## In the Matter Of:

Questar Gas Company and Dominion Resources, Inc. - Proposed Merger

## PUBLIC HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-057-01

August 22, 2016

*Job Number:* 298772-*B* 

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Joint )
Notice and Application of ) Docket No. 16-057-01
Questar Gas company and )
Dominion Resources, Inc., ) PUBLIC WITNESS
of Proposed Merger of ) HEARING
Questar Corporation and )
Dominion Resources, Inc. )

August 22, 2016 5:18 p.m.

Location: Public Service Commission 160 East 300 South, 4th Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84111 (801) 530-6769

Reporter: Teri Hansen Cronenwett Certified Realtime Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter

Job No.: 298772-B

Page 2
APPEARANCES

For Questar Gas: Gregory B. Monson

STOEL RIVES LLP

201 S. Main Street, Suite 1100

Salt Lake City, UT 84111

(801) 578-6946 gbmonson@stoel.com

For Dominion Brian Burnett Resources: Brian W. Burnett

CALLISTER NEBEKER McCULLOUGH
10 East South Temple, Suite 900

Salt Lake City, Utah 84133

(801) 530-7428 (801) 364-9127 Fax

Brianburnett@cnmlaw.com

For Division of Public

Utilities:

Patricia E. Schmid Utah Attorney General's Office 160 East 300 South, 5th Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0857

(801) 366-0353 pschmid@utah.gov

For the Office of Consumer Services:

Rex W. Olsen

Utah Attorney General's Office 160 East 300 South, 5th Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0857

(801) 366-0137 rolsen@utah.gov

1	Page 3 August 22, 2016 5:18 p.m.
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Good afternoon. We are here
4	in Public Service Commission Docket 16-057-01, in the
5	matter of the joint notice and application of Questar
6	Gas Company and Dominion Resources Incorporated of
7	proposed merger of Questar Corporation and Dominion
8	Resources Inc.
9	This is the time and place for the public
10	witness hearing that was noticed in this docket. I
11	understand that Ms. Murray from the office has a sign-in
12	sheet, and I just want to inquire if we had have we
13	had any interest from anyone from the public who wants
14	to address this docket?
15	MS. MURRAY: No, we haven't.
16	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: We haven't and still don't.
17	Okay. We had indicated in our notice that we would
18	attempt to give anyone who was here by around 5:15 an
19	opportunity to speak. It doesn't look like we have any.
20	I'll go ahead and move to the motion we had
21	had earlier today. We had a motion for bench ruling,
22	and I'll just briefly ask those who are present if they
23	have any additional comment besides the ones you made
24	this morning on it. Mr. Monson or Mr. Burnett?
25	MR. BURNETT: No, your Honor.

1	Page 4 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Ms. Schmid?
2	MS. SCHMID: Nothing further.
3	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Moore?
4	MR. OLSEN: Nothing
5	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: I mean, Mr. Olsen. Twice
6	today. Mr. Olsen?
7	MR. OLSEN: That works. Nothing further.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. Well, we
10	will move on then to our ruling on that motion. We
11	recognize that this docket involves a significant issue
12	of long-term significance to rate payers in Utah, and we
13	hope that our consideration of a motion for a bench
14	ruling does not signal a lack of appreciation for the
15	significance of this docket, but instead demonstrates a
16	recognition of and a respect for the significant hard
17	work that diverse parties have put into this docket and
18	have put into the settlement stipulation.
19	We find that the application and testimony
20	filed in this docket demonstrate the importance of the
21	variety of interests that participate in the negotiation
22	and execution of the settlement stipulation. We commend
23	all the parties to this docket for responsibly pursuing
24	the interests required by their roles and their stake
25	holders, and we find that the parties to this settlement

Page 5 1 stipulation have resolved many significant issues and 2 have identified a process to continue to address 3 additional differences. We find that the record and evidence in this 4 5 docket support the unopposed contention of the parties to the settlement stipulation in paragraph 58, that the 6 settlement is in the public interest and that the 7 results are just and reasonable. 8 9 We note the statement of joint applicants on 10 jurisdiction and standard for approval that was filed in 11 this docket on March 10th, and we have applied the legal 12 standard articulated by the parties in the statement of joint applicants, namely that Utah Code Title 54, 13 Chapter 4, Sections 1, 25, 28, 29 and 30 may apply in 14 15 determining whether our approval of the proposed merger is required by Utah law. 16 17 And the relevant legal standards for approval are that the merger is in the public interest, meaning 18 that it must provide a net positive benefit to the 19 20 public, and the joint applicants have the necessary 21 financial, managerial and technical qualifications to 22 operate the public utility. 23 We conclude based on the application and testimony in this docket, the representations of the 24 parties at the hearing, and the settlement stipulation, 25

1	Page 6 that the settlement stipulation provides a net positive
2	benefit to the public and is in the public interest.
3	We conclude, based on the record in this
4	docket, that the joint applicants have the necessary
5	financial, managerial and technical qualifications to
6	operate the public utility, and we approve the
7	settlement stipulation that was filed in this docket on
8	August 15th, 2016, and indicate our intention to issue a
9	written order memorializing this bench ruling within a
10	reasonable time following this hearing.
11	Is there anything else from any party today?
12	Mr. Monson?
13	MR. MONSON: Thank you.
14	MR. BURNETT: We appreciate it. Thank you so
15	much.
16	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
17	MR. OLSEN: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. This hearing is
19	adjourned. Thank you all.
20	
21	(The hearing concluded at 5:22 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	

1	Page 7 CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF UTAH )
3	COUNTY OF SALT LAKE )
4	THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the foregoing proceedings
5	were taken before me, Teri Hansen Cronenwett, Certified
6	Realtime Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary
7	Public in and for the State of Utah.
8	That the proceedings were reported by me in
9	Stenotype, and thereafter transcribed by computer under
10	my supervision, and that a full, true, and correct
11	transcription is set forth in the foregoing pages,
12	numbered 3 through 6 inclusive.
13	I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise
14	associated with any of the parties to said cause of
15	action, and that I am not interested in the event
16	thereof.
17	WITNESS MY HAND and official seal at Salt Lake
18	City, Utah, this 31st day of August, 2016.
19	J 11 N
20	Teri Hansen Cronenwett, CRR, RMR
21	License No. 91-109812-7801
22	My commission expires: January 19, 2019
23	Uailuary 19, 2019
24	
25	

	afternoon 3:3	3:3,16 4:1,3,5,		4:20
1	ahead 3:20	9	E	Incorporated
<b>1</b> 5:14 <b>10th</b> 5:11	all 4:23 anyone 3:13, 18	Chapter 5:14 Code 5:13 commend 4:22	earlier 3:21 evidence 5:4	3:6 indicated 3:17 inquire 3:12
<b>16-057-01</b> 3:4	<b>applicants</b> 5:9, 13,20	comment 3:23 Commission	execution 4:22	instead 4:15
2	<b>application</b> 3:5 4:19 5:23	3:4  Company 3:6	F	5:7,18 interests 4:21,
<b>2016</b> 3:1 <b>22</b> 3:1	applied 5:11	conclude 5:23	<b>filed</b> 4:20 5:10 <b>financial</b> 5:21	24
<b>25</b> 5:14 <b>28</b> 5:14	apply 5:14 appreciation 4:14	consideration 4:13	find 4:19,25 5:4	into 4:17,18 involves 4:11
<b>29</b> 5:14	approval 5:10, 15,17	contention 5:5 continue 5:2	further 4:2,7	issue 4:11 issues 5:1
3	around 3:18	Corporation 3:7	G	
<b>30</b> 5:14	articulated 5:12	D	<b>Gas</b> 3:6 <b>give</b> 3:18	joint 3:5 5:9,
4	attempt 3:18 August 3:1	demonstrate 4:20	Good 3:3	jurisdiction 5:10
<b>4</b> 5:14	В	demonstrates 4:15	Н	
5	based 5:23	determining 5:15	hard 4:16 hearing 3:10	lack 4:14
<b>54</b> 5:13 <b>58</b> 5:6	bench 3:21 4:13	differences 5:3	5:25 <b>here</b> 3:3,18	law 5:16
<b>5:15</b> 3:18 <b>5:18</b> 3:1	benefit 5:19 besides 3:23	diverse 4:17 docket 3:4,10, 14 4:11,15,17,	holders 4:25 Honor 3:25	legal 5:11,17 LEVAR 3:3,16 4:1,3,5,9
A	briefly 3:22 Burnett 3:24,	20,23 5:5,11, 24	hope 4:13	like 3:19
additional 3:23		Dominion 3:6,	1	long-term 4:12
5:3 <b>address</b> 3:14 5:2	CHAIRMAN		identified 5:2	M made 3:23

managerial		5:21	sheet 3:12	unopposed 5:5
5:21	O	Questar 3:5,7	sign-in 3:11	<b>Utah</b> 4:12 5:13,
many 5:1  March 5:11	office 3:11 Olsen 4:4,5,6,7	R	signal 4:14 significance	16 utility 5:22
matter 3:5 may 5:14 mean 4:5 meaning 5:18 merger 3:7 5:15,18 Monson 3:24 Moore 4:3 morning 3:24 motion 3:20,21 4:10,13 move 3:20 4:10	ones 3:23 operate 5:22 opportunity 3:19  P  p.m. 3:1 paragraph 5:6 participate 4:21 parties 4:17,	rate 4:12 reasonable 5:8 recognition 4:16 recognize 4:11 record 5:4 relevant 5:17 representation s 5:24 required 4:24 5:16 resolved 5:1	4:12,15  significant 4:11,16 5:1  speak 3:19  stake 4:24  standard 5:10, 12  standards 5:17  statement 5:9, 12  still 3:16  stipulation 4:18,22 5:1,6,	variety 4:21  W want 3:12 wants 3:13 whether 5:15 will 4:10 witness 3:10 work 4:17
Murray 3:11,15 must 5:19  N  namely 5:13 necessary 5:20 negotiation 4:21 net 5:19 note 5:9 Nothing 4:2,4, 7 notice 3:5,17 noticed 3:10	23,25 5:5,12, 25  payers 4:12  place 3:9  positive 5:19  present 3:22  process 5:2  proposed 3:7 5:15  provide 5:19  public 3:4,9,13 5:7,18,20,22  pursuing 4:23  put 4:17,18  Q  qualifications	Resources 3:6, 8 respect 4:16 responsibly 4:23 results 5:8 roles 4:24 ruling 3:21 4:10,14  S Schmid 4:1,2 Sections 5:14 Service 3:4 settlement 4:18,22,25 5:6, 7,25	25 support 5:5  T technical 5:21 testimony 4:19 5:24 their 4:24 time 3:9 Title 5:13 today 3:21 4:6 Twice 4:5  U understand 3:11	works 4:7