## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Joint Application of MegaPath Corporation and GC Pivotal, LLC d/b/a Global Capacity for Approval of Asset Transfer Transaction

Docket No. 14-2539-01

HEARING PROCEEDINGS

TAKEN AT:

Public Service Commission

Hearing Room 451 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, Utah

DATE:

Wednesday, November 5, 2014

IME:

9:03 a.m.

REPORTED BY:

Scott M. Knight, RPR

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180

Page 1



1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
4	MELANIE A. REIF
5	
6	FOR DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:
7	JUSTIN C. JETTER, ESQ.,
8	ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
9	160 East 300 South
10	Fifth Floor
11	Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
12	
13	FOR GC PIVOTAL, LLC; AND MEGAPATH CORPORATION:
14	JOE STULTZ, ESQ.,
15	WILLIAM J. EVANS, ESQ.,
16	PARSONS, BEHLE & LATIMER
17	201 South Main Street
18	Suite 1800
19	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	INDEX			
2	WITNESS	Page		
3	JEREMY KISSEL			
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION		7	
5	BY MR. STULTZ			
6	KATHERINE MUDGE			
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION		14	
8	BY MR. STULTZ			
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION		17	
10	BY MR. JETTER			
11	RON SLUSHER			
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION		19	
13	BY MR. JETTER			
14				
15	EXHIBITS			
16	Exhibit F	Received		
17	Division of Public Utilities Me	morandum,		19
18	October 28, 2014			
19	Application	22		
20	Supplemental Exhibits 1, 2, a	nd 3	22	
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				



Hearing Proceedings
November 5, 2014
PROCEEDINGS

THE HEARING OFFICER: Then, we will be on the record. Good morning, everyone. I'm happy to see you here. And to those of you joining us on the telephone, my name is Melanie Reif, and I serve as the administrative law judge for the Utah Public Service Commission. And this morning is the hearing in Docket 14-2539-01. And this matter is entitled The Joint Application of MegaPath Corporation and GC Pivotal, LLC, dba Global Capacity for Approval of Asset Transfer Transaction.

And if I may just ask those individuals who are joining us on the telephone, if you could kindly--if you have the ability to mute your end of the line, it'll help to alleviate some noise that we can hear on our end. And, then, when it comes time, if you will be testifying or if you wish to add comment, you can do so by unmuting your phone.

So, let's start by taking appearances, starting with the applicant, please.

MR. STULTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

Name is Joe Stultz. I'm with Parsons, Behle &



1	Latimer. I'm appearing here today on behalf of the
2	joint applicants GC Pivotal, LLC, or Global
3	Capacity; and MegaPath Corporation, or MegaPath.
4	With me is Bill Evans, also from Parsons, Behle &
5	Latimer. Do the appearances on the phoneit's
6	Jeremy Kissel, K-I-S-S-E-L. He is a witness from
7	Global Capacity. Also on the phone is Katherine
8	Mudge. And that's with a "K," Katherine Mudge,
9	M-U-D-G-E. She's a witnessour witness from
10	MegaPath. And also on the phone is Jeffrey,
11	J-E-F-F-R-E-Y, Strenkowski, S-T-R-E-N-K-O-W-S-K-I,
12	of Bingham, McCutchen, LLP. He is also counsel for
13	Global Capacity and MegaPath. And he's here as an
14	observer, I guess, "ear-server."
15	And if it please the Court, I can just
16	introduce the case or
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's wait until
18	the Division does their
19	MR. STULTZ: Oh, thanks.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER:introduction.
21	And, then, we would be pleased for your
22	introduction. Thank you.
23	MR. JETTER: Thanks. And I'm Justin
24	Jetter. And I'm here today representing the Utah
25	Division of Public Utilities. And with me at



1	counsel table is Ron Slusher, technical analyst for
2	the Division of Public Utilities.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Welcome again,
4	everyone.
5	And Mr. Stultz, the floor is yours.
6	MR. STULTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	This is a routine request, Your Honor, for the
8	approval of the transfer of certain network assets
9	and certain customers served by those assets from
10	MegaPath to Global Capacity. Global Capacity and
11	MegaPath filed a joint application for approval of
12	the transaction on October the 1st, 2014. And
13	Global Capacity and MegaPath filed three
14	supplemental exhibits on October 29, 2014.
15	And, today, we have witnesses from both
16	Global Capacity and MegaPath to supplement the
17	record, pursuant to the Commission's rule.
18	May I call the witnesses?
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: You may.
20	MR. STULTZ: Okay. We're going to
21	start today with Mr. Kissel.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Kissel,
23	if you're on the line, if you would kindly unmute
24	your line. And if you are prepared to testify,
25	please let me know verbally.

THACKER+CO



1	MR. KISSEL: Yes, I am.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you
3	very much. Could you kindly raise your right hand,
4	please?
5	MR. KISSEL: Yeah.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: And do you swear
7	that the testimony you're about to give will be the
8	truth?
9	MR. KISSEL: I do.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.
11	Please proceed, Mr. Stultz.
12	JEREMY KISSEL, being first duly sworn,
13	was examined and testified as follows:
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. STULTZ:
16	Q. Good morning, Mr. Kissel. For the
17	record, could you please state your name and
18	business address and your position at Global
19	Capacity?
20	A. Sure. My name is Jeremy Kissel. I'm
21	general counsel at Global Capacity. And my
22	business address is 180 North LaSalle Street, Suite
23	2430, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
24	Q. Have you reviewed the joint application
25	filed in this docket?



1	Α.	Yes, I have.
2	Q.	Do you have knowledge of the contents
3	presen	ted in the joint application regarding the
4	propos	ed transaction?
5	Α.	Yes, I do.
6	Q.	On the application, I notice that the
7	verifica	ation was not signed by you but was actually
8	signed	by Richard Garner, the secretary and
9	treasur	er of GC Pivotal. Is that correct?
10	Α.	That is correct.
11	Q.	For purposes of today's testimony, do
12	you ad	opt as your own the statements made in the
13	joint ap	oplication?
14	Α.	Yes, I do.
15	Q.	Are those statements true and correct,
16	to the	best of your knowledge?
17	Α.	Yes, they are.
18	Q.	Are there any changes or corrections
19	that yo	uthat need to be made to the joint
20	applica	ation?
21	Α.	No.
22	Q.	On October 30, 2014, did GC Pivotal,
23	along v	with MegaPath, cause to be filed with the
24	Commi	ssion Supplemental Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 to the

THACKER+CO

joint application?

25

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Can you please explain what Supplemental
3	Exhibit 1 is?
4	A. Sure. This is a joint application by
5	GC Pivotal and MegaPath that was filed with the FCC
6	on October 1, 2014. It requested a streamlined
7	processing and consent from the FCC for the same
8	transaction for which we're seeking approval here
9	from the Utah Commission.
10	Q. Has the FCC taken any action on that
11	application?
12	A. Yes. On October 10, 2014, the FCC
13	issued a public notice granting the request for
14	streamlined processing of the International Section
15	214 Joint Application. And we also filed a copy of
16	the FCC notice withas a Supplemental Exhibit 2 to
17	the joint application with the Utah Commission on
18	October 29, 2014.
19	Q. Can you explain what Supplemental
20	Exhibit 3 is?
21	A. Sure. Supplemental Exhibit 3 is also
22	filed with the Utah Commission on October 29, 2014,
23	a public notice issued by the FCC on October 16,
24	2014, establishing a streamlined pleading cycle for
25	the Domestic Section 214 Joint Application.



1	Q. Do you know whether there have been any
2	comments filed yet at the FCC?
3	A. I am not aware of any comments that
4	have been filed with the FCC.
5	Q. So, do you anticipate that the FCC
6	application will result in approval?
7	A. Yes. The International 214 application
8	was approved on October 24, 2014. And based on the
9	comment cycle, I expect the Domestic 214
10	application
11	THE REPORTER: Sorry, Judge. I'm having
12	a hard time hearing him.
13	THE WITNESS:to be approved on or
14	about November 16, 2014.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Kissel?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: If you could hold
18	on just one moment, please, the court reporter is
19	having a hard time hearing you. I think it might
20	help if you slow down just a little bit, and
21	THE WITNESS: Sure.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER:and we'll try to
23	address the volume just a little bit more on our
24	end. I think we've got it maxed out. But maybe



just slow down a little bit and--sorry to

25

1	interrupt.
2	THE WITNESS: Sure. And it's a little
3	bit hard to hear on this end, as well. JustI
4	don't know if it's the line or not.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Stultz,
6	your microphone is on, I believe.
7	MR. STULTZ: Yeah, it is on.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I'm sorry,
9	Mr. Kissel. I'm sure it's the nature of the
10	hearing being over the telephone. And we do the
11	best we can, so if you need a question to be
12	repeated or if you're having a hard time hearing,
13	please let us know and we'll do what we can on our
14	end, as well.
15	THE WITNESS: Okay. Appreciate it.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Stultz, I
17	apologize. Please go ahead.
18	BY MR. STULTZ:
19	Q. Do you have a copythat's better, isn't
20	it?
21	Do you have a copy of the Utah
22	application in front of you, Mr. Kissel?
23	A. Yes, I do.
24	Q. At the bottom of page .5 of that
25	application, it talks about you are the joint



1	applicants intending to file or filing applications
2	seeking authorization for the transaction with a
3	number of States. And, then, there's a list of
4	States on the bottom of page .5 and the top of page
5	.6. Is that list complete, to the best of your
6	knowledge?
7	A. Yes, it is.
8	Q. Have any commissions and States where
9	approval is required issued orders approving the
10	transaction?
11	A. Yes. Approvals have been granted by the
12	State commissions in California, Delaware, and West
13	Virginia. The review period for Indiana finishes
14	today, which means that the application will likely
15	be deemed approved either today or tomorrow. And
16	as I mentioned previously, the FCC has granted the
17	International 214 application.
18	Q. Has there been any opposition to the
19	transaction in any of the States in which
20	applications were filed?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Are you aware of any opposition to the
23	Utah application?
24	A. No.

Can you please describe why you believe



Q.

25

1	the proposed transaction is in the public interest?
2	A. Sure. The transaction will result in
3	the transfer of certain network assets, and the
4	broadband data customers served by those assets, to
5	Global Capacity, a company with a history of
6	providing high-quality communications services. The
7	transaction will not only allow Global Capacity to
8	provide service to the broadband customers
9	currently served by MegaPath, it will also give all
10	Utah business customers another competitive choice
11	for high-quality broadband service. Also, Global
12	Capacity's operations are overseen by a
13	well-qualified management team with substantial
14	telecommunications experience and technical
15	expertise. And there will be an orderly and
16	seamless transition of customers from MegaPath to
17	Global Capacity.
18	Q. Thank you.
19	Mr. Kissel is now available for
20	cross-examination.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Jetter.
22	MR. JETTER: The Division has no
23	questions. Thank you.
24	MR. STULTZ: Your Honor, we'd next like
25	to call Katherine Mudge, please.



1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Kissel,
2	thank you very much for your testimony this
3	morning. If you could mute your end of the line,
4	and we'll ask Katherine Mudge to please let me know
5	if she is ready to testify.
6	MS. MUDGE: Yes, Your Honor, I am.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning, Ms.
8	Mudge. And it was just a little bit hard to hear
9	you, so if you could kindly speak up just a little
10	bit and/or speak directly into your telephone.
11	Would you raise your right hand, please?
12	MS. MUDGE: Yes, I have.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: And do you swear
14	that the testimony you will give this morning will
15	be the truth?
16	MS. MUDGE: Yes, I do.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very
18	much.
19	KATHERINE MUDGE, being first duly sworn,
20	was examined and testified as follows:
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. STULTZ:
23	Q. Good morning, Ms. Mudge. This is Joe
24	Stultz. For the record, could you please state
25	vour name, business address, and position at



1	MegaPath?
2	A. Yes. My name is Katherine Mudge. I am
3	vice president of regulatory affairs and litigation
4	for MegaPath Corporation. My business address is
5	1835-B, as in "boy," Kramer Lane, Austin, Texas
6	78758.
7	Q. Thank you. Have you reviewed the joint
8	application?
9	A. Yes, I have.
10	Q. Do you have knowledge of the contents
11	presented in the joint application regarding the
12	proposed transaction?
13	A. Yes, I do.
14	Q. I notice that you are not the person
15	who signed the verification to the joint
16	application on behalf of MegaPath. Is that right?
17	A. That is correct. That was filed or
18	signed by Steven Chisholm, our senior vice
19	president, business development, legal and
20	regulatory.
21	Q. For purposes of today's testimony, do
22	you adopt as your own the statements made in the
23	joint application?
24	A. Yes, I do.
25	Q. Are those statements true and correct,



1	to the	best of your knowledge?
2	Α.	Yes, they are.
3	Q.	Do you have any changes or corrections
4	that sh	nould be made to the joint application?
5	Α.	No, I do not.
6	Q.	On October 30, 2014, did you also cause
7	to be f	iled with the Commission Supplemental
8	Exhibi	ts 1, 2, and 3 to the joint application?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	Did you hear Mr. Kissel's testimony
11	regard	ing those supplemental exhibits earlier?
12	Α.	Yes, I did.
13	Q.	Do you have any additions or corrections
14	to mak	te to his testimony?
15	Α.	No, I do not.
16	Q.	Did you hear Mr. Kissel's testimony
17	about	why the proposed transaction is in the public
18	interes	st?
19	A.	Yes, I did.
20	Q.	Do you have anything to add to what Mr.
21	Kissel	said as to why this transaction is in the
22	public	interest?
23	A.	No. I think he expressed our joint
24	sentim	ents correctly.
25	Q.	Thank you, Ms. Mudge.

THACKER+CO

1	Ms. Mudge is now available for
2	cross-examination.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Jetter.
4	MR. JETTER: I just have a brief maybe
5	question or two for Ms. Mudge.
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY-MR.JETTER:
8	Q. Ms. Mudge, good morning. This is Justin
9	Jetter, the attorney representing the Utah Division
10	of Public Utilities. The only questions I had for
11	you was just to verify: In the application, it
12	indicatedbegins at the beginning of page .5that
13	MegaPath is not intending to transfer its
14	certificate of public convenience and necessity in
15	Utah to another entity, and once the customers have
16	transferred to GC, MegaPath intends to file with
17	this Commission to cancel its certificate of public
18	convenience and necessity. Is that still the
19	intent of MegaPath?
20	A. Yes, sir, it is.
21	Q. Okay. And do you have an estimated
22	time when you think that that transaction would be
23	completed?
24	A. We expect and are pushing to close the
25	transaction on December 30, 2014, at the end of the



1	year.
2	MR. JETTER: Okay. That's all the
3	questions that I have. Thank you.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms.
5	Mudge. One additional question for you, please:
6	Are you aware of any opposition to the application
7	that's pending before the Utah Commission?
8	THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
10	MR. STULTZ: I don't have anymore
11	questions.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you,
13	Mr. Stultz.
14	Ms. Mudge, thank you for joining us this
15	morning. You may stay on the line. And if you
16	wish to mute your end, that would be helpful.
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Jetter.
19	MR. JETTER: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	The Division would like to swear in and call its
21	witness, Ron Slusher.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning, Mr.
23	Slusher.
24	MR. SLUSHER: Good morning.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Would you raise



1	your right hand, please? And do you swear that the
2	testimony you're about to give will be the truth?
3	MR. SLUSHER: Yes.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
5	RON SLUSHER, being first duly sworn, was
6	examined and testified as follows:
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY-MR.JETTER:
9	Q. Good morning, Mr. Slusher. Would you
10	please state your name and occupation for the
11	record?
12	A. Name is Ron Slusher, S-L-U-S-H-E-R. I'm
13	a utility technical consultant to the Division in
14	Utah.
15	Q. Thank you. And in your role as a
16	technical consultant for the Utah Division of
17	Public Utilities, have you reviewed the joint
18	application filed by the applicant parties along
19	with the initially filed exhibits, as well as the
20	Supplemental Exhibits 1 through 3?
21	A. Yes, I have.
22	Q. And did you prepare and cause to be
23	filed a document titled "Memorandum" from the
24	Division of Public Utilities, dated October 28,

THACKER+CO

25

2014?



1	A. Yes, I he did.
2	Q. And I recognize that the supplemental
3	exhibits were filed after the comments from the
4	Division were filed. Did any of those supplemental
5	exhibits change any of the conclusions reached in
6	the Division's memorandum?
7	A. They did not.
8	Q. And does the memorandum, as filed,
9	represent accurately the position of the Division
10	today?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And as such, do you recommend approval
13	of this application?
14	A. Yes, we do.
15	Q. And do you believe that approval would
16	be just, reasonable, and in the public interest?
17	A. Yes, we do.
18	Q. Finally, are you aware of any opposition
19	that's been raised at any point during the process?
20	A. I have not seen or heard of any
21	opposition for this case.
22	MR. JETTER: Thank you. With that, I
23	don't believe we've entered the Division's memo
24	into the record, and so I would, I guess, request
25	at this time that it be entered in the record.



1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection?
2	MR. STULTZ: No objections.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. It is
4	entered.
5	MR. JETTER: Thank you. I have no
6	further questions. And my witness is available for
7	cross-examination.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Stultz, any
9	questions of Mr. Slusher?
10	MR. STULTZ: We have no questions.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Stultz,
12	we may wish to address your exhibits, as well, at
13	this time, if you wish similarly, as the Division
14	has requested to make them part of the record, in
15	particular, your application, dated October 1,
16	2014, and the supplements that were filed on
17	October 30, 2014.
18	MR. STULTZ: Your Honor, we request to
19	submit our applicationour joint application with
20	Exhibits 1 and 2 of that application for the
21	record.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: One, two, and three
23	or just one and two?
24	MR. STULTZ: Well, I guess I would say
25	we'd also like to submitwe'd like to submit our



1	Supplemental Exhibits 1, 2, and 3
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
3	MR. STULTZ:of the joint application
4	for the record.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm not trying to
6	make this difficult. I just want to make sure that
7	we have the proper denotation on the record that we
8	are making them a permanent part of the record
9	MR. STULTZ: Okay.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER:and accepting
11	them as part of the record. So, when the
12	transcript is issued, they will be attached and
13	MR. STULTZ: Oh, I see.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER:and made part of
15	the permanent record.
16	MR. STULTZ: Okay.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: So
18	MR. STULTZ: So, would you like to have
19	both the joint application attached, then, first,
20	and then also the supplemental exhibits?
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: If the court
22	reporter needs copies of those, you can address
23	that at the end of the hearing.
24	MR. STULTZ: Okay.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: This is more of a



1	formality at this point.
2	MR. STULTZ: Right.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: So, taking your
4	request as a motion, we now ask if there's any
5	objection.
6	MR. JETTER: No objections.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Your motion
8	is granted, sir. And those documents will be part
9	of the record.
10	MR. STULTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: You're very
12	welcome.
13	Is there anything else from the parties?
14	MR. STULTZ: We have a short closing,
15	Your Honor.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Let me ask
17	before we do that: Is there anyone who wishes to
18	address the Commission who has not already done so?
19	And along those lines, is there anyone here who
20	wishes to express any objection to the application
21	or any support to the application who has not
22	already done so?
23	Hearing none, we will move now to Mr.
24	Stultz for your closing statement.
25	MR STIII T7: Thank you Your Honor



. .

In closing, Rule--excuse me--Rule 746-349-7(3) states, "If no objection to a proposed transaction is submitted in any filed comments or reply comments, the Commission will presume that the approval of the transaction is in the public interest and use the information contained in the application and accompanying documents as evidence to support a Commission order."

We have heard testimony today that the transaction is in the public interest. There have been no intervenors, and no party has voiced any opposition to this application, so we would submit that the transaction should be found to be in the public interest and request, therefore, that the application should be granted.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Jetter.

MR. JETTER: Thank you, Your Honor. I didn't prepare a closing statement, but I would just note on the record that the Division supports the application and we agree with the applicant that it should be granted.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you very much. At this point, we will be adjourned.

And just for your clarification, typically what we



do is we issue an actual written order, which will be done after we receive the transcript. And there appears to be no reason to not grant the request, so I anticipate that that's what the order will be. And to that end, is there a specific time frame that you're looking at? Typically, we receive the transcript and we try to work within about two weeks of that to get out an order. If we can do something sooner than that, we will. But if you're faced with a specific timeline, please let me know.

MR. STULTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. We think two weeks is fine, unless Mr. Kissel or Ms. Mudge have anything to say to that.

MS. MUDGE: Your Honor, this is

Katherine Mudge. Can you hear me?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Yes, please.

MS. MUDGE: Your Honor, the only--the two weeks sounds fine with us. Our goal is to obtain all of the necessary regulatory approvals before closing, which we're shooting for December 31, 2014. So, I don't know how long the transcript takes, but as long as--you know, with that in mind, in that time frame getting the transcript and two weeks after the--having an order issued or a draft order issued, that works for us, as long as we can



1 get the final Commission approval, if possible, 2 before December 31. 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. I personally don't foresee there being any issue, 4 5 but at the adjournment, if you wish to talk to the 6 court reporter and, if necessary, expedite the request for the transcript to ensure that we get it 7 8 right away and that you get your order perhaps 9 sooner than later, that's certainly something you 10 may wish to do. 11 So, that being said, I once again 12 appreciate everyone being here today. Thank you 13 for the testimony that's been provided. And we'll 14 be adjourned. Thank you so much. Have a great 15 day. 16 (Proceedings concluded at 9:27 a.m.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25



## **CERTIFICATE**

This is to certify that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, SCOTT M. KNIGHT, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, residing at South Jordan, Utah;

That the proceedings were reported by me in stenotype and thereafter caused by me to be transcribed into typewriting, and that a full, true, and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed is set forth in the foregoing pages, inclusive.

I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause of action, and that I am not interested in the event thereof.





Utah License No. 110171-7801

