UNION ARBITRATION ISSUES

			Testimony
Witness	Issue	Qwest Statement of Position	page(s)
Ann Marie Cederberg	Issue 1: Is a "Type 2" Interconnection Agreement Required Between Qwest and Union Cellular	Qwest believes this agreement should prescribe "Type 2" interconnection with Union Cellular. This is an industry standard interconnection arrangement between wireless carriers and wireline carriers for facilities between Union Cellular's Point of Interconnection and Qwest's tandem or end offices. This arrangement is no different than the other 18 Type 2 wireless interconnection agreements Qwest has in Utah.	7-13
Ann Marie Cederberg	Issue 2: Is it Appropriate to Broaden the Definition of Access Tandem Contained in the Type 2 Wireless Interconnection Agreement	Qwest explains why Union Cellular should interconnect using the same means and methods as other wireless carriers. Our agreement language should reflect only those aspect of Qwest's network involve in interconnection and should not make reference to Union's access switching.	13-17
Ann Marie Cederberg	Issue 3 : What is the Appropriate Location Fro Union Cellular's Point Of Interconnection (POI)	Qwest's position is that the POI for Union Cellular needs to be within Qwest's territory within the LATA. Neither the 1996 Telecommunications Act nor the FCC rules require Qwest to provide interconnection outside of its service territory.	17-23
Robert Weinstein	Issue 4: Transit Traffic	Qwest, as a transit carrier is NOT responsible for (1) transit traffic delivered without identifying information, (2) blocking transit traffic of a carrier who has not paid terminating charges to Union Cellular, at Union Cellular's request (3) notification to Union Cellular in writing of each carrier to which Qwest is providing transiting, prior to delivery of traffic from that carrier to Union Cellular or (4) proving billing or usage records at no cost.	6-16
Robert Weinstein	Issue 5: Non-local Traffic		17-23