In the Matter Of:

In Re: DEU - CET Account, Low Income Assistance, EE Account, & Infrastructure R

HEARING , DOCKET NO. 17-057-15, 16, 17, 18

September 29, 2017

Job Number: 420303

1	BEFORE THE	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH
2 3		OF DOMINION ENERGY UTAH Docket No. 17-057-15 THE CONSERVATION
4	ENABLING TA	RIFF BALANCING ACCOUNT
5	FOR A TARIF TO THE LOW	OF DOMINION ENERGY UTAH Docket No. 17-057-16 F CHANGE AND ADJUSTMENT INCOME ASSISTANCE/ STANCE RATE
7 8	TO AMORTIZE	OF DOMINION ENERGY UTAH Docket No. 17-057-17 THE ENERGY EFFICIENCY COUNT BALANCE
9 10		OF DOMINION ENERGY UTAH Docket No. 17-057-18 HE INFRASTRUCTURE RATE
11	1120 00 11121(1	
12		
13		HEARING PROCEEDINGS
14	TAKEN AT:	Utah Public Service Commission
15		4th Floor 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, Utah
16		
17	DATE:	Friday, September 29th, 2017
18	TIME:	9:00 a.m.
19	REPORTER:	Mary R. Honigman, R.P.R.
20		LST Job #420303
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	Job No. 42	0303

```
Page 2
 1
    APPEARANCES
 2
    PRESIDING OFFICER:
     Michael Hammer
 3
     FOR THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:
 4
     Patricia E. Schmid
     160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor
     Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
 5
     FOR THE OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES:
 6
     Steven W. Snarr
 7
     160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor
     Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
 8
 9
     FOR DOMINION ENERGY UTAH:
     Jenniffer Nelson Clark
10
     333 South State Street
     Salt Lake City, Utah 84145
11
    (801) 324-5329
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	Page 3
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	KELLY B. MENDENHALL	
4	EXAMINATION BY: MS. CLARK	6
5	JORDAN STEVENSON	
6	EXAMINATION BY: MS. CLARK	15
7	MD. CLARK	10
8	ERIC ORTON EXAMINATION BY:	
9	MS. SCHMID	17
10	GAVIN MANGELSON	
11	EXAMINATION BY: MR. SNARR	25
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	Page 4 PROCEEDINGS:
2	OFFICER HAMMER: Good morning,
3	everyone. This is the time and place noticed for
4	hearing in the Application of Dominion Energy Utah
5	to Amortize the Conservation Enabling Tariff
6	Balancing Account, the Application of Dominion
7	Energy Utah for a Tariff Change and Adjustment to
8	the Low Income Assistance/Energy Assistance Rate,
9	the Application of Dominion Energy Utah to Amortize
10	the Energy Efficiency Deferred Account Balance, and
11	the Application of Dominion Energy Utah to Change
12	the Infrastructure Rate Adjustment. Those are
13	Commission Docket Nos. 17-057-15 through 17-057-17.
14	My name is Michael Hammer and I am the Commission's
15	designated presiding officer. Let's go ahead and
16	take appearances, please.
17	MS. CLARK: Jenniffer Clark. I'm an
18	attorney here on behalf of the Company, and I have
19	with me Mr. Kelly Mendenhall, who will serve as the
20	Company's witness in the 15, 16, and 17 dockets, and
21	Jordan Stevenson, who will serve as the Company's
22	witness in the 18 docket.
23	MS. SCHMID: Good morning.
24	Patricia E. Schmid with the Attorney General's
25	Office for the Division. Eric Orton is the

Page 5 Division's witness for the four dockets. Thank you. 1 2 MR. SNARR: My name is 3 Steven Snarr. I'm an Assistant Attorney General 4 representing the Office of Consumer Services. With me today is Gavin Mangelson, who will serve as the 5 witness or spokesperson for the Office. 6 7 OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you. Before 8 we begin, let me ask the parties how they prefer to 9 proceed with the presentation of evidence. We have four dockets pending. Do we want to run through 10 11 them one at a time, or would the Company prefer to 12 present its evidence with respect to all four 13 dockets at once? 14 MS. CLARK: We're happy to proceed in any fashion the other parties feel would be 15 16 convenient. 17 MS. SCHMID: The Division has no 18 preference. 19 MR. SNARR: We have no preference. 20 OFFICER HAMMER: I suppose my 21 preference would be that we do all four dockets at 2.2 the same time if there's no objection. 23 MS. CLARK: No objection. 24 MS. SCHMID: No objection. 25 MR. SNARR: No objection.

Paqe 6 OFFICER HAMMER: Does Counsel 1 2 anticipate there will any cross-examination? 3 MS. CLARK: I don't plan any for the 4 other witnesses. MS. SCHMID: Nothing from the 5 Division. 6 7 MR. SNARR: Nothing from the Office. OFFICER HAMMER: Then I'll ask that 8 9 the witnesses go ahead and remain seated at Counsel's table for their convenience. With that, I 10 11 think we can begin. Go ahead, Ms. Clark. 12 MS. CLARK: We would like to swear 13 both of our witnesses in, and we can just proceed in sequence. I have Mr. Mendenhall and Mr. Stevenson. 14 KELLY B. MENDENHALL AND JORDAN STEVENSON, 15 having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, were 16 examined and testified as follows: 17 MS. CLARK: If it's all right, we'll 18 start with Mr. Mendenhall and the first three 19 20 dockets that we're discussing today. 21 BY MS. CLARK: 22 Q Can you state your name and business 23 address? 24 My name is Kelly B. Mendenhall, and Α Yes. 25 my business address is 333 South State Street, Salt

Page 7 Lake City, Utah. 1 2 And with regard to the Low Income Q Application, 17-057-16, was this application and the 3 4 accompanying exhibits prepared by you or under your direction? 5 Yes, it was. 6 А 7 0 Could you please summarize the Company's request for relief in this docket? 8 9 А Do you want me to start with the 16 10 docket, or do you want me to start with the 15 11 docket? 12 Q I'm sorry, the 15 docket. My apologies. 13 А In Docket 17-057-15, the Application of 14 Dominion Energy Utah to Amortize the Conservation 15 Enabling Tariff Balancing Account, the Company 16 proposes to leave the current amortization rate at Section 2.08 of the Company's tariff requires 17 zero. the Company to file a CET Amortization Application 18 at least annually with the Commission, and this 19 20 filing meets that requirement. While there is 21 currently an over-collected balance of just under 22 2 million in the balancing account, instead of 23 returning the over-collection to customers at this time, the Company would prefer to use that balance 24 25 to offset under-collections caused by decreased

Page 8 usage per customer. Leaving the amortization rate 1 2 at zero will result in no impact to any customer's bill. And that concludes my summary on that docket. 3 4 MS. CLARK: The Company would move for the admission of the application and 5 accompanying exhibits, DEU Exhibits 1.1, 1.2, and 6 1.3 into the record. 7 They're admitted. 8 OFFICER HAMMER: MS. CLARK: 9 Thank you. 10 BY MS. CLARK: Mr. Mendenhall, now I'd like to move to 11 Q 12 the 16 docket, the Low Income docket. Again, my 13 apologies. Was the application and accompanying 14 exhibits in this docket prepared by you or under your direction? 15 16 Yes, they were. Α Do you have any corrections? 17 0 I do. As mentioned in the Office's 18 А comments on this docket, I have a change in Exhibit 19 20 1.3. Exhibit 1.3 are some -- includes some tariff 21 pages that were standalone tariff pages that showed, 22 for illustrative purposes, what the change to the 23 Energy Assistance rate would be. And as was pointed out and mentioned by the Office, the effective date 24 25 on those tariff sheets was incorrect. So I just

1	Page 9 want to make a change there. On page 2-3, 2-5, 2-6,
2	4-4, 5-9, 5-11, and 5-13, the effective date was
3	incorrectly stated as September 1, 2017, and that
4	should read October 1, 2017. And as I mentioned
5	earlier, the actual tariff sheets we're asking the
6	Commission to approve are shown in Exhibit 1.5 and
7	those tariff sheets are correct. So it was just
8	this set of illustrative tariff sheets that needed
9	to be changed. And that's all the changes I want to
10	make on this docket.
11	Q Could you please summarize the relief the
12	Company seeks in this docket?
13	A Sure. In this docket, 17-057-16, the
14	Application of Dominion Energy Utah for a Tariff
15	Change and Adjustment to the Low Income
16	Assistance/Energy Assistance Rate, Dominion Energy
17	Utah is proposing to make changes to the Energy
18	Assistance Rate, and these changes will result in a
19	6 cent or $1/100$ of a percent reduction to the
20	typical customer's bill.
21	The Company is also proposing to increase
22	the Annual Energy Assistance credit to \$72.50 per
23	qualifying customer per year. It's projected there
24	will be about \$1.8 million available to help
25	qualifying customers pay their gas bills during the

1	Page 10 winter heating season, and it is anticipated that
2	about 24,000 customers will participate in this
3	program during the 2017-2018 test period. The
4	Company proposes that these changes be made
5	effective October 1, 2017. And that concludes my
6	summary.
7	MS. CLARK: And the Company would
8	request the admission of the application in this
9	matter along with accompanying Exhibits 1.1 through
10	1.5 as corrected in the testimony today.
11	OFFICER HAMMER: They are admitted.
12	BY MS. CLARK:
13	Q Mr. Mendenhall, moving on to the Energy
14	Efficiency docket. Again, I would ask you, is this
15	application and the accompanying exhibits, were they
16	all prepared by you or under your direction?
17	A Yes, they were.
18	Q And do you have any corrections to any of
19	those materials?
20	A I do. So the Division raised a couple of
21	items in their memo that I'd like to address and
22	change in the filing. So if we turn to page 2 of
23	the filing, the first sentence, it reads, "Questar
24	Gas Company d/b/a Dominion Energy Utah respectfully
25	submits this application to the Utah Public Service
1	

Page 11 Commission for approval to continue the current 1 2 amortization of the Energy Efficiency Deferred 3 Account Balance." We're not proposing to continue, 4 we're proposing to actually increase. So that should read, "Questar Gas d/b/a Dominion Energy Utah 5 respectfully submits this application to the Utah 6 Public Service Commission for approval to increase 7 the amortization of the Energy Efficiency Deferred 8 9 Account Balance." So just to summarize, we're going to strike the word "continue" and replace it with 10 11 "increase," and then we're going to strike the word 12 "current."

13 And then along those same lines, if we turn to page 5, on paragraph 1 of page 5, we make 14 15 the statement, "enter an order authorizing Dominion 16 Energy Utah to continue to assess the same rates," we should strike there "continue to assess the 17 same," and replace it with "increase." And then 18 19 continuing on in that sentence, it says, "rates and 20 charges applicable to its Utah natural gas service 21 territory using the same amortization," we should 22 strike the word "same." So just to summarize, I'm 23 going to read that sentence again. So it should read, "enter an order authorizing Dominion Energy 24 25 Utah to increase rates and charges applicable to its

Page 12 1 Utah natural gas service territory using the 2 amortization for the Energy Efficiency Deferred 3 Account Balance."

4 One other change. So on September 19, the Company filed Exhibit 1.5U that corrected the 5 typical bill calculation, and so just to make the 6 application consistent with that exhibit, on the 7 second sentence of page 2 where it reads, "If the 8 9 Commission grants this application, typical residential customers using 80 decatherms per year 10 11 will see an increase in their yearly bills of," and 12 it says, "\$1.11." That should read, "\$1.07," and 13 instead of "4.16 percent," that should read "15 percent." And that concludes all of my changes 14 to the application. 15

Q And then, in the interest of a complete record, Mr. Mendenhall, you reference the submission of corrected Exhibit 1.5U, and I would also note the Company filed reply comments in this docket. Were both of those documents prepared by you or under your direction?

22 A Yes, they were.

25

23 Q Would you please summarize the relief the 24 Company seeks?

A Certainly. In Docket 17-057-17, the

1	Page 13 Application of Dominion Energy Utah to Amortize the
2	Demand-Side Management Energy Efficiency Deferred
3	Account Balance, the Company proposes to increase
4	the amortization rate from 19 cents to 20 cents in
5	an effort to collect the projected expenses during
6	the test period. While at the same time minimizing
7	interest expense for both customers and the Company.
8	In the Commission's December 16, 2016,
9	order memorializing bench ruling in
10	Docket No. 16-057-11, it stated, "With respect to
11	the DSM/EE Application, we note the Office's
12	opposition to semiannual DSM/EE filings. We also
13	note that absent from the DSM/EE Application is an
14	explanation of how DSM/EE rates set to collect
15	approximately 20.551 million are sufficient to
16	address annual DSM/EE budget of over 24 million. We
17	direct Dominion to address these issues in its next
18	DSM/EE filing." The Company did not address these
19	issues in the original filing, but we address them
20	in our reply comments, and I wanted to summarize
21	those comments. What we said, basically, was the
22	ultimate goal of the rate calculation in this docket
23	is to minimize interest expense. And due to a
24	variety of factors, such as the rate period covering
25	two calendar years, the timing of when those costs
1	

Page 14 1 and the collection are coming into the balance, and 2 the fact that there is a balance when the rate is 3 calculated, all of those factors have an impact on 4 what the rate will be. So our ultimate goal here is to calculate a rate that will minimize interest 5 expense. And, actually, if we were to set the 6 rate -- we went back and looked through -- if we 7 were to set the rate to collect the budget -- for 8 9 example, this year the budget is 25 million 10 dollars -- we would actually have an increase in 11 interest expense. And so for those reasons, we 12 definitely have included the budget amounts in the 13 projected filing or projected costs, but because our 14 ultimate goal here is to minimize interest expense, 15 that's why the rate is calculated as it was. And so 16 for that reason, the Company believes the rate is just and reasonable because it will result in 17 18 reduced interest expense and interest income. 19 So for this reason, the Company asks that 20 the Commission approve this rate and ask that it be 21 made effective October 1, 2017. That summarizes the 2.2 filing. 23 MS. CLARK: Thank you, 24 Mr. Mendenhall. If we can, we'll move on to Mr. Stevenson and talk about Docket 17-057-18. 25

1	Page 15 BY MS. CLARK:
2	Q Mr. Stevenson, could you please state your
3	name and business address for the record?
4	A Yes. Jordan Stevenson, and my business
5	address is 333 South State, Salt Lake City, Utah.
6	Q What position do you hold with Dominion
7	Energy?
8	A I'm a regulatory analyst.
9	Q And were the application and accompanying
10	exhibits in this docket prepared by you or under
11	your direction?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Do you have any corrections?
14	A No.
15	Q Mr. Stevenson, would you please summarize
16	the relief the Company requests in this docket?
17	A Yes. In this docket, the Company seeks to
18	adjust the Infrastructure Tracker Replacement Rate
19	to include approximately \$48 million of investment
20	related to replacement projects that were in service
21	as of August 31st, 2017. The majority of this
22	additional investment occurred in the feeder line 21
23	and Salt Lake Belt Main projects. The Company is
24	requesting a \$5.9 million increase in annual revenue
25	related to this investment. If approved, this would

	Page 16
1	result in an increase of \$3.93 per year, or
2	.57 percent paid by a typical customer using 80
3	decatherms per year. That is the impact if this
4	docket is considered in isolation.
5	I just wanted to mention what the total
6	impact is of all these dockets that we have
7	discussed so far today. The Company has filed three
8	other dockets we've discussed. The combined total
9	impact on a typical customer of all four dockets
10	considered collectively is \$4.87 per year, or
11	.7 percent. And this concludes my summary.
12	MS. CLARK: Thank you. At this time,
13	the Company would move for the admission and I'm
14	going to go back to the last docket because I
15	neglected to do this in docket 17-057-17, the
16	Energy Efficiency Docket, the Company would move for
17	the admission of the application and accompanying
18	Exhibits 1.1 through 1.6, the submission of
19	corrected Exhibit 1.5U and the reply to comments,
20	and the Company would also, in Docket 17-057-18,
21	move for the admission of the application and
22	accompanying Exhibits 1.1 through 1.6.
23	OFFICER HAMMER: They're admitted.
24	MS. CLARK: Thank you. The Company's
25	witnesses are available for any questions you may

Page 17 1 have. 2 OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you. Now that we've heard from Dominion's witnesses, I'll just 3 confirm with Ms. Schmid that she has no 4 cross-examination? 5 MS. SCHMID: That is correct. 6 7 OFFICER HAMMER: Mr. Snarr? MR. SNARR: No cross-examination. 8 9 OFFICER HAMMER: And I have no Thank you, Ms. Clark. questions. 10 11 MS. CLARK: The Company has nothing 12 further. OFFICER HAMMER: Ms. Schmid. 13 MS. SCHMID: Thank you. The Division 14 15 would like to call its witness, Mr. Eric Orton. May 16 he please be sworn? 17 ERIC ORTON, having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, was 18 examined and testified as follows: 19 20 BY MS. SCHMID: 21 0 Good morning. 2.2 А Good morning. 23 0 Could you please state your full name, employer, title, and business address for the 24 25 record?

Page 18 1 My name is Eric Orton. I'm employed by Α 2 the Utah Division of Public Utilities. 0 And business address? 3 4 Α Thank you. 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake 5 City. 6 Q In connection with your employment at the Division, did you participate on behalf of the 7 Division in these four dockets? 8 T did. 9 А 10 Could you please generally summarize what 0 11 the Division did as part of its analysis in these 12 four dockets? 13 Certainly. We reviewed, of course, the Α 14 applications, we checked some of the math, spoke 15 with company representatives, went through the calculations on the tariff sheets. 16 Are the Division's recommendations and a 17 0 more detailed description of its analysis contained 18 in the Division's Action Request Response filed with 19 20 the Commission on September 22, 2017? 21 Α That's correct. Those are the results of 2.2 our investigation. 23 0 Do you have any changes or corrections to this action request response? 24 25 I have one number to correct. I do. Α In

Page 19 the section regarding the infrastructure tracker, I 1 2 have an incorrect number. The last line says, "A typical GS customer will see an increase of \$3.92." 3 4 That's a typo; it should be \$3.93. With that correction, do you adopt the 5 0 6 Division's Action Request Response as your testimony here today? 7 I do. 8 Α 9 MS. SCHMID: The Division would request the admission of the Division's Action 10 11 Request Response which covers all four dockets, 15, 12 16, 17, and 18. 13 OFFICER HAMMER: They're admitted. 14 MS. SCHMID: Thank you. 15 BY MS. SCHMID: 16 Mr. Orton, could you please summarize the 0 Division's recommendations on each of the four 17 dockets? And, in particular, could you specify 18 whether or not the Division is requesting interim or 19 final rate treatment in each of these dockets at 20 21 this time? 2.2 А Yes. Thank you. So after a preliminary review of the applications, the Division recommends 23 approval on an interim basis in 24 Docket Nos. 17-057-15, 17-057-17, and 17-057-18, 25

Page 20 with an effective date of October 1st, 2017. 1 The 2 Division also recommends that the Commission approve 3 the requested rate change in Docket No. 17-057-16, 4 the Low Income Assistance/Energy Assistance Rate. This docket does not require an audit, and the 5 Division recommends final approval, not interim 6 7 approval. Docket 17-057-15, Amortization of the 8 9 Conservation Enabling Tariff. This filing is requesting to leave the current collection amount 10 11 unchanged, and leave the over-collected balance of 12 just under \$2 million in the account. The Company 13 proposes to leave this amount in the account as a 14 cushion to soften the impact of expected lower usage of the customer. The Division does not object to 15 this balance remaining in the account as the Company 16 will pay interest to ratepayers on the balance. 17 Τf 18 approved, a typical GS residential customer will see no change in their bill as a result of the CET 19 20 filing. 21 Docket No. 15-057-16, Adjustment to the 2.2 Low Income Assistance/Energy Assistance Rate. This is a request to adjust the collection rate in order 23 24 to collect and disperse the approval of 1.5 million 25 dollars annually. The proposed customer credit

1	Page 21 will increase the distribution amount from \$70 to
2	\$72.50 per participant. If approved individually, a
3	typical GS residential customer will see a decrease
4	of approximately 6 cents or 0.01 percent in their
5	annual bill attributable to this program.
6	Docket 17-057-17, To Amortize the Energy
7	Efficiency Deferred Account Balance. Based on the
8	current balance, projected volumes, and energy
9	efficiency budget, the Company believes it can
10	collect the required revenue while minimizing
11	interest expense with a slight increase to the
12	amortization rate. The Company is requesting that
13	the amortization rate increase.
14	On September 18, 2017, the Company filed
15	an updated Exhibit 1.5 which altered the effect of
16	this filing. If approved by the Commission, the
17	typical GS rate class customer will see an increase
18	in their annual bill of \$1.07, or .15 percent not
19	the \$1.11, or .16 percent as originally filed.
20	Additionally, with respect to the
21	Commission's Supplemental Action Request, the
22	Division has reexamined the docket, the Commission's
23	order, and has had discussions with the Company and
24	has concluded that the balance in the account this
25	past spring was adequate and that the calculations
1	

1	Page 22 in this filing are appropriate. There was no need
2	for a filing this past spring, and adjustments to
3	this filing are not necessary. However, as far as
4	the Division is concerned, the DSM/EE account is
5	functioning as it should.
6	Finally, Infrastructure Tracker,
7	17-057-18, Request to Change Infrastructure Rate
8	Adjustment. In this filing, the Company shows the
9	amounts and dates of when infrastructure investment
10	was closed and placed into service since the last
11	filing. The Company now proposes to collect the
12	revenue requirements associated with these
13	investment amounts in rates. Exhibit 1.1 of the
14	filing provides a dollar amount showing the
15	infrastructure investment from September 2016
16	through August 2017. Page 4 of four in Exhibit 1.1
17	summarizes the preceding exhibit pages and shows the
18	calculations resulting in the incremental revenue
19	requirement requested of approximately 5.9 million
20	dollars. This amount, in addition to what is
21	already allowed to be collected, provides a total
22	proposed revenue requirement of \$25.3 million. If
23	this filing is approved, the typical GS residential
24	customer will see an increase of \$3.93, or
25	.57 percent.

_	Page 23
1	If all four dockets are approved, the
2	combined annual rate increase to the average
3	customer will be \$4.87, or 0.70 percent. These
4	applications appear compliant with past Commission
5	orders, and the proposed and updated tariff sheets
6	accurately reflect those changes. The Division has
7	reviewed these filings along with their respective
8	exhibits and tentatively agrees with the methods
9	used by the Company. Therefore, the Division
10	recommends that the Commission approve the proposed
11	rates on an interim basis except for the
12	low-income docket which would be on a final basis
13	until the Division can complete its audit, at which
14	time it will make a final recommendation to the
15	Commission.
16	This initial review, except for the
17	low-income docket, does not constitute the
18	Division's final post-audit position, which will be
19	presented when the Division submits the results of
20	its audits, which will be completed when the Company
21	closes its 2017 books.
22	Q Mr. Orton, do you recall that earlier this
23	week we were before the Commission in another DEU
24	docket which addressed a Kern River peak hour
25	contract?

Page 24 1 Α I was here. 2 Q Does that Kern River contract and any transportation customer rates affect any of these 3 four dockets? 4 5 Α No, it's independent. Where would the Kern River docket, if you 6 0 know -- or the Kern River peak hour contract -- be 7 addressed? 8 It's in the 191 pass-through filing. 9 А MS. SCHMID: Thank you. 10 Those are 11 all the comments from the Division. The Division's 12 witness, Mr. Orton, is now available for 13 cross-examination and questions from the hearing officer. 14 15 OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you. 16 Ms. Clark, do you have any questions? 17 MS. CLARK: I do not. Thank you. 18 OFFICER HAMMER: Mr. Snarr? 19 MR. SNARR: No questions. 20 OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you, and I don't have any, Mr. Orton. Mr. Snarr. 21 2.2 MR. SNARR: On behalf of the Office 23 of Consumer Services, we would like to have Gavin Mangelson sworn as a witness. 24 25 GAVIN MANGELSON,

Page 25 having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, was 1 2 examined and testified as follows: 3 BY MR. SNARR: 4 Mr. Mangelson, will you please state your 0 name, business address, and your work capacity? 5 Gavin Mangelson. I work at 160 East 300 6 Α South, Salt Lake City, Utah. I work as a utility 7 analyst for the Utah Office of Consumer Services. 8 Did the Office provide comments to the 9 0 Commission on these four pending dockets on 10 11 September 22, 2017? 12 А Yes. 13 Were those comments authored by you? Q 14 А No. 15 Have you become familiar with that Q 16 submission and are you prepared to address any of the issues that arise out of these dockets? 17 I provided input regarding the 18 Yes. А 19 Office's comments, particularly the Docket 20 17-057-17, as I did author the comments from the 21 Office in Docket 16-057-11, which has been 22 referenced in this proceeding. 23 0 Now, with respect to Docket No. 17-057-15 dealing with the Conservation Enabling Tariff, could 24 you reiterate what the Office's position is? 25

Page 26 The Office recommends that the 1 Α Yes. 2 Commission approve the Company's requested rate 3 changes on an interim basis. 4 Now, with respect to docket 17-057-16, 0 dealing with the tariff change for Low Income 5 Assistance/Energy Assistance Rates, the Office 6 identified some needed tariff edits or modifications 7 to correct some things; is that correct? 8 9 А Yes. 10 And you've heard the testimony here today 0 11 as presented by Dominion. Does that resolve the 12 concerns that were expressed by the Office in this 13 particular docket? Mr. Mendenhall cited the corrections 14 Α Yes. to the effective dates in Exhibit 1.3. 15 16 0 With respect to Docket No. 17-057-17, the Office raised some questions in that docket somewhat 17 reminiscent of issues that had been previously 18 presented in an earlier docket before this 19 20 Commission; is that correct? 21 Α That is correct. 22 Q And you've reviewed the reply comments 23 that have been recently filed by Dominion with respect to Docket No. 17-057-17; is that right? 24 25 Α Yes.

Page 27 And have the issues that were raised by 1 0 2 the Office in its comments been adequately 3 addressed? 4 А Yes. That has been adequately addressed. And could you reiterate now the position 5 0 of the Office with respect to Docket 17-057-17? 6 And, if I may just delve into the 7 Α Yes. Commission's Supplemental Action Request, 8 9 specifically the second part about the rate not recovering the full budget amount. We concur with 10 11 what Mr. Mendenhall explained. 12 First, that the DSM budget is an annual budget running from January through December. 13 The rate is designed to collect over the test period 14 running from the beginning of the heating season of 15 this year to the beginning of the heating season of 16 next year. The other issue at play, is historically 17 we've talked about the balance being kept at or near 18 zero for the year, and rather than aiming to -- or I 19 20 should say, in Exhibit 1.3 that the Company filed, 21 it shows a graph of the account balance and because 22 the DSM amortization rate is collected as a 23 volumetric rate, the balance fluctuates up and down. And rather than aiming to hit that zero balance over 24 a 12-month period, in working with the Division and 25

1	Page 28 the Company, we resolved that it would be better to
2	result in a net-zero interest, or what we call
3	carrying charges, so when the account is running a
4	balance, customers are paying the carrying charge on
5	that balance. When the account is running a
6	negative balance or an over-collection, the Company
7	is paying the interest rate to customers.
8	So the rate that is proposed that we
9	support should result in a net-zero carrying charge
10	over that test period.
11	Q Thank you. Now, with respect to Docket
12	17-057-18, could you provide the Office's position
13	with respect to that docket?
14	A Yes. We have reviewed the Company's
15	application and recommend that the Commission
16	approve the Company's requested rate change on an
17	interim basis.
18	MR. SNARR: That would complete our
19	presentation.
20	OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you.
21	Ms. Clark, any questions?
22	MS. CLARK: No, thank you.
23	OFFICER HAMMER: Ms. Schmid?
24	MS. SCHMID: No questions.
25	OFFICER HAMMER: And I don't have

Page 29 Thank you. Ms. Clark, let me ask you --1 any. 2 Dominion seeks an effective date of October 1st with respect to all these applications? 3 4 MS. CLARK: That's correct. 5 OFFICER HAMMER: So I anticipate 6 there will be a request for a bench order? 7 MS. CLARK: The Company would respectfully request a bench order on all four 8 dockets and would reiterate the recommendation that 9 three of those dockets be approved on an interim 10 11 basis, and the docket related to the Low Income 12 Energy Assistance Charge be approved on a final 13 basis. 14 OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. We'll be in brief recess while the Commission considers the 15 16 request for a bench order. Is there anything before we do that? 17 MS. SCHMID: Nothing further from the 18 Division. 19 20 OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you. We'll be in recess for just a few minutes. 21 2.2 (A brief recess was taken.) 23 OFFICER HAMMER: With respect to the 24 applications filed in Docket Nos. 17-057-15, 17-057-17, and 17-057-18, based on the applications, 25

	Page 30
1	the filed written comments, and the testimony at
2	hearing, the Commission concludes it is just,
3	reasonable, and in the public interest to approve
4	them. The rates are approved effective October 1st,
5	2017, on an interim basis as modified by testimony
6	and exhibits at hearing, pending the results of the
7	Division's audit.
8	Based on the application filed in
9	Docket No. 17-057-16, the filed written comments,
10	and the testimony at hearing, the Commission
11	concludes it is just, reasonable, and in the public
12	interest to approve the application, effective
13	October 1, 2017.
14	The Commission has approved and
15	confirmed this bench ruling which will be
16	memorialized in a written order. Is there anything
17	else from the parties before we adjourn?
18	MS. CLARK: No, thank you.
19	MS. SCHMID: Nothing from the
20	Division.
21	MR. SNARR: Nothing from the Office.
22	OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you. Everyone
23	have a nice day. We're adjourned.
24	(The hearing concluded at 9:40 a.m.)
25	
1	

1	Page 31 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF UTAH)
3	COUNTY OF SUMMIT)
4	
5	I, Mary R. Honigman, a Registered Professional
6	Reporter, hereby certify:
7	THAT the foregoing proceedings were taken before
8	me at the time and place set forth in the caption hereof;
9	that the witnesses were placed under oath to tell the truth,
10	the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; that the
11	proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and
12	thereafter my notes were transcribed through computer-aided
13	transcription; and the foregoing transcript constitutes a
14	full, true, and accurate record of such testimony adduced
15	and oral proceedings had, and of the whole thereof.
16	I have subscribed my name on this 6th day of
17	October, 2017.
18	Mary of the
19	Mary R. Honigman
20	Registered Professional Reporter #972887
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

HEARING , DOCKET NO. 17-057-15, 16, 17, 18 - 09/29/2017 Index: #420303..4

	_			_
#	0	7:10,12 12:14 19:11 21:18	28:12 29:25 18 4:22 19:12	20:1 21:14 22:16 23:21 25:11 30:5,13
#420303 1:20	0.01 21:4	15-057-16 20:21	21:14	2017-2018
\$	0.70 23:3	16 4:20 7:9	19 12:4 13:4	10:3
\$1.07 12:12	1	8:12 13:8 19:12 21:19	191 24:9	21 15:22
21:18 \$1.11 12:12	1 9:3,4 10:5 11:14 14:21	16-057-11 13:10 25:21	1st 20:1 29:2 30:4	22 18:20 25:11
21:19	30:13	160 1:15 2:7	2	24 13:16
\$1.8 9:24	1.1 8:6 10:9 16:18,22	18:4 25:6	0 7:00 40:00	24,000 10:2
\$2 20:12	22:13,16	17 3:9 4:20 19:12	2 7:22 10:22 12:8	25 3:11 14:9
\$25.3 22:22	1.2 8:6	17-057-15 1:2	2-3 9:1	29th 1:17
\$3.92 19:3	1.3 8:7,20 26:15 27:20	4:13 7:13 19:25 20:8 25:23 29:24	2-5 9:1	3
\$3.93 16:1 19:4 22:24	1.5 9:6 10:10 20:24 21:15	17-057-16 1:4	2-6 9:1	300 1:15 2:4,7
\$4.87 16:10	1.5U 12:5,18	7:3 9:13 20:3 26:4 30:9	2.08 7:17	18:4 25:6
23:3	16:19	17-057-17 1:7	20 13:4	31st 15:21
\$48 15:19	1.6 16:18,22	4:13 12:25 16:15 19:25 21:6 25:20	20.551 13:15	333 2:10 6:25 15:5
\$5.9 15:24	1/100 9:19	26:16,24 27:6 29:25	2016 13:8 22:15	4
\$70 21:1 \$72.50 9:22	12-month 27:25	17-057-18 1:9 14:25 16:20	2017 1:17 9:3, 4 10:5 14:21	4 22:16
21:2	15 3:6 4:20	19:25 22:7	15:21 18:20	

HEARING , DOCKET NO. 17-057-15, 16, 17, 18 - 09/29/2017 Index: 4-4..amount

r					
4-4 9:2	801 324-5329 2:11	action 18:19, 24 19:6,10	30:23	24	
4.16 12:13	84114 2:5,7	21:21 27:8	adjust 15:18 20:23	all 5:12,21 6:18 9:9	
420303 1:25	84145 2:10	actual 9:5	Adjustment	10:16 12:14 14:3 16:6,9 19:11 23:1	
5	9	actually 11:4 14:6,10	1:5,10 4:7,12 9:15 20:21 22:8	24:11 29:3,8	
5 11:14	9:00 1:18	addition 22:20	adjustments	allowed 22:21	
5-11 9:2	9:40 30:24	additional	22:2	along 10:9 11:13 23:7	
5-13 9:2		15:22	admission 8:5 10:8	already 22:21	
5-9 9:2	A	Additionally 21:20	16:13,17,21 19:10	also 9:21	
5.9 22:19	a.m. 1:18 30:24	address 6:23,	admitted 8:8 10:11 16:23	12:18 13:12 16:20 20:2	
57 16:2 22:25	absent 13:13	25 10:21 13:16,17,18, 19 15:3,5	19:13	altered 21:15	
6	accompanyin	17:24 18:3 25:5,16	adopt 19:5	amortization 7:16,18 8:1	
6 3:4 9:19	g 7:4 8:6,13 10:9,15 15:9 16:17,22	addressed	affect 24:3	11:2,8,21 12:2 13:4	
21:4	account 1:3,8	23:24 24:8 27:3,4	after 19:22	20:8 21:12,13 27:22	
7	4:6,10 7:15, 22 11:3,9 12:3 13:3	adequate 21:25	again 8:12 10:14 11:23	Amortize 1:3, 7 4:5,9 7:14 13:1 21:6	
7 16:11	20:12,13,16 21:7,24 22:4	adequately 27:2,4	agrees 23:8	amount	
8	27:21 28:3,5	adjourn 30:17	ahead 4:15 6:9,11	20:10,13 21:1 22:14,20	
80 12:10 16:2	accurately 23:6	adjourned	aiming 27:19,	27:10	
Litigation Services 800-330-1112					

HEARING , DOCKET NO. 17-057-15, 16, 17, 18 - 09/29/2017 Index: amounts..bill

amounts 14:12 22:9,13	11:20,25	arise 25:17	author 25:20	23:11,12 26:3 28:17 29:11,
analysis 18:11,18	application 1:2,4,7,9 4:4, 6,9,11 7:3,13,	asks 14:19	authored 25:13	13 30:5 become
analyst 15:8	18 8:5,13 9:14 10:8,15,	assess 11:16, 17	authorizing 11:15,24	25:15
25:8	25 11:6 12:7, 9,15 13:1,11, 13 15:9	Assistance 1:5,6 4:8 8:23	available 9:24	before 1:1 5:7 23:23 26:19
annual 9:22 13:16 15:24	16:17,21 28:15 30:8,12	9:16,18,22 20:4,22 26:6	16:25 24:12	29:16 30:17
21:5,18 23:2 27:12	applications 18:14 19:23	29:12 Assistance/	average 23:2	begin 5:8 6:11
annually 7:19 20:25	23:4 29:3,24, 25	energy 4:8 9:16 20:4,22 26:6	B	beginning 27:15,16
another 23:23	appropriate 22:1	Assistant 5:3	back 14:7 16:14	behalf 4:18 18:7 24:22
anticipate 6:2 29:5	approval	associated	balance 1:8 4:10 7:21,24	being 27:18
anticipated	11:1,7 19:24 20:6,7,24	22:12	11:3,9 12:3 13:3 14:1,2 20:11,16,17	believes 14:16 21:9
10:1 anything	approve 9:6 14:20 20:2	attorney 4:18, 24 5:3	20:11,10,17 21:7,8,24 27:18,21,23,	Belt 15:23
29:16 30:16	23:10 26:2 28:16 30:3,12	attributable 21:5	24 28:4,5,6	bench 13:9
apologies 7:12 8:13	approved 15:25 20:18	audit 20:5 23:13 30:7	balancing 1:3 4:6 7:15,22	29:6,8,16 30:15
appear 23:4	21:2,16 22:23 23:1 29:10,12 30:4,14	audits 23:20	based 21:7 29:25 30:8	better 28:1
appearances 2:1 4:16	approximatel y 13:15 15:19	August 15:21 22:16	basically 13:21	bill 8:3 9:20 12:6 20:19 21:5,18
applicable	21:4 22:19		hacia 10:24	

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

basis 19:24

applicable

HEARING , DOCKET NO. 17-057-15, 16, 17, 18 - 09/29/2017 Index: bills..concerned

bills 9:25 12:11 books 23:21	13:25 call 17:15 28:2	17,18 10:4 12:14 18:23 23:6 26:3	collect 13:5, 14 14:8 20:24 21:10 22:11 27:14	Commission' s 4:14 13:8 21:21,22 27:8
DOOKS 20.21	20.2	abarga 20.40		aamnany
both 6:13 12:20 13:7	capacity 25:5	charge 28:4,9 29:12	collected 22:21 27:22	company 4:18 5:11 7:15,18,24 8:4 9:12,21
brief 29:15,22	carrying 28:3, 4,9	charges 11:20,25 28:3	collection 14:1 20:10,23	10:4,7,24 12:5,19,24 13:3,7,18
	1 7 05	checked		14:16,19
budget 13:16	caused 7:25	18:14	collectively	15:16,17,23
14:8,9,12		-	16:10	16:7,13,16,20
21:9 27:10, 12,13	cent 9:19	cited 26:14	combined	17:11 18:15 20:12,16
business	cents 13:4	City 1:15 2:5,	16:8 23:2	21:9,12,14,23 22:8,11 23:9,
6:22,25 15:3,	21:4	7,10 7:1 15:5		20 27:20
4 17:24 18:3		18:5 25:7	coming 14:1	28:1,6 29:7
25:5	Certainly		Jean States	20.1,0 20.1
20.0	12:25 18:13			
	12.20 10.10	Clark 2:9 3:4,	comments	Company's
C		6 4:17 5:14,	8:19 12:19	4:20,21 7:7,
	CERTIFICATE	23 6:3,11,12,	13:20,21	17 16:24 26:2
	31:1	18,21 8:4,9,	16:19 24:11	28:14,16
calculate		10 10:7,12	25:9,13,19,20	
14:5	CET 7:18 20:19	14:23 15:1 16:12,24 17:10,11	26:22 27:2 30:1,9	complete 12:16 23:13
calculated		24:16,17	Commission	28:18
14:3,15	change 1:5,9	28:21,22	1:1,14 4:13	
	4:7,11 8:19,	29:1,4,7	7:19 9:6 11:1,	completed
calculation	22 9:1,15	30:18	7 12:9 14:20	23:20
12:6 13:22	10:22 12:4			
	20:3,19 22:7		18:20 20:2	•••••
	26:5 28:16	class 21:17	21:16 23:4,	compliant
calculations			10,15,23	23:4
18:16 21:25	changed 9:9	closed 22:10	25:10 26:2,20 28:15 29:15	
22:18	Shangeu 3.3		30:2,10,14	concerned
		closes 23:21	30.2,10,14	22:4
calendar	changes 9:9,	CIUSES 20.21		

HEARING , DOCKET NO. 17-057-15, 16, 17, 18 - 09/29/2017 Index: concerns..DEU

				
concerns 26:12	Consumer 2:6 5:4 24:23 25:8	15:13 18:23 26:14	20:14	December 13:8 27:13
concluded 21:24 30:24	contained 18:18	costs 13:25 14:13	customer 8:1 9:23 16:2,9 19:3 20:15, 18,25 21:3,17	decrease 21:3
concludes 8:3 10:5	continue	Counsel 6:1	22:24 23:3 24:3	decreased 7:25
12:14 16:11 30:2,11	11:1,3,10,16, 17	Counsel's 6:10	customer's 8:2 9:20	Deferred 1:8 4:10 11:2,8
concur 27:10	continuing 11:19	couple 10:20	customers 7:23 9:25	12:2 13:2 21:7
confirm 17:4	contract 23:25 24:2,7	course 18:13	10:2 12:10 13:7 28:4,7	definitely 14:12
30:15	convenience	covering 13:24	D	delve 27:7
connection 18:6	6:10 convenient	covers 19:11	d/b/a 10:24	Demand-side 13:2
Conservation 1:3 4:5 7:14	5:16	credit 9:22 20:25	11:5 date 1:17	description
20:9 25:24	correct 9:7 17:6 18:21,25 26:8,20,21	cross- examination	8:24 9:2 20:1 29:2	18:18
considered 16:4,10	29:4	6:2 17:5,8 24:13	dates 22:9 26:15	designated 4:15
considers 29:15	corrected 10:10 12:5,18 16:19	current 7:16 11:1,12 20:10 21:8	day 30:23	designed 27:14
consistent 12:7	correction 19:5	currently 7:21	dealing 25:24 26:5	detailed 18:18
constitute 23:17	corrections 8:17 10:18	cushion	decatherms 12:10 16:3	DEU 8:6 23:23

HEARING , DOCKET NO. 17-057-15, 16, 17, 18 - 09/29/2017 Index: direct..exhibits

				ect.exhibits
		1		
direct 13:17	7,9 4:13,22	10:24 11:5,	effect 21:15	21:6,8 29:12
	7:8,10,11,12,	15,24 13:1,17		2110,0 20112
direction 7:5	13 8:3,12,14,	15:6 26:11,23	effective 8:24	enter 11:15,
8:15 10:16	19 9:10,12,13	29:2	9:2 10:5	24
12:21 15:11	10:14 12:19,		14:21 20:1	
12.21 10.11	25 13:10,22	Dominion's	26:15 29:2	
	14:25 15:10,			Eric 3:8 4:25
discussed	16,17 16:4,	17:3	30:4,12	17:15,17 18:1
16:7,8	14,15,16,20			
,.	19:25 20:3,5,	down 27:23	efficiency 1:7	
		uowii 27.20	4:10 10:14	everyone 4:3
discussing	8,21 21:6,22			30:22
6:20	23:12,17,24	DSM 27:12,22	11:2,8 12:2	
	24:6 25:19,		13:2 16:16	
	21,23 26:4,		21:7,9	evidence 5:9,
discussions	13,16,17,19,	DSM/EE		12
21:23	24 27:6	13:11,12,13,		
	28:11,13	14,16,18 22:4	effort 13:5	
	29:11,24 30:9	,,		EXAMINATIO
disperse	29.11,24 30.9		employed	N 3:1,3,6,8,11
20:24		due 13:23		
	dockets 4:20		18:1	
	5:1,10,13,21			examined
distribution	6:20 16:6,8,9	duly 6:16	employer	6:17 17:19
21:1	18:8,12	17:18 25:1	17:24	25:2
	,		17.24	
Division 0.2	19:11,18,20			
Division 2:3	23:1 24:4	during 9:25	employment	example 14:9
4:25 5:17 6:6	25:10,17	10:3 13:5	18:6	
10:20 17:14	29:9,10		10.0	except 23:11,
18:2,7,8,11		-		16
19:9,19,23		E	Enabling 1:3	10
20:2,6,15	documents		4:5 7:15 20:9	
21:22 22:4	12:20		25:24	exhibit 8:19,
23:6,9,13,19		each 19:17,20	_0	20 9:6 12:5,7,
24:11 27:25				18 16:19
	dollar 22:14		energy 1:2,4,	
29:19 30:20		earlier 9:5	6,7,9 2:9 4:4,	21:15 22:13,
	dollars 14:10	23:22 26:19	7,9,10,11	16,17 26:15
Division's 5:1	20:25 22:20		7:14 8:23	27:20
18:17,19	20.25 22.20		9:14,16,17,22	
· ·		East 1:15 2:4,		exhibits 7:4
19:6,10,17	Dominion	7 18:4 25:6	10:13,24	
23:18 24:11	1:2,4,7,9 2:9		11:2,5,8,16,	8:6,14 10:9,
30:7	4:4,6,9,11		24 12:2 13:1,	15 15:10
	7:14 9:14,16	edits 26:7	2 15:7 16:16	16:18,22 23:8
docket 1:2,4,	7.17 3.14,10			

HEARING , DOCKET NO. 17-057-15, 16, 17, 18 - 09/29/2017 Index: expected..identified

r				
30:6	feel 5:15	2:4,7	General 5:3	17:18 25:1
expected 20:14	few 29:21	fluctuates 27:23	General's 4:24	heard 17:3 26:10
	Fifth 2:4,7			
expense 13:7,23 14:6, 11,14,18	file 7:18	follows 6:17 17:19 25:2	generally 18:10	hearing 1:12 4:4 24:13 30:2,6,10,24
21:11 expenses	filed 12:5,19 16:7 18:19 21:14,19	four 5:1,10, 12,21 16:9 18:8,12	goal 13:22 14:4,14	heating 10:1 27:15,16
13:5 explained	26:23 27:20 29:24 30:1,8, 9	19:11,17 22:16 23:1 24:4 25:10 29:8	Good 4:2,23 17:21,22	help 9:24
27:11		29.0	grants 12:9	here 4:18
	filing 7:20		grants 12.9	
explanation 13:14	10:22,23 13:18,19	Friday 1:17	graph 27:21	14:4,14 19:7 24:1 26:10
expressed 26:12	14:13,22 20:9,20 21:16 22:1,2,3,8,11,	full 17:23 27:10	GS 19:3 20:18 21:3,17 22:23	historically 27:17
	14,23 24:9	functioning		hit 27:24
F		22:5	н	IIII 27.24
F	filings 13:12			
	23:7	further 17.10		hold 15:6
		further 17:12	Hammer 2:2	
fact 14:2	final 19:20	29:18	4:2,14 5:7,20	Honigman
factors 13:24	20:6 23:12,	G	6:1,8 8:8	1:19
	14,18 29:12		10:11 16:23	
14:3	Finally 22:6	700 0:25	17:2,7,9,13 19:13 24:15,	hour 23:24 24:7
familiar 25:15	, -	gas 9:25	18,20 28:20,	
		10:24 11:5,20	23,25 29:5,	
for 10.7.00.0	first 6:16,19	12:1	14,20,23	However 22:3
far 16:7 22:3	10:23 17:18		30:22	
	25:1 27:12	Gavin 3:10		
fashion 5:15		5:5 24:24,25	happy 5:14	
	Floor 1:14	25:6	-	
feeder 15:22			having 6:16	identified
	Titication		200 220 1112	

HEARING , DOCKET NO. 17-057-15, 16, 17, 18 - 09/29/2017 Index: illustrative..materials

			l	
26:7	22:18	18:22	kept 27:18	7:2 8:12 9:15 20:4,22 26:5
illustrative	independent	investment	Kern 23:24	29:11
8:22 9:8	24:5	15:19,22,25 22:9,13,15	24:2,6,7	low-income
impact 8:2	INDEX 3:1	22.3,13,13	L	23:12,17
14:3 16:3,6,9		isolation 16:4	L	
20:14	individually			lower 20:14
include 15:19	21:2	issue 27:17	Lake 1:15 2:5, 7,10 7:1 15:5,	LST 1:20
	infrastructure	issues 13:17,	23 18:4 25:7	
included	1:9 4:12	19 25:17		м
14:12	15:18 19:1 22:6,7,9,15	26:18 27:1	last 16:14 19:2 22:10	
includes 8:20		items 10:21		made 10:4
	initial 23:16		least 7:19	14:21
income 1:5 4:8 7:2 8:12	input 25:18	J	leave 7:16	Main 15:23
9:15 14:18	input 23.16		20:10,11,13	10.20
20:4,22 26:5 29:11	instead 7:22	January		majority
23.11	12:13	27:13	Leaving 8:1	15:21
incorrect	interest 12:16	Jenniffer 2:9	let 5:8 29:1	make 9:1,10,
8:25 19:2	13:7,23 14:5,	4:17		17 11:14 12:6
incorrectly	11,14,18 20:17 21:11	Jab 4:00.05	like 6:12 8:11	23:14
9:3	28:2,7 30:3,	Job 1:20,25	10:21 17:15 24:23	Management
	12	Jordan 3:5		13:2
increase 9:21 11:4,7,11,18,	interim 19:19,	4:21 6:15 15:4	line 15:22 19:2	Mangelson
25 12:11 13:3	24 20:6 23:11		13.2	3:10 5:5
14:10 15:24 16:1 19:3	26:3 28:17 29:10 30:5	ĸ	lines 11:13	24:24,25 25:4,6
21:1,11,13,17 22:24 23:2				20.7,0
22.24 23.2	into 8:7 14:1 22:10 27:7	Kelly 3:3 4:19	looked 14:7	Mary 1:19
incremental		6:15,24	Low 1:5 4:8	,
	investigation			materials
L	Titication			

HEARING , DOCKET NO. 17-057-15, 16, 17, 18 - 09/29/2017 Index: math..over

10:19 math 18:14	9:24 13:15,16 14:9 15:19,24 20:12,24 22:19,22	natural 11:20 12:1 near 27:18	30:19,21 noticed 4:3	17:2,7,9,13 19:13 24:14, 15,18,20 28:20,23,25 29:5,14,20,23
matter 10:9	minimize 13:23 14:5,14	necessary 22:3	number 18:25 19:2	30:22
may 16:25 17:15 27:7	minimizing 13:6 21:10	need 22:1	0	offset 7:25 once 5:13
meets 7:20	minutes	needed 9:8 26:7	object 20:15	one 5:11 12:4
memo 10:21 memorialized	29:21 modifications	negative 28:6	objection 5:22,23,24,25	18:25 opposition
30:16	26:7	neglected 16:15	occurred 15:22	13:12
memorializing 13:9	modified 30:5 more 18:18	Nelson 2:9	October 9:4	order 11:15, 24 13:9 20:23 21:23 29:6,8,
Mendenhall 3:3 4:19 6:14,	morning 4:2,	net-zero 28:2,	10:5 14:21 20:1 29:2 30:4,13	16 30:16
15,19,24 8:11 10:13 12:17 14:24 26:14	23 17:21,22	9 next 13:17	Office 2:6	orders 23:5 original 13:19
27:11 mention 16:5	move 8:4,11 14:24 16:13, 16,21	27:17	4:25 5:4,6 6:7 8:24 24:22 25:8,9,21	originally
mention 16.5	moving 10:13	nice 30:23	26:1,6,12,17 27:2,6 30:21	21:19
8:18,24 9:4	N	Nos 4:13 19:25 29:24	Office's 8:18 13:11 25:19,	Orton 3:8 4:25 17:15,17 18:1 19:16
methods 23:8	name 4:14	note 12:18 13:11,13	25 28:12	23:22 24:12, 21
Michael 2:2 4:14	5:2 6:22,24 15:3 17:23 18:1 25:5	nothing 6:5,7 17:11 29:18	officer 2:2 4:2,15 5:7,20 6:1,8 8:8	over 13:16 27:14,24
million 7:22			10:11 16:23	

HEARING , DOCKET NO. 17-057-15, 16, 17, 18 - 09/29/2017 Index: over-collected..R.P.R.

r				
28:10	30:17	plan 6:3	23:19 26:11, 19	9:17,21 11:3, 4
over- collected 7:21 20:11	pass-through 24:9	play 27:17 pointed 8:23	presiding 2:2 4:15	provide 25:9 28:12
over- collection 7:23 28:6	past 21:25 22:2 23:4	position 15:6 23:18 25:25	previously 26:18	provided 25:18
P	Patricia 2:4 4:24	27:5 28:12 post-audit	proceed 5:9, 14 6:13	provides 22:14,21
pages 8:21 22:17	pay 9:25 20:17	23:18 preceding	proceeding 25:22	public 1:1,14 2:3 10:25 11:7 18:2
paid 16:2	paying 28:4,7 peak 23:24	22:17 prefer 5:8,11	PROCEEDING S 1:12 4:1	30:3,11
paragraph 11:14	24:7 pending 5:10	7:24 preference	program 10:3 21:5	8:22
part 18:11 27:9	25:10 30:6	5:18,19,21	projected 9:23 13:5	Q
participant 21:2	percent 9:19 12:13,14 16:2,11 21:4, 18,19 22:25	preliminary 19:22	14:13 21:8 projects	qualifying 9:23,25
participate	23:3	prepared 7:4 8:14 10:16 12:20 15:10	15:20,23	Questar 10:23 11:5
10:2 18:7 particular	period 10:3 13:6,24 27:14,25	25:16 present 5:12	proposed 20:25 22:22 23:5,10 28:8	questions 16:25 17:10
19:18 26:13 particularly	28:10 place 4:3	presentation 5:9 28:19	proposes 7:16 10:4	24:13,16,19 26:17 28:21, 24
25:19	placed 22:10	presented	13:3 20:13 22:11	R
parties 5:8,15			proposing	R.P.R. 1:19
	Titigation	a :	200-220-1112	

HEARING , DOCKET NO. 17-057-15, 16, 17, 18 - 09/29/2017 Index: raised..respectfully

r				
raised 10:20 26:17 27:1	reasonable 14:17 30:3,11	14:18	20:16	requesting 15:24 19:19
rate 1:6,9 4:8, 12 7:16 8:1,	reasons 14:11	reduction 9:19	reminiscent 26:18	20:10 21:12 requests
23 9:16,18 13:4,22,24	recall 23:22	reexamined 21:22	replace 11:10,18	15:16
14:2,4,5,7,8, 15,16,20				require 20:5
15:18 19:20 20:3,4,22,23 21:12,13,17	recently 26:23	reference 12:17	replacement 15:18,20	required 21:10
22:7 23:2 26:2 27:9,14, 22,23 28:7,8, 16	recess 29:15, 21,22	referenced 25:22	reply 12:19 13:20 16:19 26:22	requirement 7:20 22:19,22
	recommend 28:15	reflect 23:6	REPORTER	requirements
ratepayers 20:17		regard 7:2	1:19	22:12
rates 11:16, 19,25 13:14	recommendat ion 23:14 29:9	regarding 19:1 25:18	REPORTER'S 31:1	requires 7:17
22:13 23:11 24:3 26:6 30:4	recommendat ions 18:17 19:17	regulatory 15:8	representativ es 18:15	residential 12:10 20:18 21:3 22:23
rather 27:19, 24	recommends	reiterate 25:25 27:5	representing 5:4	resolve 26:11
rood 0:4 11:5	19:23 20:2,6 23:10 26:1	29:9	request 7:8	resolved 28:1
read 9:4 11:5, 23,24 12:12, 13	record 8:7 12:17 15:3	related 15:20, 25 29:11	10:8 18:19,24 19:6,10,11 20:23 21:21	respect 5:12 13:10 21:20 25:23 26:4,
reads 10:23 12:8	17:25 recovering	relief 7:8 9:11 12:23 15:16	22:7 27:8 29:6,8,16	16,24 27:6 28:11,13 29:3,23
reason 14:16, 19	27:10	remain 6:9	requested 20:3 22:19 26:2 28:16	respectfully 10:24 11:6
		remaining		-
			000 000 1110	

HEARING , DOCKET NO. 17-057-15, 16, 17, 18 - 09/29/2017 Index: respective..statement

			-	
29:8	30:15	seated 6:9	25:8	somewhat 26:17
respective 23:7	run 5:10	second 12:8 27:9	set 9:8 13:14 14:6,8	sorry 7:12
	running			
response	27:13,15	section 7:17	sheets 8:25	South 1:15
18:19,24	28:3,5	19:1	9:5,7,8 18:16	2:4,7,10 6:25
19:6,11	2010,0		23:5	15:5 18:4
10.0,11			20.0	25:7
	S	seeks 9:12		20.7
result 8:2		12:24 15:17	should 9:4	
9:18 14:17		29:2	11:5,17,21,23	specifically
16:1 20:19	said 13:21		12:12,13 19:4	27:9
28:2,9	Salu 13.21		22:5 27:20	
,•		semiannual	28:9	
	Salt 1:15 2:5,	13:12	20.0	specify 19:18
resulting	7,10 6:25			
22:18	15:5,23 18:4	sentence	showed 8:21	spoke 18:14
	25:7	10:23 11:19,		Spoke 10.14
	23.1		ah awin a	
results 18:21		23 12:8	showing	spokesperso
23:19 30:6	same 5:22		22:14	n 5:6
	11:13,16,18,	September		
returning	21,22 13:6	1:17 9:3 12:4	shown 9:6	
7:23	,	18:20 21:14		spring 21:25
1.20		22:15 25:11		22:2
	say 27:20	22.10 20.11	shows 22:8,	
revenue			17 27:21	standalone
15:24 21:10	says 11:19	sequence		8:21
22:12,18,22	12:12 19:2	6:14	ainaa 00:40	0.21
	12.12 13.2		since 22:10	
		aamua 4:10.01		start 6:19 7:9,
review 19:23	Schmid 2:4	serve 4:19,21	slight 21:11	10
23:16	3:9 4:23,24	5:5	_	
	5:17,24 6:5		0	
reviewed	17:4,6,13,14,	service 1:1,	Snarr 2:6	state 2:10
18:13 23:7	20 19:9,14,15	14 10:25	3:11 5:2,3,19,	6:22,25 15:2,
26:22 28:14	24:10 28:23,	11:7,20 12:1	25 6:7 17:7,8	5 17:23 25:4
	24 29:18	15:20 22:10	24:18,19,21,	
	30:19	10.20 22.10	22 25:3 28:18	stated 9:3
River 23:24	00.10		30:21	13:10
24:2,6,7		Services 2:6		15.10
	season 10:1	5:4 24:23	coften 20.44	
	27:15,16		soften 20:14	statement
ruling 13:9		I	I	I
L				

HEARING , DOCKET NO. 17-057-15, 16, 17, 18 - 09/29/2017 Index: Steven..using

r				
11:15	summary 8:3 10:6 16:11	tentatively 23:8	time 1:18 4:3 5:11,22 7:24	21:3,17 22:23
Steven 2:6 5:3	Supplemental	territory	13:6 16:12 19:21 23:14	typo 19:4
	21:21 27:8	11:21 12:1	timing 13:25	U
Stevenson 3:5 4:21 6:14, 15 14:25	support 28:9	test 10:3 13:6 27:14 28:10	title 17:24	ultimate
15:2,4,15	suppose 5:20			13:22 14:4,14
Street 2:10 6:25	swear 6:12	testified 6:17 17:19 25:2	today 5:5 6:20 10:10 16:7 19:7 26:10	unchanged 20:11
strike 11:10, 11,17,22	sworn 6:16 17:16,18 24:24 25:1	testimony 10:10 19:6 26:10 30:1,5, 10	total 16:5,8 22:21	under 7:4,21 8:14 10:16 12:20 15:10
submission 12:17 16:18 25:16		than 27:19,24	tracker 15:18 19:1 22:6	20:12 under-
submits 10:25 11:6	table 6:10	their 6:10 9:25 10:21 12:11 20:19	transportatio n 24:3	collections 7:25
23:19	take 4:16	21:4,18 23:7		until 23:13
such 13:24	taken 1:14 29:22	Therefore 23:9	treatment 19:20	updated 21:15 23:5
sufficient 13:15	talk 14:25	things 26:8	truth 6:16 17:18 25:1	usage 8:1 20:14
summarize 7:7 9:11 11:9, 22 12:23	talked 27:18 tariff 1:3,5	three 6:19 16:7 29:10	turn 10:22 11:14	use 7:24
13:20 15:15 18:10 19:16	4:5,7 7:15,17 8:20,21,25	through 4:13 5:10 10:9	two 13:25	used 23:9
summarizes 14:21 22:17	9:5,7,8,14 18:16 20:9 23:5 25:24 26:5,7	14:7 16:18,22 18:15 22:16 27:13	typical 9:20 12:6,9 16:2,9 19:3 20:18	using 11:21 12:1,10 16:2

Index: Utah..zero

Utah 1:1,2,4, 7,9,14,15 2:5,	18:15	written 30:1, 9,16	
7,9,10 4:4,7, 9,11 7:1,14	whether		
9:14,17	19:19	Y	
10:24,25 11:5,6,16,20,	while 7:20		
25 12:1 13:1 15:5 18:2	13:6 21:10 29:15	year 9:23 12:10 14:9	
25:7,8	20.10	16:1,3,10	
	will 4:19,21	27:16,17,19	
Utilities 2:3 18:2	5:5 6:2 8:2 9:18,24 10:2	yearly 12:11	
_	12:11 14:4,5,		
utility 25:7	17 19:3 20:17,18	years 13:25	
V	21:1,3,17 22:24 23:3,	Z	
	14,18,20 25:4		
variety 13:24	29:6 30:15	zero 7:17 8:2	
	winter 10:1	27:19,24	
volumes 21:8			
volumetric	witness 3:2 4:20,22 5:1,6		
27:23	17:15 24:12, 24		
	24		
W	witnesses		
	6:4,9,13 16:25 17:3		
want 5:10 7:9, 10 9:1,9			
, ,	word 11:10, 11,22		
wanted 13:20 16:5	,		
10.0	work 25:5,6,7		
week 23:23			
WCCR 20.20	working		