## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

\* \* \*

In the Matter of the Joint Application of X5 Solutions, Inc., and X5 OpCo, LLC, for Docket No. 15-2580-02 Approval of Asset and Customer Transfer Transaction

HEARING PROCEEDINGS

TAKEN AT:

Public Service Commission

Hearing Room 451 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, Utah

DATE:

Thursday, May 7, 2015

TIME:

9:02 a.m.

REPORTED BY: Scott M. Knight, RPR

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180

Page 1



1	APPEARANCES
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MELANIE A. REIF
3	FOR DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:
4	JUSTIN C. JETTER, ESQ., ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
5	160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
6	can Lake Oity, Otan Offit
7	FOR X5 OPCO, LLC:
8	GREGORY B. MONSON, ESQ., STOEL RIVES
9	201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
10	
11	* * *
12	
13	INDEX
14	WITNESS Page
15	ROBERT KRISTJANSON 4
16	ROBERT KRISTJANSON 4
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. MONSON
18	RON SLUSHER 6
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. JETTER
20	BI WIK. GETTER
21	
22	* * *
23	
23 24	
2 <del>4</del> 25	
20	



## **PROCEEDINGS**

THE HEARING OFFICER: We're back on the record.

This is Docket 15-2580-02, entitled In the Matter of the Joint Application of X5 Solutions, Inc., and X5 OpCo, LLC, for Approval of Assets and Customer Transfer Transaction. I'm Melanie Reif, and I'm the administrative law judge for the Utah Public Service Commission.

And let's start with you, Mr. Monson. If you would, kindly introduce yourself and your witnesses that are on the line with us this morning.

MR. MONSON: Gregory Monson of Stoel Rives appearing for the Applicants. And the witnesses on the--on the phone with us are Mr. Robert Kristjanson and Barbara Meyer.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Jetter?

MR. JETTER: Thank you. Justin Jetter representing the Utah Division of Public Utilities. And with me at counsel table is Ron Slusher, technical utility consultant for the Utah Division of Public Utilities.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And just to make sure we have a formality covered, because we do have potentially two witnesses on the line and Mr. Slusher here, why don't we go ahead and I'll just swear everybody in at the same time.

So Mr. Slusher and Ms. Meyer and Mr. Kristjanson on the phone, if you kindly raise your right hand. And do



1	you swear that the testimony that you'll be providing today
2	will be the truth?
3	MS. MEYER: Yes, I do.
4	MR. KRISTJANSON: Yes, I do.
5	MR. SLUSHER: Yes, I do.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much.
7	Mr. Monson, I'll let you go ahead.
8	ROBERT KRISTJANSON,
9	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
10	follows:
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. MONSON:
13	Q Mr. Kristjanson, could you please state your name
14	for the record?
15	A Robert Kristjanson.
16	Q And your employment?
17	A I'm a certifiedchief financial
18	officer of X5 OpCo, LLC.
19	Q Andand have you reviewed the application that's
20	been filed in this matter?
21	A Yes, I have.
22	Q And is it true and correct, to the best of your
23	knowledge, information, and belief?
24	A Yes, it is.
25	Q And just to summarize the application, do you



1	understand that thosewell, first of all, that the
2	customers of X5 Solutions have all been notified of this
3	transfer, proposed transfer?
4	A Yes, they haveyes, they were.
5	Q And did you receive any objections from any of
6	them?
7	A To date, we have received no objections.
8	Q Okay. And Xas I understand it, X5 Solutions has
9	no assets, no property within the state of Utah. Is that
10	correct?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q And so the transfer is largely a transfer of
13	customers who have been receiving services from X5
14	Solutions. They willwill they receive the same services
15	from X5 OpCo on the same terms and conditions?
16	A Yes, they will.
17	Q Do you believe that this approval of this
18	application is inis just and reasonable and in the public
19	interest?
20	A Yes, I do.
21	MR. MONSON: That's all I have.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Monson.
23	Any questions, Mr. Jetter?
24	MR. JETTER: No questions from the Division.
25	Thank you, your Honor.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll let you go ahead, then.
2	MR. JETTER: Thank you.
3	RON SLUSHER,
4	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
5	follows:
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. JETTER:
8	Q Mr. Slusher, would you please state your name and
9	occupation for the record?
10	A My name is Ron Slusher. "S" as in "Sam,"
11	l-u-s-h-e-r. I'm a utility technical consultant for the
12	Division of Public Utilities.
13	Q Thank you. And in the course of your employment
14	for the Division of Public Utilities, have you had an
15	opportunity to review the joint application for approval of
16	asset and customer transfer?
17	A Yes, I have.
18	Q Did you prepare the document filed by the Division
19	labeled "Comments from the Division of Public Utilities" on
20	April 21st, 2015?
21	A Yes, I did.
22	Q And does that memorandum still accurately reflect
23	the opinion of the Division on the merits of this
24	application?
25	A Vas it does





1	Q Do you still believe that the application should
2	be approved as requested?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And do you believe that approval of the
5	application for transfer of customers is just, reasonable,
6	and in the public interest?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And finally, do youare you aware of or do you
9	know of any objections or reasons that this application
10	should not be approved?
11	A I have not seen or heard of any objections.
12	Q Thank you.
13	MR. JETTER: I have no further questions for
14	Mr. Slusher.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Monson?
16	MR. MONSON: No questions.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Slusher, just one
18	issue, and I'm afraid I must take responsibility for this
19	myself. I think I failed to put you under oath in the prior
20	docket, so I just want to make sure that we have that issue
21	covered. So for purposes of the docket that preceded this
22	docket, the docket where we were hearing the application for
23	CPCN in Docket 15-2580-01, did you testify in that docket,
24	sir?
25	THE WITNESS: I did not, but I submitted

THACKER+CO



1 recommendation for it. 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Okay. And -- so just 3 for clarification, does--does your recommendation represent 4 anything different than what you would have offered the 5 Commission during the hearing? 6 THE WITNESS: No. Recommendation stands. 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. All 8 right. Thank you very much, sir. 9 Is there anyone who wishes to address the 10 Commission on the pending application for transfer of 11 customers and assets in this particular docket, 15-2580-02? 12 Hearing none, the matter will be under 13 consideration and we'll issue an order just as soon as 14 possible. 15 Thank you very much for being here today. And my 16 apologies for the technical difficulties with the telephone. 17 Thank you for joining us, Mr. Kristjanson and 18 Ms. Meyer. Have a good day. 19 (Proceedings concluded at 9:30 a.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25



1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, SCOTT M. KNIGHT, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, residing at South Jordan, Utah;

That the proceedings were reported by me in stenotype and thereafter caused by me to be transcribed into typewriting, and that a full, true, and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed is set forth in the foregoing pages, inclusive.

I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause of action, and that I am not interested in the event thereof



Swar m. Knight Scott M. Knight, RPR

