Trixie Behr - Fwd: RE: i-wireless Docket No. 10-2526-01

From:

Ruben Arredondo

To:

Behr, Trixie

Date:

2/7/2011 3:44 PM

Subject: Fwd: RE: i-wireless Docket No. 10-2526-01

Please print off and file in the docket 10-2526-01. Call it E-mail exchange regarding discovery dispute.

>>> Lance Steinhart < lsteinhart@telecomcounsel.com > 2/4/2011 10:25 AM >>>

Thank you Paul. Your description accurately reflects i-wireless' position.

Lance J.M. Steinhart Attorney at Law Lance J.M. Steinhart, P.C. 1720 Windward Concourse Suite 115 Alpharetta, GA 30005 (770) 232-9200 (Phone) (770) 232-9208 (General Fax) (678) 775-1194 (Confidential Personal Fax) (770) 241-0453 (Cellular) e-mail: lsteinhart@telecomcounsel.com

This transmission may be: (1) subject to the Attorney-Client Privilege; (2) an Attorney Work Product; or (3) Strictly Confidential. This transmission, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Paul Proctor [mailto:pproctor@utah.gov] Sent: Friday, February 04, 2011 12:16 PM

To: Ruben Arredondo

Cc: sfmecham@cnmlaw.com; james.messenger@leclairryan.com; BTolman@rqn.com; smartinez@slcap.org; esequin@solixinc.com; Lance Steinhart; Cheryl Murray; Felise Thorpe Moll; Michele Beck; Patricia Schmid

Subject: i-wireless Docket No. 10-2526-01

Judge Arredondo,

The January 26, 2011 scheduling order in the i-wireless ETC docket requires that the Office notify the Commission whether the Solix contract dispute has been resolved. As you are aware, the Office sought the Solix contract in the Virgin Mobile docket, 10-2521-01, a matter before Judge Clark, as well as in this docket. In the last few days Virgin Mobile has agreed to supplement its testimony on the issues of concern. Parties to the Virgin Mobile docket may file responsive testimony. The Office's discussion with Solix is applicable to i-wireless. Mr. Steinhart, i wireless' counsel, informed me that i-wireless will also supplement its testimony within the next week. Office and other's responsive testimony is due March 3. We may ask for additional time to respond but do not believe that the balance of the schedule need be changed. The Office has asked that no action be taken on its motions in Virgin Mobile's case and anticipates that they will be moot. With i wireless' supplemental testimony and an opportunity to respond, the Office considers the dispute over the Solix contract to be resolved. Thank you.

Paul H. Proctor Assistant Attorney General