BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH \* \* \* In the Matter of the Pass-Through Application of Questar Gas Company Docket No. 15-057-04 for an Adjustment in Rates and Charges for Natural Gas Service in Utah In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Company to Amortize Docket No. 15-057-05 the Conservation Enabling Tariff Balancing Account HEARING PROCEEDINGS PRESIDING OFFICER JORDAN A. WHITE TAKEN AT: Public Service Commission Hearing Room 403 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, Utah DATE: Thursday, May 28, 2015 10:00 a.m. TIME: REPORTED BY: Clark L. Edwards, CSR JOB NO.: 249658

Page 2 1 A P P E A R A N C E S 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: JORDAN A. WHITE 3 FOR DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES: 4 JUSTIN C. JETTER, ESQ., ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL 160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor 5 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 6 FOR OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES: 7 REX W. OLSEN, ESQ., ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 160 East 300 South, 5th Floor 9 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 FOR QUESTAR GAS COMPANY: 10 JENNIFER NELSON CLARK, ESQ., 11 333 South State Street 12 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145 13 Also Present: 14 Douglas D. Wheelwright 15 Danny A.C. Martinez Austin Summers 16 Kelly Mendenhall Barrie McKay 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	INDEX		Page 3
2	WITNESS	Page	
3	AUSTIN SUMMERS		
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION	5	
5	BY MS. CLARK DOUGLAS WHEELWRIGHT		
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION	12	
7	BY MR. JETTER	12	
8	DANNY A.C. MARTINEZ		
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. OLSEN	16	
10	BARRIE MCKAY		
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION	19	
12	BY MS. CLARK		
13	KELLY MENDENHALL		
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. CLARK	30	
15	DOUGLAS WHEELWRIGHT		
16 17	DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued) BY MR. JETTER	32	
18	STATEMENT OF AUSTIN SUMMERS	7	
19	STATEMENT OF DOUGLAS D. WHEELWRIGHT	13	
20	STATEMENT OF DANNY A.C. MARTINEZ	17	
21	STATEMENT OF KELLY MENDENHALL	33	
22			
23	* * *		
24			
25			

1	Page 4 PROCEEDINGS
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And we're on the
3	record. Good morning everyone. This is the time and place
4	for hearings in Docket No. 15-057-04 In the Matter of the
5	Pass-Through Application of Questar Gas Company for an
6	Adjustment in the Rates and Charges for Natural Gas Service
7	in Utah.
8	And Docket No. 15-057-05 In the Matter of the
9	Application of Questar Gas Company to Amortize the
10	Conservation Enabling Tariff to Balancing Account.
11	My name is Jordan White. I'll be acting as the
12	presiding officer for these hearings. And just to let folks
13	know, we are streaming this morning.
14	With that, why don't we go ahead and start by
15	taking appearances. We'll start over here with Mr. Jetter.
16	MR. JETTER: Thank you, Your Honor. Justin Jetter
17	representing Utah Division of Public Utilities. And with me
18	is Division witness Douglas Wheelwright.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
20	MR. OLSEN: Rex Olsen representing the Office of
21	Consumer Services. And with me is Dan Martinez if you wish
22	to ask him questions.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Great. And we'll
24	address that at the time.
25	MS. CLARK: Jennifer Nelson Clark. I represent

Page 5 Ouestar Gas Company in this matter. I have brought with me 1 2 Austin Summers who will be providing testimony for the company in the pass-through docket and Kelly Mendenhall 3 who will be providing testimony in the CET docket. 4 5 Initially, we have with us Barrie McKay and Brady Rasmussen from Wexpro Company who will be available 6 7 to answer questions should the need arise. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Great. Before we 9 proceed, are there any other housekeeping matters? I know 10 we addressed a few things off the record in terms of tariff sheets, but is there anything else that we should chat about 11 12 before we proceed? 13 (No response) 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 15 With that, Ms. Clark, this is Questar's 16 application. So I'll let you proceed first. 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. CLARK: 18 Mr. Summers, would you please state your full name 19 ο. 20 and business address for the record? 21 My name is Austin Summers and my business Α. Yes. 22 address is 333 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah. 23 Q. What position do you hold with Questar Gas 24 Company? 25 I'm the supervisor of regulatory affairs. Α.

Page 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: I apologize. Maybe we 1 2 should back up. And I apologize. My failure to swear in 3 Mr. Summers. Why don't we go ahead and do that. MS. CLARK: Oh, thank you. 4 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: No problem. Do you solemnly 6 swear that the testimony you're about to provide is the whole truth and nothing but the truth? 7 8 MR. SUMMERS: Yes. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead. 10 AUSTIN SUMMERS, 11 having been first duly sworn, was 12 examined and testified further as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 14 BY MS. CLARK: 15 0. Mr. Summers, those questions I asked a moment ago, do you adopt those as your sworn testimony today? 16 Yes, I do. 17 Α. Thank you. 18 Q. Uh-huh (affirmative). 19 Α. 20 Mr. Summers, were the application and accompanying Q. exhibits in Docket 15-057-04 prepared by you or under your 21 22 supervision? 23 Yes, they were. Α. 24 Q. And would you adopt -- well, let me ask you this: 25 Have you reviewed the Division's memorandum

1	Page 7 submitted in that same docket and do you agree with the
2	recommendations set forth in that memo?
3	A. Yes. I reviewed it and I do agree with the
4	recommendations in it. The Division mentioned that during
5	the technical conference an error was discovered in the
6	company's model regarding the gathering rate for Wexpro 2
7	volumes. When the model is corrected, it results in a
8	slight increase to the decrease in the company's original
9	application.
10	Just to be clear, the change results in a larger
11	decrease than what had been proposed in the original
12	application. The Division's memo included the updated model
13	and accompanying tariff sheets that reflect the correct
14	rates.
15	MS. CLARK: With the Division's corrections,
16	would you summarize the company's request in this docket?
17	MR. SUMMERS: Yes. In Pass-Through Docket
18	No. 15-057-04, Questar Gas Company respectfully asks the
19	Utah Public Service Commission for approval of \$564,205,037
20	in Utah gas cost coverage. This represents a net decrease
21	of \$61,887,000. The components of the decrease are first a
22	decrease of \$85,722,000 in commodity costs and, second,
23	an increase of \$23,836,000 in supplier non-gas or SNG costs.
24	Included in this request is an amortization of the
25	commodity portion of the actual March 2015 under-collected

Page 8

191 account balance of \$6,953,417 by a 6.294 cents per 1 2 decatherm debit surcharge. 3 The company is also requesting an amortization of under-collected SNG costs. The combination of relatively 4 5 fixed SNG costs and abnormally warm weather caused the SNG balance to be under collected by \$15,358,114 which leads 6 7 to the debit amortization surcharges. 8 We used the average of forecasted gas prices from two agencies; namely, PIRA Energy Group and Cambridge Energy 9 10 Research Associates to develop the cost of purchased gas. If this application is approved, a typical Utah GS 11 12 customer using 80 decatherms per year would see a decrease of \$44.59 for a total annual decrease of about 6.04 percent. 13 14 Therefore, we request the decrease proposed in 15 commodity rates and the increase proposed in SNG rates as adjusted in the Division's memo be allowed to go into effect 16 on June 1st, 2015. 17 BY MS. CLARK: 18 Mr. Summers, you indicated earlier in your 19 0. 20 testimony that the Division has submitted updated tariff sheets with the corrections referenced; is that correct? 21 2.2 Yes. Α. 23 Q. Does the company agree and stipulate that those should represent the final tariff sheets in this matter? 24 25 Α. Yes.

Page 9 And with the corrections set forth in the 1 0. 2 Division's memo and the application, would you adopt the documents contained and the statements made in the 3 4 application as your testimony today? 5 Α. Yes. MS. CLARK: The Company would move for the 6 7 admission of the application and the accompanying exhibits 8 and would also anticipate that the -- and I quess I'll wait for the Division to offer them, that the Division's exhibits 9 will also be offered. 10 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? 12 MR. JETTER: No. There's no objection. And since they're being discussed now, we can move 13 14 at this time to admit the exhibits that were referenced 15 by Mr. Summers from the Division. THE HEARING OFFICER: Is there any objection to 16 17 those? MR. OLSEN: No objection. 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Do we need to -- and I'm 19 20 happy to go off the record for a second, but do we need to specifically identify, are there specific sheets within that 21 22 or is it just in total the -- I mean, can we identify with 23 some level of specificity the actual sheets or ... BY MS. CLARK: 24 25 Mr. Summers, if you would please turn to the Q.

Page 10 Division's memo. 1 2 Α. (Complying). 3 Would you please identify the exhibits that we're 0. discussing now to which the Company will stipulate? 4 5 Yeah. So the way that the Division filed these, Α. they filed tariff sheets and then they also filed the model 6 7 that had been corrected that includes all of the exhibits. 8 So the tariff sheets that are being ... THE HEARING OFFICER: And we can go off the record 9 10 for a minute if you want unless you're prepared just to --11 I mean, do you need a minute or... 12 MR. SUMMERS: That might be good. 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Well, then why don't 14 we just go off the record for a minute and just take the 15 time because, I mean, we're just housekeeping right now. (Recess taken 10:12 a.m. to 10:15 a.m.) 16 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Clark? DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONTINUED) 18 19 BY MS. CLARK: 20 Q. Thank you. Mr. Summers, would you please identify the page, the tariff sheets to which the company will 21 22 stipulate? 23 The Division has updated the following Α. Yes. tariff sheets. And these were provided in both legislative 24 25 and final form in their memo. So the first one is tariff

Page 11 sheet 2.02 which is the GS rate schedule, 2.03 which is the 1 2 FS rate schedule, 2.04 which is the natural gas vehicle rate 3 or NGV, Section 4.02 which is the IS rate schedule. And I 4 said those were all provided in both legislative and final 5 format. Additionally, the Division filed with their memo 6 7 an updated tariff sheet that combines this docket with the 8 CET docket, and they have provided an update of tariff sheet 9 2.02 which is again the GS rate schedule. And that one is combined with both dockets. 10 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 12 MS. CLARK: Mr. Summers is available for 13 questions. 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Mr. Jetter? 15 MR. JETTER: No questions from the Division. 16 Thank you. 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: And Mr. Olsen. MR. OLSEN: No questions from the Office. 18 19 Thank you. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: I may have a question or I think we'll just leave you sworn in if that's okay. 21 two. 2.2 MR. SUMMERS: Sure. THE HEARING OFFICER: Maybe circle back with you. 23 24 Okay. Mr. Jetter. 25 Thank you, Your Honor. The Division MR. JETTER:

Page 12 would like to swear in its witness Douglas Wheelwright. 1 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you solemnly swear that 3 the testimony you are about to give is the whole truth and 4 nothing but the truth? 5 MR. WHEELWRIGHT: Yes. 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 7 DOUGLAS D. WHEELWRIGHT, 8 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 9 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JETTER: 11 12 Would you please state your name and occupation 0. for the record? 13 14 My name is Douglas D. Wheelwright. I'm a Α. 15 technical consultant with the Division of Public Utilities. Thank you. And in the course of your occupation 16 0. as a technical consultant, have you had the opportunity to 17 review the filing in this docket for Questar's pass-through 18 application and all of the exhibits and attachments to that? 19 20 Α. Yes, I have. 21 0. And did you prepare comments for the Division of 22 Public Utilities filed on May 21st, 2015? 23 Yes, I did. Α. 24 And did you also prepare the attachments Q. 25 accompanying that set of comments?

Page 13 The company provided the corrected exhibits 1 Α. Yes. 2 and they were filed with my memo. Okay. Thank you. And just to I guess get this 3 ο. out of the way first, were you in the room here today during 4 the testimony a few minutes ago from Austin Summers, 5 the Company witness? 6 7 Α. Yes, I was. 8 Q. And do you agree with the Company and does the 9 Division agree that the tariff sheets that were accompanying 10 the Division's comments reflecting the updated 2.02 GS rate, 2.03 FS rate, 2.04 NGV rate, 4.02 IS rate as well as the 11 12 combined tariff sheet for this docket and the CET docket, do those accurately reflect rates that the Division proposes 13 14 will be the effective rates? 15 Α. Yes, they do. 16 Okay. Thank you. Have you prepared a brief 0. 17 statement regarding this docket? Yes, I have. 18 Α. 19 MR. JETTER: Please go ahead. 20 MR. WHEELWRIGHT: Thank you. As mentioned by the Company, during a review of this document, an error was 21 2.2 found in the calculation model which carried through to the 23 filed exhibits and tariff sheets. 24 The Company provided an updated and corrected 25 model and the corresponding corrected exhibits and tariff

Page 14 sheets which were included with the Division memo. 1 2 Any reference to the calculated values refers to these corrected exhibits. 3 In Docket No. 15-057-04 known as the 191 4 5 pass-through application, it asks for Commission approval for an \$85.7 million decrease in a commodity component and a 6 7 \$24 million increase in the supplier non-gas component of the natural gas rates for a net decrease of \$61.7 million. 8 9 The requested reduction in the commodity cost 10 is due to a reduction in the forward price curve by the 12-month test period ending May 31st, 2016. 11 12 It is anticipated that approximately 56 percent of the total gas requirement will be satisfied from Wexpro 13 14 cost-of-service gas production. 15 While the market price for gas is decreased, the price per decatherm for cost-of-service gas from Wexpro 16 has increased from the previous filing. 17 As part of its audit and review of the 191 18 account, the Division is reviewing the calculations and 19 20 costs associated with the Wexpro production in the current 21 and in previous 191 filings. 2.2 The audit process is ongoing and any findings will 23 be presented to the Commission. If this docket is approved individually, a typical GS customer will realize a decrease 24 in their annual bill of \$44.59. 25

Page 15 1 The Division recommends that the proposed rate be 2 approved on an interim basis until a full audit of the 191 3 account can be completed. And that concludes my summary. 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 5 MR. JETTER: With that, I'd like to move for --I believe we had discussed just a moment ago or entered into 6 7 the record the exhibits to Mr. Wheelwright's comments filed 8 by the Division. But I'd like to also enter into the record the actual comments themselves. I'm not sure if that was 9 10 covered earlier. If there's no objection to that. 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: Is there any objection? 12 MS. CLARK: There's no objection. 13 MR. OLSEN: No objection. 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Those are received. 15 Thank you. 16 MR. JETTER: Thank you. I have no further 17 questions for Mr. Wheelwright. He's available for cross-examination. 18 19 MS. CLARK: No questions. 20 MR. OLSEN: No questions, Your Honor. 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: I may have a couple also. 2.2 So we'll just leave you sworn in if that's okay. 23 With that, why don't we go ahead and I'll turn to Mr. Olsen for the Office. 24 25 MR. OLSEN: Thank you. I'd like it if you'd swear

Page 16 in Mr. Martinez. 1 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you solemnly swear that 3 the testimony you're about to provide is the whole truth and 4 nothing but the truth? 5 MR. MARTINEZ: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead. 6 7 DANNY A.C. MARTINEZ, 8 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 9 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. OLSEN: 11 12 Mr. Martinez, could you state your full name for 0. the record? 13 14 I am Danny A.C. Martinez, and I am a utility Α. 15 analyst with the Office of Consumer Services. In the course of your employment with the Office 16 0. of Consumer Services, did you have an opportunity to review 17 the filings in this docket? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 And you had an opportunity as you were here to Q. listen to the testimony of Mr. Summers and Mr. Wheelwright; 21 22 is that correct? 23 Yes. Α. 24 Q. And does the Office support the testimony of 25 Mr. Summers and Mr. Wheelwright regarding interim rates

Page 17 pending the audit in this matter? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 MR. JETTER: Do you have anything further you'd 4 like to sav? MR. MARTINEZ: Sure. The office reviewed the 5 Company's application in Dockets 13-057-04 and 15-057-05. 6 7 The Office also participated in the technical conference noticed in these dockets. 8 9 During the technical conference, as stated, a calculation error was identified and that was carried 10 through the model. Since these errors have been corrected 11 12 and reported as discussed in the DPU's comments, the Office did not see the need to file additional comments as these 13 14 errors were corrected with the updated model and tariff 15 sheets as admitted. The Office recommends that the Commission approve 16 17 the requested rate changes on an interim basis. Thank you. 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. MR. OLSEN: Mr. Martinez is available for 19 20 cross-examination. 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: Any questions for 2.2 Mr. Martinez? 23 MS. CLARK: No. Thank you. MR. JETTER: No questions from the Division. 24 25 Thank you.

1	Page 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Just a couple
2	questions. Maybe I'll start with Mr. Wheelwright.
3	And obviously I may be asking the other witnesses
4	further questions based upon I guess his responses.
5	But I think you just touched on in your testimony
6	the concept, and we talked about this in one of the more
7	recent pass-through cases I think back in October of last
8	year about the concept of, you know, prudence review occurs
9	ultimately during the audit process, et cetera, and so the
10	prudence review actually is not occurring during the
11	pass-through case.
12	So in terms of the audit, do you have, like,
13	a potential kind of estimated timeframe for when those
14	for the audit on this case?
15	MR. WHEELWRIGHT: I don't have a specific date.
16	The audit is being completed by others within the office.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
18	MR. WHEELWRIGHT: And I don't have a
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
20	MR. WHEELWRIGHT: delivery date for you.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Let me turn to
22	Mr. Summers with Questar. This is one and again, I mean,
23	feel free if you want to if Mr. McKay, you know, wants to
24	be sworn in, but I just want to touch for a moment on, you
25	know, the question of, you know, what the company is I guess

Page 19 1 doing to ensure that it's only paying Wexpro for reasonable 2 and necessary operating expenses under the Wexpro agreement. 3 And certainly if you want to... MS. CLARK: I would like to invite --4 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MS. CLARK: -- Mr. McKay to the table and have him 6 7 sworn in. He can address that for you. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Perfect. That's 9 I thought he might want to address that. great. 10 Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you're 11 about to provide is the whole truth and nothing but the 12 truth? 13 MR. MCKAY: Yes. 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 15 BARRIE MCKAY, having been first duly sworn, was 16 examined and testified as follows: 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 19 BY MS. CLARK: 20 Mr. McKay, would you please state your name and Q. 21 your title at Questar before you commence answering 22 questions? 23 Yes. I'm Barrie L. McKay, and I'm vice president Α. of the regulatory affairs and energy division for Questar 24 25 Gas. And in relation to the specific question, we actually

	<b>D</b>
1	Page 20 have been meeting with Wexpro on a proactive basis in
2	helping us to identify the actual costs that are being
3	billed to us on a monthly basis through the Wexpro operating
4	service fee. We're coming to better understand as well as
5	the Division and I just want to compliment them. I think
6	that they're in the middle of an audit. And when we say,
7	"audit," we have several pass-through cases that are opened.
8	And so a lot of the discovery and the analysis
9	that they are doing is critical to all of them in general
10	and then they obviously will have specific things, too.
11	But we are making sure that what we're being
12	billed is in compliance with what the Wexpro operator
13	service fee says that it should be.
14	We're also beginning to receive and Wexpro's been
15	very forthright in helping us being able to get into the
16	details. In the past we haven't specifically asked for but
17	we're asking for now, and that is, breaking those costs out
18	by cost component.
19	For example, like G&A, LO&E, which is operating
20	and maintenance expense, depreciation, the return component,
21	all of those that we're able to see and get an understanding
22	and feel for what those costs are, what are the drivers
23	on those costs.
24	We're very aware that those costs have been, on a
25	per-unit basis, been going up. Wexpro is very aware of that
1	

1	Page 21 also. And we're focused on what can be done to help
2	mitigate those costs recognizing that as they put forth
3	the effort to make sure that they are complying with
4	stipulations that the opportunity to drill has been reduced
5	and therefore new or increased I mean, to maintain
6	volumes at a higher level becomes difficult and maintaining
7	those volumes are a key thing for us to help be able to
8	reduce the per-unit cost.
9	And so we're learning those kind of combinations
10	and interactions with that and we're feeling confident that
11	what's being billed to us and what we're paying for are
12	bills that we're obtaining to be under the agreement.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I appreciate
14	that. You're welcome to remain at the bar there.
15	Turning now to an issue that was addressed in the
16	Division's memo I think around page nine, and that portion
17	of the memo discusses a couple pieces of litigation.
18	And certainly I want to avoid any kind of
19	confidential issues. So I may be talking more generally
20	about things, but certainly, Ms. Clark, if I'm going
21	anywhere, you know, we will swerve as it were.
22	The two pieces of litigation or one that the
23	ongoing litigation with QEP regarding disputed gathering
24	costs under agreement. And I understand there's been some
25	resolution of that I guess but there's still some potential

Page 22 1 costs out there. 2 I guess the question, and maybe this is a joint question to the Division and potentially the office, too, 3 is that with those costs identified, I think it was in the 4 5 neighborhood of around 15 million or so, if those costs, you know, if ultimately Questar did not prevail, those costs 6 7 did become an issue, how would those costs be treated or 8 ultimately processed or evaluated, et cetera, in terms of 9 rates I guess? 10 And I don't know if that's a question that -and I guess, let me just add to that, would those be, 11 12 you know, readily discernible or discreetly identified, et cetera? And I don't know if this is a question and who 13 14 wants to take it first. 15 MS. CLARK: May I just clarify the question? THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. 16 Sure. 17 I think perhaps Mr. McKay is the MS. CLARK: proper respondent. I want to be clear that the question 18 you're asking refers to the Rocky Mountain Race Horses and 19 20 Robert and Floyd vs. QEP and Wexpro case. 21 Is that the one you're referring to or are you --2.2 THE HEARING OFFICER: That's the second one I want 23 to talk about, but the first one I guess -- and I apologize if I misstated it. I guess I was talking about the 24 25 litigation.

Page 23 MS. CLARK: The OEP field services? 1 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. That's right. 3 MS. CLARK: Okay. Thank you. I just needed to understand. 4 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: That's the clarification. 6 Did you catch that? 7 THE REPORTER: Not what she said, no. 8 MS. CLARK: The question references the QEP field 9 service piece of litigation referenced on page nine of the 10 Division's memo. 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: And I appreciate that clarification, yeah, because I will want to -- I'm going to 12 have basically some similar questions with respect to the 13 one in Wyoming, the separate piece. 14 15 MS. CLARK: Okay. And then the one other question I have for you, I don't believe that that matter has yet 16 been resolved although some partial motions for --17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. 18 MS. CLARK: -- summary judgment have been granted. 19 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. That's right. Yeah. MS. CLARK: But in terms of how the costs would be 21 2.2 treated, Mr. McKay can certainly answer that piece. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. That's exactly it. 24 Thank you for the clarification. 25 MR. MCKAY: So Mr. Summers is going to know the

Page 24 1 exact detail. We're going to tag team on this. So he's 2 going to take the first shot as it relates to the first case 3 that you're referring to as far as the dollar amounts and what has been included. 4 5 MR. SUMMERS: Yeah. So on page nine of the division's memo, they do discuss the difference between 6 7 what QEP has billed us and what we have paid is about 8 \$15.3 million. That's the amount that's in dispute. 9 And then the second part of your question 10 I believe was what will happen with those costs in the 11 event of --12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. T mean --13 MR. SUMMERS: -- when this case is settled. 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I mean, let me --15 well, I apologize for not articulating more clearly. But so, for example, you know, if -- you know, 16 17 if Questar does not prevail and that amount is -- I mean, I'm assuming Questar would seek recovery for that amount, 18 but they have been paying, essentially been paying a lesser 19 20 amount under protest, that amount if that happens, how would that be I quess, flow-through rates are evaluated, you know. 21 22 Again, this is a question that may be part of the 23 Division's answer, too. 24 MR. SUMMERS: And so -- and Mr. McKay I'm sure 25 will jump in here if I'm off, but as we've been in this

Page 25 case, we have -- in this lawsuit, we have been bonding 1 2 for these amounts. 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you want to take a second? Why don't we go off the record. 4 5 MR. MCKAY: Your Honor, in fairness to Mr. Summers, I think he was blurring two cases together. 6 7 So I'll take over here. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 9 MR. MCKAY: He's identified the -- sorry. 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Let's go back on the 11 record, then. Sorry about that. Mr. McKay. Sorry. 12 MR. MCKAY: The first case that you're referring to is a case that Wexpro has been litigating up in Wyoming. 13 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, actually the first 15 case I'm talking about is the disputed 15 million with the That's the first case. 16 OEP. 17 MR. MCKAY: Well, then maybe we should go off the 18 record. THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's go off the record. 19 20 (Recess taken 10:34 a.m. to 10:42 a.m.) 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's go back on the record. 2.2 Let's see here. I had a question out there regarding a 23 couple pieces of litigation. So I don't know if I want 24 to maybe turn to Ms. Clark or Mr. McKay to... 25 MS. CLARK: I think Mr. McKay is prepared to

Page 26 discuss the accounting treatment should some award be made 1 2 in the first piece of litigation referenced. 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. MS. CLARK: I think that's where we were headed. 4 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. MCKAY: And I apologize for my confusion. 6 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: No, no. That's okay. 8 MR. MCKAY: I appreciate the moment. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: No. There's a lot of 10 acronyms. So I get confused myself. 11 MR. MCKAY: Being able to make sure that we're all 12 on the same page. 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure. No problem. 14 MR. MCKAY: If I understand your question correctly, it is this 15 million that we have. And I'll use 15 a non-accounting term, short paid. 16 If that were to be found that we need to pay that 17 in the future, that would be billed. We would recognize 18 that as an actual cost and that would flow through our 191 19 20 account which would in turn be before this Commission in another pass-through proceeding seeking recovery of it. 21 2.2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Now, would those --23 You know, again, this is hypothetical. But if that were the case, would that be I guess identified in the total 24 costs in the 191 account? 25

Page 27 In other words, would parties know that that was 1 2 being included and be able to vet it or, et cetera? 3 MR. MCKAY: This would be a gathering cost. That's what were identified here. And we could make sure 4 5 that it is noted, it's recognized as an amount because it certainly would be different than what the normal rate would 6 be which is what you see in the application at this time. 7 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: So just like anything else, I quess, and this is for Mr. Wheelwright, it would be like 9 10 any other costs on this account, it would part of the audit process or, in other words, it would be included initially 11 12 for interim rates but it would be, like any other costs, part of the Division's audit? 13 14 MR. WHEELWRIGHT: Yes. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Great. That's helpful. Now, you had a second one. Yeah. 16 The same 17 question. And I mean, the Division in their memo, yeah, I think the reference was, you know, additional royalty 18 payments from this case could potentially be expect to be 19 20 recovered from Questar Gas Company. So essentially the same question which is, 21 2.2 you know, how will we know when or how or... 23 MR. MCKAY: Sure. That case is in the process 24 of appeal right now in the state of Wyoming. And that 14.1 million that's been identified by the Division is the 25

Page 28 total amount. We have to date had billed to us, Ouestar Gas 1 2 from Wexpro, eight million of that. 3 And so if in fact, that it, worst case scenario, that it would only be as we just described in response to 4 5 the other question, there would be a incremental six million that would come through. We can make sure to have that be 6 7 able to be identified and be able to be audited and reviewed 8 at that time according to whatever the orders and 9 requirements are that would be imposed upon us in that case. 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. Is there anything the Division wanted to add or the Office? 11 12 MR. JETTER: I've just got a question for Mr. McKay. Something that we're not clear on at the 13 14 Division, is the eight million, has that been paid now 15 or is that included in filing in this docket or is that an amount that's been billed but unpaid as of yet? 16 MR. MCKAY: That has been billed to us over time. 17 And I am going to have to observe that I don't know the 18 period of time in which that has. I can --19 20 We can find that out, but it has been coming to us 21 over time through the Wexpro operator service fee. And so that's accumulation over time. 2.2 23 MR. JETTER: Okay. Thank you. 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: Anything further, questions 25 or anything on the -- I mean, I'm satisfied. I wasn't sure

Page 29 if other folks had other questions on that. 1 2 Okay. Thank you very much. One other issue is just -- and this just harkens 3 back to -- I know we had a technical conference a few weeks 4 5 ago and the company addressed the issue. It sounds like they had a recent change in their algorithm with respect to 6 7 normal weatherization. 8 I guess the question is, you know, does the Division have any comments on that change? 9 10 Is there anything that... MR. WHEELWRIGHT: No. It's the division's 11 12 understanding that with the last general rate case, they did change some of the way they calculated I believe it was the 13 14 SNG rates. And the company's indicated they are going to 15 review that in the future and see if the way they calculated that the previous rate case needs to be adjusted going 16 17 forward in the next case. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And I might have ... 18 I apologize. I think I jumped dockets here. Let me save 19 20 that question. I think that's a CET question. Sorry. I apologize for that. Hold that thought for just a second. 21 2.2 So let's just finish out the pass-through docket 23 which is the 04 docket. So we, just in terms of housekeeping, so we have 24 25 the right tariff sheets, everyone's agreed to them and we

Page 30 have the recommendation of the Office, of the Division. 1 2 So I think we're good with that. 3 Is there anything else that we need to address with respect to the 04 docket before we move on? 4 5 MS. CLARK: Nothing from the Company. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. 6 With 7 that, why don't we go ahead, and we'll move on to the next docket which is the 05 docket which is the CET docket. 8 9 MS. CLARK: The company calls Mr. Kelly Mendenhall 10 and would ask that he be sworn. THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you solemnly swear that 11 12 the testimony you're about to provide is the whole truth and nothing but the truth? 13 MR. MENDENHALL: Yes. 14 15 KELLY B. MENDENHALL, having been first duly sworn, was 16 examined and testified as follows: 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 19 BY MS. CLARK: 20 Mr. Mendenhall, could you please state your full Q. name and your business address for the record? 21 2.2 Yes. My name is Kelly Mendenhall, and my business Α. 23 address is 333 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah. And what position do you hold at Questar Gas? 24 Q. I'm the director of regulatory affairs. 25 Α.

1	Page 31 Q. Mr. Mendenhall, was the application in Docket No.
2	15-057-05 prepared by you or under your direction?
3	A. Yes, it was.
4	Q. Can you summarize for the hearing officer today
5	the relief the company seeks in that docket?
6	A. Sure. In this docket, the application of Questar
7	Gas Company to amortize the conservation enabling tariff
8	balancing account, the company proposes to amortize the
9	March 2015 under-collected balance of \$2,667,851.
10	The under collection amounts to a \$14 million
11	increase in the amount that is currently being collected
12	through the conservation enabling tariff.
13	This change in the rate will result in a \$12.36 or
14	1.7 percent annual increase to the typical general service
15	customer's bill. The company's requesting that all of the
16	proposed changes be made effective June 1st, 2015.
17	And when you take the impact of this docket along
18	with the updated corrections in the pass-through docket,
19	Docket 15-057-04, the net result is an overall decrease to
20	the typical general service customer of about 4.4 percent
21	or \$32 per year. And that concludes my summary.
22	Q. Mr. Mendenhall, do you adopt the contents of the
23	application and the exhibits attached to the application
24	as your testimony today?
25	A. Yes.

Page 32 MS. CLARK: The Company would move for the 1 2 admission of the application and accompanying exhibits. THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? 3 4 MR. JETTER: No objection. 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: Received. MS. CLARK: Thank you. Mr. Mendenhall's available 6 7 for cross-examination. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Jetter? 9 MR. JETTER: No questions from the Division. 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 11 MR. OLSEN: No questions from the Office. 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: I have a question which I think you've already had a little bit of foreshadowing. 13 14 So we'll hold that for now. Mr. Jetter? 15 MR. JETTER: Thank you, Your Honor. The Division would -- I suppose we need to re-swear in 16 17 Douglas Wheelwright. THE HEARING OFFICER: He's sworn. He's fine. 18 Thank you. 19 20 DOUGLAS WHEELWRIGHT, having been previously duly sworn, was 21 examined and testified further as follows: 2.2 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 (CONTINUED) BY MR. JETTER: 25

Page 33 Have you prepared a brief statement regarding the 1 0. 2 15-057-05, a conservation enabling tariff pass-through docket? 3 Yes, I have. 4 Α. 5 MR. JETTER: Please go ahead. MR. WHEELWRIGHT: Thank you. Docket No. 15-057-05 6 7 known as the conservation enabling tariff or CET asks for 8 Commission approval to amortize the March 2015 under-collected balance of \$2.7 million and adjust the CET 9 10 component of the distribution nongas or DNG rate. The Division has reviewed and supports the 11 12 application and the calculations as submitted by the 13 Company. 14 In a previous filing under Docket No. 14-057-23, 15 the Company was amortizing an over-collected balance of \$11.6 million. The previous amortization created a credit 16 or a reduction in customer rates removing the previous 17 credit and amortizing the current under-collected amount 18 results in an increase in the CET rate. 19 20 If this docket is approved individually, a typical GS customer will realize an increase in their 21 bill of \$12.36. 22 23 In summary, the Division supports and recommends 24 approval of the rate changes requested in both dockets 15-057-04 and 15-057-05. 25

	Page 34
1	The proposed rates should be approved on an
2	interim basis in order to allow additional time for the
3	Division to complete an audit of the individual entries
4	in the respective accounts.
5	While both dockets have been presented
6	independently, the Division has completed a summary of the
7	combined impact and the change to customer rates. If both
8	dockets are approved, a typical GS customer will see a net
9	decrease of approximately \$32.42 per year or a 4.3 percent
10	reduction from the rates currently in effect.
11	The Division believes that the requested changes
12	are in the public interest and represent just and reasonable
13	rates. And that concludes my summary.
14	MR. JETTER: Thank you. I have no further
15	questions for Mr. Wheelwright. He's also available for
16	questions from parties or the presiding officer.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you.
18	Mr. Olsen?
19	MR. OLSEN: We have nothing further to add.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
21	And no questions?
22	MS. CLARK: No. Thank you.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And so you have no
24	questions but did you want to put on Mr. Martinez?
25	MR. JETTER: Oh. No. We would submit that his

1	Page 35
1	statements in support were for both dockets.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, okay. All right. Okay.
3	Thank you. So again, I apologize for that's what happens
4	when you write your notes too quick. I was incorrectly
5	addressing the algorithm change to weather normalization
6	which is more applicable to the CET docket. So I guess
7	the question is, it sounds like
8	Let me back up. Does the company or would the
9	company be willing to kind of address that in the next
10	pass-through about what that change means and what potential
11	effect it might have on rates I guess?
12	Because I mean, when it was introduced in the tech
13	conference, it seemed like it was a new I guess methodology
14	potentially.
15	MR. MENDENHALL: Right. So you want now, you
16	said pass-through docket. Do you mean CET?
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. Sorry. Yes.
18	MR. MENDENHALL: We can address what we can do
19	is we can talk about the old methodology and then the new
20	methodology, and, you know, we can compare and contrast.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Okay. All right.
22	One other thing. And again, I'm going to go back. And I
23	apologize for doing this, but I'm going to go back to the
24	pass-through docket. And this is a question for
25	Mr. Wheelwright I forgot to ask.

Page 36 1 I just want to -- turning to page two of your 2 memo. And I think you already indicated that there was some 3 corrections that you made based upon the information that was discovered during I guess the tech conference. 4 5 On that last paragraph, I just want to make sure, if you compare the numbers that are included in that last 6 7 paragraph, you're talking about the pass-through, those are 8 the correct increases and decreases rather than the top 9 paragraph which is what the company included in their 10 application; is that right? MR. WHEELWRIGHT: All of my references refer to 11 12 the corrected numbers. 13 Perfect. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okav. 14 MR. WHEELWRIGHT: Everything in my memo. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. That's great. 15 Thank you. Okay. Back again to the CET. 16 17 Is there anything else? I know there's a request for effective days for June 1st. So. 18 19 MS. CLARK: The Company has nothing more to add 20 but it would reiterate those requested dates. 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So I'm assuming that 2.2 if, based upon the timing, you would -- there would be 23 requests for a bench ruling on that? 24 MS. CLARK: Yes, please. 25 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Before I do take a

Page 37 brief recess, would there be any objection to that or any 1 2 thoughts on that from anyone else? 3 MR. JETTER: The Division would support the 4 request for a bench order. 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okav. MR. OLSEN: As would the office. 6 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. That's great. 8 Why don't I go ahead and take a -- go off the record and take a brief recess. And we'll be back in a minute. 9 10 Thank you. 11 MS. CLARK: Thank you. 12 (Recess from 10:56 a.m. to 11:01 a.m.) 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's go back on the record. 14 Appreciate your patience and everyone's participation this 15 morning. Having considered Questar's applications, the comments filed in its dockets and the testimony presented 16 17 today and the fact that the applications are unopposed, the Commission finds approval of the two applications is 18 just, reasonable and the public interest includes that such 19 20 approval is consistent with relevant statutes, rules and Commission orders. 21 2.2 And therefore the Commission approves the 23 application and the tariff sheets filed and as presented 24 and as stipulated among the parties in these two dockets, 25 Docket No. 15-057-04 and Docket No. 15-057-05 are approved

1	Page 38 effective June 1st, 2015, on an interim basis pending the
2	Division's audit of the entries into the respective accounts
3	and are approved to review. This bench order has been
4	approved and confirmed by the Commission and a written
5	memorialization of this Decision will be filed.
6	Before we adjourn today, are there any other
7	matters that we need to address with these applications
8	or these dockets?
9	MS. CLARK: No. Thank you.
10	MR. JETTER: No.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Well, thank you
12	very much. We're adjourned.
13	(Proceedings concluded at 11:02 a.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE Page 39
2	This is to certify that the foregoing proceedings
3	were taken before me, CLARK L. EDWARDS, a Certified
4	Shorthand Reporter and Notary Republic in and for the
5	State of Utah, residing at West Jordan, Utah;
6	That the proceedings were reported by me in
7	stenotype and thereafter caused by me to be transcribed into
8	typewriting, and that a full, true, and correct
9	transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed
10	is set forth in the foregoing pages, inclusive.
11	I further certify that I am not of kin or
12	otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause
13	of action, and that I am not interested in the event
14	thereof.
15	Clark L. Edwards-
16	Clark A. Edulary
17	Clark L. Edwards, CSR Utah License No. 109221-7801
18	Otan License No. 109221-7801
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Index: \$11.6..ahead

			TIMEX.	şıı.oanea
		<b>2.03</b> 11:1 13:11	<b>a.m.</b> 10:16	address 4:24
\$	1	<b>2.04</b> 11:2 13:11	25:20 37:12	5:20,22 19:7,9 30:3,21,23
<b>\$11.6</b> 33:16	<b>1.7</b> 31:14	<b>2015</b> 7:25 8:17 12:22 31:9,16	able 20:15,21 21:7 26:11	35:9,18
<b>\$12.36</b> 31:13	<b>10:12</b> 10:16	33:8	27:2 28:7	addressed 5:10 21:15
33:22	<b>10:15</b> 10:16	<b>2016</b> 14:11	abnormally 8:5	29:5
<b>\$14</b> 31:10	<b>10:34</b> 25:20	<b>21st</b> 12:22	accompanying 6:20 7:13 9:7	addressing
<b>\$15,358,114</b> 8:6	<b>10:42</b> 25:20		12:25 13:9	35:5
\$15.3 24:8	<b>10:56</b> 37:12	3	32:2	<b>adjust</b> 33:9
\$2,667,851	<b>11:01</b> 37:12	<b>31st</b> 14:11	according 28:8	adjusted 29:16
31:9	12-month	<b>333</b> 5:22 30:23	account 4:10 8:1 14:19 15:3	Adjustment 4:6
<b>\$2.7</b> 33:9	14:11		26:20,25 27:10	admission 9:7
\$23,836,000	<b>13-057-04</b> 17:6	4	31:8	32:2
7:23	<b>14-057-23</b> 33:14		accounting 26:1	admit 9:14
<b>\$24</b> 14:7	<b>14.1</b> 27:25	<b>4.02</b> 11:3 13:11	accounts 34:4	admitted 17:15
<b>\$32</b> 31:21	<b>15</b> 22:5 26:15	<b>4.3</b> 34:9	accumulation	adopt 6:16,24
<b>\$32.42</b> 34:9	<b>15-057-04</b> 4:4	<b>4.4</b> 31:20	28:22	9:2 31:22
<b>\$44.59</b> 8:13 14:25	6:21 7:18 14:4 31:19 37:25	5	accurately 13:13	affairs 5:25 19:24 30:25
<b>\$564,205,037</b> 7:19	<b>15-057-05</b> 4:8 17:6 33:2,6,	<b>56</b> 14:12	acronyms 26:10	<b>affirmative</b> 6:19
<b>\$6,953,417</b> 8:1	37:25		acting 4:11	again 11:9
\$61,887,000	<b>191</b> 8:1 14:4,	6	actual 7:25	24:22 26:23 35:3,22 36:16
7:21 <b>\$61.7</b> 14:8	18,21 15:2 26:19,25	<b>6.04</b> 8:13	9:23 15:9 20:2 26:19	agencies 8:9
\$85,722,000 7:22	<b>1st</b> 8:17 31:16 36:18	<b>6.294</b> 8:1	<b>actually</b> 18:10 19:25 25:14	<b>ago</b> 6:15 13:5 15:6 29:5
<b>\$85.7</b> 14:6		8	add 28:11	agree 7:1,3
	2	<b>00</b> 0:40	36:19	8:23 13:8,9
0	<b>2</b> 7:6	<b>80</b> 8:12	additional	agreed 29:25
<b>04</b> 29:23 30:4	<b>2.02</b> 11:1,9	A	17:13 27:18 34:2	agreement 19:2 21:12,24
<b>05</b> 30:8	13:10	<b>A.C.</b> 16:7,14	Additionally 11:6	ahead 4:14 6:3 9 13:19 15:23

Index: algorithm..calculated

			5	
16:6 30:7 33:5 37:8	another 26:21	37:18,20	17:19 32:6 34:15	20:2,11,15 26:11 27:2
	answering	approve 17:16		31:11
algorithm 29:6	19:21	approved 8:11	average 8:8	
35:5	anticipate 9:8	14:23 15:2	avoid 21:18	believe 15:6
<b>all</b> 10:7 11:4	-	33:20 34:1,8		23:16 24:10
12:19 20:9,21	anticipated	37:25	award 26:1	29:13
26:11 31:15	14:12		aware 20:24,25	believes 34:11
35:2,21 36:11	anyone 37:2	approves 37:22		bench 36:23
allow 34:2	onything 5:11	31.22		37:4
	anything 5:11 17:3 27:8	approximately	B	
allowed 8:16	28:11,24,25	14:12 34:9		better 20:4
already 32:13	29:10 30:3	arise 5:7	back 6:2 11:23	between 24:6
36:2	36:17		18:7 25:10,21	
		around 21:16	29:4 35:8,22,	<b>bill</b> 14:25 31:15
also 8:3 9:8,10 10:6 12:24	anywhere 21:21	22:5	23 36:16 37:9, 13	33:22
15:8,21 17:7	21.21	articulating	15	billed 20:3,12
20:14 21:1	apologize 6:1,	24:15	balance 8:1,6	21:11 24:7
34:15	2 22:23 24:15	asks 7:18 14:5	33:9,15	26:18 28:1,16,
	26:6 29:19,21	33:7	balancing 4:10	17
although 23:17	35:3,23		31:8	bills 21:12
among 37:24	appeal 27:24	associated	<b>bar</b> 21:14	hit 00.40
amortization	appearances	14:20	<b>Dai</b> 21.14	<b>bit</b> 32:13
7:24 8:3,7	4:15	Associates	Barrie 5:5	blurring 25:6
33:16	-	8:10	19:15,23	bonding 25:1
	applicable	assuming	based 18:4	
amortize 4:9	35:6	24:18 36:21	36:3,22	<b>both</b> 10:24
31:7, 33:8	application		heeleelly 02.12	11:4,10 33:24
amortizing	4:5,9 5:16 6:20	attached 31:23	basically 23:13	34:5,7 35:1
33:15,18	7:9,12 8:11	attachments	basis 15:2	Brady 5:6
amount 24:8,	9:2,4,7 12:19	12:19,24	17:17 20:1,3,	breaking 20:17
17,18,20 27:5	14:5 17:6 27:7	audit 14:18,22	25 34:2	
28:1,16 31:11	31:1,6,23 32:2	15:2 17:1 18:9,	become 22:7	brief 13:16
33:18	33:12 36:10 37:23	12,14,16 20:6,	becomes 21:6	37:1,9
amounts 24:3		7 27:10,13		brought 5:1
25:2 31:10	applications	34:3	before 5:8,12	business 5:20,
	37:15,17,18	audited 28:7	19:21 26:20	30:21,22
analysis 20:8	appreciate		30:4 36:25	
analyst 16:15	21:13 23:11	Austin 5:2,21	beginning	
annual 8:13	26:8 37:14	6:10 13:5	20:14	C
14:25 31:14	approval 7:19	available 5:6	being 9:13	
	14:5 33:8,24	11:12 15:17	10:8 18:16	calculated
	1	1	I	1

HEARING - 05/28/2015 Index: calculation..cross-examination

		index: care		ss-examination
14:2 29:13,15	<b>chat</b> 5:11	commence	14:6,7 20:18,	36:8
calculation 13:22 17:10	<b>circle</b> 11:23 <b>City</b> 5:22 30:23	19:21 comments	20 33:10 components 7:21	<b>corrected</b> 10:7 13:1,24,25
calculations 14:19 33:12	clarification 23:5,12,24	12:21,25 13:10 15:7,9 17:12, 13 29:9 37:16	7:21 concept 18:6,8	14:3 17:11,14 36:12
calls 30:9	clarify 22:15	Commission	concludes	corrections 7:15 8:21 9:1
Cambridge 8:9	Clark 4:25	7:19 14:5,23 17:16 26:20	15:3 31:21 34:13	31:18 36:3
carried 13:22	5:15,18 6:4,14 7:15 8:18 9:6,	33:8 37:18,21, 22	<b>conference</b> 7:5 17:7,9 29:4	correctly 26:15
<b>case</b> 18:11,14	24 10:17,19 15:12,19 17:23	commodity	35:13 36:4	13:25
22:20 24:2,13 25:1,12,13,15,	19:4,6,19 21:20 22:15,17	7:22,25 8:15 14:6,9	confident 21:10	<b>cost</b> 7:20 8:10 14:9 20:18
16 26:24 27:19,23 28:3, 9 29:12,16,17	23:1,3,8,15,19, 21 25:24,25 26:4 30:5,9,19	<b>company</b> 4:5,9 5:1,3,6,24 7:18	confidential 21:19	21:8 26:19 27:3
<b>cases</b> 20:7 25:6	32:1,6 34:22 36:19,24 37:11	8:3,23 9:6 10:4,21 13:1,6, 8,21,24 18:25	confused 26:10	<b>cost-of-service</b> 14:14,16
catch 23:6	<b>clear</b> 7:10	27:20 30:5,9	confusion 26:6	<b>costs</b> 7:22,23 8:4,5 14:20
caused 8:5	22:18 28:13 clearly 24:15	31:5,7,8 32:1 33:13,15 35:8,	conservation 4:10 31:7,12	20:2,17,22,23, 24 21:2,24
cents 8:1	collected 8:6	36:9,19	33:2,7	22:1,4,5,6,7
<b>certainly</b> 19:3 21:18,20 23:22	31:11 collection	company's 7:6,8,16 17:6 29:14 31:15	considered 37:15	23:21 24:10 26:25 27:10,12
27:6 <b>CET</b> 5:4 11:8 13:12 29:20	31:10 combination	<b>compare</b> 35:20 36:6	consistent 37:20	<b>couple</b> 15:21 18:1 21:17 25:23
30:8 33:7,9,19 35:6, 36:16	8:4	complete 34:3	consultant 12:15,17	course 16:16
<b>cetera</b> 18:9	combinations 21:9	completed	Consumer	coverage 7:20
22:8,13 27:2	combined	15:3 18:16 34:6	4:21 16:15,17	covered 15:10
<b>change</b> 7:10	11:10 13:12	compliance	contained 9:3	created 33:16
29:6,9, 31:13 34:7 35:5,10	34:7	20:12	contents 31:22	credit 33:16,18
<b>changes</b> 17:17 31:16 33:24	combines 11:7 come 28:6	<b>compliment</b> 20:5	CONTINUED 10:18 32:24	critical 20:9 cross-
34:11	coming 20:4	complying	contrast 35:20	examination
Charges 4:6	28:20	10:2 21:3 component	<b>correct</b> 7:13 8:21 16:22	15:18 17:20 32:7
		I		

Index: current..expenses

				entexpenses
<b>current</b> 14:20	depreciation	distribution	dollar 24:3	entries 34:3
33:18	20:20	33:10	done 21:1	error 7:5 13:21
currently 31:11	described 28:4	division 4:17,	Douglas 4:18	17:10
34:10	detail 24:1	18 7:4 8:20	12:1,7,14	errors 17:11,14
<b>curve</b> 14:10	details 20:16	9:9,15 10:5,23 11:6,15,25	32:17,20	essentially
customer 8:12		12:15,21 13:9,	DPU'S 17:12	24:19 27:21
14:24 31:20	develop 8:10	13 14:1,19		
33:17,21 34:7,	difference 24:6	15:1,8 19:24	drill 21:4	estimated 18:13
8	different 27:6	20:5 22:3	drivers 20:22	
customer's		27:17,25 28:11,14 29:9	<b>due</b> 14:10	evaluated 22:8
31:15	difficult 21:6	30:1 32:9,16	duly 6:11 16:8	24:21
	<b>DIRECT</b> 5:17	33:11,23 34:3,	30:16 32:21	event 24:11
D	6:13 10:18	6,11 37:3		everyone 4:3
	16:10 30:18 32:23	division's 6:25	during 13:4,21 18:9,10 36:4	everyone's
<b>Dan</b> 4:21		7:12,15 8:16	10.9,10 30.4	29:25 37:14
<b>Danny</b> 16:7,14	direction 31:2	9:2,9 10:1		
	director 30:25	13:10 21:16	E	Everything 36:14
date 18:15,20	discernible	23:10 24:6,23 27:13 29:11		
28:1	22:12		earlier 8:19 15:10	exact 24:1
dates 36:20	discovered 7:5	<b>DNG</b> 33:10		exactly 23:23
days 36:18	36:4	docket 4:4,8	effect 8:16	EXAMINATION
debit 8:2,7	discovery 20:8	5:3,4 6:21 7:1,	34:10 35:11	5:17 6:13
		16,17 11:7,8 12:18 13:12,17	effective 13:14	10:18 16:10
decatherm 8:2 14:16	discreetly	14:4,23 16:18	31:16 36:18	30:18 32:23
	22:12	28:15 29:22,23	effort 21:3	examined 6:12
decatherms	discuss 24:6	30:4,8 31:1,5,	eight 28:2,14	16:9 30:17
8:12	26:1	6,18,19 33:3,6,	employment	32:22
decrease 7:8,	discussed	14,20 35:6,16, 24 37:25	16:16	example 20:19
11,20,21,22 8:12,13,14	9:13 15:6 17:12		enabling 4:10	24:16
14:6,8,24		docket along 31:17	31:7,12 33:2,7	exhibits 6:21
31:19 34:9	discusses	-		9:7,9,14 10:3,7
decreased	21:17	<b>dockets</b> 11:10	ending 14:11	12:19 13:1,23, 25 14:3 15:7
14:15	discussing	17:6,8 29:19 33:24 34:5,8	energy 8:9	31:23 32:2
decreases	10:4	35:1 37:16,24	19:24	expect 27:19
36:8	dispute 24:8	document	ensure 19:1	•
delivery 18:20	disputed 21:23	13:21	enter 15:8	<b>expense</b> 20:20
	25:15	documents 9:3	entered 15:6	expenses 19:2
	I	l		

Г				
F	24:2 25:12,14, 16 26:2 30:16	future 26:18 29:15	н	helping 20:2, 15
fact 28:3 37:17 failure 6:2 fairness 25:5 far 24:3 fee 20:4,13 28:21	fixed 8:5 flow 26:19 flow-through 24:21 Floyd 22:20 focused 21:1 folks 4:12 29:1	<b>G</b> <b>G&amp;a</b> 20:19 <b>gas</b> 4:5,6,9 5:1, 23 7:18,20 8:8, 10 11:2 14:8, 13,14,15,16	happen 24:10 happens 24:20 35:3 happy 9:20 harkens 29:3 having 6:11	here 4:15 13:4 16:20 24:25 25:7,22 27:4 29:19 higher 21:6 hold 5:23 29:21 30:24 32:14
feel 18:23 20:22 feeling 21:10 few 5:10 13:5 29:4	following 10:23 follows 6:12 16:9 30:17 32:22	19:25 27:20 28:1 30:24 31:7 gathering 7:6 21:23 27:3 general 20:9	16:8 30:16 32:21 37:15 headed 26:4 hearing 4:2,19, 23 5:8,14 6:1, 5,9 9:11,16,19	Honor 4:16 11:25 15:20 25:5 32:15 Horses 22:19 housekeeping
field 23:1,8 file 17:13	forecasted 8:8 foreshadowing	29:12 31:14,20 generally	10:9,13,17 11:11,14,17, 20,23 12:2,6	5:9 10:15 29:24 hypothetical
filed 10:5, 11:6 12:22 13:2,23 15:7 37:16,23	32:13 forgot 35:25	21:19 give 12:3 good 4:3 10:12	15:4,11,14,21 16:2,6 17:18, 21 18:1,17,19,	26:23
filing 12:18 14:17 28:15 33:14	form 10:25 format 11:5 forthright	30:2 34:17 granted 23:19	21 19:5,8,14 21:13 22:16,22 23:2,5,11,18, 20,23 24:12,14	identified 17:10 22:4,12
filings 14:21 16:18	20:15 forward 14:10 29:17	great 4:23 5:8 19:9 36:15 37:7	25:3,8,10,14, 19,21 26:3,5,7, 9,13,22 27:8,	25:9 26:24 27:4,25 28:7 identify 9:21,
final 8:24 10:25 11:4 find 28:20	found 13:22 26:17	Group 8:9 GS 8:11 11:1,9 13:10 14:24	15 28:10,24 29:18 30:6,11 31:4 32:3,5,8,	22 10:3,20 20:2
findings 14:22	free 18:23 FS 11:2 13:11	33:21 34:8	10,12,18 34:17,20,23 35:2,17,21	impact 31:17 34:7
finds 37:18 fine 32:18	<b>full</b> 5:19 15:2 16:12 30:20	<b>guess</b> 9:8 13:3 18:4, 21:25 22:2,9,11,23,	36:13,15,21,25 37:5,7,13	imposed 28:9 included 7:12,
finish 29:22 first 5:16 6:11 7:21 10:25 12:8 13:4 16:8 19:16 22:14,23	further 6:12 15:16 17:3 18:4 28:24 32:22 34:14,19	24 24:21 26:24 27:9 29:8 35:6, 11,13 36:4	hearings 4:4, 12 help 21:1,7 helpful 27:16	24 14:1 24:4 27:2,11 28:15 36:6,9 <b>includes</b> 10:7 37:19

Index: incorrectly..minutes

		00.00	04-40-00-0	0.00
incorrectly	issues 21:19	30:23	31:16 36:3	mean 9:22
35:4		larger 7:10	maintain 21:5	10:11,15 18:22 21:5 24:12,14,
increase 7:8, 23 8:15 14:7	J	last 18:7 29:12	maintaining	27:17 28:25
31:11,14		36:5,6	21:6	35:12,16
33:19,21	Jennifer 4:25	lawsuit 25:1	maintenance	means 35:10
	Jetter 4:15,16		20:20	1 00 1
increased	9:12 11:14,15,	leads 8:6		meeting 20:1
14:17 21:5	24,25 12:11	learning 21:9	make 21:3 26:11 27:4	memo 7:2,12
increases 36:8	13:19 15:5,16	14.04	28:6 36:5	8:16 9:2 10:1,
incremental	17:3,24 28:12,	leave 11:21 15:22	20.0 30.3	25 11:6 13:2
28:5	23 32:4,8,9,14,	15.22	making 20:11	14:1 21:16,17
	15,25 33:5	legislative	March 7:25	23:10 24:6
independently	34:14,25 37:3	10:24 11:4	31:9 33:8	27:17 36:2,14
34:6	joint 22:2	lesser 24:19		memorandum
indicated 8:19	-		market 14:15	6:25
29:14 36:2	Jordan 4:11	let 4:12 5:16	Martinez 4:21	
	judgment	6:24 18:21	16:1,5,7,12,14	Mendenhall
individual 34:3	23:19	22:11 24:14	17:5,19,22	5:3 30:9,14,15, 20,22 31:1,22
individually	jump 24:25	29:19 35:8	34:24	35:15,18
14:24 33:20	<b>Jump</b> 24.20	level 9:23 21:6	mottor 4.4.9	55.15,10
information	jumped 29:19	like 10:1 15:5	matter 4:4,8 5:1 8:24 17:1	Mendenhall's
36:3	June 8:17	like 12:1 15:5, 8,25 17:4	23:16	32:6
	31:16 36:18	18:12 19:4		mentioned 7:4
initially 5:5		20:19 27:8,9,	matters 5:9	13:20
27:11	Justin 4:16	12 29:5 35:7,	may 11:20	
interactions		13	12:22 14:11	methodology
21:10	ĸ		15:21 18:3	35:13,19,20
		listen 16:21	21:19 22:15	middle 20:6
interest 34:12	Kelly 5:3 30:9,	litigating 25:13	24:22	might 10.12
37:19	15,22		maybe 6:1	might 10:12 19:9 29:18
interim 15:2		litigation 21:17,22,23	11:23 22:2	35:11
16:25 17:17	key 21:7	21:17,22,23	25:17,24	
27:12 34:2	kind 18:13	25:23 26:2		million 14:6,7,
into 8:16 15:6,	21:9,18 35:9		Mckay 5:5	8 22:5 24:8
8 20:15		little 32:13	18:23 19:6,13,	26:15 27:25
	known 14:4 33:7	LO&E 20:19	15,20,23 22:17	28:2,5,14
introduced	33.1		23:22,25 24:24 25:5,9,11,12,	31:10 33:9,16
35:12		lot 20:8 26:9	17,24,25 26:6,	<b>minute</b> 10:10,
invite 19:4	L		8,11,14 27:3,	11,14 37:9
issue 21:15		М	23 28:13,17	minutes 13:5
22:7 29:3,5	Lake 5:22		20 20.10,17	
22.1 2J.J,J		made 9:3 26:1		

				sscaccapasc
misstated	32:16		21 16:2,6	order 34:2 37:4
22:24	needed 23:3	0	17:18,21 18:1,	orders 28:8
mitigate 21:2			17,19,21 19:5,	37:21
_	needs 29:16	objection 9:11,	8,14 21:13	
model 7:6,7,12	neighborhood	12,16,18	22:16,22 23:2,	original 7:8,11
10:6 13:22,25	22:5	15:10,11,12,13	5,11,18,20,23	others 18:16
17:11,14	22.0	32:3,4 37:1	24:12,14 25:3,	others to.to
moment 6:15	Nelson 4:25		8,10,14,19,21	over 4:15 25:7
15:6 18:24	net 7:20 14:8	observe 28:18	26:3,5,7,9,13,	28:17,21,22
26:8	31:19 34:8	obtaining	22 27:8,15	over-collected
20.0	51.19.54.0	21:12	28:10,24 29:18	33:15
monthly 20:3	<b>new</b> 21:5		30:6,11 31:4	
<b>more</b> 18:6	35:13,19	obviously 18:3	32:3,5,8,10,12,	overall 31:19
21:19 24:15	next 29:17 30:7	20:10	18 34:16,17,	
35:6 36:19	35:9	occupation	20,23 35:2,17,	P
		12:12,16	21 36:13,15,	
<b>morning</b> 4:3,13	<b>NGV</b> 11:3		21,25 37:5,7,	
37:15	13:11	occurring	13	paid 24:7 26:16
motions 23:17	nine 21:16 23:9	18:10	old 35:19	28:14
	24:5	occurs 18:8		paragraph
Mountain	27.0		<b>Olsen</b> 4:20	36:5,7,9
22:19	non-	October 18:7	9:18 11:17,18	
<b>move</b> 9:6,13	accounting	off 5:10 9:20	15:13,20,24,25	part 14:18
15:5 30:4,7	26:16	10:9,14 24:25	16:11 17:19	24:9,22 27:10,
32:1	non-gas 7:23	25:4,17,19	32:11 34:18,19	13
	14:7	37:8	37:6	partial 23:17
much 29:2			one 10:25 11:9	-
	nongas 33:10	offer 9:9	18:6, 21:22	participated
N	normal 27:6	offered 9:10	22:21,22,23	17:7
	29:7		23:14,15 27:16	participation
		office 4:20	29:3 35:22	37:14
name 4:11	normalization	11:18 15:24	ongoing 14:22	
5:19,21 12:12,	35:5	16:15,16,24	ongoing 14:22 21:23	parties 27:1
14 16:12 19:20	noted 27:5	17:5,7,12,	21.23	34:16 37:24
30:21,22		18:16 22:3	only 19:1 28:4	pass-through
namely 8:9	notes 35:4	28:11 30:1	anonad 20.7	4:5 5:3 7:17
-	nothing 6:7	32:11 37:6	opened 20:7	12:18 14:5
natural 4:6	16:4 19:11	officer 4:2,12,	operating 19:2	18:7,11 20:7
11:2 14:8	30:5,13 36:19	19,23 5:8,14	20:3,19	26:21 29:22
necessary		6:1,5,9 9:11,	operator 20:12	31:18 33:2
19:2	noticed 17:8	16,19 10:9,13,	28:21	35:10,16,24
	numbers 36:6,	17 11:11,14,	20.21	36:7
<b>need</b> 5:7 9:19,	12	17,20,23 12:2,	opportunity	
20 10:11 17:13		6 15:4,11,14,	16:17,20 21:4	past 20:16
26:17 30:3				

Index: patience..reduction

			index: patien	
patience 37:14	10:10 13:16	proposed 7:11	25:22 26:14	37:19
-	25:25 31:2	8:14,15 15:1	27:17,21 28:5,	reesive 20:14
<b>pay</b> 26:17	33:1	31:16 34:1	12 29:8,20	receive 20:14
paying 19:1	procented	proposos	32:12 35:7,24	received 15:14
21:11 24:19	presented 14:23 34:5	proposes 13:13 31:8	questions 4:22	32:5
payments	37:16,23	15.15 51.0	5:7 6:15 11:13,	recent 18:7
27:19		protest 24:20	15,18 15:17,	29:6
-	president	provide 6:6	19,20 17:21,24	
pending 17:1	19:23	16:3 19:11	18:2,4 19:22	recess 10:16
per-unit 20:25	presiding 4:12	30:12	23:13 28:24	25:20 37:1,9,
21:8	34:16		29:1 32:9,11	12
	provoil 22.6	provided 10:24	34:15,16,21,24	recognize
percent 8:13	prevail 22:6 24:17	11:4,8 13:1,24	quick 35:4	26:18
14:12 31:14,20 34:9	24.17	providing 5:2,4		recognized
	previous	prudence 18:8,		27:5
Perfect 19:8	14:17,21 29:16	10	R	
36:13	33:14,16,17			recognizing
perhaps 22:17	previously	public 4:17	Race 22:19	21:2
	32:21	7:19 12:15,22	Rasmussen	recommendati
period 14:11	price 14:10 15	34:12 37:19	5:6	<b>on</b> 30:1
28:19	<b>price</b> 14:10,15, 16	purchased		rocommondati
<b>piece</b> 23:9,14,		8:10	rate 7:6 11:1,2,	recommendati ons 7:2,4
22 26:2	prices 8:8	put 21:2 34:24	3,9 13:10,11	0115 7.2,4
<b>pieces</b> 21:17,	proactive 20:1		15:1 17:17	recommends
22 25:23	-		27:6 29:12,16	15:1 17:16
	problem 6:5	Q	31:13 33:10, 19,24	33:23
<b>PIRA</b> 8:9	26:13		13,24	record 4:3
place 4:3	proceed 5:9,	<b>QEP</b> 21:23	rates 4:6 7:14	5:10, 9:20
nortion 7:25	12,16	22:20 23:1,8	8:15 13:13,14	10:9,14 12:13
portion 7:25 21:16	proceeding	24:7 25:16	14:8 16:25	15:7,8 16:13
21.10	26:21	<b>Questar</b> 4:5,9	22:9 24:21	25:4,11,18,19,
position 5:23		5:1,23 7:18	27:12 29:14	30:21 37:8,13
30:24	PROCEEDING	18:22 19:21,24	33:17 34:1,7, 10,13 35:11	recovered
potential 18:13	<b>S</b> 4:1	22:6 24:17,18		27:20
21:25 35:10	process 14:22	27:20 28:1	rather 36:8	
	18:9 27:11,23	30:24 31:6	re-swear 32:16	recovery 24:18 26:21
potentially 22:3 27:19	processed	Questar's 5:15		20.21
35:14	22:8	12:18 37:15	readily 22:12	reduce 21:8
			realize 14:24	reduced 21:4
<b>prepare</b> 12:21,	production	question 11:20	33:21	
24	14:14,20	19:25 22:2,3,	reasonable	<b>reduction</b> 14:9,
prepared 6:21	proper 22:18	10,13,15,18 23:8,15 24:9,	19:1 34:12	10 33:17 34:10
	• •	23.0,13 24.9,	10.104.12	

HEARING - 05/28/2015

Index: refer..statements

refer 36:11	represent 4:25	result 31:13,19	schedule 11:1,	similar 23:13
reference 14:2	8:24 34:12	results 7:7,10	2,3,9	since 9:13
27:18	representing	33:19	second 7:22	17:11
referenced	4:17,20	return 20:20	9:20 22:22	<b>six</b> 28:5
8:21 9:14 23:9	represents	review 12:18	24:9 25:4 27:16 29:21	alight 7.9
26:2	7:20	13:21 14:18		slight 7:8
references	request 7:16,	16:17 18:8,10	Section 11:3	<b>SNG</b> 7:23 8:4,
23:8 36:11	24 8:14 36:17	29:15	seek 24:18	5,15 29:14
referring 22:21	37:4	reviewed 6:25	seeking 26:21	solemnly 6:5
24:3 25:12	requested 14:9	7:3 17:5 28:7		16:2 19:10
refers 14:2	17:17 33:24	33:11	seeks 31:5	30:11
22:19	34:11 36:20	reviewing	seemed 35:13	Something
	requesting 8:3	14:19	separate 23:14	28:13
reflect 13:13	31:15	<b>Rex</b> 4:20	service 4:6	sorry 25:9,11
reflecting	requests 36:23		7:19 20:4,13	29:20 35:17
13:10	-	<b>Robert</b> 22:20	23:9 28:21	sounds 29:5
regarding 7:6	requirement 14:13	Rocky 22:19	31:14,20	35:7
13:17 16:25 21:23 25:22	requirements	room 13:4	services 4:21	South 5:22
33:1	28:9	royalty 27:18	16:15,17 23:1	30:23
regulatory	Research 8:10	rules 37:20	set 7:2 9:1	specific 9:21
5:25 19:24	resolution		12:25	18:15 19:25 20:10
30:25	21:25	ruling 36:23	settled 24:13	
reiterate 36:20			several 20:7	specifically
relates 24:2	resolved 23:17	S	sheet 11:1,7,8	9:21 20:16
	respect 23:13		13:12	specificity
relation 19:25	29:6 30:4	said 11:4 23:7		9:23
relatively 8:4	respectfully	35:16	sheets 5:11 7:13 8:21,24	start 4:14,15
relevant 37:20	7:18	Salt 5:22 30:23	9:21,23 10:6,8,	18:2
relief 31:5	respective	same 7:1 26:12	21,24 13:9,23	state 5:19,22
	34:4	27:16,21	14:1 17:15 29:25 37:23	16:12 19:20 27:24 30:20,23
remain 21:14	respondent	satisfied 14:13		
removing	22:18	28:25	short 26:16	stated 17:9
33:17	response 5:13	save 29:19	shot 24:2	statement
reported 17:12	28:4	say 17:4 20:6	should 5:7,11	13:17 33:1
REPORTER	responses		6:2 8:24 20:13	statements 9:3
23:7	18:4	says 20:13	25:17 34:1	35:1
		scenario 28:3		

Index: statutes..used

r				
statutes 37:20	supports	25 17:14 29:25	thought 19:9	two 8:9 11:21
	33:11,23	31:7,12 33:2,7	29:21	21:22 25:6
still 21:25	00.11,20	37:23	20.21	36:1 37:18,24
	<b>suppose</b> 32:16	57.25	thoughts 37:2	30.1 37.10,24
stipulate 8:23		team 24:1		typical 8:11
10:4,22	surcharge 8:2		through 13:22	14:24 31:14,20
	aunahannaa 0.7	tech 35:12 36:4	17:11 20:3	33:21 34:8
stipulated	surcharges 8:7		26:19 28:6,21	33.21 34.0
37:24	swear 6:2,6	technical 7:5	31:12	
stipulations	12:1,2 15:25	12:15,17 17:7,	0.1.12	U
		9 29:4	time 4:3,24	
21:4	16:2 19:10		9:14 10:15	
streaming 4:13	30:11	term 26:16	27:7 28:8,17,	<b>Uh-huh</b> 6:19
	swerve 21:21	terms 5:10	19,21,22 34:2	
Street 5:22			13,21,22 04.2	ultimately 18:9
30:23	sworn 6:11,16	18:12 22:8	timeframe	22:6,8
	11:21 12:8	23:21 29:24	18:13	
<b>submit</b> 34:25	15:22 16:8	test 14:11		under 6:21 8:6
			timing 36:22	19:2 21:12,24
submitted 7:1	18:24 19:7,16	testified 6:12		24:20 31:2,10
8:20 33:12	30:10,16	16:9 30:17	title 19:21	33:14
such 37:19	32:18,21	32:22	today 6:16	
		52.22	31:4,24 37:17	under-
summarize		testimony 5:2,	31.4,24 37.17	collected 7:25
7:16 31:4	Т	4 6:6,16 8:20	together 25:6	8:4 33:9,18
		9:4 12:3 13:5		
summary 15:3	table 19:6	16:3,21,24	top 36:8	understand
23:19 31:21		18:5 19:10	tatal 0.40 0.00	20:4 21:24
33:23 34:6,13	tag 24:1		total 8:13 9:22	23:4 26:14
		30:12 31:24	14:13 26:24	
Summers 5:2,	take 22:14 24:2	37:16	28:1	understanding
19,21 6:3,8,10,	25:3,7 31:17	than 7:11 27:6	touch 18:24	20:21 29:12
15,20 7:17	36:25 37:8,9	36:8		unless 10:10
8:19 9:15,25	taken 10:16	30.0	touched 18:5	umess 10.10
10:12,20		their 10:25		unopposed
11:12,22 13:5	25:20	11:6 14:25	treated 22:7	37:17
16:21,25 18:22	taking 4:15	27:17 29:6	23:22	
		33:21 36:9		unpaid 28:16
23:25 24:5,13,	talk 22:23	33.21 30.9	treatment 26:1	until 45.0
24 25:6	35:19	themselves	truth 6:7 12:3,4	until 15:2
supervision		15:9	16:3,4 19:11,	update 11:8
6:22	talked 18:6			apadie 11.0
0.22		therefore 8:14	30:12,13	updated 7:12
supervisor	talking 21:19	21:5 37:22	turn 9:25 15:23	8:20 10:23
5:25	22:24 25:15		18:21 25:24	11:7 13:10,24
0.20	36:7	thing 21:7		17:14 31:18
supplier 7:23	toriff 5.40 7.40	35:22	26:20	17.17.01.10
14:7	tariff 5:10 7:13		turning 21:15	use 26:15
	8:20,24 10:6,8,	things 20:10	36:1	
support 16:24	21,24,25 11:7,	21:20	00.1	used 8:8
35:1 37:3	8 13:9,12,23,			
	1	1	1	1

			 using
using 8:12	weeks 29:4	witness 4:18	
Utah 4:7,17	welcome 21:14	12:1 13:6	
5:22 7:19,20	Wexpro 7:6	witnesses 18:3	
8:11 30:23	14:13,16,20	words 27:1,11	
Utilities 4:17 12:15,22	19:1,2 20:1,3, 12,25 22:20	worst 28:3	
utility 16:14	25:13 28:2,21	write 35:4	
	Wexpro's	Wyoming	
V	20:14	23:14 25:13 27:24	
	whatever 28:8		
values 14:2	Wheelwright	Y	
vehicle 11:2	4:18 12:1,5,7, 14 13:20 15:17		
vet 27:2	16:21,25 18:2,	year 8:12 18:8	
vice 19:23	15,18,20 27:9, 14 29:11	31:21 34:9	
volumes 7:7 21:6,7	32:17,20 33:6 34:15 35:25 36:11,14	<b>yet</b> 28:16	
W	Wheelwright's 15:7		
wait 9:8	While 14:15		
want 10:10	34:5		
18:23,24 19:3,	White 4:11		
9 20:5 22:18, 22 23:12 25:3, 23 34:24 35:15	whole 6:7 16:3 19:11 30:12		
36:1,5	will 5:2,4,6		
wanted 28:11	9:10 10:4,21		
	13:14 14:13,		
wants 18:23	22,24 20:10		
22:14	21:21 23:12		
warm 8:5	24:10,25 27:22 31:13 33:21		
way 10:5 13:4	34:8		
29:13,15	willing 35:9		
weather 35:5	winnig 33.3 wish 4:21		
weatherization			
29:7	within 9:21 18:16		