

**List of Discussion Topics for the December 15, 2014, Technical Conference**  
**Docket No. 14-057-22**

**SNG Rates**

Commission Staff

1. SNG Rate Increase in Docket No. 14-057-22: As requested in the Commissions December 8, 2014, Order Confirming Bench Rulings and Notice of Technical Conference, please discuss the possible inconsistency pertaining to the \$5.5 million SNG decrease listed on page 2 of the 191 Account Application and the need for an increase mentioned in paragraph 13.a of the application (Please refer to the Pass-through Application model tabs Ut 1.6 p2, Ut 1.6 p3, and Utah Summary by Class).

**Wexpro II Trail Unit Price/Cost Variance**

Division

1. Exhibit L of the original application (13-057-13) identifies the cost of production from the existing wells at a cost of \$5.10 in 2014 and \$5.27 in 2015. Drilling was not scheduled to begin until 2015 at which time new well production would begin to contribute to the cost of service production. If the new wells were not projected to be included until 2015 and the cost for existing production in 2014 was \$5.10 with no additional wells, please explain why the cost for gas filed in Docket 14-057-22 estimates a price of \$6.48 with no new wells and a delay in the future drilling schedule.
2. Based on the purchase price and production costs associated with the wells purchased for Wexpro II, Please calculate the price of the cost of service gas from only the existing wells assuming no new wells will be drilled in the future.

**Wexpro II Trail Unit Gathering/Compression**

Division

1. The Company has indicated that compression in the Trail field is scheduled to be installed in 2015. Is that still the case? If not, when is compression currently scheduled? Please explain how compression could increase the production from the Trail Field.
2. Please provide an estimate of the increase in production from the Trail field after compression has been installed and the incremental cost of this compression. Also, please provide its dollar effect on cost of service gas on a per dekatherm basis.
3. Does the installation of compression in a producing field increase the rate of depletion of gas from the wells? If so, please provide the different expected depletion dates.
4. If a normal decline curve is approximately 8% per year without compression, what is the estimated decline curve for wells with compression?
5. If there is an increase in production from the Trail field after compression has been installed, please address the impact of the increased production on the 65% production cap.
6. If there is an increase in production from the Trail field after compression has been installed and current production is close to the 65% cap, please address the impact of the increased production on the proposed future drilling schedule.
7. Please explain why gathering charges for Wexpro II were not included in the 14-057-09 Pass-Through Docket.
8. Please explain the difference in the gathering charges for Wexpro I and Wexpro II properties.

## **Wexpro II Trail Unit Drilling Schedule**

### Division

1. Please address how possible delays in future drilling could impact the cost of service price.
2. Please explain how a reduction in the number of projected future wells drilled could impact the cost of service price.

## **Wexpro II Trail Unit Production Volumes**

### Division

1. Please explain why production volumes from Wexpro II wells have been lower than anticipated when the purchased wells had long term historical production information available to Wexpro.

### Commission Staff

2. Please explain how Wexpro I and Wexpro II produced volumes are determined for the Trail Field production. For example, the First Quarter variance report filed on December 9, 2014, shows Trail D24 produced 2.94 Mdth but Trail Wexpro 2 D24 produced 779.87 Mdth. Previously it was explained that the total Trail production was multiplied by 47.72 percent to arrive at the Trail 2 production.

## **IRP Variance Reports**

### Commission Staff

1. The Commission's October 9, 2014, Report and Order in Docket No. 14-057-15 states "We observe that Questar now provides a comparison of the purchased gas price versus COS gas price in the new Exhibits 10.1 and 10.2 of the quarterly IRP variance report. For transparency, we request that Questar annotate the backup work papers supporting these exhibits with the list of the specific accounts, and formulae if necessary, used to determine each cost element. Costs should be broken out by Wexpro I and Wexpro II amounts and summed to produce the total. This information will become increasingly valuable for documenting when COS gas is sold under the provision of the Trail Unit Stipulation." Please show where this information is provided in the First Quarter Variance Report filed in Docket No. 14-057-15 on December 9, 2014. Please demonstrate how prices are calculated for the month of June 2014.