## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

In the Matter of Manti Telephone Company's Second Amended Application for USF Eligibility	) ) Docket No. 08-046-01 ) DPU Exhibit 5.0 REB-REV REQ ) ) (REDACTED) )

## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

 $\mathbf{OF}$ 

SHAUNA BENVEGNU-SPRINGER

STATE OF UTAH
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

**OCTOBER 30, 2012** 

Docket No. 08-046-01 Testimony of Shauna Benvegnu-Springer REB 5.0 October 30, 2012 Page i

## CONTENTS

I.	IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS	.1
II.	SUMMARY	3
III.	NET OPERATING LOSS CARRYOVER ADJUSTMENT (DPU 5.6REB)	.1
VI.	CONCLUSION	.6

1		I. IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS
2	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME SHAUNA BENVEGNU-SPRINGER WHO PREVIOUSLY
3		FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
4	<b>A.</b>	Yes, I am. I provided Direct Testimony on behalf of the Division of Public
5		Utilities ("Division").
6		II. SUMMARY
U		ii. Sommit
7	Q.	PLEASE STATE THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY.
8	<b>A.</b>	The purpose of my Rebuttal Testimony is to describe the Division's regulatory
9		treatment of MTC's net operating loss (NOL) carryover in computing income
10		taxes.
11		III. NET OPERATING LOSS CARRYOVER (DPU 5.6)
12	Q.	PLEASE PROVIDE A SUMMARY OF THE OTHER WITNESSES' INCOME
13		TAX EXPENSE RECOMMENDATION?
14	A.	MTC calculates their income tax expense based upon the additional revenue
15		requested multiplied by the applicable tax rate. As indicated on their
16		Revised Exhibit1.1 filed with Mr. Hendershot's direct testimony, the schedule
17		calculates the state income tax by multiplying the additional revenue

39		OCS test period adjustments (OSC Adjustment to Net Income) ""
40	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	are added to the operating net income loss amount reported by
41	MTC	of a """""""" to equal an adjusted net operating income of """""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""
42	The	required return on investment (ROI) amount as suggested by Mr. Ostrander
43		is """". This is """" over the suggested ROI, labeled as the Return
44		Surplus amount. Additional state income, federal income and uncollectable
45		adjustment amounts are calculated based on the """" by using the
46		rates of 4.99%, $32.16\%$ and $0.26\%$ to equal """" and
47	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	respectively. The amounts are summed to equal """ A
48	calcu	lation is performed using the revenue conversion factor (also known as a
49	gross	s up factor) of """"" multiplied by the Return Surplus amount ""
50	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	to equal required revenue decrease of
51	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE DIVISION'S REVIEW OF THE REGULATORY
52		TREATMENT OF MTC'S NET OPERATING LOSS AND HOW IT APPLIES
53		TO THE 2012 FEDERAL INCOME TAXES?
54	<b>A.</b>	The Division reviewed the 2011 pro forma tax returns submitted by MTC.
55		They were prepared due to a request for an extension of filing time for the
56		final tax returns for 2011. On Schedule 1120, U.S. Corporation Income Tax
56 57		
		final tax returns for 2011. On Schedule 1120, U.S. Corporation Income Tax

60		(which also is reported on their audited financials for December 31, 2011),
61		temporary differences of """" and permanent differences of """""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""
62		to equal taxable income of (\$143,836). Form 1120, Net Operating Loss
63		Carryover Worksheet – Regular Tax,
64	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
65		respectively. The federal NOL carryover that is available for 2012 is
66		The Division calculated the taxable income for 2012 as
67	***************************************	as indicated on Exhibit 1.1R, line 23, column N. Also, please refer to
68	Exhil	5.6 REB for greater detail of the taxable income calculation and income
69	tax.	Because the NOL carryover is available, taxable income will be reduced to
70		zero and no federal tax liability will be paid.
71	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE DIVISION'S REVIEW OF THE REGULATORY
72		TREATMENT OF MTC'S NET OPERATING LOSS AND HOW IT APPLIES
73		TO THE 2012 STATE INCOME TAXES?
74	<b>A.</b>	The Division reviewed the 2011 pro forma state income tax return, Schedule
75		TC-20, Utah Corporation Franchise or Income Tax Return. MTC reported
76		unadjusted income loss, addition of minimum tax to equal
77		the net Utah taxable income loss """ The minimum and total state
78		income tax equals
79		Worksheet reports
80		respectively. For 2012 the net Utah

plus """"" minus the Utah NOL carryover to equal zero. The minimum and total Utah tax will be

84

85

81

82

83

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE INCOME TAX ADJUSTMENT?

86 Α. The Division took at different approach to calculating taxable income, federal 87 income tax and state income tax. It did not calculate an amount for uncollectibles, because after the adjustments the amount is """ and is 88 89 immaterial. The Division used a straight forward approach of identifying adjustments to rate base, adjustments to revenues, and adjustments to 90 91 expenses less interest expense to equal to taxable income. The Division recommends making a proforma adjustment to the Income Tax Expense 92 Account for 2012 in the amount of """" to MTC's requested income tax 93 94 The adjustment decreases federal income tax to zero and reduces state income tax to """". 95 The NOL carryover is a 96 known and measurable amount. The federal and state taxable income is computed at """". The NOL carryover of """ will reduce taxable 97 98 up to 20 years, if necessary. Assuming taxable income in future years income for 99 is near the recommended taxable income for 2012; the NOL carryover amount for the federal return will eliminate federal taxable income and result in 100 101 federal tax paid for several future years. The Utah NOL carryover zero

102		amount of will eliminate state taxable income and reduce state
103		tax to the minimum amount of """" for several future years.
104		VI. CONCLUSION
105	Q.	WHAT IS THE DIVISION'S RECOMMENDATION FOR THIS PETITION?
106	A.	The Division recommends that the Commission recognize and accept the
107		income tax adjustment of to the 2012 test year as described.
108	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

109

A.

Yes, it does.