

1 Brent Hansen
2 Intervener (representing self)
3 254 North 100 East (physical)
4 P O Box 263 (mailing)
5 Vernal, Utah 84078
6 Telephone
7 435-789-8968 (answer machine only)
8 435-789-7162
9 Email: brenthansencpa@yahoo.com

EXHIBIT E

10
11
12
13
14 -BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH-

15
16 STATE OF UTAH
17

18		
19	In the Matter of the Application of)	<u>DOCKET NO. 04-053-03</u>
20	Uintah Basin Telecommunications)	
21	Association, Inc., and UBET Telecom,)	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>
22	Inc., for an Order of the Commission)	
23	Approving the Combination, Merger)	<u>COMPELLING ACCESS</u>
24	and Consolidation of UBET Telecom,)	
25	Inc., and Uintah Basin)	<u>TO ALL PROTECTED</u>
26	Telecommunications Association, Inc.)	
27)	<u>RECORDS</u>
28)	

29
30
31 Proceeding Time Period

Expiration Date:

February 11, 2005

32
33
34
35 Judicial review of the Commission's final agency action may be obtained by
36 filing a Petition for Review with the Utah Supreme Court within 30 days after
37 final agency action. Any Petition for Review must comply with the
38 requirements of Utah Code Ann. '63-46b-14, 63-46b-16 and the Utah Rules of
39 Appellate Procedure.
40
41

42 RESPONSE TO LETTER FROM STANLEY K. STOLL

43 Paragraph 3:

44 UBTA-UBET does not have a true and correct viewpoint on how the UBET
45 customers in Uintah County really feel. There is a lot of mistrust and
46 resentment towards UBTA-UBET. This is the result of the billing errors on
47 the bills when they took over operations from QWest. The way UBTA-UBET
48 acted when it was seeking Extended Area Service (EAS) approval from the
49 Commission.

50 I have done two petitions in Uintah County. I can tell you that you do not
51 get as many signatures over such a short period of time as was done on the
52 EAS issue if people are happy.

53 UBTA-UBET presented a long list of endorsements of local government
54 bodies and community groups with its joint application for merger. I did not
55 attend any of the presentations done to get those approvals, but based on my
56 several years of attending meetings where such presentations are done; I
57 believe that most of these groups gave approval on the concept and not the
58 details since these presentations need to be of short duration and simple to
59 follow.

60 Most community groups try to present a positive attitude. They do not want
61 to be seen as opposing anything because it may affect their image. If the
62 groups had actually taken the time to fully study the issue, and if they were
63 willing to oppose something, then the approval list would most likely be much
64 shorter.

65 A petition opposing the merger was submitted at the hearing in Vernal,
66 Utah on the 3rd of November, 2004, with more than sixty signatures. I found
67 this to be impressive since it was done over a very short period of time and it
68 was in complete opposition rather than just certain provisions of the merger.

69 The 17,000 subscribers do not have a true understanding of what
70 membership in the merged company means. It will take a few years, but the
71 UBET subscribers will come to dislike UBTA-UBET for giving them such a
72 raw deal.

73 UBTA-UBET's belief that I would spend all this time and money on a
74 viewpoint that is only held by me or a small minority is false. I have better
75 things to do than to fight against majority viewpoints. I live and work here, so
76 I choose my battles carefully so as to not create too many enemies.

77

78

79 Paragraph 4:

80 I disagree with the statement that I will not be affected by the merger if I
81 choose to not become a member. This was issue 28 in my Request for
82 Reconsideration (page 8 & 9, lines 136 to 142).

83

84

85

86 Dated this 7th day of February, 2005

87

88 By _____

89 /s/ Brent Hansen

90 Intervener (representing self)

91 254 North 100 East (physical)

92 P O Box 263 (mail)

93 Vernal, Utah 84078