## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF QUESTAR GAS COMPANY TO INCREASE DISTRIBUTION RATES AND CHARGES AND MAKE TARIFF MODIFICATIONS

Docket No. 13-057-05

## **REUBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF TINA M. FAUST**

# FOR QUESTAR GAS COMPANY

December 12, 2013

QGC Exhibit 5.0R

# I. INTRODUCTION

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Tina M. Faust. My business address is 333 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah.
3	Q.	By whom are you employed and what is your position?
4	A.	I am employed by Questar Gas Company (Questar Gas or Company) as General Manager of Gas
5	Supply	
6	Q.	What are your qualifications to testify in this proceeding?
7	A.	I have worked at Questar Corporation for 27 years, with over 20 years in the Gas Supply
8	Depart	ment. I have a Bachelor of Arts in Finance and an MBA from the University of Utah.
9	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this Docket?
10	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to respond to the direct testimony of Michael McGarvey,
11	Directo	or of Natural Gas Trading and Marketing for Summit Energy LLC, filed in Docket. No. 13-057-05
12	relating	g to the Company's proposed changes to its Utah Natural Gas Tariff No. 400 (Tariff).
13	Q.	What issues, specifically, did Mr. McGarvey address?
14	A.	Mr. McGarvey opposes Questar Gas' proposed Tariff provision that would require firm
15	transpo	ortation customers to require upstream pipelines to provide Questar Gas with specific information
16	about d	leliveries. Mr. McGarvey suggests that Questar Gas already has all the information it needs from
17	the ups	tream pipelines and that additional communication may be burdensome and unnecessary. He
18	suggest	ts that the Company clarify what additional information, if any, the Company would need beyond
19	what is	already provided.

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF TINA M. FAUST

20 Q. Do you agree with Mr. McGarvey?

A. No. The Company must have accurate and complete information about each transportation
customer's upstream supply, including what volumes are actually delivered to the Questar Gas city gate
on behalf of each customer and the upstream contract number that is being used to deliver supply to each
customer.

25 Q. What prompted the Company to propose the Tariff change?

A. This proposed Tariff change was intended to, among other things, allow Questar Gas to manage volumes delivered to its system for transportation customers. Questar Gas is concerned that many of its firm transportation customers are unaware that despite having a firm transportation service agreement with Questar Gas, they still may be asked to reduce the amount of gas they use. When their upstream supply is interrupted, regardless of the reason, Questar Gas may have no ability, and is not obligated to provide service to those customers.

In addition, Questar Gas has no way of tracking the upstream contracts that are providing upstream supplies to each Questar Gas transportation customer. Many upstream suppliers aggregate volumes on behalf of many of Questar Gas' customers. As a result, if an upstream supplier fails to deliver sufficient supplies to Questar Gas for the supplier's transportation customers, Questar Gas has insufficient information to effectively manage which customers should be curtailed. The Company's only choice in those situations is to curtail all of that supplier's customers on a pro-rata basis. This occurred on December 5, 2013. REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF TINA M. FAUST

39 Q. What happened on December 5, 2013?

A. On December 5, 2013, extremely cold weather impacted upstream supplies. Questar Gas was
notified that the gas that upstream suppliers had nominated for delivery on behalf of Questar Gas'
transportation customers was being substantially reduced. To ensure system integrity, Questar Gas
informed transportation customers of the shortages, and required them to limit their usage to the amount
that suppliers had provided on their behalf. Hundreds of interruptible and firm transportation customers
were asked to reduce their gas usage and there was no possibility for providing additional supplies for
several hours.

# 47 Q. How did this impact Questar Gas' management of its available resources for firm sales 48 customers?

49 At the time of the curtailment, Questar Gas' own upstream supplies were impacted. As a result, A. 50 Questar Gas was calling upon all available resources to serve its firm sales customers. It had withdrawn 51 as much gas as it was able to from Clay Basin. It withdrew supplies from the aquifers. Ouestar Gas was 52 even purchasing supplies on the spot market to meet its customers' needs. At a time when Questar Gas 53 was utilizing all of its resources to provide reliable service to its firm sales service customers, it was 54 required to undertake an enormous burden of identifying transportation customers, and determining which 55 should be curtailed and to what extent. Questar Gas also had to deploy resources to contact each of those customers to implement a curtailment. This involved review of hundreds of accounts and, subsequently, 56 57 contacting hundreds of customers.

58 Many of those customers did not understand that transportation service agreements with Questar Gas 59 were directly impacted by their upstream supplies. They did not understand that the absence of an 60 upstream supply would result in interruption to their service. Many of these customers claimed they were REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF TINA M. FAUST

- 61 unable to curtail without suffering losses. One customer, in particular, indicated that it was at risk of
- 62 suffering millions of dollars in property damage.

#### 63 Q. Did those customers ultimately curtail their usage?

- 64 A. No. Many of those customers did not curtail.
- Q. Do you believe that the Company's proposed Tariff changes will adequately address this
   problem?
- A. It will certainly help. However, the Company acknowledges that it is just one part of a multi-
- 68 prong solution to this problem. The Company learned a great deal from the experience on December 5,
- 69 2013. The Company is actively working with its transportation customers, upstream pipeline
- representatives, and marketers in order to better understand potential solutions. The Company believes
- 71 that additional Tariff changes may be necessary.

### 72 Q. Does the Company propose additional changes at this time?

- A. No. The Company it intends to address the other parts of a global solution in the near future in a
   separate docket.
- 75 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 76 A. Yes.

State of Utah ) ) ss. County of Salt Lake )

I, Tina M. Faust, being first duly sworn on oath, state that the answers in the foregoing written testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. Except as stated in the testimony, the exhibits attached to the testimony were prepared by me or under my direction and supervision, and they are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. Any exhibits not prepared by me or under my direction and supervision are true and correct copies of the documents they purport to be.

Tina M. Faust

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO this \_\_\_\_ day of December, 2013.

Notary Public