- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

Petition of Virgin Mobile USA. L.P. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Utah for the Limited Purpose of Offering Lifeline Service to Qualified Households

DOCKET NO. 10-2521-01 DPU Exhibit 1.0

Direct Testimony of Casey J. Coleman

DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

November 23, 2010

Docket No. 10-2521-01 Testimony of Casey J. Coleman November 23, 2010 Page i

CONTENTS

I.	IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS
II.	SUMMARY2
III.	FEDERAL FRAMEWORK FOR GRANTING AN ETC
	APPLICATION
IV.	VERIFICATION OF LIFELINE APPLICATIONS6
V.	CONCLUSION

1

I. IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, EMPLOYER, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is Casey J. Coleman. I am employed by the Division of Public
Utilities ("Division") for the State of Utah. My business address is 160 East
300 South Salt Lake City, UT 84114.

7 Q. BRIEFLY OUTLINE YOUR EMPLOYMENT BACKGROUND.

8 A. Before working for the Division, I was employed by a telecommunications
9 consulting firm as a Financial Analyst. Then for approximately three years I
10 worked for the Division as a Utility Analyst and now work as a Technical
11 Consultant for the Division.

12 Q. WHAT IS YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND?

A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree from Weber State University in 1996
and a Masters of Business Administration from Utah State University in 2001.

15 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE UTAH PUBLIC 16 SERVICE COMMISSION?

17 A. Yes. I testified before the Commission as an expert witness in Docket Nos. 01-

18 2383-01, 02-2266-02, 02-049-82, 03-049-49, 03-049-50, 05-053-01, 05-2302-01,
19 07-2476-01, 08-2469-01, and 10-049-16.

20

II. SUMMARY

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE AND DESCRIBE THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY.

A. Virgin Mobile USA. L.P. ("Virgin Mobile") filed a Petition on April 12, 2010
requesting that the Utah Public Service Commission ("Commission")
designate Virgin Mobile as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier ("ETC")
within the state of Utah. Virgin Mobile's petition sought to limit its ETC
designation to households that qualify for the Lifeline Service.

My testimony will focus on the application filed by Virgin Mobile and whether its petition to become an ETC meets the requirements outlined by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"). My analysis will look at the federal framework to determine whether granting an ETC designation to Virgin Mobile is in the public interest. Finally, my testimony covers the condition the Commission should adopt if Virgin Mobile is designated as an ETC in the state of Utah.

Our analysis shows that Virgin Mobile has met the federal requirements that
would allow it to qualify for the Lifeline subsidy. Even though Virgin Mobile

has met the guidelines suggested by the FCC for designation as an ETC, the
Division believes that approval of Virgin Mobile's application should be
conditioned upon requiring it follow similar verification methods used by
other Lifeline providers within the State in order to ensure that individuals
qualify for the Lifeline subsidy.

42

III. FEDERAL FRAMEWORK FOR GRANTING AN ETC

43 Q. WHAT IS THE FRAMEWORK FOR A COMPANY TO GAIN 44 AUTHORIZATION TO SERVE AS AN ETC?

45 The FCC has delegated jurisdiction to the state commissions, allowing them А. the authority to determine whether a company is eligible to be classified as 46 an ETC. Section 214(e)(2) of the Communications Act provides that a state 47 commission shall designate a common carrier as an ETC if the carrier meets 48 49 the requirements of Section 214(e)(1). Section 214(e)(1) requires a carrier designated as an ETC to offer the services that are supported by Federal 50 universal service support mechanisms using its own facilities or a 51 52 combination of its own facilities and resale of another carrier's services and to advertise the availability of such services and the related charges using 53 54 media of general distribution.

55 Section 214(e)(2) of the Communications Act allows a state commission to 56 designate a common carrier as an ETC as long as it is consistent with the

57		public interest, convenience, and necessity for a non-rural area. Before
58		designating an additional eligible telecommunications carrier for an area
59		served by a rural telephone company, the State commission shall find that
60		the designation is in the public interest.
61	Q.	DOES VIRGIN MOBILE MEET THE REQUIREMENT OF
62		OFFERING SERVICES THAT ARE OUTLINED IN SECTION
63		214(e)1(A)?
64	А.	Yes. In Virgin Mobile's application it indicates that it will offer all required
65		services and functionalities, which include:
66		• Voice grade access to the public switched network.
67		• Local usage.
68		• Dual tone multi-frequency ("DTMF") signaling or its functional
69 70		equivalent.Single-party service or its functional equivalent.
70		 Single-party service or its functional equivalent. Access to 911 and E911 emergency service.
72		 Access to operator services.
73		• Access to interexchange service.
74		• Access to directory assistance.
75		• Toll limitation for qualified low-income customers.
76		Virgin Mobile's request for ETC designation complies with section 214(e)(1)
77		of the Act because Virgin Mobile is a facilities based mobile carrier that
78		provides all of the services and functionalities supported by the universal
79		service program. Those services will be available to any qualifying Utah
80		customer in Virgin Mobile's designated service territory.

Q. IS VIRGIN MOBILE MEETING THE REQUIREMENT OF OFFERING SERVICES THAT ARE OUTLINED IN SECTION 214(e)1(B)?

- A. Yes. On pages 16-17, of Virgin Mobile witness Ms. Divelbliss' testimony,
 she outlines the methods Virgin Mobile uses to advertise its service to
 qualifying customers. Ms. Divelbliss indicates:
- "Virgin Mobile will advertise its Lifeline services using a 87 variety of media in conformance with the regulations of 88 the Commission and the FCC. These means will include 89 90 television, brochures, in-person events, direct mail, 91 newspapers and the Internet. [In other jurisdiction], these marketing efforts have been highly successful in 92 reaching eligible low-income customers and promoting the 93 94 availability of Lifeline services."
- 95 The Division reviewed the sample marketing materials that have been used 96 in other markets. These materials satisfied the Division that Virgin Mobile 97 will "advertise the availability of their services and the charges" using 98 media of general distribution as required by Section 214(e)1(B) of the Act.

99 Q. WITH THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY VIRGIN MOBILE, DOES 100 THE DIVISION FEEL VIRGIN MOBILE HAS FULFILLED THE 101 REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 214(e)1?

102 A. Yes. To be classified as an ETC according to Section 214(e)1 a company must

Docket No. 10-2521-01 Testimony of Casey J. Coleman November 23, 2010 Page 6 of 9

be a common carrier and able to provide the services required for USF. Prior 103 104 to November 2009, Virgin Mobile would have been considered a reseller of 105 services instead of a facility based provider. As a reseller they would have needed forbearance from the FCC of the facilities based requirement. Prior 106 107 to the acquisition of Sprint, Virgin Mobile filed petitions with Commissions 108 asking to be an ETC as a reseller of services. In some of those applications requirements were placed on Virgin Mobile aimed at enhancing Lifeline 109 customer's access to public safety services and preventing misuse of the 110 Company's Lifeline offering. Today, with Virgin Mobile being a wholly 111 112 owned, fully integrated subsidiary of Sprint with beneficial use of the Sprint Nationwide PCS Network, Virgin becomes a common carrier just like the 113 parent company Sprint instead of a reseller. Because Virgin Mobile has 114 115 access to Sprint's network it meets the requirements of the Section, being a 116 common carrier and offering services required by the USF.

117

IV. VERIFICATION OF LIFELINE CUSTOMERS

118 Q. THE FCC APPEARS TO EXPRESS SOME CONCERN THAT THERE 119 IS THE POTENTIAL FOR FRAUD AND MULTIPLE SUBSIDIES 120 GOING TO ONE FAMILY. DOES THE DIVISION HAVE THE SAME 121 CONCERN?

A. Yes, absolutely. One of the primary concerns of the Division with thepetition by Virgin Mobile to be classified as an ETC is the potential for

Docket No. 10-2521-01 Testimony of Casey J. Coleman November 23, 2010 Page 7 of 9

fraud. Because of the transient nature of Virgin Mobile's service and the 124 fact that there is no economic cost to users of the service, qualified Lifeline 125 126 customers may find ways to exploit the system and obtain multiple Lifeline supported phones at the same address. The Commission should require 127 Virgin Mobile to utilize the Department of Community and Culture's (DCC) 128 knowledge and data bases (or whichever provider of verification services is 129 established through Docket No. 10-2508-01) to ensure as accurately as 130 possible that only one individual per household is receiving the Lifeline 131 Our state has developed processes to help telecommunications 132 subsidy. 133 companies verify the eligibility of potential Lifeline customers. To be fair to all telecommunications companies, the Commission should impose the same 134 requirements on Virgin Mobile that exist for other carriers. 135 With this 136 added condition, the Division believes the potential for fraud and abuse will 137 be significantly reduced.

The Division recognizes that with the additional verification requirement recommended, increased costs will be placed on DCC or any entity contracted to do the verification. Historically, the Commission has allowed those costs of verification to be paid by state USF funds. The Division believes that if a telecommunications company pays the applicable fees for its intrastate retail rates into the state USF fund, this should be sufficient to cover the costs of Lifeline verifications for that company. Alternately, if a

145	company is not subject to paying into the USF, then that company would
146	need to pay the applicable costs to DCC or any entity contracted to do the
147	verifications created by that applications submitted by that company.

Currently the costs of verifying eligibility for a Lifeline customer are being developed in Docket No. 10-2528-01. Once those costs have been approved by the Commission, the Division believes those costs should be used by all companies needing Lifeline verifications, but who are not subject to USF payments.

153 Q. WILL VIRGIN MOBILE PAY INTO THE STATE USF FUND?

A. Yes. Virgin Mobile in response to OCS Third set of data requests stated thefollowing:

156 "Virgin Mobile does intend to recommence payments to the Utah USF after157 the credit is exhausted."

As a telecommunications carrier paying into the state USF fund, Virgin Mobile would be similar to all other telecommunications carriers where the cost of verification would be covered by funds from the USF. Currently all costs for verification come from charges paid into the state USF by telecommunication companies on their intrastate retail rates. The Division believes treating Virgin Mobile the same as other companies like Qwest, or

164	rural ILECs, that pay into the USF fund, is sufficient to cover the costs of
165	verification. Conversely, if Virgin Mobile does not feel USF payments are
166	applicable to its company, then paying the costs that will be developed in
167	Docket No. 10-2528-01 would be appropriate.

168 V. CONCLUSION

169 Q. WHAT IS THE DIVISION'S RECOMMENDATION FOR THIS 170 PETITION?

A. The Division recommends that the Commission grant the ETC designation of
Virgin Mobile for the limited purpose of providing Lifeline service to qualified
customers with the condition that the Commission should require Virgin
Mobile to follow the same procedures as any other telecommunications
corporation to verify potential customer's eligibility for the subsidy.

176 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

177 A. Yes it does.