BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application of Nexus Communications, Inc., for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier for

Docket No. 13-2540-01

Low Income Support Only

HEARING PROCEEDINGS

TAKEN AT: **Public Service Commission**

> Hearing Room 451 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, Utah

DATE:

Tuesday, June 25, 2013

TIME:

10:32 a.m.

REPORTED BY: Scott M. Knight, RPR

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180

Page 1



1 2 3 4 5	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MELANIE A. REIF FOR DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES: JUSTIN C. JETTER, ESQ., ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL 160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor	
6	Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 FOR OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES:	
7	Cheryl Murray, Pro se	
8	FOR NEXUS COMMUNICATIONS, INC.:	
9		
10	RYLEE McDERMOTT, ESQ., VAN COTT, BAGLEY, CORNWALL & McCARTHY 36 South State Street, Suite 1900	
11	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111	
12	ALAN J. GALLOWAY, ESQ., (Appearing Telephonically)	
	DAVIS, WRIGHT, TREMAINE	
13	1300 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2400 Portland, Oregon 97201	
14		
15	DANIELLE FRAPPIER, ESQ., (Appearing Telephonically) DAVIS, WRIGHT, TREMAINE 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 800	
16	Washington, DC 20006	
17	* * *	
18	INDEX	
19	WITNESS Page	
20	CASEY J. COLEMAN	
21	EXAMINATION 9	
	BY-MR.JETTER	
22		
	TESTIMONY OF CHERYL MURRAY 11	
23	OTEVEN FENKED (Associate Tell de la 11.)	
24	STEVEN FENKER (Appearing Telephonically)	
∠ ¬†	EXAMINATION 14	
25	BY-MR.GALLOWAY	



THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, everyone, for being here this morning. And we're on the record. I'm Melanie Reif, administrative law judge for the Utah Public Service Commission. And this is the hearing in Docket 13-2540-01 entitled In the Matter of the Application of Nexus Communications, Inc., for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier for Low Income Support Only.

I wish to thank you all for your patience this morning. And Mr. McDermott, my apologies for the delay. This is the date, and I'm sorry that the time has gone a little bit past our normal starting time, the hearing having run a little longer than expected, so--just before yours.

Let's start with taking appearances, please.

MR. McDERMOTT: I'm Rylee McDermott with Van Cott Bagley, Cornwall & McCarthy here on behalf of Nexus Communications.

THE HEARING OFFICER: And Mr. McDermott, you have some individuals who are joining on the telephone?

MR. McDERMOTT: Yes.

Alan, would you like to introduce everybody?

MR. GALLOWAY: Sure. I'll introduce myself. This is Alan Galloway of Davis, Wright, Tremaine, LLP, counsel for Nexus. With me on the phone are Danielle Frappier and Steven Fenker. I'll let them introduce themselves.



1	MS. FRAPPIER: This is Danielle Frappier. I'm a
2	partner with Davis, Wright, Tremaine, and national counsel
3	to Nexus Communications.
4	MR. FENKER: And this is Steven Fenker, president
5	of Nexus Communications.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And Mr. McDermott,
7	were you planning to call one or more of those individuals
8	in this case?
9	MR. McDERMOTT: I don't believeAlan, we weren't
10	going to call anybody in the case, were we?
11	MR. GALLOWAY: Your Honor, I believe our
12	understanding was that if there are any questions about the
13	application, we're happy to answer them, but we were not
14	going to present any additional testimony.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Wellokay. Let's
16	hope that everything goes well.
17	Mr. Jetter, please.
18	MR. JETTER: Justin Jetter for the Utah Division
19	of Public Utilities. And with me is Casey Coleman, a
20	technical expert for the Division of Public Utilities.
21	MS. MURRAY: Cheryl Murray with the Office of
22	Consumer Services.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: And Ms. Murray, are you
24	appearing pro se today?
25	MS. MURRAY: I am.



1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Okay. Very good.
2	And Mr. McDermott, this is your application, so I'll let you
3	proceed.
4	MR. McDERMOTT: Okay. I haveAlan has kind of
5	taken thewas preparing everything, so I figured I'd let
6	Alan explain whatwhat has been going on, if that's okay
7	with you, Alan.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let'slet's back off just
9	one second.
10	MR. McDERMOTT: Okay.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So just for the
12	record, is it your wish, Mr. McDermott, to have the proposed
13	stipulation and settlement heard by the Commission today?
14	MR. McDERMOTT: Yes.
15	HEARING OFFICER: And that is the reason for the
16	expedited hearing
17	MR. McDERMOTT: Yes.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER:correct? Okay. And is
19	is Mr. Gallowayhas he entered an appearance in thisin
20	this docket?
21	MR. McDERMOTT: Yes. Yes.
22	MR. GALLOWAY: Yes, your Honor, I have. And I
23	have been granted pro hac status for this matter.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Terrific. I was just
25	looking for some evidence of that.



Okay. So Mr. Galloway, if it's your pleasure to do the presentation, please--please proceed.

MR. GALLOWAY: Sure. So your Honor, thank you. You know, what we've accomplished so far in this case, through settlement negotiations, is the parties, including the Division and Office of Consumer Services and Nexus Communications, Inc., have worked out a stipulation and settlement agreement that we believe resolves the issues that would need to be resolved prior to designation of Nexus as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier by the Commission. I believe that that stipulation and settlement agreement is before you and before the Commission. Nexus asks that in light of the parties' agreement in that stipulation that the Commission proceed with approving Nexus's application as an ETC.

To the extent that we need to, you know, supplement the record or make--or answer questions the Commission may have, we're happy to provide additional input from--or testimony from Mr. Fenker today, but I don't think that's necessary, given the fact that the parties have reached agreement. So if--if the Commission does not request that, then we would not be planning to present additional testimony.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Galloway. Are there any questions? Mr. Jetter?



1	MR. JETTER: No.
2	MS. MURRAY: No.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Murray?
4	Mr. Galloway, as you may be aware, settlement
5	stipulations are reviewed by the Commission under Utah Code
6	54-7-1. Do you happen to have that code section before you?
7	MR. GALLOWAY: Your Honor, I can have that
8	sectionyes, I do now have that section before me.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Just wanted to go
10	over some things with you because under subsection (3), it
11	basically provides the rule that the Commission applies when
12	adopting settlement proposals. So I'm going to ask you some
13	questions. And if you wish, since these are questions that
14	really would be questions that we would ask a party who has
15	been placed under oathor if you wish to proffer, that's
16	that'sthat's up to you, although it would be preferable if
17	we had a witness who is testifying under oath as to these
18	issues. Is it your position that the settlement proposal as
19	drafted is just and reasonable?
20	MR. GALLOWAY: Yes, your Honor. Nexus's position
21	is that the settlement that has been reached by the parties
22	satisfies all requirements of 54-7-1, including that it is a
23	just and reasonable settlement.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. And just
25	to be absolutely clear here, were there any intervenors in



1 this docket? 2 MR. GALLOWAY: Your Honor, the only parties to the 3 docket were the Division and the Utah--and the Office of Consumer Services. And I believe Mr. Jetter and Mr. Coleman. 4 5 and Ms. Murray are there in the hearing room. There were no 6 other intervening parties in this docket. 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And is it correct 8 that the purpose of the application is to receive federal 9 funding but you do not seek state funding? 10 MR. GALLOWAY: That's correct, your Honor. In the 11 earliest version of the application we had a request for 12 state funding, and we revised the application to make clear 13 that Nexus does not seek any Utah state funding at this 14 time, and has no plans to do so. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And should you wish 16 to do so in the future, you would file a separate 17 application indicating that intent? 18 MR. GALLOWAY: That's correct, your Honor. I 19 believe we have stated such in the stipulation. Just trying 20 to find the exact paragraph, but--21 THE HEARING OFFICER: It's page 6, paragraph--22 MR. GALLOWAY: Yes. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: --11 C. 24 MR. GALLOWAY: That's the one.

25

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180

THE HEARING OFFICER: And are there any other



1	points or issues that you wish to raise with respect to the
2	proposed stipulation, Mr. Galloway?
3	MR. GALLOWAY: Your Honor, I'd just add that Nexus
4	looks forward to serving the needs of low-income Utah
5	residents and providing additional choice for telephone
6	service for those individuals, and we appreciate the work of
7	staff of the Division and the Office of Consumer Services in
8	working through the issues in this docket.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And I'm sure the
10	Office and Division both appreciate that.
11	Mr. Jetter?
12	MR. JETTER: Thank you, your Honor. Division
13	would like to call our witness, Casey Coleman.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Coleman, would you
15	please raise your right hand? And do you testify that
16	theexcuse medo you swear that the testimony you are
17	about to give is the truth?
18	MR. COLEMAN: Yes.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
20	Please proceed.
21	CASEY J. COLEMAN,
22	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
23	follows:
24	EXAMINATION
25	BY-MR.JETTER:



1	Q Mr. Coleman, would you please state your name and
2	occupation for the record?
3	A Yeah. My name is Casey J. Coleman, and occupation
4	is technical utility consultant with the Division of Public
5	Utilities.
6	Q Thank you. And Mr. Coleman, have you read the
7	application and the amended applications filed by Nexus
8	Communication, Incorporated, along with all the other filing
9	by parties in this docket?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And have you reviewed the stipulated agreement
12	between the parties to this docket?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Do you believe that approval by the Commission of
15	the stipulation settlement agreement would result in service
16	to the public that is just, reasonable, and in the public
17	interest?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Are you awareor is the Division aware of any
20	other parties not a party to this docket that have objected
21	oror had any reasons for which this stipulated agreement
22	should not be approved?
23	A No.
24	MR. JETTER: Thank you. I have no further
25	questions.

Hearing Proceedings



1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
2	Mr. Galloway, do you have any questions for
3	Mr. Coleman?
4	MR. GALLOWAY: Thank you, your Honor. I do not
5	have any questions.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Murray, any questions
7	for
8	MS. MURRAY: No, thank you.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Murray, are you
10	prepared?
11	MS. MURRAY: I am.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And you would like to
13	testify?
14	MS. MURRAY: I would.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And do you swear that
16	the testimony you're about to give is the truth?
17	MS. MURRAY: Yes.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
19	TESTIMONY OF CHERYL MURRAY
20	MS. MURRAY: My name is Cheryl Murray. I'm a
21	utility analyst with the Office of Consumer Services. And
22	the Office is responsible for assessing the impact of
23	utility rate changes and regulatory actions upon residential
24	and small commercial customers. The Office recognizes the
25	need for low-income persons to have access to telephone



1 service, and in general, we support the ability to receive 2 that service through wireless telephone service. 3 We analyzed Nexus Communications' testimony, 4 application in the request for limited designation as an 5 Eligible Telecommunications Carrier, considering both the 6 benefits to be provided to low-income customers and the cost 7 to telecommunications customers to fund wireless ETCs such 8 as Nexus. The stipulation that is being presented today 9 incorporates conditions the Office asserts are necessary to 10 the public interest. And in the Office's judgments--11 judgment, the settlement is in the public interest and we 12 recommend Commission approval. 13 That concludes my testimony. 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Murray. 15 Any questions, Ms. Galloway, for Ms. Murray? 16 MR. GALLOWAY: No questions, your Honor. 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Jetter? 18 MR. JETTER: I have no questions, your Honor. 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. 20 Mr. McDermott or Mr. Galloway, is there anything 21 further you wish the Commission to be aware of concerning 22 the stipulation before we conclude this hearing? 23 MR. McDERMOTT: I would just like to echo 24 Mr. Galloway's statements about appreciation for everyone in 25 helping expedite this hearing and getting everything squared



1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

away.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. McDermott.

Mr. Galloway, do you wish to add anything before we conclude today?

MR. GALLOWAY: Your Honor, if it would be helpful, we could certainly, you know, present Mr. Fenker as a witness to affirm basically the same thing that I said about the settlement being just and reasonable, or to talk about the benefits of Nexus service. If--if you think that would be essentially helpful, we'd be happy to do that; otherwise, I would have nothing else to add.

THE HEARING OFFICER: If I--yes, I do think that that would be helpful. And if you don't mind, let's do that.

MR. GALLOWAY: Okay. So let's--so we'll swear in Mr. Fenker and present him as a witness on behalf of Nexus Communications, Inc.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Fenker, I'm Melanie Reif, the ALJ who has been doing a fair amount of the speaking here. And I know this is a little bit awkward because you're not here, but if you would kindly raise your right hand and--

MR. FENKER: Okay.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you swear that the testimony you're about to give is the truth?



1	MR. FENKER: I do.	
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.	
3	Mr. Galloway, you may proceed with questioning.	
4	STEVEN FENKER,	
5	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as	
6	follows:	
7	EXAMINATION	
8	BY-MR.GALLOWAY:	
9	Q Mr. Fenker, could you please state your name for	
10	the record?	
11	A Yes. It's Steven Fenker. That's spelled F, as in	
12	Frank, e-n-k-e-r.	
13	Q And can you tell us your position?	
14	A Yes, I'm president of Nexus Communications, Inc.	
15	Q And Mr. Fenker, have you reviewed the application	
16	and the stipulation and settlement agreement in this docket?	
17	A Yes, I have.	
18	Q And do you believe that the settlement agreement	
19	achieves a fair and reasonable result?	
20	A Yes, I do.	
21	Q And do you believe thatsorry. Just a minute.	
22	Do you believe that the proposed settlement resolves all the	
23	outstanding issues requiredrequired for designation of	
24	Nexus as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in this	
25	docket?	

1	A Yes, I do.
2	Q And just so I have the magic language, do you
3	believe that the settlement proposal is just and reasonable
4	in result?
5	A Yes, I do. I do.
6	Q Do you want to tell us a little bit about the
7	benefits of Nexus's service offerings?
8	A Absolutely. Nexus is a privately owned company
9	based here in Columbus, Ohio. Our two largest competitors
10	are publicly traded multinational corporations. We've been
11	in the business forthe telecommunications business since
12	the year 2000. We've been providing local exchange service
13	since the year 2001. We started providing wireless service
14	in approximately 2008 based upon customer demand. So we've
15	had a long history of experience with providing services in
16	the Lifeline
17	THE REPORTER: In the Lifeline what? I didn't
18	hear. I didn't hear him.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Galloway, the court
20	reporter indicated that he was having a difficult time
21	hearing Mr. Fenker the last few things that he mentioned
22	about Lifeline. Could he please repeat those?
23	MR. GALLOWAY: Sure.
24	BY MR. GALLOWAY:
25	Q Go ahead, Mr. Fenker.



1	A Yeah, absolutely. Yeah. As I mentioned, we have
2	been in business since the year 2000. We are a privately
3	owned company based out of Columbus, Ohio. The two largest
4	competitors above us are multinational publicly traded
5	companies. We have been successful in providing services to
6	mid- to low-income people for over 12 years. And we believe
7	that our Lifeline offering will provide a competitive
8	offering for potential Lifeline applicants in the state of
9	Utah.
10	Q Thank you, Mr. Fenker. I justone last question:
11	Could you affirm that Nexus is committed to follow through
12	on the commitments it made in the stipulation and settlement
13	agreement?
14	A Yes, we will.
15	MR. GALLOWAY: Thank you.
16	Your Honor, I don't think we have any other
17	testimony that we plan to present.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Galloway, thank
19	you very much. I appreciate you putting on your witness.
20	And as we normally would, wewe will open the opportunity
21	for further questioning.
22	Mr. Jetter, do you have any questions of the
23	witness?
24	MR. JETTER: I have noI have no questions, your
25	Honor.



1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Murray?
2	MS. MURRAY: No, thank you, your Honor.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you,
4	Mr. Galloway.
5	And Mr. Fenker, you are justyou are dismissed.
6	Thank you for your testimony.
7	I wish to ask one final question before we
8	conclude today, and that is whether there is anyone here who
9	wishes to voice an opposition to the applicationin
10	particular, the stipulated settlement. And hearing no
11	objection, the record will so reflect.
12	Are there any questions before we adjourn today?
13	Okay. I want to thank you all for coming. And
14	for those of you on the phone, thank you for joining us as
15	well.
16	Pursuant to the code that I referenced earlier,
17	54-7-1, the Commission will review this matter and, based on
18	there being no objection, will issue an order authorizing
19	the stipulation and settlement approving it. And that
20	should be within relatively short order, depending on when
21	we see the transcript.
22	So thank you, once again, for coming. And again,
23	I apologize for the delay this morning. Have a good day.
24	(Proceedings concluded at 10:52 a.m.)



25

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, SCOTT M. KNIGHT, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, residing at South Jordan, Utah;

That the proceedings were reported by me in stenotype and thereafter caused by me to be transcribed into typewriting, and that a full, true, and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed is set forth in the foregoing pages, inclusive.

I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause of action, and that I am not interested in the event thereof.

21

22

23

24

25

Scott M. Knight, RPR Utah License No. 110171-7801

