## In the Matter Of:

Questar Gas Company and Dominion Resources, Inc. - Proposed Merger

## **HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-057-01**

August 22, 2016

Job Number: 298772-A

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Joint ) Notice and Application of ) Questar Gas company and ) Dominion Resources, Inc., ) of Proposed Merger of ) Questar Corporation and ) Dominion Resources, Inc. )

Docket No. 16-057-01

) HEARING

August 22, 2016 9:03 a.m.

Location: Public Service Commission 160 East 300 South, 4th Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84111 (801) 530-6769

Reporter: Teri Hansen Cronenwett Certified Realtime Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter

Job No.: 298772-A

r		
1	АРР	Page 2 E A R A N C E S
2		
3	For Questar Gas:	Gregory B. Monson STOEL RIVES LLP 201 S. Main Street, Suite 1100
4		Salt Lake City, UT  84111 (801)  578-6946
5		gbmonson@stoel.com
6		Colleen Larkin Bell Vice President, General Counsel
7		Questar Corporation 333 South State Street
8		P.O. Box 45433 Salt Lake City, UT 84145-0433
9		801-324-5000
10	For Dominions Resources:	Joseph K. Reid, III MCGUIRE WOODS LLP
11	KEBOULCES.	800 East Canal Street Richmond, VA 23219
12		(804) 775-1198 jreid@mcquirewoods.com
13		Brian W. Burnett
14		CALLISTER NEBEKER McCULLOUGH
15		10 East South Temple, Suite 900 Salt Lake City, Utah 84133 (801) 530-7428
16		(801) 350-7428 (801) 364-9127 Fax Brianburnett@cnmlaw.com
17		
18	For the Division of Public Utilities:	Patricia E. Schmid Utah Attorney General's Office
19		160 East 300 South, 5th Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0857
20		(801) 366-0353 pschmid@utah.gov
21	For the Office of Consumer Services:	Rex W. Olsen Utah Attorney General's Office
22	consumer services.	160 East 300 South, 5th Floor
23		Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0857 (801) 366-0137 relean@utab.gov
24		rolsen@utah.gov
25		

Page 3 For the Utah Phillip J. Russell 1 Association of Energy HATCH JAMES & DODGE, P.C. 2 Users: 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, UT 84101 3 (801) 363-6363 prussell@hjdlaw.com 4 For Governor's Office Bryan R. Nalder 5 of Energy Development: Utah Attorney General's Office 160 East 300 South 6 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0857 For American Natural 7 Stephen F. Mecham Gas Council: STEPHEN F. MECHAM LAW, PLLC 10 West 100 South, suite 323 8 Salt Lake City, UT 84101 (801) 530-7316 9 sfmecham@gmail.com 10 11 12 WITNESSES 13 Name Page 14 THOMAS P. WOHLFARTH 15 Direct Examination by Mr. Reid 9 16 BARRIE L. MCKAY 17 Direct Examination by Mr. Monson 28 18 DOUGLAS D. WHEELWRIGHT 45 19 Direct Examination by Ms. Schmid 20 GAVIN MANGLESON 21 Direct Examination by Ms. Schmid 54 22 23 24 25

Page 4 August 22, 2016 9:03 a.m. 1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Good morning. We are here in the matter of Public Service Commission, Docket 4 16-057-01, in the matter of the Joint Notice and 5 6 Application of Questar Gas Company and Dominion Resources Incorporated of the Proposed Merger of Questar 7 Corporation and Dominion Resources Incorporated. 8 9 Why don't we start with appearances. For the 10 joint applicants. 11 MR. MONSON: Gregory Monson with Stoel Rives 12 and Colleen Larkin Bell and Jenniffer Nelson Clark from 13 Questar in behalf of Questar Gas Company. CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Defendant. 14 15 MR. REID: Good morning, Mr. Chairman. I'm 16 Joe Reid from McGuire Woods in Richmond, Virginia, and 17 along with Brian Burnett from Callister Nebeker, we represent the joint applicant Dominion Resources. 18 19 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. For the 20 division. 21 MS. SCHMID: Good morning. Patricia Schmid for the attorney general's office for the division. 2.2 23 With me I have Mr. Douglas Wheelwright and Charles Peterson as division witnesses. Also on the phone we 24 25 have division witness Kathleen Kelly.

Page 5 1 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. For the 2 office. 3 MR. OLSEN: Rex Olsen with the attorney 4 general's office on behalf the Office of Consumer Services, and with me at counsel table here is Gavin 5 Mangelson on behalf of the office. 6 7 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. 8 MR. NALDER: Brian Nalder, assistant attorney 9 general on behalf of the Governor's Office of Energy 10 Development, making an appearance, and with me today is 11 Dr. Peter Ashcroft. 12 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. 13 MR. MECHAM: Steve Mecham appearing on behalf 14 of American Natural Gas Counsel. 15 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. 16 MR. RUSSELL: And Phillip Russell, counsel for 17 UAE. 18 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Any other appearances? Okay. 19 Any other preliminary matters any other party has before 20 we go to joint applicant's presentation? 21 MR. OLSEN: Mr. Chairman, we --2.2 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Yes. 23 MR. OLSEN: If I may, I proposed that -- to the other intervenors and participants that the prefiled 24 25 testimony be submitted pursuant to the rules. And no --

Page 6 I believe no one objected to having that done without 1 2 the necessity of necessarily calling our witnesses. 3 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. So that motion will be 4 for all, all testimony filed by all parties? MR. OLSEN: Yes. I believe that was the 5 6 expectation with everyone. 7 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. I'll just go to the other parties. Is there any objection to that motion? 8 9 Just indicate for me if any party objects or wants to 10 speak to the motion. 11 MR. MONSON: No objection. We have also 12 have -- in addition to testimony, we have the joint 13 application and all the exhibits accompanying it and the supplement to the joint application and then the 14 15 testimony filed. So we want to add that into that. 16 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. 17 MR. MONSON: And we have handed out a list of all exhibits to all the parties and to the commission 18 19 so --20 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. So I think I'll 21 restate the motion then that -- the motion would be to 22 enter into evidence the joint application and then all 23 testimony and exhibits filed by all parties in this docket so far. So I'll again just go to all parties. 24 25 Is there any objection to that motion? And I

Page 7 1 am not seeing any objection. So okay. Well, the 2 application and all of the testimony and exhibits will 3 be admitted into evidence. 4 Any other preliminary matters? MR. OLSEN: I have nothing further. 5 6 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Mr. Monson, do you 7 want to go ahead? 8 MR. MONSON: Yeah. Mr. Reid is going to call 9 our first witness. 10 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. 11 MR. REID: Mr. Chairman, if it's acceptable, 12 what we are prepared to do is to have Mr. Wohlfarth 13 testify on behalf of Dominion as to certain aspects of 14 the stipulation and then Mr. McKay on behalf of Questar 15 testifying on behalf of certain aspects of the 16 stipulation. And then at the appropriate time, if you are willing, we'd present them as a panel for any 17 18 questions by the commissioners. CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Sure, I think that works. 19 20 Let me just ask the court reporter. Is there any 21 objection to having the witnesses just stay where they are seated instead of coming up here? 2.2 (Discussion off the record.) 23 24 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. And then I 25 think it makes sense to save any questions from the

Page 8 commission until all witnesses have spoken. Do parties 1 2 feel the same way about, if there's any questions from 3 other parties, if you have -- you might have questions 4 for a witness, would you want to do it then? Or should we save all of that for the end? 5 Just let me know if anyone -- I think we will 6 plan to save all questions for the end unless any of you 7 let me know you would like to ask questions in the 8 9 middle. Or if you object to that procedure, let me know 10 now. Okay. I think we'll go forward that way then. 11 Mr. Reid. 12 MR. REID: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 ο. (By Mr. Reid) Would you state your name and 14 position, please. 15 Yes. My name is Thomas P. Wohlfarth. I am Α. senior vice president of regulatory affairs, Dominion 16 Resources. 17 18 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Mr. Reid, I am sorry. Let me swear -- I'll swear in the witness too. What was 19 20 your last name again? 21 THE WITNESS: Wohlfarth. 2.2 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Wohlfarth. Mr. Wohlfarth, do you swear to tell the truth? 23 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 25 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you.

Page 9 1 THOMAS P. WOHLFARTH, 2 called as a witness at the instance of Dominion Resources, having been first duly sworn, was examined 3 and testified as follows: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. REID: 6 So Thomas P. Wohlfarth, senior vice president, 7 Α. regulatory affairs, Dominion Resources. 8 And Mr. Wohlfarth, could you give the 9 ο. commission a very brief background on your experience 10 11 and qualifications? 12 Α. Yes. I have been with Dominion for about 30 13 years, and throughout that time I have been in various roles; budgeting, finance, accounting. I was the chief 14 accounting officer for a brief period of time, and for 15 16 the last five years, I have been the head of regulatory affairs. 17 Were you involved in the negotiation and 18 0. 19 preparation of the stipulation? 20 Yes, I was. Α. 21 And who are the parties to the stipulation? 0. 2.2 Α. There are a number of parties, and I'll just 23 read them off. The Division of Public Utilities, the Office of Consumer Services, the Utah Association of 24 Energy Users, the American Natural Gas Council, the 25

Page 10 Governor's Office of Energy Development, the Idaho 1 2 commission staff, and of course, the joint applicants 3 Dominion and Ouestar. 4 And in addition, intervenors Nucor Steel and Rocky Mountain Power, although not signatories to the 5 stipulation, have authorized us to state that they do 6 not oppose the settlement stipulation. 7 And are you prepared today to discuss certain 8 0. 9 terms of the stipulation and why the commission should 10 approve it? 11 Yes, I am. Α. 12 0. And I understand you are going to divide up 13 the issues between yourself and Barrie McKay, correct? 14 Α. Yes. And I'll just kind of break it down into the two categories that we're each going to cover. 15 So generally what I am going to cover is economic benefits 16 for customers, the local operation and the presence, you 17 know, the issue of where is the corporate headquarters 18 19 going to be located, ring fencing and other financial 20 protections and cost allocations, affiliate and 21 accounting matters. 22 And Barrie McKay is going to go in a little 23 bit deeper into some areas. He will also cover 24 operations and customer service commitments, expand on rate protections for consumers, which is a very 25

Page 11 1 important part of the settlement stipulation, terms 2 related to Wexpro and Questar pipeline, additional 3 accounting and reporting requirements and other 4 customer-related issues.

5 Q. Thank you. Now, Mr. Wohlfarth, before we go 6 blow by blow with the provisions in the stipulation and 7 the financial terms in particular, can you tell the 8 commission why from Dominion's perspective you believe 9 that this merger will provide qualitative benefits for 10 Ouestar Gas's customers?

11 A. Yes. I'd like to just hit three points very 12 briefly. First, I believe that we share core values and 13 a common operating philosophy with Questar, including 14 the important public attributes of safety, customer 15 service, operational excellence, and honest and ethical 16 business practices.

Both companies, as you are aware, have been in the utility business for nearly a century. And I think it's very rare to find a situation where two companies fit as well as we do, and I think Barrie will talk about that a little bit as well.

The second general area is, I believe that Dominion's operational scale and experience will benefit Questar's customers. It can allow for reduced operating costs, reduced risk and shared best practices. And I

Page 12 think this is where a lot of the benefits of the merger 1 2 will come in. 3 And we're in an era of increasing operational 4 complexity, heightened environmental requirements and regulatory constraints, as we're well aware here, 5 changes in commodity markets and greater customer 6 7 service expectations. And we believe that Dominion will 8 be a very effective partner for Questar in addressing 9 these challenges. And finally, the third area, we believe that 10 11 we can do these things while maintaining Questar's 12 independent operational authority. That's a very 13 important aspect. There will be local management responsibilities, continued commitment to cost effective 14 15 capital investments that are specific merger commitments in these areas, customer satisfaction levels equal to or 16 greater -- better than they are today, key stand-alone 17 financial provision. 18 19 And in short, Questar's customers can obtain 20 the benefits of the merger and the settlement 21 stipulation without sacrificing any of the positive 22 aspects of the company's historically excellent 23 operations. So Mr. Wohlfarth, let's turn to these economic 24 ο. 25 benefits for customers that are provided for in the

Page 13 settlement stipulation, beginning with rates. 1 What rate 2 protections are contained in the stipulation, and will the merger result in increased rates for Questar Gas 3 4 customers? Let me just say, definitively rates will not 5 Α. 6 go up as a result of the merger. In fact, what I believe and what we believe is that in the long run, 7 over time, we would expect rates to be lower than they 8 9 would be absent the merger. And this is due to organizational and operational efficiencies. 10 11 We think that combined we'll be able to bring 12 about -- more immediately, and in the near term; this is 13 near-term benefit. There are six elements of the 14 stipulation that provide rate protections for customers 15 to ensure this. So I'm just going to kind of walk 16 through those. 17 The first, and this is a very important immediate benefit for customers of this merger, we will 18 withdraw the pending 22 million dollar rate increase 19 20 request. And so -- and we'll agree to not file the next 21 base rate case until 2019 so that, that benefit 2.2 continues. 23 In addition to that, there are a couple of elements of the base case withdrawal commitment that 24 25 will further protect customers. Two of those is dealing

1	Page 14 with major plan additions and deferred accounting
2	orders. So these are deferred accounting orders. These
3	are areas that there were, you know, concerns raised by
4	parties, and we addressed it by making that commitment
5	along with the rate case withdrawal. Barrie's going to
6	go into more detail about that, those elements of it, as
7	well as the rate case withdrawal.
8	Second, overall O and M and A and G. So
9	operating and maintenance expense and administrative and
10	general expenses, and so we kind of refer to that as
11	OMAG, O-M-A-G, we're going to hold we're going to cap
12	those costs at a level. Barrie will be able to explain
13	in greater detail, and we will hold customers harmless.
14	As we look out to the next rate case, we will hold
15	customers harmless for any increase above the current
16	level of that cost per customer that would that is
17	caused by the merger.
18	Now, we don't expect that to happen, but the

18 Now, we don't expect that to happen, but the 19 point here is that it's a protection for consumers in 20 the unlikely event that it were to happen.

The -- a further customer protection is kind of a subsets of that, is the area of common or shared services costs. And these are things like payroll and things that, you know, you can really do for a whole company with a shared services organization.

	- 15
1	Page 15 We're going to further hold harmless for
2	customers we will say, looking out over this period
3	of time and to the next rate case, were there to be any
4	increases in those costs again, we don't anticipate
5	that happening. We think they will actually be lower
6	over time. But will hold customers harmless and not
7	collect in rates any increase in such costs.
8	Those areas that we just talked about were
9	covered in the rate case withdrawal, and the O and M and
10	shared services are paragraphs 33, 39 and 40 of the
11	stipulation.
12	A further protection and hold harmless is an
13	area of income tax. There was concern raised by certain
14	parties that, hey, we want to make sure that as a result
15	of this merger, we're not going to see an increase in
16	allocated income taxes. And so we have agreed to again
17	hold customers harmless for any increase in taxes. We
18	don't believe it's going to happen.
19	And then finally, the fifth area is financing
20	cost. A concern being raised was, well, we want to make
21	sure that there's nothing in this combination that would
22	cause financing costs, the cost of debt and so forth to
23	rise above the level that it would have been had there
24	not been a merger, because of the merger.
25	And we have agreed there as well, as a merger
1	

1	Page 16 condition, to hold customers harmless and for any
2	increase that there might be related to the merger.
3	That's addressed in paragraph 24 of the stipulation.
4	And then finally, there's the area of
5	transaction costs and transition costs. Transaction
6	costs are those costs dealing with the doing of the
7	merger; you know, the banker's fees, the legal costs of
8	the organization and so forth. Goodwill, I think, is
9	something that everybody's familiar with, is a
10	transaction cost.
11	And we have committed that none of such costs
12	will be born by customers. In fact, they will be kept
13	at a corporate level, and they will be dealt with by
14	Dominion shareholders, total protection there.
15	And then in the area of transition costs,
16	which are those costs that are related to integrating
17	the two companies, such as integrating IT systems, the
18	accounting systems, any severance-related costs, as you
19	are looking at perhaps shared services and saying, "Are
20	there overlaps?"
21	We have we had originally requested
22	authority in the application to be able to defer those
23	costs and potentially seek recovery at a later time of
24	that deferral. We have as a merger condition here
25	withdrawn that request. We no longer requesting that
1	

Page 17 we -- we are no longer requesting a deferral of those transition costs, and those costs will be expensed as incurred during the transition period.

The most -- what we would expect is the transition that -- the merger integration and transition period is going to be about a two to three year process. That is our expectation. That coincides very well with the stay-out period, and leading up to the next general rate case in 2019.

10 And we would expect that the transition costs 11 will largely be dealt with during that period of time, 12 and customers will see none of that. To the extent that 13 there are any remaining costs in the test period when we file the 2019 rate case, we have made a commitment that 14 15 we will not collect any of those costs from customers unless we can demonstrate a net benefit to customers 16 17 from those costs.

So to sum up, just to kind of summarize the 18 19 stipulation in the way I view it is, I think it provides 20 rate stability and lower rates for customers for the 21 next three years, will hold customers harmless for any 22 merger-related cost increases that might occur, but not 23 expected. And I think that what we can look for in this 24 merger is lower operating costs over time from the combination. 25

Page 18 And Mr. Wohlfarth, beyond rates, can you tell 1 0. 2 the commissioners about the commitment to provide a 75 3 million dollar contribution to the Ouestar pension fund? 4 Α. Yes. This is -- so what we -- what the commitment here is to, within six months -- and this is 5 in paragraph 11. And I'm sorry. I didn't make 6 references. Paragraphs 37, 21 and 38 were covering 7 transaction and transition costs. 37, 21 and 38. 8 9 Paragraph, I think that's 11, in the stipulation deals with the commitment to within six 10 11 months of the completion or the approval of the merger, 12 we will at shareholder expense contribute 75 million 13 dollars to the pension -- Questar pension fund. And the benefit of that will be, you know, 14 15 obviously a function of pension expenses is return on 16 pension assets. And that will provide a -- an expense 17 reduction benefit for Questar Gas customers in 18 perpetuity in essence. 19 The -- you know, so I think with this pension 20 contribution, this is really a win for -- it's a --21 it's, I'd say, a win-win-win. It's good for the company 22 because it really stabilizes the pension plan, and of course, it's good for customers as well because they are 23 the beneficiaries of the pension plan. And most 24 25 importantly as well, it will provide about a 3.3 million

Page 19 dollars, our estimate, annual benefit in perpetuity for 1 2 customers. Are there commitments in the stipulation 3 0. related to charitable contributions? 4 We have also committed to contribute an 5 Α. Yes. additional one million dollars per year for at least the 6 next five year to local communities and charities above 7 the historical levels that Questar gas has been 8 9 contributing. 10 Thank you, sir. Going beyond those financial 0. commitments, what does the stipulation provide with 11 12 respect to the local operations and presence of Questar 13 Gas? 14 Α. This is a very important commitment, and what 15 we have committed to here is that the headquarters for 16 Questar and Questar Gas will remain in Salt Lake City, will -- you know, there would be an emphasis on 17 operational, safe, reliable service. So what we're 18 saying here is, business as usual. 19 20 The Questar Gas is a great company and a great 21 track record, and we are not going to do anything to 22 change the way Questar Gas has been operating, been 23 managed to provide that value to customers. 24 To the extent -- I think, you know, we don't have any -- we're not going to make any changes to areas 25

Page 20 that will affect, you know, safe, reliable, good service 1 2 to customers. To the extent that there are any 3 reductions and overlap in just the shared services area, 4 and again, those shared common functions, what we have agreed is to give any affected Questar employees 5 opportunities elsewhere within Dominion. 6 And will Questar folks have a role in 7 0. Dominion's management? 8 9 Α. Yes. Two areas. One is with regard to, we 10 have made a commitment to have a member, an existing 11 member of the Dominion -- Questar board join the 12 Dominion board. And as a matter of fact, it is our 13 expectation that Ron Jibson will be nominated to be on the Dominion board of directors. 14 With that, obviously, I can't say he will be 15 because that is -- that is a matter for the Dominion 16 board to ultimately approve. But it is our expectation 17 he will be nominated and he will join the Dominion board 18 of directors. 19 20 And then a second part of that is, we do have 21 Dominion mid stream, which is an MLP. We anticipate 22 that, as we have stated, that Questar pipeline will ultimately be included in that MLP. And at that time we 23 have made a commitment that a Que -- a member of 24 25 Questar's board would join the Dominion MLP board as

Page 21 well. 1 2 Q. Two more areas I'd like to cover with you, Mr. Wohlfarth. Next let's go to the ring fencing 3 4 provisions in the stipulation. How will the joint applicants make sure that Dominion Questar Gas will be 5 appropriately separated from other Dominion affiliates 6 and their operations and potential liabilities? 7 Α. There is -- there are numerous ring 8 Yeah. 9 fencing. This was an area that we spent considerable time working particularly with the division on. 10 And 11 there are numerous ring fencing provisions. I'm not 12 going to go through them in detail here, just to kind of 13 hit the highlights. And these are covered in a number of different 14 15 paragraphs. We're going to maintain separate legal and operating entities for Dominion Questar Gas. And so you 16 17 will have the ring fencing being a separate legal entity. We are going to maintain -- we made a 18 commitment to maintain an adequate level of equity 19 20 capital in a range of 48 to 55 percent. 21 That's addressed in paragraph 23. The legal 22 entity was paragraphs 1 and 7. We are going to maintain 23 independent and separately rated long-term debt at 24 Dominion Questar Gas, and what we're -- what we're 25 targeting there, we made a commitment to target credit

Page 22 1 metrics that are supportive of a credit ratings in the A 2 range, which is where Questar Gas is now. That's 3 addressed in paragraphs 24 and 48.

There will be no lending of money by Dominion Questar Gas to Dominion. That's paragraph 26, and I'll note that a lot of these ring fencing measures are actually more stringent than currently exist, and this is an example of one. This is actually stricter than the current situation between Questar Gas and upstream.

10 There will be no transfer of material assets 11 or assumptions of liabilities from Dominion. That's 12 paragraph 27. No transfers of Dominion Questar Gas 13 without commission approval. Paragraph 28.

Short-term debt and other enhanced ring 14 15 fencing provisions have been put in place, and 16 specifically there, we have made a commitment to make available to Dominion Questar Gas 700 -- up to 750 17 million dollars of commercial -- of short-term borrowing 18 19 and working capital access. That's a further 20 enhancement. That's actually above the current amounts 21 available.

Questar Gas will maintain its own bank accounts, and we will notify the commission in the event of a dividend, a planned dividend, that would take the equity ratio of Dominion Questar Gas below 45 percent.

Mr. Wohlfarth, but what about in the event of bankruptcy? What commitments have been made in the stipulation? A. What I'll say is, you know, obviously, we while we consider this to be highly remote, but it is nevertheless it was an area that was important to parties, and so we have addressed that. What we have done and this is so the concern here would be a scenario where because of some events at Dominion, you would be potentially faced with a scenario of voluntary bankruptcy by Dominion Questar Gas. And as I said, we spent considerable time working particularly with the division in working through this to make sure that we had provisions here that they would that we would all be comfortable with, and we did get that. And what we have come up with is, we will have a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it will be that director will be nominated by a independent entity. And importantly is that that director will remain independent, though will be a member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain independent from the board.	1	Page 23 Q. Now, I know this is an unlikely scenario,
<ul> <li>4 stipulation?</li> <li>5 A. What I'll say is, you know, obviously, we</li> <li>6 while we consider this to be highly remote, but it is</li> <li>7 nevertheless it was an area that was important to</li> <li>8 parties, and so we have addressed that. What we have</li> <li>9 done and this is so the concern here would be a</li> <li>10 scenario where because of some events at Dominion, you</li> <li>11 would be potentially faced with a scenario of voluntary</li> <li>12 bankruptcy by Dominion Questar Gas.</li> <li>13 And as I said, we spent considerable time</li> <li>14 working particularly with the division in working</li> <li>15 through this to make sure that we had provisions here</li> <li>16 that they would that we would all be comfortable</li> <li>17 with, and we did get that.</li> <li>18 And what we have come up with is, we will have</li> <li>19 a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it</li> <li>10 will be that director will be nominated by a</li> <li>21 independent entity. And importantly is that that</li> <li>22 director will remain independent, though will be a</li> </ul>	2	Mr. Wohlfarth, but what about in the event of
<ul> <li>A. What I'll say is, you know, obviously, we</li> <li>while we consider this to be highly remote, but it is</li> <li>nevertheless it was an area that was important to</li> <li>parties, and so we have addressed that. What we have</li> <li>done and this is so the concern here would be a</li> <li>scenario where because of some events at Dominion, you</li> <li>would be potentially faced with a scenario of voluntary</li> <li>bankruptcy by Dominion Questar Gas.</li> <li>And as I said, we spent considerable time</li> <li>working particularly with the division in working</li> <li>through this to make sure that we had provisions here</li> <li>that they would that we would all be comfortable</li> <li>with, and we did get that.</li> <li>And what we have come up with is, we will have</li> <li>a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it</li> <li>will be that director will be nominated by a</li> <li>independent entity. And importantly is that that</li> <li>director will remain independent, though will be a</li> </ul>	3	bankruptcy? What commitments have been made in the
while we consider this to be highly remote, but it is nevertheless it was an area that was important to parties, and so we have addressed that. What we have done and this is so the concern here would be a scenario where because of some events at Dominion, you would be potentially faced with a scenario of voluntary bankruptcy by Dominion Questar Gas. And as I said, we spent considerable time working particularly with the division in working through this to make sure that we had provisions here that they would that we would all be comfortable with, and we did get that. And what we have come up with is, we will have a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it will be that director will be nominated by a independent entity. And importantly is that that member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain	4	stipulation?
7 nevertheless it was an area that was important to 8 parties, and so we have addressed that. What we have 9 done and this is so the concern here would be a 10 scenario where because of some events at Dominion, you 11 would be potentially faced with a scenario of voluntary 12 bankruptcy by Dominion Questar Gas. 13 And as I said, we spent considerable time 14 working particularly with the division in working 15 through this to make sure that we had provisions here 16 that they would that we would all be comfortable 17 with, and we did get that. 18 And what we have come up with is, we will have 19 a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it 20 will be that director will be nominated by a 21 independent entity. And importantly is that that 22 director will remain independent, though will be a 23 member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain	5	A. What I'll say is, you know, obviously, we
8 parties, and so we have addressed that. What we have 9 done and this is so the concern here would be a 10 scenario where because of some events at Dominion, you 11 would be potentially faced with a scenario of voluntary 12 bankruptcy by Dominion Questar Gas. 13 And as I said, we spent considerable time 14 working particularly with the division in working 15 through this to make sure that we had provisions here 16 that they would that we would all be comfortable 17 with, and we did get that. 18 And what we have come up with is, we will have 19 a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it 20 will be that director will be nominated by a 21 independent entity. And importantly is that that 22 director will remain independent, though will be a 23 member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain	6	while we consider this to be highly remote, but it is
9 done and this is so the concern here would be a 10 scenario where because of some events at Dominion, you 11 would be potentially faced with a scenario of voluntary 12 bankruptcy by Dominion Questar Gas. 13 And as I said, we spent considerable time 14 working particularly with the division in working 15 through this to make sure that we had provisions here 16 that they would that we would all be comfortable 17 with, and we did get that. 18 And what we have come up with is, we will have 19 a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it 20 will be that director will be nominated by a 21 independent entity. And importantly is that that 22 director will remain independent, though will be a 23 member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain	7	nevertheless it was an area that was important to
<pre>10 scenario where because of some events at Dominion, you 11 would be potentially faced with a scenario of voluntary 12 bankruptcy by Dominion Questar Gas. 13 And as I said, we spent considerable time 14 working particularly with the division in working 15 through this to make sure that we had provisions here 16 that they would that we would all be comfortable 17 with, and we did get that. 18 And what we have come up with is, we will have 19 a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it 20 will be that director will be nominated by a 21 independent entity. And importantly is that that 22 director will remain independent, though will be a 23 member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain</pre>	8	parties, and so we have addressed that. What we have
11 would be potentially faced with a scenario of voluntary 12 bankruptcy by Dominion Questar Gas. 13 And as I said, we spent considerable time 14 working particularly with the division in working 15 through this to make sure that we had provisions here 16 that they would that we would all be comfortable 17 with, and we did get that. 18 And what we have come up with is, we will have 19 a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it 20 will be that director will be nominated by a 21 independent entity. And importantly is that that 22 director will remain independent, though will be a 23 member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain	9	done and this is so the concern here would be a
12 bankruptcy by Dominion Questar Gas. 13 And as I said, we spent considerable time 14 working particularly with the division in working 15 through this to make sure that we had provisions here 16 that they would that we would all be comfortable 17 with, and we did get that. 18 And what we have come up with is, we will have 19 a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it 20 will be that director will be nominated by a 21 independent entity. And importantly is that that 22 director will remain independent, though will be a 23 member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain	10	scenario where because of some events at Dominion, you
And as I said, we spent considerable time working particularly with the division in working through this to make sure that we had provisions here that they would that we would all be comfortable with, and we did get that. And what we have come up with is, we will have a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it will be that director will be nominated by a independent entity. And importantly is that that director will remain independent, though will be a member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain	11	would be potentially faced with a scenario of voluntary
14 working particularly with the division in working 15 through this to make sure that we had provisions here 16 that they would that we would all be comfortable 17 with, and we did get that. 18 And what we have come up with is, we will have 19 a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it 20 will be that director will be nominated by a 21 independent entity. And importantly is that that 22 director will remain independent, though will be a 23 member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain	12	bankruptcy by Dominion Questar Gas.
15 through this to make sure that we had provisions here 16 that they would that we would all be comfortable 17 with, and we did get that. 18 And what we have come up with is, we will have 19 a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it 20 will be that director will be nominated by a 21 independent entity. And importantly is that that 22 director will remain independent, though will be a 23 member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain	13	And as I said, we spent considerable time
16 that they would that we would all be comfortable 17 with, and we did get that. 18 And what we have come up with is, we will have 19 a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it 20 will be that director will be nominated by a 21 independent entity. And importantly is that that 22 director will remain independent, though will be a 23 member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain	14	working particularly with the division in working
<pre>17 with, and we did get that. 18 And what we have come up with is, we will have 19 a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it 20 will be that director will be nominated by a 21 independent entity. And importantly is that that 22 director will remain independent, though will be a 23 member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain</pre>	15	through this to make sure that we had provisions here
And what we have come up with is, we will have a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it will be that director will be nominated by a independent entity. And importantly is that that director will remain independent, though will be a member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain	16	that they would that we would all be comfortable
19 a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it 20 will be that director will be nominated by a 21 independent entity. And importantly is that that 22 director will remain independent, though will be a 23 member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain	17	with, and we did get that.
20 will be that director will be nominated by a 21 independent entity. And importantly is that that 22 director will remain independent, though will be a 23 member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain	18	And what we have come up with is, we will have
21 independent entity. And importantly is that that 22 director will remain independent, though will be a 23 member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain	19	a, what we call a special bankruptcy director. And it
22 director will remain independent, though will be a 23 member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain	20	will be that director will be nominated by a
23 member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain	21	independent entity. And importantly is that that
	22	director will remain independent, though will be a
24 independent from the board.	23	member of the Dominion Questar Gas board, will remain
	24	independent from the board.
25 So that independent that special bankruptcy	25	So that independent that special bankruptcy

1 director's role will be, in the event of a voluntary
2 bankruptcy, he or she would have to be a participant in
3 that board vote and would have veto authority. So you
4 have to have an affirmative vote by that special
5 bankruptcy director in order for there to be a bank
6 show.

He could have -- let's just say there are four members on the Questar board, and three of them said, "Yeah, let's do voluntary bankruptcy." If that special bankruptcy director says no, then there is no bankruptcy.

12 And a further -- that's paragraph 54. I just 13 want to make sure you know that. Because that was a 14 very important thing that we put in place. And then 15 paragraph 55, a further enhancement was, will provide 16 notice to the commission, the division and the OCS in 17 the event of a bankruptcy of -- addition.

And finally, Mr. Wohlfarth, let's talk about a 18 0. few cost allocation affiliate and accounting issues. 19 First, how will the integration affect cost allocation 20 21 among the Dominion subsidiaries, and how will it affect 22 allocated common costs to Dominion Questar Gas? So this is another one of these areas. 23 Α. Yes. 24 What we -- what we anticipate is, again, because of

25 efficiencies of scale, we anticipate over time the costs

Page 25 1 will actually go down. Nevertheless, it's important as 2 a consumer protection to say, if it were higher, we'll 3 hold customers harmless and not pass that cost through. 4 That's in -- addressed in paragraph 4, 40, as I had 5 previously talked about.

And as we're working through cost allocation 6 7 methodology, which is a very complicated thing, there's actually a manual of procedures that agree upon how 8 9 costs are allocated in shared services. And as we're working through that as part of the integration process, 10 11 in the meantime, we will continue to -- Dominion Questar 12 Gas will continue to use the existing allocation methodology, which is district gas methodology, until 13 January of 2018, where by that time we will have 14 determined what's the optimal way of allocating costs. 15 We will present that with the -- to the 16

17 parties, that's the division and OCS, and we would then 18 propose that methodology. Now, it could end up being 19 the same methodology that's currently being used, 20 district gas. There is no predetermination of what it's 21 going to be.

But the point is, we'll have the final allocation methodology we would propose going forward. That would become effective January 2018, and that would be part of the general rate case of 2019 and subject

Page 26 ultimately then to commission approval at that time. 1 Now, Mr. Wohlfarth, does Dominion transact 2 Q. with its existing, regulated affiliates on the lower of 3 4 cost or market basis? 5 Α. Yeah. 6 ο. And will this likewise apply to Dominion 7 Questar Gas? That is the 8 Α. Yeah. That's standard operating. 9 world we live in with our other Dominion affiliates and regulated entities. 10 11 Are there going to be standards for affiliate Q. 12 reporting requirements? 13 Where -- and again, that's an area that Α. Yes. we have addressed in paragraph 45 of the stipulation, 14 15 and we're going to be working with the division and the 16 OCS on reporting requirements. 17 We're going to file the first, the first affiliate report under that methodology July 1st of 2018 18 19 and will file annually thereafter. And then relatedly on that reporting issue, 20 Q. 21 will there be periodic reporting to the commission on 22 the progress of the integration and merger? 23 Α. Yes. Paragraph 36 of the stipulation addresses and what we call an integration progress 24 report. That first report will be by agreement of the 25

Page 27 parties and the merger stipulation. The first report 1 2 will be April 15th of 2017, and then we're going to report quarterly thereafter. 3 4 Two questions to conclude. First, do you 0. believe that the terms of the settlement stipulation, 5 taken as a whole, are in the public interests and will 6 provide a net benefit to customers in the state of Utah? 7 8 Α. Yes, I do. 9 ο. And what do you recommend to the commission? 10 I recommend that the settlement stipulation be Α. 11 adopted as a resolution of this case and that the merger 12 be approved according to its terms. 13 Thank you. Q. 14 MR. MONSON: Mr. Chairman, and now Mr. Monson 15 is prepared to present Mr. McKay. 16 Thank you, Mr. Wohlfarth. CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Are you okay to remain available after all the witnesses 17 are finished if there's any questions from anyone? 18 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 20 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. 21 MR. MONSON: I should have asked this earlier, 2.2 but does anyone need a copy of the stipulation? (Discussion off the record.) 23 24 BARRIE MCKAY, called as a witness at the instance of the Questar Gas, 25

1	Page 28 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified
2	as follows:
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. MONSON:
5	Q. Mr. McKay, please state your name for the
6	record.
7	A. Barrie L. McKay.
8	Q. And what's your position?
9	A. I am the vice president of regulatory affairs
10	and energy efficiency at Questar Gas.
11	Q. Were you involved in negotiation and
12	preparation of the stipulation?
13	A. I was.
14	Q. Are you prepared to discuss certain terms in
15	the stipulation and why the commission should approve
16	it?
17	A. Yes, I am.
18	Q. Can you can you please give us a brief
19	overview of the merger from your perspective as a
20	Questar Gas employee?
21	A. Yes. I have enjoyed a, I would describe a
22	unique career of staying in one department, although I
23	changed companies, but I have been in the regulatory
24	arena now for over 33 years and have participated in a
25	previous merger with a different company. And I am also

Page 29 1 very aware, as I interact with individuals holding the 2 same responsibilities that I do from other companies 3 across the United States.

And I have heard stories that some of them are good and some of them are not so good. Some of them have gotchas or things that they are concerned about, and so I became aware of a merger. I came in with my eyes fully opened and trying to understand what may or may not happen.

And I would describe it as a refreshing 10 11 process of discovering that much of what Dominion is 12 about has been similar to what Questar Gas and Questar 13 Corporation has been about. And when we approached 14 things that neither one of us may have anticipated or we 15 may not have known specifically as related to the due 16 diligence, I have appreciated the way in which we have gone about discovering the path that we would choose 17 going forward. 18

19 In short, it's saying that their culture, 20 their approach for solving issues seem to match up very 21 similarly. And some of those concerns that I had been 22 aware of from others in this industry that hasn't worked 23 as well were alleviated, and I have enjoyed the process 24 and am excited about moving forward with it.

Q. Mr. McKay, Mr. Wohlfarth indicated that you

25

would discuss certain aspects of the stipulation. Would
 you please proceed to do that.

A. Yes. As the stipulation came together, it became apparent to me that we -- there was a big issue that was identified as a net benefit for the customers, and that was the withdrawal of the general rate case. But around that general rate case is associated capital costs, are associated expenses, taxes, the way in which we develop a revenue requirement.

10 And I actually think that we created some 11 checks and balances and incentives. We didn't do it in 12 perfect numerical order. So if I could, I wouldn't mind 13 kind of walking us through how we have those checks and balances and the give and the take related to the 14 15 incentive of how Questar Gas will act, how the joint applicants will act as we move forward with the process 16 of withdrawing the general rate case. 17

And so we're still going to be incentivized to 18 19 do the best we can, as Questar Gas, to earn a reasonable 20 return. And so a natural thing that a utility may 21 choose to do, which we will not be doing, would be 22 reducing our investment in capital. And so in paragraph 33 we identified that within the five business days of 23 following this filing of this stipulation, we will seek 24 25 a motion to withdraw the general rate case.

Actually think the commission acted upon that Actually think the commission acted upon that and gave notice that parties were supposed to have given notice to the commission by last Friday. To our knowledge there wasn't any that filed that. I could be corrected on that, but we're well on our process for doing that.

Then you go to paragraph 8, and it identifies 7 if there were a desire to cut back on capital that that 8 9 will be something that Questar Gas will not do. And we actually identify our capital expenditures in 2017, 10 11 2018, and 2019, which were already determined and 12 provided to the commission actually even before the 13 merger took place, and so we're committed to continue to 14 have our investment in capital.

15 Once that's taken care of, another natural 16 tendency may be to say, "Oh, hey, what about the 17 expenses? How will those be passed, or how could 18 Questar Gas try to make up for that if they are not 19 going to be able to have a general rate case?"

And so that moves us to paragraph 39. And in paragraph 39, I call that kind of our catch, and we've identified that the operating maintenance and the administrative and general expenses are going to be capped. They are not going to be capped, and we didn't argue over whether or not we had a good forecast or what

1	Page 32 it might be sometime in the future.
2	But we recognized, one, that Questar Gas is a
3	growing system. And we established what was actually
4	already out there before the merger was even announced,
5	and that was the 2015 level of expenditures on a per
6	customer basis. Now, we can talk about that, but then
7	you want to make sure that you have a standard that's
8	out there, and so we provided that in the attachments.
9	So Attachment 1 to the stipulation actually
10	shows what we had previously or that we provided this
11	commission and have been providing for decades now, and
12	that is our results of operation.
13	And it's memorialized there in lines 1 through
14	13 of Attachment 1, which shows that on a per-customer
15	basis, that charge needs to stay at or below in the
16	future \$138, and that we're going to hold customers
17	harmless if in fact there were costs that were above
18	that that were related to the merger.
19	We also recognized in paragraph 39 that we'll
20	be providing as a comparison the costs for Wexpro, as
21	well as the cost for pipeline. We realize that
22	potentially you could have costs be reduced to one of
23	the affiliates, and then they could go up for the other
24	of the affiliates. For example, they could be reduced
25	for Questar Gas, but then all of a sudden Wexpro's

Page 33 1 increases, and ultimately Questar Gas's customers could 2 be harmed. 3 But we recognized, one, that the commitment 4 was not to have that happen and then, two, we are providing the evidence and the information to be able to 5 verify that. 6 Next step was just the identification. 7 Mr. Wohlfarth has already spoken to that, but there's 8 9 going to be transaction costs. We have agreed, and what we failed to do or what we -- I wouldn't say failed. 10 We 11 had identified them, but we didn't specifically define 12 them in the application. And we have defined them now 13 in paragraph 37 to this stipulation and specifically 14 called them out as not ever having Ouestar Gas seek 15 recovery for any of those costs from our customers. 16 And that brings us to the next issue where you 17 could try to change your costs if you're a utility motivated to do things, and that's related to the 18 19 transition. And so even though in the original 20 application we had sought deferral of these costs to be 21 able to show the commission at some future date that 22 there may be a net benefit, joint applicants have agreed 23 that we will expense those costs as they are incurred. That most likely is going to be occurring over a three 24 year period. 25

Page 34 We also recognize that there could be some 1 2 transition costs that get capitalized, and so in the 3 next general rate case we're going to specifically call 4 out any transition costs that may have been capitalized, and then any expenses in the next general rate case. 5 We're fast forwarding now to 2019, and if 6 7 there are any that are continuing to occur as expenses, we would call those out, and then it would be our burden 8 9 to show to the commission why those costs, when considered with the benefits, are a net benefit. 10 And 11 other parties will be able to clearly identify them and 12 make their case accordingly. 13 So that brings us to another check that I 14 think we put together in the stipulation. That's related to goodwill, and goodwill is identified in 15 16 paragraph 21. And that one, again, we recognize that we 17 go before this commission in setting our rates for Questar Gas. But it's a choice, possibly, how the 18 corporation could choose to have goodwill they have got 19 20 allocated to other affiliates, Wexpro or Questar 21 Pipeline. 2.2 And so the joint applicants made a commitment 23 that not only will we not seek any recovery of goodwill 24 before this commission in our base rates, but we will not seek a recovery of goodwill either through Wexpro or 25

1 through Questar Pipeline.

25

2 Then finally, when you kind of bundle up all 3 those expenses that help to control that our customers 4 will not have to be responsible for, we started thinking of, well, are there exceptions? Could a utility try to 5 make a variation here or a variation there? 6 So we called out later in paragraph 33 the 7 commitment that Questar Gas will not seek for a deferred 8 9 accounting order during this period of time, unless, I think a quote there, we have an extraordinary or 10 11 unforeseeable circumstantial. 12 We couldn't -- we didn't want to totally 13 create the inability to do that. But if that happened, it would be our burden to be able to show why. But we 14 are making essentially the commitment that we will not 15 seek for that ability to try to defer those and collect 16 them later from customers, so they remove that risk. 17 Then the other one potentially could happen is 18 a major plant addition during that time frame. We've 19 20 also committed that we will not be seeking for that 21 unless we had an emergency circumstance. Again, it 2.2 would be incumbent or the burden would be upon us to 23 show that, if in fact that happened. 24 So with those -- and there's about eight items there I just went over. Then you think, okay, what

Page 35

1	Page 36 might be the pressures that could occur for a utility as
2	they try to continue, and they are motivated to try to
3	earn a reasonable return? Well, a concern that came up
4	is, well, what happens if services begin to lack because
5	we're not out there performing as well? We're trying to
6	cut back in that area.
7	And so the signers of the stipulation
8	identified that, and this is in paragraph 47. And in
9	paragraph 47 we recognized that we previously in fact
10	since 2002, we have been reporting on sometimes
11	quarterly, at least an annual basis now, our customer
12	service standards.
13	The parties recognize that those standards
14	hadn't been updated for quite some time, and so we have
15	agreed that we will meet with the division and the
16	office, update what those goals are, No. 1. No. 2, that
17	we will begin reporting those on a quarterly basis for
18	the next three years during this transition time.
19	And then an action that we haven't been
20	responsible for doing that we have added here is that if
21	we happen to be deficient or there's a shortfall in any
22	of those service standards, we'll, one, recognize it and
23	then offer remediation plan of how we will improve that
24	or get it back to the level where our goals have been.
25	Finally, as you put all of those together, it

1	Page 37 focuses on one area of where we felt, as the signers of
2	the stipulation, that the focus for savings should
3	occur. And that's in the shared service area. So our
4	operation and maintenance, which is where our customer
5	service is, that's been covered. We are not going to
6	have increase in expenses. We're going to continue to
7	do our investment in capital.
8	But the shared service area is where we see
9	that the potential for savings to occur, and that will
10	be the emphasis and what will be passed on to customers.
11	And we make commitments specifically to that in
12	paragraph 40. And we also recognize in paragraph 40
13	that these shares services can go to Questar Gas, as
14	well as to Wexpro, as well as to Questar Pipeline.
15	And so we're, one, holding customers harmless
16	with that. But then the focus is, is to try reduce
17	costs in those areas.
18	Now, with all of that, the company
19	particularly didn't want to be harming themselves in a
20	situation of not being able to collect what the
21	commission is currently allowing us to collect, which is
22	based on rates that were established three years ago.
23	And so in paragraph 34 we identified that the cap that
24	currently exists on the accrual for the CET would be
25	lifted.
1	

Page 38 1 And we recognize that as the company goes for 2 a six year period in which we don't have a base rate 3 case, that the assumed usage per customer that was 4 established three years ago could be significantly different than the actual. When that takes place, we 5 6 potentially have a larger accrual. 7 We didn't want to hurt the company in not allowing us to be able to collect what currently is 8 approved by the commission. So hence, the removal of 9 10 the accrual cap. 11 There's two caps in the CET. The other one is 12 the amortization. That cap continues to remain in 13 place, and so if in fact there was a larger balance, we still would be limited on how much we could seek the 14 15 commission to allow us to amortize at any given time. 16 Speaking of that filing, which is the CET 17 filing, we also anticipate that the other filings that we do on a normal basis that impact customers' rates, 18 which would be sort of pass-through cases, they will 19 20 continue to move forward. Our energy efficiency 21 filings, they will continue in a normal process, as well 22 as our infrastructure tracker filings will continue as 23 they currently are. 24 In fact, the company continues to be committed to replacing pipe at the identified level that the 25

<b></b>	Page 39
1	commission approved in the last general rate case, and
2	actually we have a few orders that we are under right
3	there that we will continue to comply with.
4	Taken as I whole, I thought that we had we
5	put together an excellent package that provides the
6	incentives, as well as the checks and the balances on
7	Questar Gas itself. I'd like to summarize also some
8	commitments as it relates to Wexpro, and let me speak to
9	those.
10	Wexpro, first of all, we're going to continue
11	to honor all the Wexpro agreements which is Wexpro 1 and
12	2, the trail stipulation, as well as the Canyon Creek
13	stipulation. And also we made the commitment that
14	Wexpro will not be contributing to a master limited
15	partnership unless it was approved by this commission.
16	And we're very clear that there is no intention at this
17	time to have that happen.
18	Already spoken related to Wexpro as, how we
19	will not be seeking any recovery of goodwill or increase
20	in value over book value. That's in paragraph 21.
21	But then there was some concern, and perhaps
22	we remember the technical conference where we talked
23	various ways in which we have access to capital.
24	There's the money pool. There's the commercial paper
25	markets. There was concern with some of the parties

Page 40 1 that we did not want to have Wexpro be part of the money 2 pool. So paragraph 30 specifically calls out that they 3 will not be part of that.

Then finally we have already talked about paragraph 40 in which we are going to be holding Wexpro harmless as it relates to the shared services. And then we discuss in paragraph 43 not only the shared services that -- changes or related specifically to the merger on income taxes. Again customers would be held harmless there.

Moving to Pipeline, we recognize that Pipeline, several things. No. 1, as they are contributed into the MLP, that there be a board member who is on Questar Corporation's current board that will be appointed to the Dominion midstream board. So there's going to be representation there for Questar Pipeline.

We already talked about how the goodwill will not be flowing through in Questar Pipeline's rates. We recognize that we will be holding customers harmless as it relates to the shared services, as well as the income taxes, deferred taxes, subject to normalization laws. That's in paragraph 41.

And finally we recognize in paragraph 42 that FERCs is the body that sets the Questar Pipeline rates

Page 41 and that they would continue to be established in that 1 2 area. 3 Finally, I would put together just a summary 4 as it relates to other accounting issues. Mr. Wohlfarth has touched on some of these as he discussed a summary 5 of them. But in -- a couple of others that we haven't 6 mentioned, although this one we have, and that's the 7 separate books and records. We identified that in 8 9 paragraph 20. I'm sorry, paragraph 2. Then in 10 paragraph 14 as well as 51. 11 We refine it a little in the latter paragraphs 12 because we recognize that Questar Gas's books are going 13 to be open and available to all the regulators, but we also note that there is going to be allocated charges. 14 And so we wanted to make it clear that if there -- the 15 ability to have an audit trail to see where those costs 16 were coming from from the Dominion Resources is also 17 specifically identified in paragraph 51. 18 We're going to con -- we, recognizing that 19 20 Dominion's officers could have influence and have a need 21 to come and participate in a Questar Gas proceeding, we 22 identify that in paragraph 12 and make the commitment that they will be made available. 23 24 And then we, Questar Gas, will be in paragraph 13 responding to intervenors' or regulators' concerns on 25

Page 42 data requests. We'll be making the information related 1 2 to our affiliate, Dominion, or any of the other 3 subsidiaries available to the regulators. 4 We are going to continue to follow -- these are kind of basic things, but we'll follow the basic 5 historical reporting, our depreciation rates, our 6 7 deferred tax. Nothing is changing there. Our tariff is not going to change, as we call out in paragraph 17, but 8 9 for the change in the name. 10 We will continue our IRP filings we identify 11 in paragraph 18. Wobbe, the interchangeability issues, 12 have been a big thing in our history. We make a 13 commitment that that will continue to be a focus, and we'll be managing that, as put forth by commission 14 15 order. That's in paragraph 19. New thing that Mr. Wohlfarth also talked 16 17 about, that we will be meeting with the division, which we haven't been doing up to this time. So this is a 18 change, and that's an affiliate report. So we want to 19 20 make sure that it's specific and unique to Questar Gas. 21 And so we will be meeting to make sure that we have 22 input, and then we'll be filing that beginning in 20 --23 actually, to see how well I have that memorized, 2018 of 24 July. 25 Then finally, the allocation methodology that

Page 43 Mr. Wohlfarth talked about, we will be working with the 1 2 parties, and that's a key thing for the shared services and how this will impact this going forward. The last 3 4 thing that we have done, and this relates to the ANGC as an intervenor. 5 6 They had some concerns. Actually, this has been before this commission, as it relates to our 7 nomination in previous dockets. And I think we were 8 9 able to reach a resolution of that in how the 10 nominations occur on Questar Pipeline systems. 11 But we have kept some of the same approaches 12 that we've had in past based on the nominations off of 13 Kern River. And so we have committed that we will work with them and Kern River to be able to do an entity 14 15 level nominations for transportation customers going forward, then ultimately that we will meet with them, 16 talk about the concerns and then work in good faith on 17 ways in which we might be able to resolve those. 18 19 I think the other one that, after we get 20 through that, we just need to make sure we have 21 identified and told our customers what's happening. We 22 get some press on this, but obviously not all of them 23 have been aware of it. 24 And so we will be putting some things out on 25 the website within five days of the, the effective date

Page 44 1 of the merger. And then we will be providing 2 information in GasLight News also for those who get their information that way. 3 4 So Mr. McKay, you have gone through several 0. merger commitments. Do you have a conclusion or 5 recommendation for the commission? 6 I think we put together a package that has the 7 Α. good checks, the balances and the incentives, and would 8 9 recommend the commission approve the stipulation as just and reasonable and in the public interest. 10 11 Q. Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. And Mr. McKay, 13 can you remain available until after all the -- Mr. Dunn finishes questions? 14 15 THE WITNESS: I can. 16 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Reid or Mr. Monson, anything further from either of you? 17 18 MR. MONSON: No. 19 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. 20 Ms. Schmid. 21 MS. SCHMID: Good morning. The division has 2.2 three witnesses available. Mr. Wheelwright will be 23 providing the division's statement in support of the stipulation, and Mr. Charles Peterson and Ms. Kathleen 24 Kelly will be available to answer questions. 25

Page 45 Accordingly, the division would like to request that all 1 2 three of its witnesses be sworn. CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. I think I'll do that 3 all three at a time then. Mr. Wheelwright, 4 Mr. Peterson, and Ms. Kelly, do you swear to tell the 5 6 truth? 7 MR. WHEELWRIGHT: Yes. 8 MR. PETERSON: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Do we have Ms. Kelly on the 10 phone? 11 MS. KELLY: Yes, I am. Sorry. I pushed the 12 mute button. Yes, I do. 13 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. And then 14 all three of you are available to remain for questions after all witnesses have concluded? 15 16 THE WITNESSES: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Ms. Schmid. 18 MS. SCHMID: Thank you. DOUGLAS D. WHEELWRIGHT, 19 20 called as a witness at the instance of the Utah Division 21 of Public Utilities, having been first duly sworn, was 2.2 examined and testified as follows: 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SCHMID: 24 Mr. Wheelwright, could you please state your 25 Q.

Page 46 1 name, employer and position for the record. 2 Α. My name is Douglas D. Wheelwright. I am a 3 technical consultant with the Utah Division of Public 4 Utilities. On behalf of the division, have you 5 0. participated in this docket included -- including 6 participating in settlement discussions? 7 Yes, I have. 8 Α. 9 ο. Do you have a statement to reflect the 10 division's support of the stipulation? 11 Α. Yes, I do. 12 Q. Please proceed. 13 Α. Thank you. Good morning, commissioners. The company's provided a comprehensive overview of the 14 proposed stipulation and has explained the specific 15 provisions and commitments that have been included. So 16 I will not repeat them at this time. 17 The purpose of my testimony today is to affirm 18 19 the division's support for the proposed stipulation and 20 explain the reasons behind that decision. 21 In previously written testimony filed with the 22 commission, division witnesses, Charles Peterson, 23 Kathleen Kelly and I recommend that the commission reject the proposed merger of Dominion Resources and 24 25 Questar Corporation because the original application did

Page 47 1 not provide sufficient, quantifiable, net benefits or 2 adequate protections to Questar Gas customers. The 3 division's recommendation further stated that the merger 4 could be approved if additional commitments and 5 assurances could be obtained.

6 Since this direct testimony was filed, the 7 division has participated in settlement discussions with 8 representatives from Questar, Dominion and the other 9 intervening parties in an attempt to strengthen the 10 commitments and assurances from Dominion.

11 The division believes that the additional 12 commitments contained in the negotiated stipulation 13 agreement have mitigated many of the original concerns 14 and provide a net benefit and adequate protections for 15 Utah customers.

16 Without addressing each paragraph of the 17 stipulation, let me address some of the specific items 18 and areas of concern that were raised in the division's 19 original testimony and how these issues have been 20 addressed and commitments strengthened in the 21 stipulation agreement.

No. 1, Dominion has committed to fund the full million dollars to the Questar defined benefit pension plan. Questar has estimated that this commitment will result in a direct savings and net

	Page 48
1	benefit of approximately \$4 million per year.
2	No. 2, Questar Gas will seek approval to
3	withdraw it's recently filed general rate case, Docket
4	No. 16-057-03. The withdrawal of the Questar Gas
5	general rate case will benefit customers, but the exact
6	amount cannot be determined at this time. While Questar
7	Gas requested a 22 million dollar increase, it is
8	unlikely that the commission would have awarded the full
9	amount identified in the original application.
10	The withdrawal of the rate case does allow
11	Questar Dominion Gas to retain the current
12	commission-approved return on equity of 9.85 percent but
13	does not guarantee the ability to earn the authorized
14	rate of return.
15	It should also be noted that the stipulation
16	specifies that merger transaction costs will not be
17	recovered through rates or through charges from
18	affiliated companies. Transition or integration costs
19	will not be deferred and will not be passed on to Utah
20	customers without further review and commission
21	approval.
22	With the additional transaction and transition
23	costs associated with the merger, it is unlikely that
24	Dominion Questar Gas will earn the commission-allowed
25	return between now and the filing of the next general

Page 49

1 rate case. 2 Along with withdrawal of the current general 3 rate case, Dominion Ouestar Gas will not file another 4 general rate case until July of 2019. While the amount of a future rate increase cannot be calculated at this 5 time, the division is concerned about a potential large 6 7 increase in customer rates due to the delay until 2019. The division will continue to monitor this issue and may 8 9 recommend a rate mitigation plan if there is a significant increase in customer rates due to the delay 10 11 until the 20 -- 2019 general rate case. 12 No. 3, Dominion has committed to maintain 13 capital spending levels that were already identified by Questar Gas prior to the announced merger. Maintaining 14 15 the capital spending program at the pre-merger level will help ensure that the needed capital spending 16 17 projects are not delayed, which could potentially impact safety and reliability. 18 It will also allow parties to monitor and 19 20 evaluate any additional capital spending. Any variation 21 from the pre-merger planned spending program will need 22 to be explained and supported by Dominion Questar Gas in 23 the next general rate case. 24 No. 4, Dominion has committed to maintain

25 corporate overhead and shared service costs at the

page 50 pre-merger 2015 levels. Corporate overhead and costs associated with shared services was a primary concern of the division, since most of the corporate costs that are allocated to Questar Gas, Questar Pipeline and Wexpro are ultimately passed on to and paid by Questar Gas customers.

7 Attachment 1 of the stipulation identifies the 8 specific line items for operating, maintenance, general 9 and administrative expenses that will be monitored and 10 held to the 2015 pre-merger levels.

11 Dominion Questar Gas will not seek recovery in 12 the next general rate case of any increase in the 13 aggregate total of these costs above the per-customer 2015 baseline level without showing that the increase is 14 15 not the result of the merger. This commitment to 16 control overhead costs applies not only to Dominion Questar Gas but also to the overhead costs allocated to 17 18 Questar Pipeline and Wexpro.

19 In addition, Dominion will hold customers20 harmless from any increase in the aggregate total of the21 shared service costs that are caused by the merger.

No. 5, Dominion has committed to maintain a strong, investment-grade credit rating, targeting a single A rating for Dominion Questar Gas. As part of that commitment, Dominion will demonstrate that the cost

Page 51 of debt is not greater than it would have been absent 1 2 the merger and will hold customers harmless from any increase in the cost of debt caused by the merger. 3 4 No. 6, Dominion Questar Gas will continue to notify the commission of dividends paid to the parent 5 company, and Dominion has committed to provide financial 6 7 information that will allow the division to monitor the dividend payments from Questar Pipeline and Wexpro to 8 9 the new parent company. 10 No. 7, Dominion has asked that the accrual 11 caps on the conservation enabling tariff, or CET, be 12 suspended until the next general rate case. The 13 division has reviewed these provisions, along with the historical performance of the CET. 14 15 In the division's opinion, the removal of the amortization cap will not create a significant risk to 16 customers. The balance in the CET account is monitored 17 on a regular basis, and historically the accrual cap has 18 not been an issue. If the balance were to exceed the 19 20 cap in the future, Utah customers would not be 21 responsible to pay interest on the incremental amount. 2.2 No. 8, Dominion has committed to maintain the same level of customer satisfaction as has been achieved 23 24 by Questar Gas. Customer service quality will be 25 monitored on a quarterly basis and compared with

Page 52 established standards. If the quality of customer 1 2 service falls -- begins to fall short of established 3 standards, Dominion Ouestar Gas will file a remediation 4 plan with the commission. 5 No. 9, while not all of the ring fencing provisions addressed by Charles Peterson and Kathleen 6 7 Kelly have been included in this stipulation, the division is satisfied that the conditions that have been 8 9 included provide adequate protection for customers. Dominion Ouestar will continue to exist as a 10 11 separate legal entity and will maintain its own 12 long-term debt and separate accounting records. 13 Dominion Ouestar will maintain its own -- will 14 maintain its corporate headquarters in Salt Lake City and will function as a wholly owned subsidiary of 15 Dominion Resources. 16 17 Dominion has committed to provide a special bankruptcy director to serve as a member of the board of 18 directors of Dominion Questar Gas. As stated in the 19 20 stipulation, this director will consider the interests 21 of all relevant economic shareholders -- stakeholders, 22 including the utility's customers and the financial 23 health and public service obligation of Dominion Questar 24 Gas. 25 Should the commission or other parties have

Page 53 specific questions concerning the ring fencing 1 2 provisions, Charles Peterson is in attendance at this 3 hearing, and the division's consultant, Kathleen Kelly, 4 is appearing by telephone. In summary, representatives from the division 5 have participated in the analysis of the proposed merger 6 7 and in the settlement negotiations. With the additional terms and commitments identified in this stipulation, 8 the division is satisfied that Dominion and Questar Gas 9 have demonstrated a net benefit to customers and that 10 11 the requested merger is in the public interest. 12 The division recommends the commission approve 13 the merger of Questar Corporation and Dominion Resources as outlined. And that concludes my summary. 14 15 One question, Mr. Wheelwright. Just for Q. clarification, is it the division's position that the 16 stipulation, taken as a whole, provides net benefits and 17 is in the public interest? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. Thank you. 21 MS. SCHMID: Mr. Wheelwright is now available 2.2 for questions at the appropriate time. 23 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. Anything else from you, Ms. Schmid, at this point? 24 25 MS. SCHMID: Nothing further from the division

Page 54 at this time. 1 2 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Olsen. MR. OLSEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We would 3 4 like to call Gavin Mangelson on behalf of the office 5 please. 6 GAVIN MANGLESON, called as a witness at the instance of the Office of 7 Consumer Services, having been first duly sworn, was 8 9 examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 11 BY MS. SCHMID: 12 0. Mr. Mangleson, would you state your name and 13 work position for the record, please. Gavin Mangelson. I am a utility analyst with 14 Α. the Office of Consumer Services. 15 16 And as part of your work as a utility analyst, 0. did you review the -- did you take part in the review of 17 the application before the commission now and settlement 18 negotiations that were undertaken after the filing? 19 20 Α. I reviewed the application and Yes. 21 participated in the settlement discussions. 22 ο. Do you have a statement reflecting the 23 office's position regarding the --I do. 24 Α. -- stipulation? Would you state it now 25 Q.

Page 55

1 please.

A. Thank you. The Office of Consumer Services has conducted a detailed analysis of the proposed merger between Dominion Resources Incorporated, Questar Gas Company and Diamond Beehive Corporation.

6 In accordance with our statutory mandate, we 7 have approached our analysis from the perspective of 8 residential and small commercial customers. To augment 9 our efforts, the office retained the services of Mr. 10 Lane Kollen and Mr. Richard Baudino of J. Kennedy and 11 Associates, both experts on mergers and acquisitions of 12 regulated utilities.

With the aid of Mr. Kollen and Mr. Baudino, the office scrutinized the materials filed in this and other jurisdictions, submitted several comprehensive discovery requests, and reviewed discovery responses provided to other interested parties.

18 The office's direct testimony identified 19 several issues pertaining to potential harm or the risk 20 thereof that would be attributable to this merger. The 21 office also recommended several conditions designed to 22 protect ratepayers from identified potential sources of 23 harm.

24The office supports the settlement stipulation25filed by the joint applicants on August 15, 2015, in

1	Page 56 this docket. We believe that this settlement presents
2	reasonable resolution to the issues that we identified.
3	Rather than presenting a comprehensive list, a
4	comprehensive summary of the stipulation in its
5	entirety, I would like to highlight a few of the
6	conditions in the stipulation that directly address
7	concerns we raised in direct testimony.
8	First, in paragraph 38 the joint applicants
9	agree that transition or integration costs will not be
10	deferred for future recovery. Any transition costs
11	still being incurred at the time of the next general
12	rate case will be called out by the company, who bears
13	the burden of demonstrating associated net benefits.
14	The office believes this element of the
15	agreement is important in maintaining the net benefits
16	created by the overall package.
17	Second, paragraphs 40, 41, and 43 contain
18	various hold harmless conditions. The office supports
19	these hold harmless conditions in order to protect rate
20	payers from potential cost increases.
21	Third, the office believes that the ring
22	fencing conditions in the settlement stipulation that
23	are provided in addition to those described in the joint
24	application will provide adequate insulation from
25	certain risks, while allowing for efficient cooperation

Page 57 between the merging entities. These provisions are 1 2 designed to mitigate risks associated with increased 3 credit costs, affiliate transaction, and affiliate or 4 subsidiary bankruptcy liability. Finally, the office supports the company's 5 6 withdrawal of the recently filed general rate case as an important element in the net benefits created with this 7 settlement. 8 Mr. Mangelson, does the office --9 0. Mr. Mangelson, does the office believe that this is --10 11 would be -- the stipulation would be in the public 12 interest? 13 Α. Yes. The office asserts that the settlement 14 stipulation to the proposed merger will result in just and reasonable rates and is in the public interest. 15 Thank you. I have nothing further. 16 Q. So do you have a recom -- an ultimate 17 Oh. recommendation for the commission regarding the 18 stipulation? 19 20 Α. Yes. The office recommends that the 21 commission approve the settlement stipulation. 2.2 MR. OLSEN: We have nothing further. 23 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. And we'll come back if there's any questions for you after we have 24 25 concluded. Anything else, Mr. Olsen?

Page 58 1 MR. OLSEN: Nothing further now. Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Nalder, did you have a witness you wanted to 3 4 present? 5 MR. NALDER: We do not. 6 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Do not, okay. Thank you. Mr. Mecham. 7 MR. MECHAM: Mr. Chair, the American Natural 8 9 Gas Council's testimony has been admitted and provides 10 the foundation or the support for paragraph 56 to which Mr. McKay referred in his testimony, and we intend to 11 12 operate -- this is a very important provision for us, and we intend to operate in good faith under that 13 provision and strive to reach resolution with the 14 15 company on these issues. 16 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. Anything else from your client? 17 18 MR. MECHAM: That's it for now, Mr. Chair. 19 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. 20 Mr. Russell, anything from you? 21 MR. RUSSELL: UAE does not have a witness, 2.2 Mr. Chair, but we're here to support the stipulation. 23 We have signed it. We did participate in many of the discussions which have been referred to today, and we do 24 support the stipulation. 25

	Page 59
1	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. I'll
2	circle around then. Mr. Reid or Mr. Monson, any
3	questions for any of the witnesses that have spoken
4	today?
5	MR. REID: No questions.
6	MR. MONSON: No questions.
7	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Ms. Schmid?
8	MS. SCHMID: No questions.
9	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Olsen?
10	MR. OLSEN: No questions.
11	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Nalder?
12	MR. NALDER: No questions.
13	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Mecham?
14	MR. MECHAM: None, thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Russell?
16	MR. RUSSELL: No questions.
17	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Commissioner White, do
18	you have any questions for anyone?
19	COMMISSIONER WHITE: It is perhaps a
20	question this may be a question appropriately for
21	Mr. McKay. In terms of the timing, I guess, with
22	respect to the petition of withdrawal and that as being
23	as a condition of this settlement, is it the expectation
24	that you would need an order from the commission, I
25	guess, for on the request for the withdrawal of the

Page 60 1 general rate case as a condition to the approval of this 2 settlement stipulation? 3 MR. MCKAY: You are almost moving into legal 4 analysis here. So I am going to duck the pitch there. But we recognize, and I think it actually states, that 5 we have formally petitioned this commission to withdraw 6 7 the case. And we recognize that it is part of and what is being identified as a net benefit of the merger. 8 9 But I think you may be going to the recognition that -- I suppose, that something changed in 10 11 Wyoming. So Utah approves this. And the parties talked 12 about that. And so our petition, as it moves forward 13 with Utah, could happen so the case is withdrawn. 14 There was no pause button that was identified 15 If in fact that were to happen, we would need to here. refile our general rate case. 16 17 COMMISSIONER WHITE: As kind of a follow-up question, hopefully this isn't going to another legal 18 19 issue. 20 MR. MCKAY: If it is, they will answer it 21 quickly. 2.2 COMMISSIONER WHITE: With respect to the 23 Wyoming, I am assuming that's coming up in a couple weeks, and with respect to the most favored nation 24 25 clause, would it be -- if there were something that

	Page 61
1	that the Wyoming commission approved that was a
2	potential benefit to Utah customers, would that result
3	in an amended stipulation agreement that would be then
4	subject to approval by the Utah commission?
5	MR. WOHLFARTH: Yes. That's the that is
6	how we anticipate the most favored nations working.
7	COMMISSIONER WHITE: That's all the questions
8	I have, Chair.
9	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. Commissioner
10	Clark?
11	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you, Chair LeVar.
12	My first question addresses a statement made to
13	Mr. Wood's rebuttal testimony regarding the independence
14	of Dominion Questar Gas's operational authorities. And
15	I think, Mr. Wohlfarth, you referred to that same
16	independence in your summary.
17	MR. WOHLFARTH: Yes, sir.
18	COMMISSIONER CLARK: And we've had some
19	information this morning about that independence on a
20	financial and accounting record basis. But I wanted to
21	give you an opportunity to address it from an
22	operational perspective, an interpersonal perspective,
23	particularly at the board level and also at the senior
24	executive level.
25	MR. WOHLFARTH: Yeah, I probably the best
1	

1	Page 62 way that I can characterize it is, it would almost it
2	would be as if you didn't know that Questar was part of
3	Dominion and you were here in Salt Lake City, other than
4	perhaps a name change. You would notice no difference.
5	We have previously announced that Craig
6	Wagstaff will be the head of Dominion Questar. Colleen
7	Bell will be the head of Dominion Questar Gas. These
8	are longtime Questar employees.
9	And from a day-to-day, week-to-week,
10	month-to-month running of the business, that's who is
11	going to be doing. Barrie McKay, you know, you are
12	stuck with him. He will be he will be walking around
13	here. And so that's kind of the best way I can
14	characterize it.
15	Now, obviously part of the benefit that I had
16	talked about earlier, being part of a larger
17	corporation and there will be financial benefits to
18	that is that you do have the benefits of scale. And
19	so, for instance, in a payroll-type operation, your
20	typical corporate-type functions like the treasury
21	group, investor relations, things areas like that,
22	those are the kind of things where you take advantage of
23	scale and you perhaps move that up to more of kind of a
24	Dominion corporate level.
25	But those are not the areas that the customer

1	Page 63 who is receiving service from Dominion Questar
2	Corporation, that it's transparent to them now anyway.
3	What customers, and I think what you will not see any
4	change in is what are the things that are providing
5	safe, reliable, you know, service and keeping up with
6	the growth of this growing service territory for
7	customers.
8	You mentioned board level. It would be our
9	our operating model will tend to be, the Dominion
10	Questar Gas board of directors will be hadn't been
11	named yet. I don't know who that is. But I think that
12	we have the independence that we have injected into
13	that has to do with the special bankruptcy director.
14	Other than that, it tends to be very interwoven with
15	Dominion. So in other words, not an independent board.
16	Trying to think of I hope I have addressed
17	kind of the key points, but if there are any sort of
18	nuances or anything that I could address further, I
19	would be happy to do that.
20	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. Any other
21	witnesses desire to comment on my question? Then
22	another, another subject is the future work that
23	remains, particularly for the division and the office
24	with the new entity regarding the development of
25	affiliate transaction reporting requirements, updating

Page 64 1 customer satisfaction standards. There might be some 2 other areas as well.

3 And I wondered -- and I think I am going to 4 look primarily to the division and office here. Also interested in the applicant's perspectives. How do you 5 see that work going forward? Have you talked at all 6 about what might occur if the collaboration doesn't lead 7 to a consensus outcome in these areas and how tho -- and 8 9 differences of opinion there might be -- that become 10 permanent might be resolved?

11 MR. WHEELWRIGHT: Let me address that first. 12 As far as the customer service standards, the company 13 has been providing customer service standards for a 14 number of years. Those standards have been in place. Ι 15 believe they do need to be updated. We had -- there are 16 some of the standards that are low, and we want to bring those up to better reflect the actual activity going 17 forward from this point. 18

We don't want to let any standards slip. But like I said, those standards have been in place for some time. I don't think it will be a very exhaustive process to just update a few of those standards. They are already in place.

As far as the affiliated transactions, those have been going forward with Wexpro and Pipeline in the

Page 65 I don't see a lot of affiliated transactions from 1 past. 2 the other Dominion companies. So I think putting 3 together a reporting format of that shouldn't be too exhaustive either. 4 As far as differences of opinion, I don't see 5 that we are going to have a great deal of difficulty in 6 7 completing that task. MS. SCHMID: If I may, one more thing before 8 9 the division leaves. The division, of course, is 10 empowered to file requests for agency action and to seek 11 further assistance from the commission if needed. And 12 while we don't anticipate that the parties will not be 13 able to reach satisfactory and -- solutions that are in the public interest, should a need arise, the division 14 15 is willing to take whatever steps it needs to take to make sure that things work well. 16 17 MR. MANGELSON: Regarding the affiliate transactions, I don't have anything to add to 18 Mr. Wheelwright's opinions about how we can work some of 19 20 that out. I did want to just mention about the service 21 standards. 2.2 We brought that up in our testimony. We asked for the goals to be made into minimum standards and 23 24 asked for a penalty. That is not part of the settlement 25 stipulation. Mr. McKay mentioned earlier that if there

Page 66 1 is a problem with service standards, the quality is 2 slipping, that they would be willing to design a 3 remediation plan, as he called it. 4 And the only thing I would add is that if we felt that that was not resolving these problems, however 5 likely they may be, that we would seek whatever options 6 we have to bring the issue before the commission again. 7 But they have pointed out that the service standards 8 9 have not been a problem in -- within the last decade, 10 and so that's not something that we are especially 11 concerned about at this time. 12 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. Anything to add from the applicants? 13 14 MR. WOHLFARTH: The one thing I would add on 15 the affiliate -- I agree with everything that's been said there. And we have had a good deal of experience 16 working collaboratively with commissions in other 17 jurisdictions. And I don't see it being a problem, you 18 19 know, for us resolving. 20 We -- you know, we work through it the same 21 way we work through the issues. We start out here, and 22 we end up here. So I don't see any problems with it. 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. That 24 concludes my questions, Chair LeVar. 25 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. A couple

Page 67 of just clarification questions. Mr. McKay, in 1 2 paragraph 47 refers to quarterly reports on customer 3 service standards. Are those anticipated to be informal 4 reports just with the division and the office, or are those intended to be public filings? 5 MR. MCKAY: It doesn't call it out, does it? 6 7 I would observe this. We filed them quarterly with the commission in the past. And I think we are currently 8 filing them annually. And so I think we would continue 9 to file them with the commission and then copy the 10 11 division and the office on those reports. 12 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. And if I am 13 understanding this correctly, it's intended to be 14 quarterly until the next general rate case, at which there will be a time of an evaluation of the appropriate 15 16 interval going forward from that point? 17 MR. MCKAY: Correct. Thank you. And then I 18 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. 19 wanted to ask you and also the division and the office, 20 just to make sure there's a common understanding on 21 paragraph 33 that talks about the rate case stay-out. 2.2 About halfway down the paragraph on page 11, it refers to Dominion Ouestar Gas will not file for a 23 24 major plant addition prior to March 1st, 2020, except to 25 address the peak-hour needs. And then a couple

Page 68 sentences later it refers to, will not seek a deferred 1 2 accounting order prior to 2020. I just want to make sure I understand how 3 4 those two interrelate. Is the understanding that there could or could not be a deferred accounting order in the 5 event that there were a peak hour need filing? 6 MR. MCKAY: The reference to the peak hour 7 need was the parties knew, because of our filing of our 8 IRP, that we potentially have a significant investment. 9 So we called that one out, recognizing that that may 10 11 occur and have said the word "potentially" and "may." 12 We are in the process of determining what the 13 best and most prudent path for solving our peak day slash hour need. So our intention was simply only to 14 15 call that out. Supposing that we did make the decision to go 16 forward with that, we may choose to do it with a major 17 plant addition. If we did, there's room for us to be 18 able to do that in this section. All other needs 19 20 related to a major plant addition are what we are really 21 speaking to that we are committing not to do. 2.2 Now, you ask that as a combined with the 23 deferred accounting order. I would observe that the 24 deferred accounting order more often be associated with an expense, that instead of incurring that expense and 25

Page 69 1 reporting it in that time period, we would be seeking 2 the commission to be able to defer that. 3 And so technically, you might have a little 4 blurring of the line as it relates to, like, a major plant addition. But that would be in a return on an 5 investment and not in my view considered a deferral of 6 an expense. It would be something different that we're 7 specifically referring to there. 8 9 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you, Mr. McKay. Mr. Wheelwright or Mr. Mangelson, any other additional 10 11 comment on that question? 12 MR. WHEELWRIGHT: No. I would agree with the 13 company's position. The -- if you would note, paragraph 14 8 specifically called -- is addressing the capital expenditures. And the -- we specifically pulled out 15 16 that, the capital expenditure for a shaving, or for a peaking facility. 17 And so I think this other provision was just 18 19 to address that that would not be put in a different way 20 through the program. So that, it was just a way to call 21 that specific item out. 2.2 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Wheelwright. Mr. Mangelson, anything else? 23 24 MR. MANGELSON: Just to echo what Mr. McKay was saying is that the major plant addition does not 25

Page 70 necessarily require a deferred accounting or is not 1 2 necessarily the same thing, that parties would have the 3 opportunity to weigh in on a major plant addition 4 request. And the provision about deferred accounting, 5 absent extraordinary circumstances, we did want to leave 6 7 the opportunity open for any kind of a situation where rate payers could benefit from a deferred accounting 8 9 order of something specific in that period of time. CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. I don't have any 10 11 further questions. I'll just look to all the parties 12 and see if there's anything else we need to address 13 before we adjourn. Mr. Monson or Mr. Reid? MR. MONSON: First of all, I just wanted to 14 15 ask Commissioner White if you -- were you satisfied? I 16 think Mr. McKay gave the correct answer, but do you want a legal answer to your question? 17 COMMISSIONER WHITE: No. That would be 18 19 helpful actually. 20 MR. MONSON: Okay. Well, this is kind of an 21 unusual circumstance because the joint applicants have 22 agreed that the rate case will be withdrawn upon the 23 signing of the stipulation. And then we -- so we filed 24 that. So withdrawal of the rate case is not a con --25 withdrawal of the rate case and the commission approving

1	Page 71
1	that is a condition to this stipulation.
2	However, the reverse is not correct, and that
3	is if this stipulation is not approved, we are still
4	withdrawing the rate case. We are doing it without
5	prejudice so we can refile if the stipulation is not
6	approved or if the Wyoming commission doesn't approve
7	the stipulation in Wyoming.
8	COMMISSIONER WHITE: That is helpful. Thank
9	you.
10	MR. MONSON: Okay. Then just one other thing.
11	We appreciate the commission's time and the time of the
12	parties and the efforts everyone's made in this case.
13	As you know, we are very anxious to know the outcome.
14	We know you have a public witness hearing this
15	afternoon, and obviously, you need to hear that before
16	you can make a decision.
17	But we would hope and we would request that if
18	it works out for the commission to issue a quick
19	decision, perhaps even a bench ruling following the
20	public witness hearing, that would be a wonderful thing
21	for us. If not, we hope you would be able to issue your
22	decision prior to the Wyoming hearing if possible. So
23	we would request that if that works.
24	COMMISSIONER WHITE: Would you mind clarifying
25	the date of the Wyoming hearing?
1	

Page 72 1 MR. MONSON: September 14th. 2 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. Why don't I briefly ask all the parties to just weigh in on the 3 4 motion for a bench ruling following the public witness hearing. I think I will give everybody an opportunity 5 to weigh in on it at the conclusion of the public 6 witness testimony also, but if anyone would like to 7 comment on it at this time, we'll do so. Ms. Schmid. 8 9 MS. SCHMID: If the commission believes that a bench order is appropriate, the division would have no 10 11 objection. 12 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Mr. Moore? Mr. Olsen. 13 Sorry. MR. OLSEN: No problem. Likewise, the office 14 would have no objection if that's how you chose to go 15 forward. 16 17 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. Mr. Nalder. MR. NALDER: We have no objections. 18 19 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Mecham. 20 MR. MECHAM: We have no objection. 21 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Russell. 2.2 MR. RUSSELL: Same, no objections. 23 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. We'll consider that motion before tonight's hearing. Anything 24 25 further? Anything further?

1	Page 73 MR. MONSON: No, thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. We're adjourned until
3	five o'clock this afternoon.
4	SEVERAL VOICES: Thank you.
5	
6	(The hearing concluded at 10:34 a.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Page 74 CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF UTAH )
3	COUNTY OF SALT LAKE )
4	THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the foregoing proceedings
5	were taken before me, Teri Hansen Cronenwett, Certified
6	Realtime Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary
7	Public in and for the State of Utah.
8	That the proceedings were reported by me in
9	Stenotype, and thereafter transcribed by computer under
10	my supervision, and that a full, true, and correct
11	transcription is set forth in the foregoing pages,
12	numbered 3 through 73 inclusive.
13	I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise
14	associated with any of the parties to said cause of
15	action, and that I am not interested in the event
16	thereof.
17	WITNESS MY HAND and official seal at Salt Lake
18	City, Utah, this 31st day of August, 2016.
19	Ti ill A H
20	Teri Hansen Cronenwett, CRR, RMR
21	License No. 91-109812-7801
22	My commission expires: January 19, 2019
23	Canuary 19, 2019
24	
25	

Index: \$138..add

	<b>2016</b> 4:1	21 32:19		according
\$	<b>2017</b> 27:2		8	27:12
<b>\$138</b> 32:16	31:10	4	<b>8</b> 31:7 51:22	accordingly 34:12 45:1
•	<b>2018</b> 25:14,24		<b>6</b> 51.7 51.22	
<b>\$4</b> 48:1	26:18 31:11 42:23	<b>4</b> 25:4 49:24		account 51:17
	-	<b>40</b> 15:10 25:4	9	accounting
1	<b>2019</b> 13:21 17:9,14 25:25	37:12 40:5 56:17		9:14,15 10:21 11:3 14:1,2
1 21.22 22.0	31:11 34:6		<b>9</b> 52:5	16:18 24:19
<b>1</b> 21:22 32:9, 13,14 36:16	49:4,7,11	<b>41</b> 40:23 56:17	<b>9.85</b> 48:12	35:9 41:4
39:11 40:12	<b>2020</b> 67:24	<b>42</b> 40:24	<b>9:03</b> 4:1	52:12 61:20
47:22 50:7	68:2	<b>43</b> 40:7 56:17	9.03 4.1	68:2,5,23,24 70:1,5,8
<b>11</b> 18:6,9 67:22	<b>21</b> 18:7,8 34:16	<b>45</b> 22:25 26:14	A	accounts
<b>12</b> 41:22	39:20	<b>47</b> 36:8,9 67:2	│ <u>~</u>	22:23
<b>13</b> 32:14 41:25	<b>22</b> 4:1 13:19	<b>48</b> 21:20 22:3	<b>a.m.</b> 4:1	accrual 37:24
<b>14</b> 41:10	48:7	40 21.20 22.3	ability 35:16	38:6,10 51:10,
	<b>23</b> 21:21	5	41:16 48:13	18
14th 72:1	<b>24</b> 16:3 22:3		able 13:11	achieved 51:23
<b>15</b> 55:25	<b>26</b> 22:5	<b>5</b> 50:22	14:12 16:22	acquisitions
15th 27:2	<b>27</b> 22:12	<b>51</b> 41:10,18	31:19 33:5,21	55:11
<b>16-057-01</b> 4:5			34:11 35:14 37:20 38:8	across 29:3
<b>16-057-03</b> 48:4	<b>28</b> 22:13	<b>54</b> 24:12	43:9,14,18	act 30:15,16
<b>17</b> 42:8		<b>55</b> 21:20 24:15	65:13 68:19	acted 31:1
-	3	<b>56</b> 58:10	69:2 71:21	
<b>18</b> 42:11	<b>3</b> 49:12		above 14:15	action 36:19 65:10
<b>19</b> 42:15		6	15:23 19:7 22:20 32:17	
<b>1st</b> 26:18 67:24	<b>3.3</b> 18:25		50:13	activity 64:17
	<b>30</b> 9:12 40:2	<b>6</b> 51:4	absent 13:9	actual 38:5 64:17
2	<b>33</b> 15:10 28:24		51:1 70:6	
	30:23 35:7 67:21	7	acceptable	actually 15:5 22:7,8,20 25:1,
<b>2</b> 36:16 39:12		<b>7</b> 21:22 51:10	7:11	8 30:10 31:1,
41:9 48:2	<b>34</b> 37:23		access 22:19	10,12 32:3,9
<b>20</b> 41:9 42:22 49:11	<b>36</b> 26:23	700 22:17	39:23	39:2 42:23 43:6 60:5
	<b>37</b> 18:7,8 33:13	<b>75</b> 18:2,12	accompanying	70:19
<b>2002</b> 36:10	<b>38</b> 18:7,8 56:8	47:23	6:13	add 6:15 65:18
<b>2015</b> 32:5 50:1, 10,14 55:25	<b>39</b> 15:10 31:20,	<b>750</b> 22:17	accordance 55:6	66:4,13,14

HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-057-01 - 08/22/2016 Index: added..applicants

added 36:20	affairs 8:16	agreement	along 4:17	annual 19:1
addition 6:12	9:8,17 28:9	26:25 47:13,21	14:5 49:2	36:11
10:4 13:23	affect 20:1	56:15 61:3	51:13	annually 26:19
24:17 35:19	24:20.21	agreements	already 31:11	67:9
50:19 56:23	,	39:11	32:4 33:8	
67:24 68:18,20	affected 20:5		39:18 40:4,18	another 24:23
69:5,25 70:3	affiliate 10:20	ahead 7:7	49:13 64:23	31:15 34:13
	24:19 26:11,18	aid 55:13		49:3 60:18
additional 11:2	42:2,19 57:3		also 4:24 6:11	63:22
19:6 47:4,11	63:25 65:17	<b>all</b> 6:4,13,18,	10:23 19:5	anticipate 15:4
48:22 49:20	66:15	22,23,24 7:2	28:25 32:19	20:21 24:24,25
53:7 69:10		8:1,5,7 23:16	34:1 35:20	38:17 61:6
additions 14:1	affiliated 48:18	27:17 32:25	37:12 38:17	65:12
	64:24 65:1	35:2 36:25	39:7,13 41:14,	
address 47:17	affiliates 21:6	37:18 39:10,11	17 42:16 44:2	anticipated
56:6 61:21	26:3,9 32:23,	41:13 43:22	48:15 49:19	29:14 67:3
63:18 64:11	24 34:20	44:13 45:1,4,	50:17 55:21 61:23 64:4	anxious 71:13
67:25 69:19	<b>((</b> )	14,15 52:5,21	67:19 72:7	
70:12	affirm 46:18	61:7 64:6	07.1972.7	anyone 8:6
addressed	affirmative	68:19 70:11,14 72:3	although 10:5	27:18,22 59:18
14:4 16:3	24:4	12.3	28:22 41:7	72:7
21:21 22:3	ofter 07:17	alleviated	amended 61:3	anything 19:21
23:8 25:4	after 27:17	29:23		44:17 53:23
26:14 47:20	43:19 44:13 45:15 54:19	allocated	American 5:14	57:25 58:16,20
52:6 63:16	57:24	15:16 24:22	9:25 58:8	63:18 65:18
addresses	57.24	25:9 34:20	among 24:21	66:12 69:23
26:24 61:12	afternoon	41:14 50:4,17		70:12 72:24,25
	71:15		amortization	anyway 63:2
addressing	again 6:24 8:20	allocating	38:12 51:16	
12:8 47:16	15:4,16 20:4	25:15	amortize 38:15	apparent 30:4
69:14	24:24 26:13	allocation		appearance
adequate	34:16 35:21	24:19,20 25:6,	amount 48:6,9	5:10
21:19 47:2,14	40:9 66:7	12,23 42:25	49:4 51:21	
52:9 56:24			amounts 22:20	appearances
	agency 65:10	allocations		4:9 5:18
adjourn 70:13	aggregate	10:20	analysis 53:6	appearing 5:13
administrative	50:13,20	allow 11:24	55:3,7 60:4	53:4
14:9 31:23		38:15 48:10	analyst 54:14,	
50:9	ago 37:22 38:4	49:19 51:7	16	applicant 4:18
	agree 13:20			applicant's
admitted 7:3	25:8 56:9	allowing 37:21	<b>ANGC</b> 43:4	5:20 64:5
58:9	66:15 69:12	38:8 56:25	announced	
adopted 27:11		almost 60:3	32:4 49:14	applicants
advantage	agreed 15:16,	62:1	62:5	4:10 10:2 21:5
advantage 62:22	25 20:5 33:9,			30:16 33:22
02.22	22 36:15 70:22			34:22 55:25
	I	I		

Index: application..believe 56:8 66:13 50:2 56:13 baseline 50:14 approves available 70:21 60:11 57:2 68:24 22:17,21 27:17 **basic** 42:5 41:13,23 42:3 application 4:6 approving Associates 44:13,22,25 **basis** 26:4 6:13,14,22 7:2 70:25 55:11 45:14 53:21 32:6,15 36:11, 16:22 33:12,20 approximately Association 17 38:18 46:25 48:9 awarded 48:8 9:24 48:1 51:18,25 61:20 54:18,20 56:24 **aware** 11:17 **April** 27:2 assumed 38:3 Baudino 55:10, **applies** 50:16 12:5 29:1,7,22 13 43:23 **area** 11:22 assuming apply 26:6 **bears** 56:12 12:10 14:22 60:23 appointed 15:13,19 16:4, assumptions В **became** 29:7 40:15 15 20:3 21:9 22:11 30:4 23:7 26:13 appreciate **back** 31:8 36:6, 36:6 37:1,3,8 assurances **become** 25:24 71:11 24 57:24 41:2 47:5.10 64:9 appreciated background **areas** 10:23 Beehive 55:5 Attachment 29:16 9:10 12:16 14:3 32:9,14 50:7 **before** 5:19 15:8 19:25 approach **balance** 38:13 attachments 11:5 31:12 29:20 20:9 21:2 51:17,19 32:8 32:4 34:17,24 24:23 37:17 43:7 54:18 approached 47:18 62:21,25 balances attempt 47:9 29:13 55:7 65:8 66:7 64:2,8 30:11,14 39:6 attendance 70:13 71:15 44:8 approaches arena 28:24 53:2 72:24 43:11 bank 22:22 argue 31:25 attorney 4:22 begin 36:4,17 24:5 appropriate 5:3.8 arise 65:14 7:16 53:22 beginning 13:1 banker's 16:7 67:15 72:10 42:22 attributable around 30:7 bankruptcy 55.20 59:2 62:12 appropriately begins 52:2 23:3,12,19,25 21:6 59:20 attributes 24:2,5,9,10,11, Ashcroft 5:11 behalf 4:13 11:14 17 52:18 57:4 approval 18:11 5:4,6,9,13 aspect 12:13 63:13 22:13 26:1 7:13,14,15 audit 41:16 48:2,21 60:1 aspects 7:13, 46:5 54:4 **Barrie** 10:13,22 augment 55:8 61:4 15 12:22 30:1 11:20 14:12 **behind** 46:20 August 4:1 27:24 28:7 asserts 57:13 **approve** 10:10 being 15:20 55:25 62:11 20:17 28:15 assets 18:16 21:17 25:18,19 44:9 53:12 authorities Barrie's 14:5 37:20 56:11 22:10 57:21 71:6 61:14 59:22 60:8 **base** 13:21,24 assistance 62:16 66:18 approved authority 12:12 34:24 38:2 65:11 27:12 38:9 16:22 24:3 **believe** 6:1,5 **based** 37:22 39:1,15 47:4 assistant 5:8 11:8,12,22 authorized 43:12 61:1 71:3,6 12:7,10 13:7 associated 10:6 48:13 15:18 27:5 30:7,8 48:23

Index: believes..chief

56:1 57:10	blurring 69:4		caps 38:11	6:3,7,16,20
64:15	blurning 09.4	С	51:11	7:6,10,11,19,
	board 20:11,	U		24 8:12,18,22,
believes 47:11	12,14,17,18,25		care 31:15	25 27:14,16,20
56:14,21 72:9	23:23,24 24:3,	calculated	career 28:22	44:12,16,19
Bell 4:12 62:7	8 40:13,14,15	49:5		45:3,9,13,17
	52:18 61:23	call 7:8 23:19	case 13:21,24	53:23 54:2,3
below 22:25	63:8,10,15	26:24 31:21	14:5,7,14 15:3,	57:23 58:2,6,
32:15	<b>body</b> 40:25	34:3,8 42:8	9 17:9,14	16,19 59:1,7,9,
bench 71:19	<b>body</b> 40.25	54:4 67:6	25:25 27:11	11,13,15,17
72:4,10	<b>book</b> 39:20	68:15 69:20	30:6,7,17,25	61:9 66:25
72.4,10	books 41:8,12	00.10 00.20	31:19 34:3,5,	67:12,18 69:9,
beneficiaries	<b>DUOKS</b> 41.0,12	called 9:2	12 38:3 39:1	22 70:10 72:2,
18:24	born 16:12	27:25 33:14	48:3,5,10 49:1,	12,17,19,21,23
benefit 11:23	borrowing	35:7 45:20	3,4,11,23	
	borrowing	54:7 56:12	50:12 51:12	challenges
13:13,18,21	22:18	66:3 68:10	56:12 57:6	12:9
17:16 18:14,17	both 11:17	69:14	60:1,7,13,16	change 19:22
19:1 27:7 30:5 33:22 34:10	55:11	calling 6:2	67:14,21	33:17 42:8,9,
	h		70:22,24,25	19 62:4 63:4
47:14,23 48:1,	break 10:14	Callister 4:17	71:4,12	
5 53:10 60:8 61:2 62:15	Brian 4:17 5:8	calls 40:2	cases 38:19	changed 28:23
			Cases 30.19	60:10
70:8	<b>brief</b> 9:10,15	came 29:7 30:3	catch 31:21	changes 12:6
benefits 10:16	28:18	36:3	catagorias	19:25 40:8
11:9 12:1,20,	briefly 11:12	can't 20:15	categories 10:15	19.25 40.0
25 34:10 47:1	72:3	<b>Call 1</b> 20.15	10.15	changing 42:7
53:17 56:13,15		cannot 48:6	cause 15:22	characterize
57:7 62:17,18	bring 13:11	49:5	caused 14:17	62:1,14
<b>best</b> 11:25	64:16 66:7	Canyon 39:12	50:21 51:3	02.1,14
	brings 33:16	Canyon 3912	50.2151.3	charge 32:15
30:19 61:25	34:13	cap 14:11	century 11:18	charges 41.14
62:13 68:13		37:23 38:10,12		charges 41:14 48:17
better 12:17	brought 65:22	51:16,18,20	<b>certain</b> 7:13,15	48.17
64:17	budgeting 9:14	applied 10-15	10:8 15:13	charitable 19:4
hetween 10:10		capital 12:15	28:14 30:1	abaritizz 40.7
between 10:13	bundle 35:2	21:20 22:19	56:25	charities 19:7
22:9 48:25	burden 34:8	30:7,22 31:8,	<b>CET</b> 37:24	Charles 4:23
55:4 57:1	35:14,22 56:13	10,14 37:7	38:11,16	44:24 46:22
beyond 18:1		39:23 49:13,	51:11,14,17	52:6 53:2
19:10	Burnett 4:17	15,16,20	<b>Oheir</b> 50:0.10	abaal: 04:40
	business	69:14,16	<b>Chair</b> 58:8,18,	check 34:13
<b>big</b> 30:4 42:12	11:16,18 19:19	capitalized	22 61:8,11	checks 30:11,
<b>bit</b> 10:23 11:21	30:23 62:10	34:2,4	66:24	13 39:6 44:8
		anned 01:01	Chairman 4:3,	
<b>blow</b> 11:6	button 45:12	capped 31:24	14,15,19 5:1,7,	chief 9:14
	60:14		12,15,18,21,22	
	Titiontion			

HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-057-01 - 08/22/2016 Index: choice..considerable

<b>choice</b> 34:18	combination	commission-	12:6	<b>concern</b> 15:13,
	15:21 17:25	approved		20 23:9 36:3
choose 29:17		48:12	<b>common</b> 11:13	39:21,25 47:18
30:21 34:19	combined		14:22 20:4	50:2
68:17	13:11 68:22	Commissioner	24:22 67:20	
chose 72:15	come 12:2	59:17,19	communities	concerned
	23:18 41:21	60:17,22 61:7,	19:7	29:6 49:6
circle 59:2	57:24	9,11,18 63:20	13.7	66:11
	57.24	66:12,23	companies	concerning
circumstance	comfortable	70:15,18 71:8,	11:17,19 16:17	53:1
35:21 70:21	23:16	24	28:23 29:2	33.1
circumstances			48:18 65:2	concerns 14:3
70:6	coming 7:22	commissioners		29:21 41:25
	41:17 60:23	7:18 18:2	company 4:6,	43:6,17 47:13
circumstantial	comment	46:13	13 14:25 18:21	56:7
35:11	63:21 69:11	commissions	19:20 28:25	
<b>City</b> 19:16	72:8	66:17	37:18 38:1,7,	conclude 27:4
52:14 62:3	72.0	00.17	24 51:6,9 55:5	concluded
52.14 62.5	commercial	commitment	56:12 58:15	45:15 57:25
clarification	22:18 39:24	12:14 13:24	64:12	45.15 57.25
53:16 67:1	55:8	14:4 17:14		concludes
		18:2,5,10	company's	53:14 66:24
clarifying	commission	19:14 20:10,24	12:22 46:14	
71:24	4:4 6:18 8:1	21:19,25 22:16	57:5 69:13	conclusion
<b>Clark</b> 4:12	9:10 10:2,9	33:3 34:22	compared	44:5 72:6
61:10,11,18	11:8 22:13,23	35:8,15 39:13	51:25	condition 16:1,
63:20 66:12,23	24:16 26:1,21	41:22 42:13		24 59:23 60:1
03.20 00.12,23	27:9 28:15	47:25 50:15,25	comparison	71:1
clause 60:25	31:1,3,12		32:20	11.1
-1 00.40	32:11 33:21	commitments	completing	conditions
<b>clear</b> 39:16	34:9,17,24	10:24 12:15	65:7	52:8 55:21
41:15	37:21 38:9,15	19:3,11 23:3	03.7	56:6,18,19,22
clearly 34:11	39:1,15 42:14	37:11 39:8	completion	
•	43:7 44:6,9	44:5 46:16	18:11	conducted
client 58:17	46:22,23 48:8,	47:4,10,12,20		55:3
coincides 17:7	20 51:5 52:4,	53:8	complexity	conference
	25 53:12 54:18		12:4	39:22
collaboration	57:18,21 59:24	committed	complicated	
64:7	60:6 61:1,4	16:11 19:5,15	25:7	consensus
	65:11 66:7	31:13 35:20	20.1	64:8
collaboratively	67:8,10 69:2	38:24 43:13	comply 39:3	oonconvotion
66:17	70:25 71:6,18	47:22 49:12,24	aamprohensiss	conservation
collect 15:7	70.2371.0,10	50:22 51:6,22	comprehensive	51:11
17:15 35:16	12.3	52:17	46:14 55:15	consider 23:6
37:20,21 38:8	commission's	committing	56:3,4	52:20 72:24
01.20,2100.0	71:11	68:21	<b>con</b> 41:19	
Colleen 4:12		00.21	70:24	considerable
62:6	commission-	commodity		21:9 23:13
	allowed 48:24			

HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-057-01 - 08/22/2016 Index: considered..definitively

				.derinitervery
considered	control 35:3	20,22 33:9,15,	38:8,23 67:8	day-to-day
34:10 69:6	50:16	17,20,23 34:2,		62:9
		4,9 37:17	customer	
constraints	cooperation	41:16 48:16,	10:24 11:14	days 30:23
12:5	56:25	18,23 49:25	12:6,16 14:16,	43:25
consultant	copy 27:22	50:1,3,13,16,	21 32:6 36:11	deal 65:6 66:16
46:3 53:3	67:10	17,21 56:9,10	37:4 38:3 49:7,	<b>deal</b> 05.0 00.10
+0.0 00.0	07.10	57:3	10 51:23,24	dealing 13:25
consumer 5:4	core 11:12	01.0	52:1 62:25	16:6
9:24 25:2 54:8,		Council 9:25	64:1,12,13	deele 10:10
15 55:2	corporate	Courselle 50.0	67:2	deals 18:10
	10:18 16:13	Council's 58:9		dealt 16:13
consumers	49:25 50:1,3	counsel 5:5,	customer-	17:11
10:25 14:19	52:14 62:24	14,16	related 11:4	
contain 56:17	corporate-type		customers	debt 15:22
	62:20	couple 13:23	10:17 11:10,24	21:23 22:14
contained 13:2		41:6 60:23	12:19,25 13:4,	51:1,3 52:12
47:12	corporation	66:25 67:25	14,18,25	decade 66:9
continue	4:8 29:13	<b>course</b> 10:2	14:13,15 15:2,	<b>UELAUE</b> 00.9
25:11,12 31:13	34:19 46:25	18:23 65:9	6,17 16:1,12	decades 32:11
1 7	53:13 55:5	10.23 05.9	17:12,15,16,	1
36:2 37:6	62:17 63:2	court 7:20	20,21 18:17,23	decision 46:20
38:20,21,22		40.45		68:16 71:16,
39:3,10 41:1	Corporation's	<b>cover</b> 10:15,	19:2,23 20:2	19,22
42:4,10,13	40:14	16,23 21:2	25:3 27:7 30:5	deeper 10:23
49:8 51:4	correct 10:13	covered 15:9	32:16 33:1,15	-
52:10 67:9	67:17 70:16	21:14 37:5	35:3,17 37:10,	Defendant
continued	71:2		15 40:9,20	4:14
12:14		covering 18:7	43:15,21 47:2,	defer 16:22
	corrected 31:5	Craig 62:5	15 48:5,20	35:16 69:2
continues	correctly 67:13	Clarg 02.5	50:6,19 51:2,	55.10 09.2
13:22 38:12,24		create 35:13	17,20 52:9,22	deferral 16:24
oontinuing	cost 10:20	51:16	53:10 55:8	17:1 33:20
continuing	12:14 14:16	1 1 00 10	61:2 63:3,7	69:6
34:7	15:20,22 16:10	created 30:10	customers'	
contribute	17:22 24:19,20	56:16 57:7	38:18	deferred 14:1,2
18:12 19:5	25:3,6 26:4	credit 21:25	50.10	35:8 40:22
	32:21 50:25	22:1 50:23	cut 31:8 36:6	42:7 48:19
contributed	51:3 56:20	57:3		56:10 68:1,5,
40:13				23,24 70:1,5,8
contributing	<b>costs</b> 11:25	Creek 39:12	D	deficient 36:21
19:9 39:14	14:12,23 15:4,	culture 29:19		
	7,22 16:5,6,7,		data 42:1	define 33:11
contribution	11,15,16,18,23	current 14:15		defined 33:12
18:3,20	17:2,10,13,15,	22:9,20 40:14	date 33:21	
contributions	17,24 18:8	48:11 49:2	43:25 71:25	47:23
19:4	24:22,25 25:9,	aumorthy 00.7	day 68:13	definitively
19.4	15 30:8 32:17,	currently 22:7		13:5
		25:19 37:21,24		
	I	I		I

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-057-01 - 08/22/2016 Index: delay..enhanced

			Index: de	elayenhanced
delay 49:7,10	64:9 65:5	dividends 51:5	49:3,12,22,24	52:21
delayed 49:17	different 21:14	division 4:20,	50:11,16,19, 22,24,25 51:4,	effective 12:8,
demonstrate	28:25 38:5	22,24,25 9:23		14 25:24 43:25
demonstrate	69:7,19	21:10 23:14	6,10,22 52:3, 10,13,16,17,	
17:16 50:25		24:16 25:17	19,23 53:9,13	efficiencies
demonstrated	difficulty 65:6	26:15 36:15	55:4 61:14	13:10 24:25
53:10	diligence	42:17 44:21	62:3,6,7,24	efficiency
	29:16	45:1,20 46:3,5,	63:1,9,15 65:2	28:10 38:20
demonstrating		22 47:7,11	67:23	- (()
56:13	direct 9:5 28:3	49:6,8 50:3	07.23	efficient 56:25
department	45:23 47:6,25	51:7,13 52:8	Dominion's	efforts 55:9
28:22	54:10 55:18	53:5,9,12,25	11:8,23 20:8	71:12
	56:7	63:23 64:4	41:20	
depreciation	directly 56:6	65:9,14 67:4,	dene 6:1.22:0	eight 35:24
42:6		11,19 72:10	done 6:1 23:9	either 34:25
describe 28:21	director 23:19,	division's	43:4	44:17 65:4
29:10	20,22 24:5,10	44:23 46:10,19	Douglas 4:23	
	52:18,20 63:13	47:3,18 51:15	45:19 46:2	element 56:14
described	director's 24:1	53:3,16	<b>down</b> 10:14	57:7
56:23		55.5,10	25:1 67:22	elements
design 66:2	directors	docket 4:4	20.107.22	13:13,24 14:6
	20:14,19 52:19	6:24 46:6 48:3	duck 60:4	
designed	63:10	56:1	due 13:9 29:15	elsewhere 20:6
55:21 57:2	discovering	dockets 43:8	49:7,10	emergency
desire 31:8	29:11,17	<b>UUCKEIS</b> 43.0	49.7,10	35:21
63:21	diagonama	dollar 13:19	duly 9:3 28:1	
	discovery	18:3 48:7	45:21 54:8	emphasis
<b>detail</b> 14:6,13	55:16	dollars 18:13	<b>Dunn</b> 44:13	19:17 37:10
21:12	discuss 10:8	19:1,6 22:18	Dunn 44.13	employee
detailed 55:3	28:14 30:1	47:23	during 17:3,11	28:20
	40:7		35:9,19 36:18	
determined	discussed	Dominion 4:6,		employees
25:15 31:11	41:5	8,18 7:13 8:16	Е	20:5 62:8
48:6	41.5	9:2,8,12 10:3	<b></b>	employer 46:1
determining	discussion	12:7 16:14		omnoworod
68:12	7:23 27:23	20:6,11,12,14,	each 10:15	empowered 65:10
dovelop 20:0	discussions	16,18,21,25	47:16	05.10
develop 30:9	46:7 47:7	21:5,6,16,24	earlier 27:21	enabling 51:11
development	54:21 58:24	22:4,5,11,12,	62:16 65:25	end 8:5,7 25:18
5:10 10:1		17,25 23:10,		66:22
63:24	district 25:13,	12,23 24:21,22	earn 30:19	00.22
Diamond 55:5	20	25:11 26:2,6,9	36:3 48:13,24	energy 5:9
	divide 10:12	29:11 40:15	echo 69:24	9:25 10:1
difference 62:4		41:17 42:2		28:10 38:20
differences	dividend 22:24	46:24 47:8,10,	economic	enhanced
	51:8	22 48:11,24	10:16 12:24	Cillianceu

Index: enhancement..finished

			ndex: ennancem	
22:14 <b>enhancement</b> 22:20 24:15	even 31:12 32:4 33:19 71:19	executive 61:24 exhaustive	experts 55:11 explain 14:12 46:20	22:6,15 52:5 53:1 56:22 FERCS 40:25
<b>enjoyed</b> 28:21 29:23	event 14:20 22:23 23:2 24:1,17 68:6	64:21 65:4 exhibits 6:13, 18,23 7:2	<b>explained</b> 46:15 49:22	few 24:19 39:2 56:5 64:22
ensure 13:15 49:16	events 23:10	exist 22:7	extent 17:12 19:24 20:2	fifth 15:19
enter 6:22	everybody 72:5	52:10 existing 20:10	extraordinary 35:10 70:6	file 13:20 17:14 26:17,19 49:3 52:3 65:10
entirety 56:5	everybody's	25:12 26:3	eyes 29:8	67:10,23
entities 21:16 26:10 57:1	16:9 everyone 6:6	<b>exists</b> 37:24		filed 6:4,15,23 31:4 46:21
entity 21:18,22	everyone's	expand 10:24	F	47:6 48:3
23:21 43:14 52:11 63:24	71:12	<b>expect</b> 13:8 14:18 17:4,10	faced 23:11	55:14,25 57:6 67:7 70:23
environmental 12:4	everything 66:15	expectation 6:6 17:7 20:13,	facility 69:17	filing 30:24 38:16,17 42:22
equal 12:16	evidence 6:22 7:3 33:5	17 59:23	fact 13:6 16:12 20:12 32:17 35:23 36:9	48:25 54:19 67:9 68:6,8
equity 21:19 22:25 48:12	<b>exact</b> 48:5	expectations 12:7	38:13,24 60:15	filings 38:17, 21,22 42:10
era 12:3	EXAMINATION 9:5 28:3 45:23	expected 17:23	failed 33:10	67:5
especially	54:10	expenditure	faith 43:17 58:13	final 25:22
66:10 essence 18:18	examined 9:3 28:1 45:22	69:16	fall 52:2	finally 12:10 15:19 16:4
essentially	54:9	expenditures 31:10 32:5	falls 52:2	24:18 35:2 36:25 40:4,24
35:15	example 22:8 32:24	69:15	familiar 16:9	41:3 42:25
<b>established</b> 32:3 37:22	exceed 51:19	expense 14:9 18:12,16 33:23	far 6:24 64:12, 24 65:5	57:5 finance 9:14
38:4 41:1 52:1, 2	excellence 11:15	68:25 69:7 expensed 17:2	fast 34:6	financial 10:19
estimate 19:1	excellent 12:22	expenses	favored 60:24 61:6	11:7 12:18 19:10 51:6
estimated 47:24	39:5	14:10 18:15 30:8 31:17,23	feel 8:2	52:22 61:20 62:17
ethical 11:15	except 67:24 exceptions	34:5,7 35:3 37:6 50:9	fees 16:7	financing
evaluate 49:20	35:5	experience	felt 37:1 66:5	15:19,22
evaluation 67:15	excited 29:24	9:10 11:23 66:16	fencing 10:19 21:3,9,11,17	find 11:19 finished 27:18
	Titingtion			

HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-057-01 - 08/22/2016 Index: finishes..held

			±iiden* i	inisnesneid
finishes 44:14	foundation	18 32:2,25	goals 36:16,24	36:21 39:17
first 7:9 9:3	58:10	33:14 34:18	65:23	60:13,15
11:12 13:17	four 24:7	35:8 37:13	goes 38:1	happened
24:20 26:17,25		39:7 41:21,24		35:13,23
27:1,4 28:1	frame 35:19	42:20 47:2	gone 29:17	
39:10 45:21	Friday 31:3	48:2,4,7,11,24	44:4	happening
54:8 56:8	-	49:3,14,22	good 4:3,15,21	15:5 43:21
61:12 64:11	full 47:22 48:8	50:4,5,11,17, 24 51:4,24	18:21,23 20:1	happens 36:4
70:14	fully 29:8	52:3,19,24	29:5 31:25	happy 63:19
<b>fit</b> 11:20	function 18:15	53:9 55:4 58:9	43:17 44:8,21	
	52:15	62:7 63:10	46:13 58:13	harm 55:19,23
five 9:16 19:7		67:23	66:16	harmed 33:2
30:23 43:25	functions 20:4	<b>Gas's</b> 11:10	goodwill 16:8	
flowing 40:19	62:20	33:1 41:12	34:15,19,23,25	harming 37:19
focus 37:2,16	fund 18:3,13	61:14	39:19 40:18	harmless
42:13	47:22		gotchas 29:6	14:13,15 15:1,
-	further 7:5	Gaslight 44:2		6,12,17 16:1
focuses 37:1	13:25 14:21	gave 31:2	Governor's 5:9	17:21 25:3
folks 20:7	15:1,12 22:19	70:16	10:1	32:17 37:15
follow 42:4,5	24:12,15 44:17	<b>Gavin</b> 5:5 54:4,	great 19:20	40:6,9,20 50:20 51:2
	47:3 48:20	6,14	65:6	56:18,19
follow-up	53:25 57:16,22		greater 12:6,17	
60:17	58:1 63:18	general 5:9 11:22 14:10	14:13 51:1	having 6:1
following	65:11 70:11 72:25	17:8 25:25	<b>C</b> reation 4:11	7:21 9:3 28:1
30:24 71:19	72.20	30:6,7,17,25	Gregory 4:11	33:14 45:21 54:8
72:4	future 32:1,16	31:19,23 34:3,	group 62:21	
follows 9:4	33:21 49:5	5 39:1 48:3,5,	growing 32:3	<b>head</b> 9:16 62:6,
28:2 45:22	51:20 56:10	25 49:2,4,11,	63:6	7
54:9	63:22	23 50:8,12	arrouth CO.C	headquarters
forecast 31:25		51:12 56:11	growth 63:6	10:18 19:15
	G	57:6 60:1,16	guarantee	52:14
formally 60:6		67:14	48:13	health 52:23
format 65:3	gas 4:6,13 5:14	general's 4:22	guess 59:21,25	
forward 8:10	9:25 13:3	5:4	· · · · ·	hear 71:15
25:23 29:18,24	18:17 19:8,13,	generally	н	heard 29:4
30:16 38:20	16,20,22 21:5,	10:16	n	hearing 53:3
43:3,16 60:12	16,24 22:2,5,9,	give 9:9 20:5	h - 16	71:14,20,22,25
64:6,18,25	12,17,22,25 23:12,23 24:22	28:18 30:14	halfway 67:22	72:5,24
67:16 68:17	25:12,13,20	61:21 72:5	handed 6:17	heightened
72:16	26:7 27:25		happen 14:18,	12:4
forwarding	28:10,20 29:12	given 31:2 38:15	20 15:18 29:9	
34:6	30:15,19 31:9,	30.13	33:4 35:18	held 40:9 50:10
	1	1	00 220 1110	1

HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-057-01 - 08/22/2016 Index: help..investment

			110,011 1101	
help 35:3 49:16	hopefully 60:18	improve 36:23	incurring 68:25	intend 58:11, 13
helpful 70:19	00.10	inability 35:13	00.20	13
71:8	hour 68:6,7,14	incentive	independence 61:13,16,19	intended 67:5, 13
here 4:3 5:5	however 66:5	30:15	63:12	
7:22 12:5	71:2	incentives	00.12	intention 39:16
14:19 16:24	hurt 38:7	30:11 39:6	independent	68:14
18:5 19:15,19		44:8	12:12 21:23	interact 29:1
21:12 23:9,15			23:21,22,24,25	
35:6 36:20		incentivized	63:15	interchangeabi
58:22 60:4,15		30:18	indicate 6:9	lity 42:11
62:3,13 64:4 66:21,22	<b>Idaho</b> 10:1	included 20:23	indicated	interest 44:10
<b>hey</b> 15:14	identification	46:6,16 52:7,9	29:25	51:21 53:11,18
31:16	33:7	including	individuals	57:12,15 65:14
51.10	identified 30:5,	11:13 46:6	29:1	interested
higher 25:2	23 31:22 33:11	52:22	29.1	55:17 64:5
highlight 56:5	34:15 36:8	income 15:13,	industry 29:22	interests 27:6
	37:23 38:25	16 40:9,21	influence	52:20
highlights	41:8,18 43:21	10 40.9,21	41:20	52.20
21:13	48:9 49:13	Incorporated		interpersonal
highly 23:6	53:8 55:18,22	4:7,8 55:4	informal 67:3	61:22
	56:2 60:8,14	increase 13:19	information	interrelate 68:4
historical 19:8	identifies 21.7	14:15 15:7,15,	33:5 42:1 44:2,	
42:6 51:14	identifies 31:7 50:7	17 16:2 37:6	3 51:7 61:19	interval 67:16
historically	50.7	39:19 48:7	: of reactive at use	intervening
12:22 51:18	identify 31:10	49:5,7,10	infrastructure 38:22	47:9
history 42:12	34:11 41:22	50:12,14,20	30.22	intervenor
-	42:10	51:3	injected 63:12	43:5
hit 11:11 21:13	immediate	increased 13:3	input 42:22	43.5
hold 14:11,13,	13:18	57:2	-	intervenors
14 15:1,6,12,			instance 9:2	5:24 10:4
17 16:1 17:21	immediately	increases 15:4	27:25 45:20	intervenors'
25:3 32:16	13:12	17:22 33:1	54:7 62:19	41:25
50:19 51:2	impact 38:18	56:20	instead 7:22	
56:18,19	43:3 49:17	increasing	68:25	interwoven
	important	12:3		63:14
holding 29:1 37:15 40:5,20	important 11:1,14 12:13	incremental	insulation	into 6:15,22
37.1340.3,20	13:17 19:14	51:21	56:24	7:3 10:14,23
honest 11:15	23:7 24:14	51.21	integrating	14:6 40:13
honor 39:11	25:1 56:15	incumbent	16:16,17	60:3 63:12
	57:7 58:12	35:22	integration	65:23
hope 63:16		incurred 17:3	17:5 24:20	investment
71:17,21	importantly	33:23 56:11	25:10 26:22,24	30:22 31:14
	18:25 23:21		48:18 56:9	37:7 68:9 69:6

HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-057-01 - 08/22/2016 Index: investment-grade..maintaining

		Index: inv	vestment-grade	emaintaining
investment-	July 26:18	Lake 19:16	53:23 54:2	little 10:22
grade 50:23	42:24 49:4	52:14 62:3	57:23 58:2,6,	11:21 41:11
			16,19 59:1,7,9,	69:3
investments	jurisdictions	Lane 55:10	11,13,15,17	
12:15	55:15 66:18	large 49:6	61:9,11 66:24,	live 26:9
investor 62:21		-	25 67:12,18	local 10:17
	ĸ	largely 17:11	69:9,22 70:10	12:13 19:7,12
involved 9:18		larger 38:6,13	72:2,12,17,19,	
28:11		62:16	21,23	located 10:19
<b>IRP</b> 42:10 68:9	Kathleen 4:25	02.10		long 13:7
	44:24 46:23	Larkin 4:12	level 14:12,16	
issue 10:18	52:6 53:3	last 8:20 9:16	15:23 16:13	long-term
26:20 30:4	keeping 63:5	31:3 39:1 43:3	21:19 32:5	21:23 52:12
33:16 49:8		66:9	36:24 38:25	longer 16:25
51:19 60:19	Kelly 4:25	00.9	43:15 49:15	17:1
66:7 71:18,21	44:25 45:5,9,	later 16:23	50:14 51:23	17.1
<b>issues</b> 10:13	11 46:23 52:7	35:7,17 68:1	61:23,24 62:24	longtime 62:8
11:4 24:19	53:3	latter 41:11	63:8	looking 15:2
29:20 41:4	Kennedy 55:10		levels 12:16	16:19
42:11 47:19	-	laws 40:22	19:8 49:13	10.19
55:19 56:2	kept 16:12	lead 64:7	50:1,10	lot 12:1 22:6
58:15 66:21	43:11			65:1
00.10 00.21	Kern 43:13,14	leading 17:8	liabilities 21:7	low 64:16
item 69:21			22:11	10W 04.10
items 35:24	key 12:17 43:2	least 19:6	liability 57:4	lower 13:8 15:5
47:17 50:8	63:17	36:11		17:20,24 26:3
47.17 30.0	<b>kind</b> 10:14	leave 70:6	lifted 37:25	
	13:15 14:10,21		like 8:8 11:11	
J	17:18 21:12	leaves 65:9	14:23 21:2	M
	30:13 31:21	legal 16:7	39:7 45:1 54:4	
January 25:14,	35:2 42:5	21:15,17,21	56:5 62:20,21	made 17:14
24	60:17 62:13,	52:11 60:3,18	64:20 69:4	20:10,24
	22,23 63:17	70:17	72:7	21:18,25 22:16
Jenniffer 4:12	70:7,20	landing 00.4		23:3 34:22
Jibson 20:13	,	lending 22:4	likely 33:24	39:13 41:23
	knew 68:8	let 7:20 8:6,8,9,	66:6	61:12 65:23
<b>Joe</b> 4:16	knowledge	19 13:5 39:8	likewise 26:6	71:12
join 20:11,18,	31:4	47:17 64:11,19	72:14	maintain
25				21:15,18,19,22
	known 29:15	Levar 4:3,14,	limited 38:14	22:22 49:12,24
joint 4:5,10,18	Kollen 55:10,	19 5:1,7,12,15,	39:14	50:22 51:22
5:20 6:12,14,	13	18,22 6:3,7,16,	line 50:8 69:4	52:11,13,14
22 10:2 21:4		20 7:6,10,19,		
30:15 33:22		24 8:18,22,25 27:16,20	lines 32:13	maintaining
34:22 55:25	L	44:12,16,19	list 6:17 56:3	12:11 49:14
56:8,23 70:21		45:3,9,13,17		56:15
	lack 36:4	40.0,8,10,17		

HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-057-01 - 08/22/2016 Index: maintenance..name

				itenancename
maintenance	market 26:4	meeting 42:17,	middle 8:9	11
14:9 31:22	markets 12:6	21	midstream	Moore 72:12
37:4 50:8	39:25	member 20:10,	40:15	
major 14:1		11,24 23:23	10.10	more 13:12
35:19 67:24	master 39:14	40:13 52:18	might 8:3 16:2	14:6 21:2 22:7
68:17,20 69:4,	match 29:20	40.10 02.10	17:22 32:1	62:23 65:8
25 70:3		members 24:8	36:1 43:18	68:24
	material 22:10	memorialized	64:1,7,9,10	morning 4:3,
make 15:14,20	materials	32:13	69:3	15,21 44:21
18:6 19:25	55:14	02.10	million 13:19	46:13 61:19
21:5 22:16	55.14	memorized	18:3,12,25	40.10 01.10
23:15 24:13	matter 4:4,5	42:23	19:6 22:18	<b>most</b> 17:4
31:18 32:7	20:12,16	mention 65:20	47:23 48:1,7	18:24 33:24
34:12 35:6	mattera 5:10	mention 05.20		50:3 60:24
37:11 41:15,22	matters 5:19	mentioned	mind 30:12	61:6 68:13
42:12,20,21	7:4 10:21	41:7 63:8	71:24	motion 6:3,8,
43:20 65:16	may 5:23 29:8,	65:25	minimum	10,21,25 30:25
67:20 68:3,16	9,14,15 30:20	morger 4.7	65:23	72:4,24
71:16	31:16 33:22	merger 4:7	05.25	12.4,24
makes 7:25	34:4 49:8	11:9 12:1,15,	mitigate 57:2	motivated
	59:20 60:9	20 13:3,6,9,18	mitigated	33:18 36:2
making 5:10	65:8 66:6	14:17 15:15,	mitigated 47:13	Mountain 10:5
14:4 35:15	68:10,11,17	24,25 16:2,7, 24 17:5,24	47.13	
42:1	Maguina 4.40	18:11 26:22	mitigation 49:9	move 30:16
managed	Mcguire 4:16	27:1,11 28:19,	MID 00.04 00	38:20 62:23
19:23	Mckay 7:14	25 29:7 31:13	MLP 20:21,23, 25 40:13	may 21:20
19.20	10:13,22	32:4,18 40:8	25 40.13	moves 31:20 60:12
management	27:15,24 28:5,	44:1,5 46:24	model 63:9	00.12
12:13 20:8	7 29:25 44:4,	47:3 48:16,23	manay 22:4	moving 29:24
managing	12 58:11 59:21	49:14 50:15,21	money 22:4 39:24 40:1	40:11 60:3
42:14	60:3,20 62:11	51:2,3 53:6,11,	39.24 40.1	much 20,11
72.17	65:25 67:1,6,	13 55:3,20	monitor 49:8,	much 29:11
mandate 55:6	17 68:7 69:9,	57:14 60:8	19 51:7	38:14
Mangelson 5:6	24 70:16	07.14 00.0	un a mit a na d	mute 45:12
54:4,14 57:9,	meantime	merger-related	monitored	
10 65:17	25:11	17:22	50:9 51:17,25	
69:10,23,24	20.11	mergers 55:11	Monson 4:11	N
	measures 22:6	_	6:11,17 7:6,8	
Mangleson	Mecham 5:13	merging 57:1	27:14,21 28:4	Nalder 5:8
54:6,12	58:7,8,18	methodology	44:17,18 59:2,	58:3,5 59:11,
manual 25:8	59:13,14	25:7,13,18,19,	6 70:13,14,20	12 72:17,18
	72:19,20	23 26:18 42:25	71:10 72:1	name 8:13,15,
many 47:13			month-to-	20 28:5 42:9
58:23	meet 36:15	metrics 22:1	month 62:10	46:1,2 54:12
March 67:24	43:16	mid 20:21		62:4
			months 18:5,	02.7
	1	1	1	1

Index: named..orders

			Index.	
named 63:11	56:13,15 57:7	<b>Nucor</b> 10:4	off 7:23 9:23	opened 29:8
nation 60:24	60:8	number 9:22	27:23 43:12	operate 58:12,
nations 61:6	new 42:16 51:9	21:14 64:14	offer 36:23	13
	63:24	numerical	office 4:22 5:2,	operating
<b>natural</b> 5:14	News 44:2	30:12	4,6,9 9:24 10:1	11:13,24 14:9
9:25 30:20 31:15 58:8	next 13:20	numerous	36:16 54:4,7,	17:24 19:22
	14:14 15:3	21:8,11	15 55:2,9,14,	21:16 26:8
near 13:12	17:8,21 19:7	21.0,11	21,24 56:14,	31:22 50:8
near-term	21:3 33:7,16		18,21 57:5,9, 10,13,20 63:23	63:9
13:13	34:3,5 36:18	0	64:4 67:4,11,	operation
nearly 11:18	48:25 49:23		19 72:14	10:17 32:12
-	50:12 51:12	<b>O-m-a-g</b> 14:11		37:4 62:19
Nebeker 4:17	56:11 67:14	object 8:9	office's 54:23 55:18	operational
necessarily	nominated	objected 6:1		11:15,23 12:3,
6:2 70:1,2	20:13,18 23:20	_	officer 9:15	12 13:10 19:18
necessity 6:2	nomination	objection 6:8, 11,25 7:1,21	officers 41:20	61:14,22
need 27:22	43:8	72:11,15,20	often 68:24	operations
41:20 43:20	nominations			10:24 12:23
49:21 59:24	43:10,12,15	objections	<b>Olsen</b> 5:3,21,	19:12 21:7
60:15 64:15	<b>none</b> 16:11	72:18,22	23 6:5 7:5	opinion 51:15
65:14 68:6,8,	17:12 59:14	objects 6:9	54:2,3 57:22, 25 58:1 59:9,	64:9 65:5
14 70:12 71:15		obligation	10 72:12,14	opinions 65:19
needed 49:16	<b>normal</b> 38:18,	52:23		
65:11	21		<b>OMAG</b> 14:11	opportunities 20:6
needs 32:15	normalization	observe 67:7 68:23	Once 31:15	
65:15 67:25	40:22		one 6:1 19:6	opportunity
68:19	note 22:6	<b>obtain</b> 12:19	20:9 22:8	61:21 70:3,7
	41:14 69:13	obtained 47:5	24:23 28:22	72:5
negotiated 47:12	noted 48:15	obviously	29:14 32:2,22	<b>oppose</b> 10:7
		18:15 20:15	33:3 34:16	optimal 25:15
negotiation	nothing 7:5	23:5 43:22	35:18 36:22	_
9:18 28:11	15:21 42:7 53:25 57:16,22	62:15 71:15	37:1,15 38:11 41:7 43:19	options 66:6
negotiations	58:1	occur 17:22	53:15 65:8	order 24:5
53:7 54:19		34:7 36:1 37:3,	66:14 68:10	30:12 35:9
neither 29:14	notice 4:5	9 43:10 64:7	71:10	42:15 56:19
Nelson 4:12	24:16 31:2,3 62:4	68:11	only 34:23 40:7	59:24 68:2,5, 23,24 70:9
		occurring	50:16 66:4	72:10
<b>net</b> 17:16 27:7	notify 22:23	33:24	68:14	
30:5 33:22	51:5	<b>OCS</b> 24:16	open 41:13	orders 14:2 39:2
34:10 47:1,14, 25 53:10,17	nuances 63:18	25:17 26:16	70:7	53.2
20 00.10,17				

HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-057-01 - 08/22/2016 Index: organization..planned

organization	panel 7:17	particular 11:7	payroll 14:23	perspectives
14:25 16:8	paper 39:24	particularly	payroll-type	64:5
organizational		21:10 23:14	62:19	pertaining
13:10	paragraph	37:19 61:23		55:19
	16:3 18:6,9	63:23	peak 68:6,7,13	Deter 5.44
original 33:19	21:21 22:5,12, 13 24:12,15	nortion C:40	peak-hour	<b>Peter</b> 5:11
46:25 47:13,19 48:9	,	parties 6:4,8,	67:25	Peterson 4:24
40.9	25:4 26:14,23 30:22 31:7,20,	18,23,24 8:1,3 9:21,22 14:4	neeking CO.17	44:24 45:5,8
originally	21 32:19 33:13	15:14 23:8	peaking 69:17	46:22 52:6
16:21	34:16 35:7	25:17 27:1	penalty 65:24	53:2
others 29:22	36:8,9 37:12,	31:2 34:11	nonding 12:10	petition 59:22
41:6	23 39:20 40:2,	36:13 39:25	pending 13:19	60:12
41.0	5,7,23,24 41:9,	43:2 47:9	pension 18:3,	00.12
outcome 64:8	10,18,22,24	49:19 52:25	13,15,16,19,	petitioned 60:6
71:13	42:8,11,15	55:17 60:11	22,24 47:24	Phillip 5:16
outlined 53:14	47:16 56:8	65:12 68:8	hor oustance	
<b>Julineu</b> 33.14	58:10 67:2,21,	70:2,11 71:12	per-customer 32:14 50:13	philosophy
over 13:8 15:2,	22 69:13	72:3	32:14 50:13	11:13
6 17:24 24:25	22 00.10	-	percent 21:20	phone 4:24
28:24 31:25	paragraphs	partner 12:8	22:25 48:12	45:10
33:24 35:25	15:10 18:7	partnership	marfact 00.40	45.10
39:20	21:15,22 22:3	39:15	perfect 30:12	pipe 38:25
overall 14:8	41:11 56:17		performance	ningling 11:2
56:16	parent 51:5,9	party 5:19 6:9	51:14	pipeline 11:2 20:22 32:21
50.10	•	pass 25:3	performing	34:21 35:1
overhead	part 11:1 20:20	•	36:5	37:14 40:11,
49:25 50:1,16,	25:10,25 40:1,	pass-through	30.5	12,17,25 43:10
17	3 50:24 54:16,	38:19	perhaps 16:19	50:4,18 51:8
overlap 20:3	17 60:7 62:2,	passed 31:17	39:21 59:19	64:25
	15,16 65:24	37:10 48:19	62:4,23 71:19	04.20
overlaps 16:20	participant	50:5	pariad 0:15	Pipeline's
overview 28:19	24:2		period 9:15 15:2 17:3,6,8,	40:19
46:14		past 43:12 65:1	11,13 33:25	pitch 60:4
40.14	participants	67:8	35:9 38:2 69:1	
own 22:22	5:24	path 29:17	70:9	place 22:15
52:11,13	participate	68:13	10.9	24:14 31:13
owned 52:15	41:21 58:23		periodic 26:21	38:5,13 64:14,
UNIEU JZ.IJ		Patricia 4:21	normanont	20,23
	participated	pause 60:14	permanent 64:10	plan 8:7 14:1
Р	28:24 46:6	•	04.10	18:22,24 36:23
	47:7 53:6	<b>pay</b> 51:21	perpetuity	47:24 49:9
package 39:5	54:21	payers 56:20	18:18 19:1	52:4 66:3
44:7 56:16	participating	70:8	norenactiva	
11.7 00.10	46:7		perspective 11:8 28:19	planned 22:24
		payments 51:8	1 11.0 20.19	49:21

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-057-01 - 08/22/2016 Index: plant..Questar

ninary 7:4 aration 28:12 ared 7:12 27:15 4 ence 7 19:12 ent 7:17 6 27:15	<pre>procedure 8:9 procedures 25:8 proceed 30:2 46:12 proceeding 41:21 process 17:6 25:10 29:11,23 30:16 31:5 38:21 64:22 68:12</pre>	31:12 32:8,10 46:14 55:17 56:23 <b>provides</b> 17:19 39:5 53:17 58:9 <b>providing</b> 32:11,20 33:5 44:1,23 63:4 64:13 <b>provision</b>	Q qualifications 9:11 qualitative 11:9 quality 51:24 52:1 66:1
aration         28:12         ared       7:12         27:15         4         ence         7       19:12         ent       7:17         6       27:15	25:8 proceed 30:2 46:12 proceeding 41:21 process 17:6 25:10 29:11,23 30:16 31:5 38:21 64:22	56:23 <b>provides</b> 17:19 39:5 53:17 58:9 <b>providing</b> 32:11,20 33:5 44:1,23 63:4 64:13	qualifications 9:11 qualitative 11:9 quality 51:24 52:1 66:1
28:12 ared 7:12 27:15 4 ence 7 19:12 ent 7:17 6 27:15 entation	25:8 proceed 30:2 46:12 proceeding 41:21 process 17:6 25:10 29:11,23 30:16 31:5 38:21 64:22	<b>provides</b> 17:19 39:5 53:17 58:9 <b>providing</b> 32:11,20 33:5 44:1,23 63:4 64:13	9:11 qualitative 11:9 quality 51:24 52:1 66:1
28:12 ared 7:12 27:15 4 ence 7 19:12 ent 7:17 6 27:15 entation	proceed 30:2 46:12 proceeding 41:21 process 17:6 25:10 29:11,23 30:16 31:5 38:21 64:22	39:5 53:17 58:9 <b>providing</b> 32:11,20 33:5 44:1,23 63:4 64:13	9:11 qualitative 11:9 quality 51:24 52:1 66:1
27:15 4 ence 7 19:12 ent 7:17 6 27:15 entation	46:12 proceeding 41:21 process 17:6 25:10 29:11,23 30:16 31:5 38:21 64:22	39:5 53:17 58:9 <b>providing</b> 32:11,20 33:5 44:1,23 63:4 64:13	<b>qualitative</b> 11:9 <b>quality</b> 51:24 52:1 66:1
27:15 4 ence 7 19:12 ent 7:17 6 27:15 entation	proceeding 41:21 process 17:6 25:10 29:11,23 30:16 31:5 38:21 64:22	<b>providing</b> 32:11,20 33:5 44:1,23 63:4 64:13	11:9 <b>quality</b> 51:24 52:1 66:1
4 mce 7 19:12 ent 7:17 6 27:15 entation	41:21 process 17:6 25:10 29:11,23 30:16 31:5 38:21 64:22	32:11,20 33:5 44:1,23 63:4 64:13	11:9 <b>quality</b> 51:24 52:1 66:1
ence 7 19:12 ent 7:17 6 27:15 entation	<b>process</b> 17:6 25:10 29:11,23 30:16 31:5 38:21 64:22	32:11,20 33:5 44:1,23 63:4 64:13	quality 51:24 52:1 66:1
7 19:12 ent 7:17 6 27:15 entation	25:10 29:11,23 30:16 31:5 38:21 64:22	44:1,23 63:4 64:13	52:1 66:1
ent 7:17 6 27:15 entation	25:10 29:11,23 30:16 31:5 38:21 64:22	64:13	
6 27:15	30:16 31:5 38:21 64:22	provision	quantifiable
6 27:15		provision	quantifiable
entation	68:12	10.10 50.10 11	47:1
		12:18 58:12,14 69:18 70:5	quarterly 27:3
	program	09.1070.5	36:11,17 51:25
	program 49:15,21 69:20	provisions	67:2,7,14
	,	11:6 21:4,11	
enting	progress	22:15 23:15	<b>Questar</b> 4:6,7,
1	26:22,24	46:16 51:13	13 7:14 10:3
ents 56:1	projects 49:17	52:6 53:2 57:1	11:2,10,13
		prudent 68:13	12:8 13:3 18:3,
dent 8:16	<b>propose</b> 25:18,	<b>public</b> 4:4 9:23	13,17 19:8,12, 16,20,22 20:5,
28:9	23	11:14 27:6	7,11,22 21:5,
43:22	proposed 4:7	44:10 45:21	16,24 22:2,5,9,
sures 36:1	5:23 46:15,19,	46:3 52:23	12,17,22,25
	24 53:6 55:3	53:11,18	23:12,23 24:8,
<b>ous</b> 28:25	57:14	57:11,15 65:14	22 25:11 26:7
1	protect 13:25	67:5 71:14,20	27:25 28:10,20
ously	55:22 56:19	72:4,6	29:12 30:15,19
32:10	natestica	pulled 69:15	31:9,18 32:2,
46:21	protection	pulled 09.15	25 33:1,14
1	14:19,21 15:12 16:14 25:2	purpose 46:18	34:18,20 35:1,
arily 64:4	52:9	pursuant 5:25	8 37:13,14
•		-	39:7 40:14,16, 19,25 41:12,
ary 50:2	•	pushed 45:11	21,24 42:20
49:14		put 22:15	43:10 46:25
4 68:2	14 47:2,14	24:14 34:14	47:2,8,23,24
2	provide 11:9	36:25 39:5	48:2,4,6,11,24
	13:14 18:2,16,	41:3 42:14	49:3,14,22
bly 61.05	25 19:11,23	44:7 69:19	50:4,5,11,17,
ably 61:25	24:15 27:7	putting 43:24	18,24 51:4,8,
<b>em</b> 66:1,		65:2	24 52:3,10,13,
•	52:9,17 56:24		19,23 53:9,13
<b>em</b> 66:1, 72:14			55:4 61:14
	49:14 4 68:2 2 ably 61:25 em 66:1, 72:14	49:14       10:20,25 13:2, 14 47:2,14         4 68:2       provide 11:9         2       13:14 18:2,16, 25 19:11,23         em 66:1,       24:15 27:7	49:14       10:20,25 13:2, 14 47:2,14       put 22:15         2       provide 11:9       36:25 39:5         ably 61:25       13:14 18:2,16, 25 19:11,23       44:7 69:19         em 66:1, 72:14       24:15 27:7 47:1,14 51:6 52:9,17 56:24       putting 43:24 65:2

HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-057-01 - 08/22/2016 Index: Ouestar's..remove

			Index: Ques	star'sremove
62:2,6,7,8	9,11,23 50:12	34:1,16 36:13,	reductions	7:8,11 8:11,12,
63:1,10 67:23	51:12 56:12,19	22 37:12 38:1	20:3	13,18 9:6
Questar's	57:6 60:1,16	40:11,20,24	<b>refer</b> 14:10	44:16 59:2,5
11:24 12:11,19	67:14,21 70:8,	41:12 60:5,7		70:13
20:25	22,24,25 71:4	recognized	reference 68:7	reject 46:24
question 53:15	rated 21:23	32:2,19 33:3	references	related 11:2
59:20 60:18	ratepayers	36:9	18:7	16:2,16 19:4
61:12 63:21	55:22	recognizing	roforrod 50.11	29:15 30:14
69:11 70:17	55.22	41:19 68:10	referred 58:11, 24 61:15	32:18 33:18
03.1170.17	rates 13:1,3,5,	41.13 00.10	24 01.15	34:15 39:18
questions	8 15:7 17:20	recom 57:17	referring 69:8	40:8 42:1
7:18,25 8:2,3,	18:1 34:17,24	recommend	roforo 67.0.00	68:20
7,8 27:4,18	37:22 38:18	27:9,10 44:9	refers 67:2,23	00.20
44:14,25 45:14	40:19,25 42:6	46:23 49:9	68:1	relatedly 26:20
53:1,22 57:24	48:17 49:7,10	40.23 49.9	refile 60:16	relates 39:8
59:3,5,6,8,10,	57:15	recommendati	71:5	40:6,21 41:4
12,16,18 61:7	Rather 56:3	<b>on</b> 44:6 47:3	rofing 11.11	43:4,7 69:4
66:24 67:1		57:18	refine 41:11	43.4,7 03.4
70:11	rating 50:23,24	recommended	reflect 46:9	relations 62:21
quick 71:18	ratings 22:1	55:21	64:17	relevant 52:21
quickly 60:21	ratio 22:25	recommends	reflecting	reliability
		53:12 57:20	54:22	49:18
quite 36:14	reach 43:9		rofroshing	45.10
quote 35:10	58:14 65:13	record 7:23	refreshing 29:10	reliable 19:18
<b>quoto</b> 00.10	read 9:23	19:21 27:23	29.10	20:1 63:5
		28:6 46:1	regard 20:9	remain 19:16
R	realize 32:21	54:13 61:20	regarding	23:22,23 27:17
	really 14:24	records 41:8	regarding 54:23 57:18	38:12 44:13
raised 14:3	18:20,22 68:20	52:12	61:13 63:24	45:14
15:13,20 47:18	10.20,22 00.20	52.12	65:17	-5.1-
56:7	reasonable	recovered	00.17	remaining
	30:19 36:3	48:17	regular 51:18	17:13
range 21:20	44:10 56:2	recovery 16:23	regulated 26:3,	remains 63:23
22:2	57:15	33:15 34:23,25	10 55:12	
rare 11:19	reasons 46:20	39:19 50:11	10 33.12	remediation
		56:10	regulators	36:23 52:3
rate 10:25	rebuttal 61:13		41:13 42:3	66:3
13:1,14,19,21	receiving 63:1	reduce 37:16	regulators'	remember
14:5,7,14 15:3,	-	reduced 11:24,	41:25	39:22
9 17:9,14,20	recently 48:3	25 32:22,24	71.20	
25:25 30:6,7,	57:6		regulatory	remote 23:6
17,25 31:19	recognition	reducing 30:22	8:16 9:8,16	removal 38:9
34:3,5 38:2	60:10	reduction	12:5 28:9,23	51:15
39:1 48:3,5,10,		18:17	<b>Reid</b> 4:15,16	
14 49:1,3,4,5,	recognize		1.10,10	remove 35:17
	1	1	1	

HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-057-01 - 08/22/2016 Index: repeat..serve

			IIIUEX.	repeatserve
repeat 46:17	resolution	review 48:20		scenario 23:1,
replacing	27:11 43:9	54:17	S	10,11
38:25	56:2 58:14	reviewed 51:13		Schmid 4:21
30.25				
report 26:18,25	resolve 43:18	54:20 55:16	sacrificing	44:20,21
27:1,3 42:19	resolved 64:10	<b>Rex</b> 5:3	12:21	45:17,18,24
			safe 19:18 20:1	53:21,24,25
reporter 7:20	resolving 66:5,	Richard 55:10	63:5	54:11 59:7,8
	19	<b>_</b>	03.5	65:8 72:8,9
reporting 11:3		Richmond	safety 11:14	
26:12,16,20,21	Resources 4:7,	4:16	49:18	scrutinized
36:10,17 42:6	8,18 8:17 9:3,8	ring 10:19		55:14
63:25 65:3	41:17 46:24		said 23:13 24:8	seated 7:22
69:1	52:16 53:13	21:3,8,11,17	64:20 66:16	Sealeu 7.22
	55:4	22:6,14 52:5	68:11	second 11:22
reports 67:2,4,		53:1 56:21		14:8 20:20
11	respect 19:12	rise 15:23	Salt 19:16	56:17
represent 4.10	59:22 60:22,24	1136 13.23	52:14 62:3	00.17
represent 4:18		risk 11:25	same 8:2 25:19	section 68:19
representation	responding	35:17 51:16		1 (0.00
40:16	41:25	55:19	29:2 43:11	seek 16:23
	responses	00.10	51:23 61:15	30:24 33:14
representative	55:16	risks 56:25	66:20 70:2	34:23,25 35:8,
<b>s</b> 47:8 53:5	33.10	57:2	72:22	16 38:14 48:2
1 40 00	responsibilities	<b>D</b> : 40.40.44	satisfaction	50:11 65:10
request 13:20	12:14 29:2	<b>River</b> 43:13,14		66:6 68:1
16:25 45:1		Rives 4:11	12:16 51:23	
59:25 70:4	responsible		64:1	seeking 35:20
71:17,23	35:4 36:20	Rocky 10:5	satisfactory	39:19 69:1
requested	51:21	role 20:7 24:1	65:13	seem 29:20
16:21 48:7	restate 6:21			<b>Sco</b> m 20.20
		roles 9:14	satisfied 52:8	senior 8:16 9:7
53:11	result 13:3,6		53:9 70:15	61:23
requesting	15:14 47:25	Ron 20:13		
16:25 17:1	50:15 57:14	room 68:18	save 7:25 8:5,7	sense 7:25
	61:2		savings 37:2,9	sentences
requests 42:1	01.2	rules 5:25	47:25	68:1
55:16 65:10	results 32:12			
require 70.1		ruling 71:19	say 13:5 15:2	separate
require 70:1	retain 48:11	72:4	18:21 20:15	21:15,17 41:8
requirement	retained 55:9	run 13:7	23:5 24:7 25:2	52:11,12
30:9			31:16 33:10	
	return 18:15	running 62:10		separated 21:6
requirements	30:20 36:3	Russell 5:16	saying 16:19	separately
11:3 12:4	48:12,14,25		19:19 29:19	21:23
26:12,16 63:25	69:5	58:20,21	69:25	21.20
reelden#el		59:15,16	says 24:10	September
residential	revenue 30:9	72:21,22	<b>Says</b> 24.10	72:1
55:8	reverse 71:2		scale 11:23	
			24:25 62:18,23	serve 52:18
			, ·	
				•

40:6,7,21 43:2

49:25 50:2,21

shareholder

18:12

similarly 29:21

**simply** 68:14

**since** 36:10

IIEARTIN	FROCEDINGS	DOCKET NO. 10		estipulation
service 4:4	shareholders	47:6 50:3	25 24:4,9	start 4:9 66:21
10:24 11:15 12:7 19:18	16:14 52:21	single 50:24	52:17 63:13	started 35:4
20:1 36:12,22	shares 37:13	<b>sir</b> 8:24 19:10	<b>specific</b> 12:15 42:20 46:15	state 8:13 10:6
37:3,5,8 49:25	shaving 69:16	27:19 61:17	47:17 50:8	27:7 28:5
50:21 51:24	short 12:19	situation 11:19	53:1 69:21	45:25 54:12,25
52:2,23 63:1,5, 6 64:12,13	29:19 52:2	22:9 37:20	70:9	stated 20:22
65:20 66:1,8	short-term	70:7	specifically	47:3 52:19
67:3	22:14,18	<b>six</b> 13:13 18:5,	22:16 29:15	statement
		10 38:2	33:11,13 34:3	44:23 46:9
services 5:5	shortfall 36:21		37:11 40:2,8	54:22 61:12
9:24 14:23,25	should 8:4	slash 68:14	41:18 69:8,14,	
15:10 16:19 20:3 25:9 36:4	10:9 27:21	<b>slip</b> 64:19	15	states 29:3
37:13 40:6,7,	28:15 37:2	-	specifics 19:16	60:5
21 43:2 50:2	48:15 52:25	slipping 66:2	specifies 48:16	statutory 55:6
54:8,15 55:2,9	65:14	small 55:8	spending	stay 7:21 32:15
	shouldn't 65:3	solutions	49:13,15,16,	-
sets 40:25		65:13	20,21	stay-out 17:8
setting 34:17	<b>show</b> 24:6		spent 21:9	67:21
-	33:21 34:9	solving 29:20	23:13	staying 28:22
settlement 10:7 11:1	35:14,23	68:13	anakan <sup>0,1</sup>	
12:20 13:1	showing 50:14	something	spoken 8:1 33:8 39:18	<b>Steel</b> 10:4
27:5,10 46:7	<b>shows</b> 32:10,	16:9 31:9	59:3	step 33:7
47:7 53:7	14	60:10,25 66:10		steps 65:15
54:18,21 55:24		69:7 70:9	stability 17:20	Steps 05.15
56:1,22 57:8,	signatories	sometime 32:1	stabilizes	Steve 5:13
13,21 59:23	10:5		18:22	still 30:18
60:2 65:24	signed 58:23	sometimes	-1-55 40-0	38:14 56:11
several 40:12		36:10	staff 10:2	71:3
44:4 55:15,19,	signers 36:7	sorry 8:18 18:6	stakeholders	
21	37:1	41:9 45:11	52:21	stipulation
	significant	72:13	stand-alone	7:14,16 9:19, 21 10:6,7,9
severance-	49:10 51:16	sort 38:19	12:17	11:1,6 12:21
related 16:18	68:9	63:17		13:1,2,14
share 11:12	significantly		standard 26:8	15:11 16:3
shared 11:25	38:4	sought 33:20	32:7	17:19 18:10
14:22,25 15:10		sources 55:22	standards	19:3,11 21:4
16:19 20:3,4	signing 70:23		26:11 36:12,	23:4 26:14,23
25:9 37:3,8	similar 29:12	speak 6:10	13,22 52:1,3	27:1,5,10,22
40.6 7 21 43.2		39:8	64:1,12,13,14,	28:12,15 30:1,

Litigation Services 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

speaking

38:16 68:21

**special** 23:19,

65:21,23 66:1,

16,19,20,22

8 67:3

28:12,15 30:1,

3,24 32:9

36:7 37:2

33:13 34:14

39:12,13 44:9,

Index: Stoel..today

			Index.	SLOELLODAY
24 46:10,15,19	<b>sum</b> 17:18	taken 27:6	territory 63:6	56:21
47:12,17,21	summarize	31:15 39:4	test 17:13	tho 64:8
48:15 50:7	17:18 39:7	53:17		
52:7,20 53:8,		takes 38:5	testified 9:4	Thomas 8:15
17 54:25 55:24	summary 41:3,		28:1 45:22	9:1,7
56:4,6,22	5 53:5,14 56:4	talk 11:20	54:9	thought 39:4
57:11,14,19,21	61:16	24:18 32:6	testify 7:13	-
58:22,25 60:2	supplement	43:17		three 11:11
61:3 65:25	6:14	talked 15:8	testifying 7:15	17:6,21 24:8
70:23 71:1,3,5,	0.14	25:5 39:22	teetimens, 5.05	33:24 36:18
7	support 44:23		testimony 5:25	37:22 38:4
<b>Stoel</b> 4:11	46:10,19	40:4,18 42:16	6:4,12,15,23	44:22 45:2,4,
<b>SIDEI</b> 4.11	58:10,22,25	43:1 60:11	7:2 46:18,21	14
stories 29:4		62:16 64:6	47:6,19 55:18	
	supported	talks 67:21	56:7 58:9,11	through 13:16
stream 20:21	49:22		61:13 65:22	21:12 23:15
strengthen	supportive	target 21:25	72:7	25:3,6,10
47:9	22:1	targeting 21:25	than 12:17	30:13 32:13
	22.1	50:23	13:8 22:7,8	34:25 35:1
strengthened	supports 55:24	50.25	38:5 51:1 56:3	40:19 43:20
47:20	56:18 57:5	tariff 42:7		44:4 48:17
strister 00.0	00.40	51:11	62:3 63:14	66:20,21 69:20
stricter 22:8	suppose 60:10		their 21:7	the second second
stringent 22:7	supposed 31:2	task 65:7	29:19,20 34:12	throughout
-		tax 15:13 42:7	44:3	9:13
strive 58:14	Supposing			time 7:16 9:13,
strong 50:23	68:16	taxes 15:16,17	themselves	15 13:8 15:3,6
-	suspended	30:8 40:9,22	37:19	16:23 17:11,24
stuck 62:12	51:12	technical	thereafter	20:23 21:10
subject 25:25	51.12	39:22 46:3	26:19 27:3	23:13 24:25
40:22 61:4	swear 8:19,23	33.22 40.3	20.10 27.0	25:14 26:1
63:22	45:5	technically	thereof 55:20	35:9,19 36:14,
05.22	GWORD 0.2 20.4	69:3	thing 24.14	18 38:15 39:17
submitted 5:25	sworn 9:3 28:1	tolonkor - 50 f	thing 24:14	42:18 45:4
55:15	45:2,21 54:8	telephone 53:4	25:7 30:20	46:17 48:6
	system 32:3	tend 63:9	42:12,16 43:2,	49:6 53:22
subsets 14:22	•		4 65:8 66:4,14	49.6 53.22 54:1 56:11
subsidiaries	systems 16:17,	tendency	70:2 71:10,20	64:21 66:11
24:21 42:3	18 43:10	31:16	things 12:11	
		tends 63:14	14:23,24 29:6,	67:15 69:1
subsidiary	т		14 33:18 40:12	70:9 71:11
52:15 57:4		term 13:12	42:5 43:24	72:8
such 15:7		terms 10:9	62:21,22 63:4	timing 59:21
16:11,17	table 5:5		65:16	
10.11,17	take 22:24	11:1,7 27:5,12		today 5:10
sudden 32:25	30:14 54:17	28:14 53:8	thinking 35:4	10:8 12:17
oufficient 47.4	62:22 65:15	59:21	third 12:10	46:18 58:24
sufficient 47:1	02.22 00.10			59:4

HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-057-01 - 08/22/2016 Index: together..White

				getnerwhite
together 30:3 34:14 36:25 39:5 41:3 44:7 65:3 told 43:21 tonight's 72:24 took 31:13 total 16:14 50:13,20 totally 35:12 touched 41:5 track 19:21	try 31:18 33:17         35:5,16 36:2         37:16         trying 29:8         36:5 63:16         turn 12:24         two 10:15         11:19 13:25         16:17 17:6         20:9 21:2 27:4         33:4 38:11         68:4         typical 62:20	17:16 35:9,21 39:15 unlikely 14:20 23:1 48:8,23 until 8:1 13:21 25:13 44:13 49:4,7,11 51:12 67:14 unusual 70:21 update 36:16 64:22 updated 36:14 64:15	39:20 values 11:12 variation 35:6 49:20 various 9:13 39:23 56:18 verify 33:6 veto 24:3 vice 8:16 9:7 28:9 view 17:19 69:6	25:15 29:16 30:8 44:3 62:1, 13 66:21 69:19,20 ways 39:23 43:18 website 43:25 week-to-week 62:9 weeks 60:24 weigh 70:3 72:3,6
				went 35:25
tracker 38:22 trail 39:12 41:16		updating 63:25 upstream 22:9	Virginia 4:16 voluntary 23:11 24:1,9	Wexpro 11:2 32:20 34:20,25 37:14 39:8,10,
transact 26:2	UAE 5:17 58:21	usage 38:3	vote 24:3,4	11,14,18 40:1,
	ultimate 57:17	<b>use</b> 25:12	<b>VOIC</b> 24.0,4	5 50:4,18 51:8 64:25
transaction 16:5,10 18:8 33:9 48:16,22	ultimate 37.17 ultimately 20:17,23 26:1	used 25:19 Users 9:25	w	64:25 Wexpro's 32:25
57:3 63:25	33:1 43:16	usual 19:19	Wagstaff 62:6	whatever
transactions 64:24 65:1,18	50:5 under 26:18	<b>Utah</b> 9:24 27:7 45:20 46:3	walk 13:15	65:15 66:6
transfer 22:10	39:2 58:13 understand	47:15 48:19 51:20 60:11,13	walking 30:13 62:12	Wheelwright 4:23 44:22
transfers 22:12 transition 16:5, 15 17:2,3,5,10 18:8 33:19	10:12 29:8 68:3 understanding	61:2,4 utilities 9:23 45:21 46:4 55:12	want 6:15 7:7 8:4 15:14,20 24:13 32:7 35:12 37:19	45:4,7,19,25 46:2 53:15,21 64:11 69:10, 12,23
34:2,4 36:18	67:13,20 68:4		38:7 40:1	Wheelwright's
48:18,22 56:9, 10	undertaken 54:19	utility 11:18 30:20 33:17 35:5 36:1	42:19 64:16,19 65:20 68:3 70:6,16	65:19 whether 31:25
transparent 63:2	unforeseeable 35:11	54:14,16 utility's 52:22	wanted 41:15 58:3 61:20	while 12:11 23:6 48:6 49:4
transportation 43:15	unique 28:22		67:19 70:14	52:5 56:25 65:12
43.15 treasury 62:20	42:20 United 29:3	V	wants 6:9	White 59:17,19
truth 8:23 45:6	unless 8:7	value 19:23	way 8:2,10 17:19 19:22	60:17,22 61:7 70:15,18 71:8,

Index: whole..yourself

24	63:3,9,10	46:22 59:3		
whole 14:24	64:21 65:12	63:21	Y	
27:6 39:4	67:15,23 68:1	Wobbe 42:11		
53:17	70:22 72:5		year 17:6 19:6,	
	willing 7:17	Wohlfarth 7:12	7 33:25 38:2	
wholly 52:15	65:15 66:2	8:15,21,22 9:1,	48:1	
will 6:3 7:2 8:6	win 18:20	7,9 11:5 12:24 18:1 21:3 23:2	years 9:13,16	
10:23 11:9,20,	WIII 10.20	24:18 26:2	17:21 28:24	
23 12:2,7,13	win-win-win	27:16 29:25	36:18 37:22	
13:2,5,18,25	18:21	33:8 41:4	38:4 64:14	
14:12,13,14	withdraw	42:16 43:1		
15:2,5,6 16:12,	13:19 30:25	61:5,15,17,25	<b>yet</b> 63:11	
13 17:2,11,12,	48:3 60:6	66:14	yourself 10:13	
15,21 18:12,		wondered 04-0	-	
14,16,25	withdrawal	wondered 64:3		
19:16,17 20:1, 7,13,15,18,22	13:24 14:5,7	wonderful		
21:4,5,17 22:4,	15:9 30:6 48:4, 10 49:2 57:6	71:20		
10,22,23	59:22,25	Wood's 61:13		
23:18,20,22,23	70:24,25	<b>WOOUS</b> 01.13		
24:1,15,20,21		Woods 4:16		
25:1,11,12,14,	withdrawing	word 68:11		
16 26:6,19,21,	30:17 71:4			
25 27:2,6	withdrawn	words 63:15		
30:15,16,21,24	16:25 60:13	work 43:13,17		
31:9,17 33:23	70:22	54:13,16 63:22		
34:11,23,24	with in 10.5 10	64:6 65:16,19		
35:4,8,15,20	within 18:5,10 20:6 30:23	66:20,21		
36:15,17,23	43:25 66:9	worked 29:22		
37:9,10 38:19,	43.23 00.9	worked 29.22		
21,22 39:3,14,	without 6:1	working 21:10		
19 40:3,14,18,	12:21 22:13	22:19 23:14		
20 41:23,24	47:16 48:20	25:6,10 26:15		
42:10,13,17,21 43:1,3,13,16,	50:14 71:4	43:1 61:6		
43:1,3,13,16, 24 44:1,22,25	witness 4:25	66:17		
46:17 47:25	7:9 8:4,19,21,	works 7:19		
48:2,5,16,19,	24 9:2 27:19,	71:18,23		
24 49:3,8,16,	25 44:15 45:20			
19,21 50:9,11,	54:7 58:3,21	world 26:9		
19,25 51:2,4,7,	71:14,20 72:4,	written 46:21		
16,24 52:3,10,	7	Wyoming		
11,13,15,20	witnesses 4:24	Wyoming 60:11,23 61:1		
56:9,12,24	6:2 7:21 8:1	71:6,7,22,25		
57:14 60:20	27:17 44:22	11.0,1,22,20		
62:6,7,12,17	45:2,15,16			
1	1	1	1	1