
8	questioning.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Any
10	questions, Mr. Jetter?
11	MR. JETTER: I have no questions, thank you.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Any
13	questions, Mr. Martinez?
14	MR. MARTINEZ: No.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Okay. No
16	further questions.
17	MS. CLARK: Thank you. I have nothing further.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Okay. Mr.
19	Jetter?
20	MR. JETTER: The Division would like to call our
21	witness Eric Orton.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Mr. Orton,
23	are you prepared to testify?
24	MR. ORTON: I am.
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Would you

		· ·
1	please rais	e your right hand?
2		ERIC ORTON, called as a witness and having been
3	duly sworn,	was examined and testified as follows:
4	EXAM	IINATION
5	BY-M	R.JETTER:
6	Q.	Mr. Orton, would you please state your name and
7	occupation	for the record?
8	Α.	My name is Eric Orton. I'm a utility analyst for the
9	Division of	Public Utilities.
10	Q.	Thank you. And have you prepared a statement for
11	today's hea	ring?
12	Α.	I have.
13	Q.	Could you please go ahead and proceed?
14	Α.	Certainly. The Division reviewed the Company's
15	application	in Questar Gas Docket No. 13-057-03, the 191
16	pass-throug	gh account, and on May 20, 2013, filed our response
17	and recomm	nendation to the Commission's action requests.
18		First, we addressed the pass-through docket, and
19	in response	to the amended action request, we addressed the
20	ten percent	increase in the Wexpro operator service fee, which
21	is a subset	of that pass-through filing, first the 191
22	pass-throug	gh.
23		Based on current gas cost rates and the GS rate
24	class, if app	proved, the typical GS residential customer will

receive an increase in their annual bill of approximately \$47.39.

25

The final cost for the delivered gas will be \$5.74 per decatherm. The Company expects to supply 111 million decatherms, 64 percent of that would come from Wexpro production and 36 purchased from third parties. This level of Wexpro production is higher than it has ever been. The Division will monitor closely the effects of physical and financial of the increase in Wexpro production and a report to the Commission is necessary.

The Company has chosen not to include any stabilization costs, which effectively mitigates the increase by, approximately, \$2 million, and repairs at the Rickman (sic) storage fields are progressing and the facility should be up and on track again soon.

Now the operator service fee; the drivers for the ten percent of the \$30.2 million increase are attributable to the increases in Wexpro taxes, return on investments, depreciation, and operations and maintenance and general and administrative expenses. These components of the operator service fee are calculated based on Wexpro production volumes, which increase 7 percent and the market price of natural gas, which increased 43 percent since the last pass-through filing. Therefore, given the magnitude of this increases, an increase in the operator service fee of ten percent is not surprising.

Wexpro's operations and maintenance and general and administrative costs are manageable to some extent and should be tightly controlled. All those these costs increased in

1	this filing as a percentage of costs per decatherm produced, the
2	costs have slightly decreased.
3	The Division believes that the rate increase
4	proposed by the Company result in just and reasonable rates
5	that are in the public's interest, and recommend that this rate
6	change requested in docket 13-057-03 be approved by the
7	Commission on an interim basis until the Division can complete
8	its audit. After the completion of the audit, the Division will
9	issue a memo to the Commission with its recommendations.
10	Thank you.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Thank you,
12	Mr. Orton.
13	Ms. Nelson, do you have any questions for Mr.
14	Orton?
15	MS. CLARK: I do not. Thank you.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Mr
17	Martinez?
18	MR. MARTINEZ: No questions.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Okay, thank
20	you.
21	Mr. Orton, I have a few questions for you. In your
22	testimony today, you referenced reporting to the Commission as
23	necessary and your memo also references the audit that the
24	Division will be conducting.
25	MR. ORTON: Yes.

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: And your
2	final statement also reiterated that. I'm assuming the
3	Commission is assuming that the audit that will be conducted
4	will consider the operator service fee and the cost of service for
5	gas from oil reservoirs and contain only necessary and
6	reasonable operating expenses for ONN and GNA; is that a
7	correct assumption?
8	MR. ORTON: Yes, we will look at that very closely.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Okay. And
10	will your audit also address the evaluation of Questar's
11	management of Wexpro volumes?
12	MR. ORTON: Yes.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Okay. And
14	in so doing, do you anticipate that you will delineate costs that
15	are attributable to Wexpro as opposed to Wexpro II?
16	MR. ORTON: When and if Wexpro II comes, those
17	will be separated.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Okay, very
19	good. I have no further questions. Thank you very much.
20	Mr. Martinez, would you like to be sworn in?
21	MR. MARTINEZ: Sure.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Okay.
23	DAN MARTINEZ, called as a witness and having
24	been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: You can

I	proceea.
2	MR. MARTINEZ: At this time, the Office has
3	reviewed the application set forth by the Company and does not
4	oppose the application at this time. Thank you.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Okay. Mr.
6	Martinez is open for questioning, Ms. Nelson?
7	MS. CLARK: I have no questions, thank you.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Mr. Jetter?
9	MR. JETTER: I have no questions, thank you.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Okay. Mr.
11	Martinez, is it the Office's position that the application as
12	presented should be approved by the Commission?
13	MR. MARTINEZ: At this time, yes.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Okay. And
15	is it the Office's position that doing so would be just and
16	reasonable and in the public's interest?
17	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Thank you.
19	Is there anyone here who wishes to raise an opposition
20	concerning the application? Okay, hearing none, the
21	Commission assumes there is no objection. Unless there are
22	questions, I would like to take a few minute recess and I will be
23	back. Are there any questions before we do so? Okay, thank
24	you. We will be off the record and in recess for a few minutes.
25	(A discussion was held off the record.)

1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Thank you 2 all for that short break. We are back on the record, and I want 3 to followup with the Division, please, on a question that I raised, 4 just to be absolutely clear that my question was understood and 5 just to make sure that we have a clear record on this. 6 So, Mr. Orton, I would like to ask you, as I was 7 asking previously and remind you that you are still under oath, 8 and with respect to the question that I asked about Wexpro I 9 and Wexpro II and the costs associated and looking at those 10 costs when you are conducting your audit, I want to be 11 absolutely certain that it was clear that what I intended to ask 12 was that Wexpro II costs would not be included in the rates for 13 purposes of this pass-through application, and even taking it a 14 step further, in fact, Wexpro I and Wexpro II would be 15 completely separate, that there would be no combining of the 16 two? 17 MR. ORTON: Yes. 18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: That is yes 19 to both questions? 20 MR. ORTON: Yes to both questions. 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE REIF: Okay, thank 22 you very much. Thank you everyone for being here today. I do 23 wish to announce a ruling from the bench. Noting that the applicant wishes to make these rates effective June 1st, it is the 24

decision of the Commission that the application is approved

25

the audit which Mr. Orton has clarified what will include, and if there are no questions, then the hearing is adjourned. Thank you very much. (The hearing was concluded at 1:20 p.m.) (The hearing was concluded at 1:20 p.m.) 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		Fleating and Fleated Graci 60/25/16	
there are no questions, then the hearing is adjourned. Thank you very much. (The hearing was concluded at 1:20 p.m.) (The hearing was concluded at 1:20 p.m.) there are no questions, then the hearing is adjourned. Thank you very much. (The hearing was concluded at 1:20 p.m.)	1	effective June 1st, 2013. The rates are interim rates pending	
you very much. (The hearing was concluded at 1:20 p.m.)	2	the audit which Mr. Orton has clarified what will include, and if	
5 (The hearing was concluded at 1:20 p.m.) 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	3	there are no questions, then the hearing is adjourned. Thank	
6	4	you very much.	
7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	5	(The hearing was concluded at 1:20 p.m.)	
8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	6		
9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	7		
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	8		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	9		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	10		
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	11		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	12		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	13		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	14		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	15		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	16		
19	17		
20 21 22 23 24	18		
21 22 23 24	19		
22 23 24	20		
23 24	21		
24	22		
	23		
25	24		
	25		

ĺ	
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	State of Utah)
4)
5	County of Salt Lake)
6	
7	This is to certify that the foregoing proceedings
8	were taken before me, KELLIE PETERSON, a Registered
9	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
10	State of Utah;
11	That the proceeding was reported by me in
12	stenotype and thereafter caused by me to be transcribed into
13	typewriting, and that a full, true, and correct transcription of
14	said testimony so taken and transcribed is set forth in the
15	foregoing pages;
16	I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise
17	associated with any of the parties to said cause of action, and
18	that I am not interested in the event thereof.
19	
20	
21	KELLIE PETERSON, RPR
22	
23	
24	
25	