Stephen F. Mecham Utah Bar No. 4089 10 West 100 South, Suite 323 Salt Lake City, UT 84101 Telephone: 385 222-1618 Email: sfmecham@gmail.com Attorney for American Natural Gas Council, Inc.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Metter of the Annihistics of Deministry	
In the Matter of the Application of Dominion	DOCKET NO. 18-057-T04
Energy Utah to Make Tariff Modifications	
Relating to Transportation Service	ANGC Exhibit 1R

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF CURTIS CHISHOLM

FOR THE AMERICAN NATURAL GAS COUNCIL, INC.

September 26, 2018

/s/Curtis Chisholm

1 Q: Please state your name and business address.

- A. My name is Curtis Chisholm. My office is located at 201 South Main Street, 20th Floor,
 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111.
- 4 Q: For whom are you testifying in this proceeding?
- 5 A. The American Natural Gas Council (ANGC).
- 6 Q: Did you file testimony previously in this docket?
- 7 A. Yes. I filed direct testimony on behalf of ANGC expressing concern over the proposed tariff
- 8 revisions Dominion Energy Utah ("Dominion") filed that are the subject of this docket,
- 9 and recommended changes the Public Service Commission of Utah ("Commission")
- 10 should make before approving the tariff.

11 Q: What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?

A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to support the changes UAE witness Kevin Higgins
 recommended be made to Dominion's proposed tariff and to encourage the Commission to adopt
 these changes before the proposed tariff becomes final. I also briefly respond to Division witness

- 15 Eric Orton's direct testimony.
- 16 Q: Do you support all of Mr. Higgins's recommendations?

A. Yes. ANGC supports all five of Mr. Higgins's recommendations. We are most focused, however,
on his recommendations reducing the proposed \$25/Dth to \$5/Dth for violating the Hold Burn to
Scheduled Quantity ("HBSQ") restriction, limiting the penalty for simultaneously failing to
interrupt and violating the HBSQ restriction to the larger of the two penalties, and applying the
HBSQ restriction at the supplier level rather than at the individual Transportation Service ("TS")
customer level.

Q: Is Mr. Higgins's proposal to reduce the HBSQ penalty to \$5 consistent with your direct
 testimony?

1

25 A. Yes. In my direct testimony I said that Dominion's proposed penalty of \$25/Dth was excessive,

26 punitive, and that it must be tempered. We agree with Mr. Higgins that there is no cost basis for

the penalty; it is strictly punitive. \$5/Dth would be substantial and would deter the behavior

28 Dominion is trying to deter without financially ruining TS customers. In addition, the \$5 penalty

29 has at least some relationship to cost in that it is approximately twice the current average price of

30 gas as Mr. Higgins explained in his direct testimony.

Q: Would Mr. Higgins's proposal to impose the higher of the two penalties for simultaneously
 violating the HBSQ restriction and failing to interrupt eliminate the punitive penalty

33 stacking you raised in your direct testimony?

A. Yes. That is the purpose of the recommendation. In order for the tariff to be fair and not punitive,
making that change to the proposed tariff is necessary. Without the change, Dominion will be
able to stack the penalties which is not just or reasonable.

37 Q: Why shouldn't the HBSQ restriction be applied to individual TS customers?

Because the HBSQ restriction is designed to ensure there is an adequate supply of gas and that 38 A. 39 should be addressed at the supplier level. Under Dominion's proposed tariff, it is possible for a TS customer to be penalized during an HBSQ restriction period even when the overall gas supply 40 is adequate. There is no purpose in that; it does not address the objective of maintaining adequate 41 supply. The issue, therefore, should be addressed at the supplier level and not with individual TS 42 43 customers. In my opinion, Dominion should not penalize imbalances generally, even when there is no HBSQ restriction, as long as the overall gas supply balances. TS customers should be able 44 to trade imbalances between and among themselves without penalty under these circumstances. 45 Do you have any other concerns about the proposed tariff or Dominion witness Abigail 46 **O**: 47 Thomas's direct testimony?

48 A. Yes. I don't believe the example on page 17 of Exhibit 1.5 is correct.

49 **Q:** Why?

2

50 Because in that example Dominion represents that a TS customer who burns 25 Dth above its A. 51 scheduled quantity during an HBSQ restriction would be subject to the proposed \$25/Dth penalty plus the index price, and a \$40/Dth overrun penalty for the same 25 Dth, even though Dominion 52 53 did not call for an interruption. That cannot be right and it should be corrected before the Commission accepts the exhibit as part of the record in this docket. 54 55 **O**: Do you have any concerns with Division witness Eric Orton's direct testimony? 56 A. Yes. Mr. Orton recommends the Commission approve the proposed tariff as Dominion submitted 57 it. ANGC opposes Mr. Orton's recommendation. Without making the changes Mr. Higgins 58 recommended in his direct testimony, the proposed tariff is not fair. It is punitive, arbitrary and it will not result in a just and reasonable outcome for TS customers. 59 **O**: Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony? 60 61 A. Yes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 18-057-T04

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony of Curtis Chisholm was served by email this 26th day of September, 2018 on the following:

Dominion Energy:

Jennifer Nelson Clark	jennifer.clark@questar.com
Kelly Mendenhall	kelly.mendenhall@questar.com

Division of Public Utilities:

Chris Parker	chrisparker@utah.gov
Artie Powell	wpowell@utah.gov
Erica Tedder	etedder@utah.gov
Patricia Schmid	pschmid@agutah.gov
Justin Jetter	jjetter@agutah.gov

Office of Consumer Services:

Michele Beck	mbeck@utah.gov
Cheryl Murray	cmurray@utah.gov
Robert Moore	rmoore@agutah.gov
Steven Snarr	ssnarr@agutah.gov

UAE

Gary Dodge	gdodge@hjdlaw.com
Phillip Russell	prussell@hjdlaw.com

/s/Stephen F. Mecham