

the Division of Public Utilities (“Division”) and the Office “to explain, in initial comments due September 17, 2019, how the distinguish the interim rates sought here from those the [Utah Supreme Court] held the [Commission] lacks the authority to approve in the” recent case of *Utah Office of Consumer Services and Utah Ass’n of Energy Users v. Pub. Serv. Comm’n*, 2019 UT 26, 445 P.3d 464. The Commission then directed Dominion Energy Utah (“Dominion”) to “address the issue in its reply comments.” Scheduling Order at 1-2.

Since the filing of the Scheduling Order the Parties have determined that this question requires more extensive legal comment than the current schedule allows. Accordingly, the parties agreed, and hereby stipulate, to request a change in the Scheduling Order to allow for all parties to file initial legal comments on September 20, 2019 and for all parties to file reply legal comments on September 23, 2019. The parties further agreed that comments in all other dockets, and comments in Docket No. 19-057-18 that are unrelated to the legal question would be due on the dates identified in the Scheduling Order. Additionally, the hearing date should remain unchanged.

Because the Comments addressing this legal issue are currently due on September 17th, the parties request the Commission to address this matter on an expedited basis.

Respectfully Submitted September 13, 2019.

/s/ Jenniffer N. Clark
Jenniffer N. Clark
Attorney for Dominion
Energy Utah

/s/ Robert J. Moore
Robert J. Moore
Attorney for the Office of Consumer Services

/s/Justin Jetter
Justin C. Jetter
Attorney for the Division of Public Utilities