Docket No. 08-046-01 DPU Exhibit 1.0 SR William Duncan November 9, 2012

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

	:	
In the Matter of the Increase of Rates	:	Docket No. 08-046-01
And Charges and Increases in USF	:	DPU Exhibit 1.0 SR
Eligibility for Manti Telephone	:	(REDCTED)
Company	:	
	:	

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

William Duncan STATE OF UTAH DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

November 9, 2012

Docket No. 08-046-01 DPU Exhibit 1.0 SR William Duncan November 9, 2012

1		I. INTRODUCTION OF WITNESS
2	Q:	Are you the same William Duncan who previously filed testimony in this docket?.
3	A:	Yes. I previously filed direct and rebuttal testimony on behalf of the Division of Public
4		Utilities (DPU).
5		
6		II. PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF TESTIMONY
7	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony in these proceedings?
8	A:	My testimony will present the final position of the DPU, and correct some exhibits filed
9		in my rebuttal testimony.
10		
11	Q:	Has the DPU's position changed since rebuttal testimony was filed?
12	A:	Yes. The DPU has adopted one other adjustment proposed by the Office of Consumer
13		Services (OCS), identified as 3.12D Brev. This adjustment
14		due to implementation of the Access Recovery Charge (ARC). This
15		adjustment will be discussed more completely by Mr. Davis in his surrebuttal testimony.
16		
17	Q.	What impact does this adjustment have on the overall position of the DPU?
18	A.	This adjustment offsets the """""""" UUSF eligibility that the DPU proposed in rebuttal
19		testimony, and """""""" Manti Telephone eligibility to """""" This is displayed on DPU
20		exhibit 1.1 SR.
21		

21

22	Q.	What Exhibits are you correcting in your surrebuttal testimony?
23	Α	When the DPU filed rebuttal testimony, we added two lines to our summary Exhibit 1.1
24		R. These were lines 24 and 25, lines that displayed the Net Operating Loss (NOL) carry
25		forward and the revised taxable income. At that time, I neglected to revise exhibit 1.4 R.
26		This exhibit references certain lines from Exhibit 1.1 R. The corrections are to the lines
27		concerning income taxes payments, which are now lines 26-28 of exhibit 1.1 SR, rather
28		than lines 24-26 as shown on the rebuttal exhibits. The exhibits filed with my surrebuttal
29		testimony are correct.
30	Q:	Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?
31	A:	Yes it does.

-2-