-BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH In the Matter of the Second Amended) Application for Increase of Rates And) Charges and Increase in USF Eligibility for) Manti Telephone Company)

REDACTED SUR-REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

DALLAS COX

ON BEHALF OF

MANTI TELEPHONE COMPANY

- 1 Q. Please state your name, occupation and business address.
- 2 A. Dallas Cox. I am the Assistant General Manager, Manti Telephone Company ("MTC").
- 3 MTC's business address is 40 West Union Street, Manti UT 84642.

4

- 5 Q. Are you filing sur-rebuttal testimony on behalf of Manti Telephone Company?
- 6 A. Yes.

7

- 8 Q. What is the purpose of your sur-rebuttal testimony?
- 9 A. First, I will address the DPU and OCS adjustments regarding regulated and non-regulated allocations. Then, I will address the issue of shared employees between the regulated and non-regulated companies. Finally, I will address Manti's use of UUSF funds for wage

13

14

12

Q. Can you please describe MTC and MTCC?

increases.

15 A. MTC is a Utah corporation and is the regulated telephone company that provides regulated telephone service to customers located in the communities of Manti, Ephraim, 16 17 and Sterling, Utah. MTC is owned by my father, me and my siblings. My father, my 18 mother, and I are employees of MTC. MTCC is a separate Utah corporation and is a non-19 regulated company that is owned by Dallas Cox, Natalie Adamson, Connie Cox, and 20 Gavin Cox. It provides broadband, long distance, cable television and wireless services 21 to customers located in Sanpete County, Utah. With one exception, which I discuss 22 below, MTCC has its own management, its own employees, its own payroll, equipment, 23 and accountant. It is operated as a separate and distinct company from MTC.

24		
25	Q.	Does MTC have any common or shared employees with MTCC?
26	A.	MTC and MTCC share one employee. Gary Hughes provides IT services to MTC and
27		MTCC. Other than Mr. Hughes, MTC and MTCC do not have any shared or common
28		employees.
29		
30	Q.	Does MTC provide any services or facilities to MTCC?
31	A.	Yes. MTCC pays MTC the tariffed rate for use of MTC's telephone facilities over which
32		MTCC provides broadband and cable services. MTCC also pays MTC a tariffed rate for
33		Billing and Collection services that MTC provides on behalf of MTCC.
34		
35	Q.	What are the rates that MTCC pays for these services?
36	A.	As discussed previously, MTCC pays MTC the tariffed rate of \$5.00 per customer per
3637	A.	As discussed previously, MTCC pays MTC the tariffed rate of \$5.00 per customer per month for use of its fiber facilities. MTCC pays MTC \$0.06 per line item on customer
	A.	
37	A.	month for use of its fiber facilities. MTCC pays MTC \$0.06 per line item on customer
37 38	A.	month for use of its fiber facilities. MTCC pays MTC \$0.06 per line item on customer bills for Billing and Collection services. This amounts to approximately \$1,500 per
373839	A. Q.	month for use of its fiber facilities. MTCC pays MTC \$0.06 per line item on customer bills for Billing and Collection services. This amounts to approximately \$1,500 per
37 38 39 40		month for use of its fiber facilities. MTCC pays MTC \$0.06 per line item on customer bills for Billing and Collection services. This amounts to approximately \$1,500 per month, but can vary from month to month.
37 38 39 40 41	Q.	month for use of its fiber facilities. MTCC pays MTC \$0.06 per line item on customer bills for Billing and Collection services. This amounts to approximately \$1,500 per month, but can vary from month to month. Have you reviewed the rebuttal testimony of the OCS and the DPU?
37 38 39 40 41 42	Q.	month for use of its fiber facilities. MTCC pays MTC \$0.06 per line item on customer bills for Billing and Collection services. This amounts to approximately \$1,500 per month, but can vary from month to month. Have you reviewed the rebuttal testimony of the OCS and the DPU?

A. This is the rate contained in MTC's tariff for providing this service. It is the rate that MTC is required by law to charge any other company who desired to use MTC facilities for the purpose. The tariff was filed by MTC with the State and the tariff prices were approved by the State. MTC is not at liberty to charge more for these services.

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

A.

46

47

48

49

Q. Is the \$5.00 per customer per month adequate to cover the costs associated with providing the service?

Yes. As indicated in previous testimony, MTC's copper facilities and plant were deteriorating and needed to be replaced. The sheathing was wearing off the copper exposing the wire. Without replacement of this plant, MTC would be unable to continue providing quality telephone service to its customers. In reviewing plant construction options, MTC recognized that replacing the copper facilities with fiber facilities was less expensive that replacing the copper facilities with copper facilities. Additionally, installation of fiber facilities has become standard in the industry. So MTC decided to replace its copper telephone facilities with fiber to improve the quality and save money. This plant upgrade was necessary so that MTC could continue to provide quality telephone service to its customers. So MTC invested approximately \$4,000,000 in plant upgrades to replace its copper facilities. The cost to replace the copper facilities with fiber is the same regardless of whether the fiber is used exclusively for telephone service, or whether it is ultimately put to some other non-regulated use. Therefore, the answer to your question is yes. The \$5.00 tariff rate per customer per month adequately covers the costs associated with providing the non-regulated services.

68

69	Q.	Does the rate paid for Billing and Collection Services cover the costs associated with
70		providing the billing and collection services?

Yes. Again, this is the rate contained in MTC's tariff for providing this service. It is the rate that MTC is required by law to charge any other company, such as an IXC carrier, who desires to use MTC's billing and collection services. The tariff was filed with the State and the rates were approved by the State. MTC is not at liberty to charge more for these services. Additionally, the tariffed rate covers the incremental costs associated with MTC's provision of billing and collection services for MTCC, or any other third party company.

A.

A

Q. In Mr. Ostrander's Rebuttal Testimony he suggests that MTC has not provided the amount of payroll that is paid to common officers and employees of MTC and MTCC, and that MTC has not properly allocated related payroll costs between MTC and MTCC. Can you please address this?

As indicated previously, MTC pays its employees for the duties they perform for MTC. MTCC likewise pays its separate employees for the duties they perform for MTCC. The only common employee shared between MTC and MTCC is Gary Hughes. He receives wages from both MTC and MTCC. He is the only employee who is paid by both MTC and MTCC. As previously indicated, Mr. Hughes works full-time is paid for 5 hours per day by MTC and for 3 hours per day by MTCC. MTC does not pay any MTCC employees. Similarly, MTCC does not pay any MTC employees. The companies are separate and distinct with their own employees.

- Q. In Mr. Ostrander's Rebuttal Testimony he suggests that MTC should not receive any UUSF for adjusting payroll to market medial levels because there are no assurances Manti will use it for these purposes. What assurances can you provide that if UUSF funds are allowed for adjusting payroll expenses, MTC will use the funds for such purposes?
- 97 A. If MTC is awarded UUSF funds for payroll adjustments, MTC will use the funds for such 98 purposes, and would agree to provide payroll records to demonstrate its compliance in 99 this regard.

A.

- Q. Are there any other issues you would like to address in your sur-rebuttal testimony?
 - Yes. I am troubled that the DPU and the OCS have, since the filing of the Second Amended Application in this case in 2012, expressed concerns with the fiber build out project. These concerns were not raised before Manti expended considerable resources in replacing its copper plant. On the contrary, neither the DPU nor the OCS raised any concerns regarding the construction project until the project had nearly been completed despite the fact that this rate case has been ongoing since 2008. Additionally, MTC is a relatively small telephone corporation that provides regulated telephone service to the residents of its communities. It also provides employment opportunities for members of the communities. Prior to the initial filing of this rate case in 2008, the accounting procedures used by MTC have been the same for the past several years, including periods reviewed by the DPU and OCS in previous rate case proceedings. MTC has received 42 separate sets of data requests in this matter; has had three site visits; and has been required to expend hundreds of thousands of dollars in the prosecution of this rate case.

115 MTC simply cannot continue to provide telephone service without receiving UUSF 116 support. I know there has been considerable discussion in this case about allocations 117 between the regulated and non-regulated companies. However, even if the non-regulated 118 company were to cease its operations tomorrow, MTC would need the FTTH fiber project 119 to serve its telephone customers with quality telephone service. Thus, MTC's costs 120 would remain the same, but its revenues would be reduced by approximately \$8600 per 121 month. 122 123 Has MTC performed an analysis of its financial situation? Q. 124 Yes. As provided in response to OCS DR 12, MTC accountants and consultants have A. 125 determined that MTC needs approximately *BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL *** END 126 CONFIDENTIAL* in annual Utah USF distributions *BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL *** END CONFIDENTIAL*. See Confidential Exhibit MTC-DC Exhibit 1SR, attached 127 128 hereto. 129 130 Does this conclude your testimony? Q. 131 A. Yes.