BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH
* * *
In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Company to Amortize the Docket No. 13-057-16 Conservation Enabling Tariff Balancing Account
In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Docket No. 13-057-17 Company to Change the Infrastructure Rate Adjustment
TAKEN AT: Public Service Commission Hearing Room 451 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, Utah
DATE: Wednesday, November 27, 2013
TIME: 10:00 a.m.
REPORTED BY: Scott M. Knight, RPR
50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101

801-983-2180

1	
_	
1	APPEARANCES
2	HEARING OFFICER: JORDAN A. WHITE
3	FOR DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:
4	PATRICIA E. SCHMID, ESQ., ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
5	160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
6	Sait Lake City, Otari 04 114
7	FOR OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES:
8	BRENT COLEMAN, ESQ., ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
0	160 East 300 South, Second Floor
9	Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
10	FOR QUESTAR GAS COMPANY:
11	JENNIFFER NELSON CLARK, ESQ., QUESTAR GAS COMPANY
12	333 South State Street Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
13	Gait Lake Oity, Otari 04111
14	
	* * *
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180

801-983-2180

THACKER+CO

Page 2

1	INDE	Х	
2	WITNESS	Page	
3	KELLY MENDENHAL	_	
4	EXAMINATION	5	
	BY MS. CLARK		
5	• · • • · · · · • • • · ·		
6	CAROLYN ROLL		
0	EXAMINATION	8	
7	BY MS. SCHMID	Ũ	
8	DAN MARTINEZ		
9	EXAMINATION	11	
	BY MR. COLEMAN		
10			
11	KELLY MENDENHAL	L	
11	EXAMINATION	19	
12	BY MS. CLARK	10	
13	CAROLYN ROLL		
14	EXAMINATION	23	
	BY MS. SCHMID		
15	EXAMINATION (CON	TINUED) 27	
16	BY MS. SCHMID		
16	* * *		
17			
	EXHIE	BITS	
18			
19	No.	Page Received	
20	QGC 1	7	
21 22	DPU 1	9	
22	QGC 2	21	
23			
24 25			
25			
-		dway Suita 200 Salt Laka City LIT 24101	

Page 3

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead and go on the
3	record. Anyone else we're waiting for or are weokay.
4	Good morning. This is the time and the place for
5	the duly noticed hearing in the following dockets: Docket
6	No. 13-057-16, In the Matter of the Application of Questar
7	CompanyQuestar Gas Company to amortize the Conservation
8	Enabling Tariff Balancing Account; and Docket No. 13-057-17,
9	In the Matter of Application of Questar Gas Company to
10	Change the Infrastructure Rate Adjustment.
11	My name is Jordan White. The Commission has asked
12	me to act as a presiding officer for these hearings. I want
13	to inform the parties I have a recording, along with the
14	court reporter who is here today also.
15	Let's go ahead andgo ahead and take appearances.
16	We'll start over here with Questar.
17	MS. CLARK: Thank you. Jenniffer Nelson Clark
18	appearing on behalf of Questar Gas Company. And I have with
19	me Kelly Mendenhall.
20	MS. SCHMID: Patricia E. Schmid with the attorney
21	general's office representing the Division of Public
22	Utilities. And with me is Carolyn Roll.
23	And Hearing Officer White, I have a hard time
24	hearing you. Is your microphone on?
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Is it on? Can you hear me?

Page 4

Г

1	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thanks for pointing that
3	out.
4	MR. COLEMAN: Brent Coleman with the attorney
5	general's office on behalf of the Office of Consumer
6	Services. And with me I have Danny Martinez.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
8	Okay. Why don't we go ahead and start with the 16
9	docket, which is the CET docket. This is Questar's
10	application, so Ms. Clark, why don't you go ahead and
11	proceed if that's okay.
12	MS. CLARK: Thank you. I have Kelly Mendenhall
13	with me to testify on behalf of the Company. Don't know if
14	you want to swear him.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, that'd be great.
16	Why don't you go ahead and raise your right hand.
17	Do you solemnly swear to tell the whole truth and nothing
18	but the truth?
19	MR. MENDENHALL: Yes.
20	KELLY MENDENHALL,
21	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
22	follows:
23	EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. CLARK:
25	Q Could you please state your full name and your

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180

Page 5

		_
1	business address for the record?	
2	A Sure. My name is Kelly Mendenhall. I'm the	
3	director of regulatory affairs for Questar Gas. And my	
4	address is 333 South State, Salt Lake City, Utah.	
5	Q And what position do you hold at Questar Gas?	
6	A Director of regulatory affairs.	
7	Q Thank you. In that capacity, did you oversee and	
8	participate in the preparation of the application and	
9	exhibits submitted in this docket?	
10	A Yes, I did.	
11	Q And were you also able to review the action	
12	request response submitted by the Division of Public	
13	Utilities on November 18th, 2013?	
14	A Yes, I did.	
15	Q And did you also review the memorandum submitted	
16	by the Office of Consumer Services dated November 22nd,	
17	2013?	
18	A Yes, I did.	
19	Q Do you adopt the application and its exhibits as	
20	your testimony today?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q Can you please summarize the relief the Company	
23	has requested and also provide any response to comments set	
24	forth in the two memorandums referenced?	
25	A Sure. In Docket No. 13-057-16, the application of	

Page 6

1	Questar Gas Company to amortize the conservation enabling
2	tariff balancing account, the Company proposes to amortize
3	the September 2013 undercollected balance of \$1.4 million.
4	This undercollection amounts to about a \$1.3 million
5	increase in the amount that is currently being collected
6	through the conservation enabling tariff. And this change
7	in the rate will result in a \$1.20, or about 0.17 percent,
8	annual increase to the typical general service customer's
9	bill.
10	On November 22nd, the Office of Consumer Services
11	filed a memo that raised some concerns regarding how the CET
12	mechanism was working. The Company's had the opportunity to
13	meet with both the Division and the Office andto explain
14	that the CET mechanism is working as it was designed and
15	intended.
16	The Company is requesting that the proposed
17	changes be made effective December 1st, 2013.
18	That concludes my summary.
19	MS. CLARK: The Company would move for the
20	admission of its application and the attached exhibits as
21	Questaror let's call it QGC Exhibit 1.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objections?
23	It's received.
24	MS. CLARK: Thank you.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do we have

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180

Page 7

i		
1	MS. CLARK: Mr. Mendenhall is available for	
2	questions.	
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid?	
4	MS. SCHMID: No questions.	
5	MR. COLEMAN: The Office has nothing.	
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid?	
7	MS. SCHMID: Thank you. The Division would like	
8	to call Ms. Carolyn Roll as its witness. Could Ms. Roll	
9	please be sworn?	
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Raise your right hand. Do	
11	you solemnly swear to tell the whole truth and nothing but	
12	the truth?	
13	MS. ROLL: Yes.	
14	CAROLYN ROLL,	
15	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as	
16	follows:	
17	EXAMINATION	
18	BY MS. SCHMID:	
19	Q Good morning.	
20	A Good morning.	
21	Q Could you please state by whom you are employed,	
22	your position, and your business address?	
23	A Yes. My name is Carolyn Roll, R-o-I-I. And I am	
24	a utility analyst with the Division of Public Utilities. My	
25	business address is 160 East 300 South in Salt Lake City,	

Page 8

1	Utah.
2	Q Thank you. On behalf of the Division, have you
3	participated in this docket, Docket No. 13-057-16?
4	A Yes, I did.
5	Q Did you participate in the preparation and filing
6	of the Division's memorandum dated November 18th, 2013, that
7	covered both Docket No. 13-057-16 and 13-057-17?
8	A Yes, I did.
9	Q Do you have any changes or corrections to that
10	memorandum?
11	A I do not.
12	Q Do you adopt that memorandum as your testimony in
13	this 16 docket?
14	A Yes, I do.
15	MS. SCHMID: The Division would like to request
16	the admission of what the Division would call DPU Hearing
17	Exhibit 1. And that would be the November 18th memorandum
18	that covers both Docket 16 and Docket 17.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
20	Any objections to receipt of that evidence?
21	MS. CLARK: No.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: It's received.
23	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
24	BY MS. SCHMID:
25	Q Do you have a summary to prepareto give today?

Page 9

4	
1	A Yes, I do.
2	Q Please proceed.
3	A Thank you. Docket No. 13-057-16, known as the
4	CET, or conservation enabling tariff, asks for the
5	Commission approval to amortize the September 2013
6	undercollected balance of 1,443,547. The Division has
7	reviewedreviewed and supports the application and the
8	calculations as submitted by the Company. And if this
9	docket is approved as submitted, a typicalexcuse meGS
10	customer will realize an increase ofin their annual bill
11	of \$1.20.
12	In response to thethe memo from the Office, the
13	Division had agreed that we should evaluate the concerns
14	that thethe Office brought up. And we did meet with the
15	Company, as Mr. Mendenhall stated, and we are in agreement
16	that the CET is operating as intended.
17	That concludes my summary.
18	Q Ms. Roll, is it the Division's testimony and
19	position that the CET numbers be adopted on an interim basis
20	in this docket?
21	A Yes. Those numbers will be reviewed when the
22	audit is completed by the Division, and at that time we will
23	request that they become permanent.
24	Q Thank you.
25	Ms. Roll is now available for questions.

Page 10

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Clark?	
1		
2	MS. CLARK: I have no questions. Thank you.	
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Coleman?	
4	MR. COLEMAN: Nothing from the Office.	
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: And I will probably have	
6	questions foralong with Mr. Mendenhall afterafter we get	
7	through with the Office.	
8	Okay. Mr. Coleman?	
9	MR. COLEMAN: The Office would call Mr. Martinez.	
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead and raise your	
11	right hand. Do you solemnly swear to tell the whole truth	
12	and nothing but the truth?	
13	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.	
14	DAN MARTINEZ,	
15	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as	
16	follows:	
17	EXAMINATION	
18	BY MR. COLEMAN:	
19	Q Would you state your name and business address for	
20	the record, please?	
21	A My name is Dan Martinez. I am a utility analyst	
22	with the Office of Consumer Services.	
23	Q Business address?	
24	A My business address is 160 East 300 South in Salt	
25	Lake City, Utah.	

Page 11

1	Q Did you prepare the memorandum submitted November
2	22nd, 2013, in Docket 13-057-16 on behalf of the Office?
2	A I did.
4	Q Can you summarize the Office's position with
5	respect to this docket?
6	A Yes. The Office had concerns for potential
7	unintended consequences due to migration of customers from
8	the GS class to the TS class. We have met with the Company
9	and at this time do not oppose the application of the
10	Company. And they have explained circumstances around
11	thisthese concerns.
12	Q Do you have any changes to the memorandum dated
13	November 22nd, 2013?
14	A No.
15	Q Do you have anyanything further to summarize the
16	Office's position?
17	A No.
18	MR. COLEMAN: At this time the Office would move
19	for admission of the November 22nd, 2013
20	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. Didn't hear.
21	MR. COLEMAN:would move admission of the
22	November 22nd, 2013, memo into the record.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objections?
24	MS. CLARK: No.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hearing none, it's received.



1	Why don't we go ahead justeveryone's calling it, I guess,
2	Office Hearing Exhibit 1.
3	MR. COLEMAN: Sure.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Great.
4 5	•
6	Any cross, Ms. Clark?
7	MS. CLARK: No, thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid?
-	
8	MS. SCHMID: None.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: I do have a couple of
10	questions. I'll just go ahead and start off with the
11	Division, Ms. Roll. The concerns outlined in the
12	OfficeOffice's memorandum, howwhat is theI guess the
13	Division's plan to address those? I mean, I know there's
14	going to be an audit, and from my understanding, there has
15	not been an audit yet on the CET. Is it the Division's
16	intention that there would be an audit on the accounting
17	along with an actual evaluation of the design of the
18	mechanism?
19	MS. ROLL: Well, the audit of the CET is verifying
20	the number of customers that are in the GS class, because at
21	the time of the last rate case, the Commission ordered the
22	level of revenue that was collected per customer was set in
23	that order. So that does not change, and it hasn't changed,
24	and that's what's been verified.
25	So as far as any additionalI mean

Page 13

Γ

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, I guess what I'm
2	asking isI mean, I agree thatI mean, the tariff is what
3	it is, andyou know, that's, you know, essentially what
4	you're verifying is, you know, the accounting number of
5	customers, I guess, basically the delta between what's
6	allowed and what wasyou know, etc.
7	I guess I just am wondering: In looking at the
8	Office's memorandum, they talk about these concerns, and it
9	says, "The Division agrees that these topics warrant
10	additional evaluation and has represented to the
11	Office it will address our concerns in its ongoing
12	investigation." Is "the investigation" meaning the audit
13	that would address the concerns, which in my mind, I
14	guessI see them as design issues. Is that
15	MS. ROLL: From the Division's perspective, our
16	investigation was meeting with the Company
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
18	MS. ROLL:to go through and verify that it is
19	working asas ordered.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: So those have been
21	addressed, then, meaning the concerns thatthose have been
22	addressed by meeting with the Company andokay.
23	MS. ROLL: From the Division's perspective, yes.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Is that also,
25	Mr. Martinez, the Office's perspective, that those have been

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180



1	addressed, the concerns?
2	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes. I think that the Office's
3	meeting with the Company yesterday addressed the concerns
4	that we had with respect to this matter.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Do you mind if I ask
6	whathow those were addressed, I guess? I mean, the
7	concerns are out there, but how
8	MR. MARTINEZ: Sure.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER:other than just meeting?
10	MR. MARTINEZ: Absolutely. There were two things
11	that I think that wereI think there was an understanding
12	by myself personally that the CET was to onlythe
13	decoupling mechanism within the CET was to pick up DSM
14	savings only. The Company explained that that was not the
15	case, there was full decoupling mechanism
16	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. There was a what
17	mechanism?
18	MR. MARTINEZ: I'm sorry.
19	THE REPORTER: Slow down a little bit.
20	MR. MARTINEZ: There was a decoupling mechanism, a
21	full decoupling mechanism in place which recovers other
22	revenues besides DSM savings-derived revenues.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
24	MR. MARTINEZ: And so that was cleared up.
25	And then the second point of thethe Company



1	explained the CET mechanism, the accounting, which gave us a
2	better understanding of how the CET was collecting, and then
3	how they were booking the revenues within the GS class,
4	which also helped us to understand how the mechanism was
5	working. We did not oppose the way the accounting was
6	working. We just needed to understand how those savings and
7	revenues were derived.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
9	Is there anything additional you want to add,
10	Mr. Mendenhall, or
11	MR. MENDENHALL: I would love to, if I could just
12	maybe explain
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure.
14	MR. MENDENHALL:maybe give you a quick synopsis
15	of what we talked to the Division and Office about.
16	So as Mr. Martinez said, and Ms. Roll, back in
17	2009, when we set the allowed revenue per customer, that's
18	that's based on kind of a snapshot in time andand it's
19	based on an average amount of revenue per customer. But as
20	time goes on, your customer mix is going to change. You
21	know, every time you add a customer, a customer leaves, that
22	customer mix is going to change.
23	And as was mentioned, it is a full decoupling
24	mechanism, so it's designed to capture changes inin usage
25	up or down from a variety of factors. And so from that

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180

Page 16

1	standpoint, we feel like it's doing what it's supposed to
2	do.
3	In terms of, you know, what happens when some
4	large customers leave, that definitely will impact the
5	average usage, but we don't believe that that is the driver
6	ofof the increase we're asking for today. It has a small
7	part to do with it, but the main driver is the decrease in
8	usage of all the residential customers. Thatthatthat
9	dwarfs the change in usage fromwe had about 200 customers
10	switch to TS class. Of those 200, you're probably looking
11	at about a hundred that left from the GS class. So
12	definitely has an impact but is not the main driver in the
13	request we're asking for today. So I'd make those points.
14	And then the other thing that we discussed is, you
15	know, the Commission kind of has some safeguards in place
16	with this mechanism to keep things from going out of
17	control. One is, you know, right now we're kind of on a
18	three-year cycle, so every three years we come in and wewe
19	evaluate the allowed revenue per customer. We reset it
20	based on the new customer mix. So every three years, we're
21	able to evaluate it. And thatthat is one safeguard in
22	place.
23	The other is that when wewhen the CET was
24	originally filed, we had a cap, so the Company's not allowed
25	to accrue more than 5 percent ofof distribution non-gas

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180

Page 17

1	revenue in that account. So that's another kind of
2	safeguard the Commission has put in place to keepkeep this
3	mechanism from going out of control.
4	So we thinkbecause it is a full decoupling
5	mechanism, it was contemplated that any usage would be
6	captured. And we think that combined with the safeguards in
7	place, we feel comfortable with the way the mechanism's
8	working. You know, the entries on a month-by-month basis
9	are small, which is what we had always anticipated when we
10	set out with the mechanism. So from that standpoint, we're
11	comfortable with where we're at now.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. That's very
13	helpful.
14	While I haveI did have one question with respect
15	to applications as Exhibit 1.2. On the second row down, you
16	know, you have theyou know, there's the CET amortization
17	amounts, the revenue, and then the decatherm. I guess that
18	column with the decatherms, those are projected. And where
19	waswhere does that projection comeis that tied to the
20	IRP, or where do those actual decatherms
21	MR. MENDENHALL: Yes. Yeah, typically it comes
22	from the IRP. So these would be the same volumes that we
23	would use if we were to file a pass-through.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
25	MR. MENDENHALL: So yeah, it's usually the IRP

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180

Page 18

1	projected volumes that we use.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you.
3	That's allis there anything else on respect to the CET
4	docket before we move on to the next docket? Anything else
5	to address?
6	MS. CLARK: No.
7	MS. SCHMID: Nothing more from the Division.
8	MR. COLEMAN: No.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. Let's go
10	ahead and move on to Docket 13-035-17 [sic], which is the
11	Questar's infrastructure rate adjustment.
12	Ms. Clark?
13	MS. CLARK: Thank you.
14	KELLY MENDENHALL,
15	being previously duly sworn, was examined and testified as
16	follows:
17	EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. CLARK:
19	Q Mr. Mendenhall, in your capacity as an employee of
20	Questar Gas, did you oversee the preparation of the
21	application and attached exhibits in Docket No. 13-057-17?
22	A Yes, I did.
23	Q And you testified earlier that you had reviewed
24	respective memorandum from the Division of Public Utilities
25	and the Office of Consumer Services that addressed the

Page 19

1	docket we just completed talking about and this 17 docket.
2	Did you review those with regard to the comments in this
-3	docket as well?
4	A Yes, I did.
5	Q Do you adopt the contents of Questar Gas Company's
6	application and the attached exhibits in this docket as your
7	testimony as well?
, 8	A Yes.
9	Q Can you please summarize the relief the Company is
10	requesting?
11	A Sure. In Docket No. 13-057-17, the application of
12	
	Questar Gas Company to change the infrastructure rate
13	adjustment, the Company is proposing to adjust the
14	infrastructure rate to include investment related to high-
15	pressure infrastructure replacement projects that occurred
16	since August of 2013. The majority of this investment comes
17	from three projects: Feeder Line 8 in Midvale, Feeder Line
18	14 in Tooele, and Feeder Line 20 in South Weber. The
19	Company is requesting a \$2.8 million increase in annual
20	revenue. And if approved, this would increase the typical
21	GS customer's annual bill by \$2.33 per year, or 0.33
22	percent. And the Company's requesting that all the proposed
23	changes be made effective December 1st, 2013.
24	MS. CLARK: I would move at this time for the
25	admission of Questar Gas Company's application in this

Page 20

1	docket and the accompanying exhibits as Questar Gasor QGC
2	Exhibit 2. Excuse me.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection?
4	BY MS. CLARK:
5	Q Mr. Mendenhall, one moreI'm sorry.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: I hear no objections. It's
7	received.
8	MS. CLARK: Thank you.
9	BY MS. CLARK:
10	Q Mr. Mendenhall, one more question: Can you speak
11	briefly to the combined effect if the two dockets discussed
12	today were both granted?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Thank you.
15	A So the impact of both dockets results in an
16	overall increase to the typical general service customer of
17	about \$3.53 a year, or about half a percent. And in its
18	applications, the Company has prepared combined tariff
19	sheets to show the impact that both of these requests would
20	have on the general service class. The combined GS tariff
21	sheet is provided in Exhibit 1.5 of Docket 13-057-16. And
22	it's also provided in Exhibit 1.6 of Docket 13-057-17. The
23	tariff sheets for the other classes have been provided in
24	Exhibit 1.5 of 13-057-17. Because the other classes aren't
25	affected by the CET, there's no need to do a combined tariff

Г

1	sheet for those, so
2	That's it.
3	MS. CLARK: Thank you.
4	Mr. Mendenhall is available for further questions.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid?
6	MS. SCHMID: No questions.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Coleman?
8	MR. COLEMAN: Nothing from the Office.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: I have no further questions.
10	Why don't we go ahead and take a short recess.
11	I'm sorry. Yes.
12	MS. SCHMID: The Division's
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh.
14	MS. SCHMID:memorandum also covered Docket 17.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I apologize.
16	MS. SCHMID: I'd like to address that, if I may.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. Thanks for the
18	reminder. Yeah, why don't we go ahead and do that,
19	Ms. Schmid.
20	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
21	And a procedural question: As the two dockets
22	appear to have been combined for hearing but not for
23	decision, does Ms. Roll need to be sworn in again or does
24	her previous swearing in still apply?
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: I think she's still under

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180

Page 22

1	oath, so I think it's
2	MS. SCHMID: Perfect. Thank you.
3	CAROLYN ROLL,
4	being previously duly sworn, was examined and testified as
5	follows:
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY MS. SCHMID:
8	Q Ms. Roll, did you participate on behalf of the
9	Division in Docket No. 13-057-17, which is the
10	infrastructure rate adjustment docket?
11	A Yes, I did.
12	Q Did you prepare and cause to be filed the
13	Division's memorandum filed November 18th?
14	A Yes, I did.
15	MS. SCHMID: This has previously been admitted for
16	the 16 docket as DPU Exhibit 1, and I would like to request
17	that it be admitted for the 17 docket as DPU Hearing
18	Exhibit 1 as well, please.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objections?
20	MS. CLARK: No.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: It's received.
22	BY MS. SCHMID:
23	Q Ms. Roll, do you adopt the November 18th
24	memorandum, insofar as it addresses Docket 17, as your
25	testimony?

Page 23

1	A Yes, I do.
2	Q Any changes or corrections?
3	A No.
4	Q Do you have a summary to give?
5	A A very brief summary.
6	Q Please proceed.
7	A Docket No. 13-057-17 is a request to increase the
8	infrastructure rate component of the DNG rates for all
9	customers. The Division has not reviewed the detailed
10	invoices used by the Company in deriving the dollar amounts
11	that are included in this filing and therefore recommends
12	that these rates be approved on an interim basis.
13	The effect of the proposed rates on a typical GS
14	residential customer will be an increase in their annual
15	bill of \$2.33 per year. At the time that the Division
16	completes the audit of the Infrastructure Tracker, then we
17	will submit a request to have those rates be permanent.
18	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
19	Ms. Roll is now available for questions.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid?
21	MS. CLARK: No.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry. Ms. Clark.
23	MS. CLARK: No, thank you.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Coleman?
25	MR. COLEMAN: Nothing from the Office.

Page 24

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Now, I know that the
2	Office had not submitted comments on the infrastructure
2	
	docket. Is there anything you'd like to address with
4	respect to those?
5	MR. COLEMAN: No, the Office has nothing further
6	on the infrastructure docket.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: The witnesses are excused.
8	Why don't we go ahead now and take a brief recess
9	and come back momentarily.
10	(Recess taken, 10:23-10:29 a.m.)
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's go ahead and go back
12	on the record.
13	One kind of administrative question, I guessand
14	thisI know you're still not sworn. I excused you, but I
15	don't know if it's necessary to swear you back in. The
16	question I have is: Is itis it Questar's intention that
17	they are offering thisthe tariff sheets you mentioned
18	earlier for approval?
19	MR. MENDENHALL: Yes.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Would you mind, just for the
21	record, identifying those specifically just so we can have
22	that, if that's okay?
23	MR. MENDENHALL: I might need a moment.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Do you want to go off
25	the record just for a minute?

Page 25

1	MS. CLARK: Do you mind?
2	(A discussion was held off the record.)
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's go ahead and go back
4	on the record.
5	MR. MENDENHALL: So yes, the Company would like to
6	submit those tariff sheets for final approval. So the
7	there's a combined general service tariff sheet that takes
8	both dockets into account, and that's shown in Exhibit 1.5
9	of Docket 13-057-16 and Exhibit 1.6 of Docket 13-057-17.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: But alsoare those numbered
11	or is there a sheet number? Or what is the actual
12	MR. MENDENHALL: You mean the tariff sheet?
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.
14	MR. MENDENHALL: Yeah. So it would bethere's no
15	page number right now, but it would be Section 2.02.
16	And then for the other rate classes, those would
17	be found inhold on a sec.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Why don't we just go off the
19	record just for a minute. That's fine.
20	(A discussion was held off the record.)
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Good. Why don't we
22	go ahead and go back on the record.
23	As we left, Mr. Mendenhall was going to identify
24	the specific tariff sheets that Questar was speaking
25	approval for in this proceeding.

Page 26

1	MR. MENDENHALL: Okay. So it's probably easiest
2	just to go to Docket 13-057-17.
3	MS. CLARK: Yeah.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
5	MR. MENDENHALL: So the GS combined tariff sheets
6	are shown in Exhibit 1.6. And then the tariff sheets for
7	the FS class, that would be Section 2.03. For the NGV
8	class, that would be Section 2.04. For theis that all we
9	have?
10	MS. SCHMID: Huh-uh (Negative).
11	MR. MENDENHALL: TS as well?
12	MS. SCHMID: Don't you have
13	MR. MENDENHALL: Section 4.02 would be the IS rate
14	schedule. Section 5.05 would be the firm transportation, or
15	FT-1 rate schedule. And Section 5.06 would be the MT rate
16	schedule. And Section 5.07 would be the TS rate schedule.
17	And I believe that would be it.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
19	Ms. Schmid, does the Division have a comment on
20	that?
21	MS. SCHMID: Yes.
22	EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)
23	BY MS. SCHMID:
24	Q Ms. Roll, did you examine the filed tariff sheets
25	on behalf of the Division?

Page 27

1	A Yes, I did.
2	Q And does the Division have a recommendation
2	
	regarding the approval of the aforementioned tariff sheets?
4	A Yes. We believe that the tariff sheets as filed
5	are correct.
6	Q So do we recommend approval?
7	A Yes, we do, on an interim basis.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And so those
9	tariff sheets cover bothokay. Great.
10	Okay. Is there anything else? The Office,
11	comments or
12	MR. COLEMAN: Nothing from the Office.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Appreciate everyone's
14	participation today. Having considered Questar's
15	applications, the comments filed in these dockets, the
16	testimony presented today, and the fact that the
17	applications are unopposed, the Commission approves the
18	applications in Dockets No. 13-057-16 and 13-057-17
19	effective December 12th, 2013, on an interim basis until
20	such time as the Division completes the audit of the entries
21	in the respective accounts. The tariff sheets are approved
22	as filed, as described by Mr. Mendenhall.
23	After the completion of such audits, the
23	Commission directs the Division to issue memos to the
25	Commission

Page 28

1	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. There was a little
2	bit
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sorry about that.
4	THE REPORTER: "The Commission directs the
5	Division to issue"
6	THE HEARING OFFICER:memos to the Commission
7	with recommendations regarding making the requested rate
8	changes in these dockets permanent.
9	MS. SCHMID: I have just one question.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure.
11	MS. SCHMID: The effective date is to be?
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. Didn't I say December
13	1st, 2013?
14	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: No problem. Sorry about
16	that.
17	MR. COLEMAN: You said the 12th, justI think
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, did I really? I
19	apologize. December 1st, 2013. Good correction.
20	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we have the applications
22	approved, the tariff sheets approved.
23	With that, the Commission will issue written
24	orders memorializing these bench orders in due course. And
25	before we adjourn, is there anything additional that needs

Page 29

1	to be addressed?
2	Appreciate everyone, and have a happy
3	Thanksgiving. Thank you. We're adjourned.
4	(Proceedings concluded at 10:37 a.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Page 30

1	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	This is to certify that the foregoing proceedings
3	were taken before me, SCOTT M. KNIGHT, a Registered
4	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State
5	of Utah, residing at South Jordan, Utah;
6	That the proceedings were reported by me in
7	stenotype and thereafter caused by me to be transcribed into
8	typewriting, and that a full, true, and correct
9	transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed
10	is set forth in the foregoing pages, inclusive.
11	I further certify that I am not of kin or
12	otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause
13	of action, and that I am not interested in the event
14	thereof.
15	
16	
17	Scott M. Knight, RPR Utah License No. 110171-7801
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180

801-983-2180

THACKER+CO

Page 31