BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the) Docket No. 16-2208-01
Notification of the Indirect)
Transfer of Control of XO) HEARING
Communications Services, LLC)
from XO Holdings to Verizon)
Communications, Inc.)

May 3, 2016 9:00 a.m.

Location: Utah Public Service Commission 160 East 300 South Fourth Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

> Job No. 304576 Reporter: Susan S. Sprouse

1	Page 2 A P P E A R A N C E S
2	For the Division of Public Utilities: Justin Jetter
3	For Verizon Communications and XO Holdings - Mark W.
4	Williams
5	For Verizon, Assistant General Counsel - Jesus Roman
6	For XO, Director of Regulatory Affairs - Rex Knowles
7	
8	
9	
10	INDEX
11	Witness Page
12	JESUS ROMAN
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Williams 8
14	RON SLUSHER
15	Direct Examination by Mr. Jetter 10
	Direct Examination by Mr. Detter 10
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 3 Tuesday, May 3, 2016; 9:00 a.m. 1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Let's go on the 5 record. Good morning. Today is Tuesday, May 3rd, 2016. 6 7 It's 9 o'clock in the morning, and this is the time set 8 for the hearing in Docket No. 16-2208-01. This is in the Matter of the Notification of the Indirect Transfer of 9 10 Control of XO Communications Services, LLC from XL 11 Holdings to Verizon Communications Incorporated. That's 12 lengthy and a little awkward, but that's what it is. 13 All right. Let's get appearances on the record 14 for the companies. MR. KNOWLES: Rex Knowles --15 16 MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning, your Honor. My 17 name is Mark Williams, Utah Bar No. 1009 -- 10009, appearing on behalf of Verizon Communications and XO 18 19 Holdings. 20 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Very well. 21 JESUS ROMAN: Good morning, your Honor. 2.2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Go ahead. 23 JESUS ROMAN: Yeah, good morning, your Honor. My name is Jesus Roman, and I'm an assistant general 24 counsel for Verizon in the Pacific and North Central 25

Page 4 markets. Pleasure to make your acquaintance. 1 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Very good to have you here. And in the room, we have Rex Knowles. Go ahead, 3 Mr. Knowles. 4 5 MR. KNOWLES: Rex Knowles with XO Communications. I'm the executive director of regulatory 6 7 for the state regulatory affairs for XO. 8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Of state regulatory 9 affairs? 10 MR. KNOWLES: Yes. 11 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. All right. 12 And for the Division? 13 MR. JETTER: Good morning, your Honor. I'm 14 Justin Jetter. I represent the Utah Division of Public 15 Utilities. With me at counsel table is Ron Slusher with the Division of Public Utilities. And also in the room is 16 William Duncan with the Division. 17 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. So for 18 the record, the notification that was filed with the 19 20 Commission establishes that Verizon has entered into an 21 agreement with XO Holdings. Now, XO Holdings owns XO 2.2 Communications Services, LLC, which is a public utility. 23 And under the agreement, XO Holdings will sell all of its interests in XO Communication Services to Verizon. 24 So 25 ultimately, the ownership and control of the public

Page 5 utility will transfer to Verizon. 1 2 Have I stated that accurately? 3 MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, if I could just 4 modify that just slightly. 5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Please. 6 MR. WILLIAMS: Right now a company called XO 7 Holdings owns XO Communications, LLC. And XO 8 Communications, LLC -- and it might help, there are two 9 charts at the end of our application --10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. 11 MR. WILLIAMS: -- that show the pre-closing 12 ownership structure and the post-ownership closing 13 structure. 14 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes, I --15 MR. WILLIAMS: And that might assist you. 16 And as you've indicated on the pre-closing, XO 17 Holdings owns XO Communications, LLC, which then in turn owns the XO Communications Services, LLC. And the last 18 19 organization, XO Communications Services, LLC, is the 20 entity authorized and certificated by this Commission. 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. 2.2 MR. WILLIAMS: And so what -- under the proposed 23 transaction, what XO Holdings is doing is selling to Verizon business the hundred percent of the stock in XO 24 25 Communications, LLC.

	Demo (
1	Page 6 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Right.
2	MR. WILLIAMS: And so there's not a that's
3	why there's an indirect transfer, because the stock of XO
4	Communications Services, LLC, the certificated company, is
5	not actually transferring; it's the stock of the parent
6	corporation
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Right.
8	MR. WILLIAMS: that is.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Right.
10	MR. WILLIAMS: So as such, there's no and
11	hence, the reason for our, our statement on the last page
12	of our application indicating that under 54-4-28 there is
13	no merger consolidation or foundation or sale, et
14	cetera
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Right.
16	MR. WILLIAMS: under 29 or 30. And,
17	therefore, you know, there's not an actual merger or a
18	sale of a Utah public utility.
19	But it was very important for both companies to
20	make sure that we go through the appropriate application
21	and notification of this Commission. And so hence, the
22	purpose of our application, and hence, why we are we
23	appreciate the opportunity to be before you today.
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Right. Thank you for
25	that clarification. If I understood you correctly, I've

Page 7 left out one of the companies in the vertical structure. 1 And between XO Holdings and XO Communications Services, 2 there's one other company, XO Communications, LLC; is that 3 correct? 4 5 That is, yes. Yes, your Honor. MR. WILLIAMS: ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And it's the XO 6 7 Communications, LLC, that is being purchased? 8 MR. WILLIAMS: That is correct. 9 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Got it. Okav. Ι 10 will make sure that I make that clear when I edit this 11 order. 12 All right. Is there anything else that you would like to put on the record, or do you want to call a 13 14 witness today, Mr. Williams? 15 MR. WILLIAMS: What I would do, your Honor, is call Mr. Roman and ask him to identify himself, to where 16 17 he works, what his title, what his authorization to act as a witness for the applicants, his understanding of the 18 19 application and that, and that the factual statements set 20 forth on pages 1 through 4 of the application are true and 21 correct, and if you were to testify to those facts 22 supporting this application, would that be his testimony. 23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. 24 MR. WILLIAMS: And --25 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Well, let's go ahead

1	Page 8 and do that.
2	Mr. Roman, do you swear to tell the truth?
3	JESUS ROMAN: I do.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
5	Mr. Williams, go ahead.
6	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, your Honor.
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
9	Q. Mr. Roman, would you please state your name and
10	business address for the record?
11	A. My name is Jesus Roman, and my business address
12	is 15505 Sand Canyon Avenue, Irvine, California, 92618.
13	Q. And who do you work for, Mr. Roman?
14	A. I work for Verizon.
15	Q. And your title?
16	A. I'm an assistant general counsel.
17	Q. Are you authorized to testify as a witness on
18	behalf of the applicants in this case?
19	A. I am.
20	Q. And do you have personal knowledge of the facts
21	you are going to testify to today?
22	A. I have knowledge that I have gathered from
23	reading all of the documents, so yes.
24	Q. Okay. And have you read the application that
25	the parties, the applicants have filed in this case?

Page 9 I have. 1 Α. 2 0. And are the factual statements on pages 1 through 4 of the application true and correct to your 3 knowledge? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 ο. And if you were to testify as to the facts 7 supporting this application set forth on pages 1 through 4 8 of the application, would these statements in the 9 application be your testimony? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Okay. And with that I tender the witness, your 0. 12 Honor. 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Jetter, any questions for Mr. Roman? 14 15 MR. JETTER: I have no questions, your Honor. 16 Thank you. 17 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. It looks 18 to me as I read through this that this is not a type of 19 transaction that requires FCC approval; is that correct? 20 MR. WILLIAMS: That is correct. 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Thank you. 2.2 Mr. Jetter, do you have a witness today? 23 MR. JETTER: Yes, your Honor. The Division 24 would like to have sworn in Ron Slusher. 25 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Slusher, do you

Page 10 swear to tell the truth? 1 2 MR. SLUSHER: Yes. 3 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Go ahead. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. JETTER: Mr. Slusher, would you please state your name 6 0. 7 and occupation for the record? 8 Α. My name is Ron Slusher, S, as in Sam, 9 L-U-S-H-E-R. I'm the utility technical consultant for the 10 Division of Public Utilities. 11 Thank you. And throughout the course of your 0. employment, have you had the opportunity to review the 12 application and exhibit that was filed along with this 13 14 docket? 15 Α. Yes, I have. And did you cause to be created and filed with 16 0. 17 the Commission a memorandum dated April 5th, 2016? Α. I did. 18 19 Do you have any corrections or edits you'd like 0. 20 to make to that? I don't. I would like to mention that we had a 21 Α. 22 supplemental action request issued and that there was 23 another memorandum issued on April 6th. 24 Q. Okay. And the memorandum issued on April 6th, did you also create that? 25

Page 11 I did. 1 Α. 2 0. And do you have any corrections or edits you'd like to make to that? 3 Α. 4 No. Would you adopt the recommendations made in 5 ο. those memorandums as your testimony today? 6 7 Α. Yes, I would. 8 0. Do you believe that approval of the application, 9 if the Commission deems that approval as necessary in this 10 instance, would be just, reasonable and in the public 11 interest? 12 Α. Yes. And are you aware of any opposition to this? 13 Q. 14 I have not heard or seen of any. Α. 15 MR. JETTER: Thank you. I have no further questions, and Mr. Slusher is available for 16 17 cross-examination. 18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Williams, any 19 questions for Mr. Slusher? 20 MR. WILLIAMS: No, your Honor. Thank you for 21 the opportunity. 2.2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Well, I 23 believe that I have what I need. I will be able to complete this order and get it to the commissioners for 24 their review and approval just later today. It's likely 25

Page 12 to issue today. If it doesn't go out today, but I'm quite 1 2 sure it will tomorrow. 3 All right. With that I think we can close the 4 hearing. Thank you all very much. 5 MR. JETTER: Thanks. 6 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, your Honor. We 7 appreciate it. 8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Take care. 9 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, your Honor. 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Bye-bye. 11 MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, your Honor. Wait a second. 12 Wait, your Honor. 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes. Yep. 14 MR. WILLIAMS: I want to correct something. 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. MR. WILLIAMS: I think it -- did, did -- did you 16 17 say SEC, Securities Exchange Commission? 18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: No. I said FCC, Federal Communications Commission. 19 20 MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. There is some approval --21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Oh, okay. 2.2 MR. WILLIAMS: -- that may be required there. 23 And I'll have Mr. Roman testify to that. I apologize. I 24 thought you said SEC. 25 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: That's all right.

Page 13 1 MR. WILLIAMS: I apologize. 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Roman. 3 JESUS ROMAN: Yes, your Honor. We have 4 submitted an application to the Federal Communications 5 6 Commission and are awaiting approval. 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Do you know 8 when you might expect that? 9 JESUS ROMAN: I do not have that immediately in 10 mind. 11 Rex, would you know? 12 MR. KNOWLES: We expect it sometime this year, but we don't have any specific dates. It's in the 13 14 process. 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: So maybe within the next six months? 16 17 MR. KNOWLES: Possibly. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. 18 19 MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, I do apologize for 20 misunderstanding. I --21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: It's quite all right. 22 It's sometimes hard to get acronyms over the phone. Okay. 23 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. 24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. I think I now 25 have what I need. Thank you all very much. And we'll

Page 14 close the hearing. MR. JETTER: Thanks. MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. Appreciate it. Have a good day. Bye-bye. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Bye-bye. (Hearing was concluded at 9:09 a.m.)

Page 15 1 CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF UTAH 3) SS. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE 4 5 I, Susan S. Sprouse, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Court Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: 6 7 That the foregoing hearing was taken on May 3, 2016. 8 That the proceedings were reported by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed by computer, and that 9 a full, true, and correct transcription of said testimony so taken is set forth in the foregoing pages; 10 I further certify that I am not of kin or 11 otherwise associated with any of the parties to said 12 cause of action, and that I am not interested in the event thereof. 13 14 WITNESS MY HAND and official seal at Salt Lake City, 15 Utah, this 3rd day of May, 2016. Susan I. Sprowne 16 17 18 SUSAN S. SPROUSE License No. 5965543-7801 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Index:	1.	.qood
TIUCA	_ •	• 900u

action 10:22 actual 6:17	assistant 3:24	commissioners	Division 4:12,
actual 6:17	0.40		44404-0-00
	8:16	11:24	14,16,17 9:23
actually 6:5	authorization	Communicatio	10:10
address 8:10,	7:17	n 4:24	docket 3:8
11			10:14
ADMINISTRATI			documents
VE 3:4,20,22	available 11:16		8:23
4:2,8,11,18	Avenue 8:12		Duncan 4:17
5:5,10,14,21	aware 11:13		
	awkward 3:12	•	E
			edit 7:10
	В		edits 10:19
-	Dor 2:17	6:4 7:3	11:2
-		complete	employment
affairs 4:7,9			10:12
agreement		consolidation	end 5:9
4:21,23		6:13	entered 4:20
ahead 3:22 4:3	-	consultant	entity 5:20
7:25 8:5 10:3		10:9	establishes
all 3:13 4:11,23		control 3:10	4:20
7:12 8:4,23		4:25	EXAMINATION
along 10:13		corporation	8:7 10:4
also 4:16 10:25	8:10,11	6:6	
another 10:23		correct 7:4,8,	executive 4:6
anything 7:12	С	21 9:3,19,20	exhibit 10:13
appearances	California 8:12	corrections	
3:13	call 7:13,16	10:19 11:2	F
appearing 3:18	•	correctly 6:25	facts 7:21 8:20
applicants		counsel 3:25	9:6
7:18 8:18,25	•	4:15 8:16	factual 7:19
application 5:9	•	course 10:11	9:2
6:12,20,22		create 10:25	FCC 9:19
7:19,20,22		created 10:16	filed 4:19 8:25
8:24 9:3,7,8,9		cross-	10:13,16
10:13 11:8		examination	foundation
appreciate		11:17	6:13
6:23			further 11:15
appropriate		D	
6:20		dated 10.17	G
approval 9:19			gathered 8:22
11:8,9,25	-		•
April 10:17,23,			general 3:24
24			8:16
assist 5:15	10.17 11.3	urector 4:6	good 3:6,16,
			21,23 4:2,13
	11 ADMINISTRATI VE 3:4,20,22 4:2,8,11,18 5:5,10,14,21 6:1,7,9,15,24 7:6,9,23,25 8:4 9:13,17,21,25 10:3 11:18,22 adopt 11:5 affairs 4:7,9 agreement 4:21,23 ahead 3:22 4:3 7:25 8:5 10:3 all 3:13 4:11,23 7:12 8:4,23 along 10:13 also 4:16 10:25 another 10:23 anything 7:12 appearances 3:13 appearing 3:18 applicants 7:18 8:18,25 application 5:9 6:12,20,22 7:19,20,22 8:24 9:3,7,8,9 10:13 11:8 appreciate 6:23 appropriate 6:20 approval 9:19 11:8,9,25 April 10:17,23, 24	11 authorized ADMINISTRATI s:20 8:17 VE 3:4,20,22 available 11:16 4:2,8,11,18 Avenue 8:12 5:5,10,14,21 aware 11:13 6:1,7,9,15,24 aware 11:13 7:6,9,23,25 8:4 9:13,17,21,25 10:3 11:18,22 adopt 11:5 affairs 4:7,9 agreement 4:21,23 behalf 3:18 ahead 3:22 4:3 being 7:7 pelieve 11:8,23 between 7:2 all 3:13 4:11,23 7:12 8:4,23 along 10:13 another 10:23 anything 7:12 C California 8:12 call 7:13,16 appearances 3:13 3:13 a:18,25 application 5:9 call 7:13,16 called 5:6 Canyon 8:12 case 8:18,25 cause 10:16 central 3:25 certificated 5:20 6:4 cetera 6:14 charts 5:9 clarification 6:25 clar 7:10 alog 9:19 11:8,9,25 April 10:17,23, 24 commission 4:20 5:20 6:21 10:17 11:9	11 authorized Communicatio ADMINISTRATI suthorized ns 3:10,11,18 VE 3:4,20,22 available 11:16 4:6,22 5:7,8, 4:2,8,11,18 Avenue 8:12 available 11:16 4:2,8,11,18 Avenue 8:12 available 11:13 6:1,7,9,15,24 aware 11:13 6:4 7:2,3,7 companies 3:14 6:19 7:1 9:13,17,21,25 B 3:14 6:19 7:1 affairs 4:7,9 behalf 3:18 6:4 7:3 affairs 4:7,9 behalf 3:18 6:13 ahead 3:22 4:3 being 7:7 consultant believe 11:8,23 between 7:2 consultant all 3:13 4:11,23 between 7:2 consultant all 3:13 4:11,23 between 7:2 consultant all 3:13 4:16 10:25 authorized correct 7:4,8, all 7:13,16 call 7:13,16 corrections appearing 3:18 application 5:9 call 7:13,16 course 10:11 call 7:13,16 cause 10:16 course 10:11 create 10:25 7:19,20,22 cettrificated 5:20 6:4 create 10:25 7:19,20,22 ceter

Index: heard..sworn

			Index:	
	7:6,9,23,25 8:4	May 3:1,6	pages 7:20 9:2,	Rex 3:15 4:3,5
Н	9:13,17,21,25	memorandum	7	Roman 3:21,
heard 11:14	10:3 11:18,22	10:17,23,24	parent 6:5	23,24 7:16 8:2,
hearing 3:8	Justin 4:14	memorandums	parties 8:25	3,9,11,13 9:14
help 5:8		11:6	percent 5:24	Ron 4:15 9:24
•	K	mention 10:21	personal 8:20	10:8
here 4:3	knowledge	merger 6:13,17	Pleasure 4:1	room 4:3,16
himself 7:16	8:20,22 9:4	might 5:8,15	post-	
Holdings 3:11,	Knowles 3:15	modify 5:4	ownership	S
19 4:21,23 5:7,	4:3,4,5,10	morning 3:6,7,	5:12	sale 6:13,18
17,23 7:2	4.0,4,0,10	16,21,23 4:13	pre-closing	Sam 10:8
Honor 3:16,21,	L		5:11,16	
23 4:13 5:3	L	N	proposed 5:22	Sand 8:12
7:5,15 8:6	L-u-s-h-e-r		public 4:14,16,	seen 11:14
9:12,15,23	10:9	name 3:17,24	22,25 6:18	sell 4:23
11:20	last 5:18 6:11	8:9,11 10:6,8	10:10 11:10	selling 5:23
hundred 5:24	later 11:25	necessary	purchased 7:7	Services 3:10
	LAW 3:4,20,22	11:9	purpose 6:22	4:22,24 5:18,
	4:2,8,11,18	need 11:23	put 7:13	19 6:4 7:2
identify 7:16	5:5,10,14,21	North 3:25		set 3:7 7:19 9:7
important 6:19	6:1,7,9,15,24	notification 3:9	Q	show 5:11
Incorporated	7:6,9,23,25 8:4	4:19 6:21		slightly 5:4
3:11	9:13,17,21,25		questions	Slusher 4:15
indicated 5:16	10:3 11:18,22	0	9:14,15 11:16,	9:24,25 10:2,6,
indicating 6:12	left 7:1	occupation	19	8 11:16,19
indirect 3:9 6:3	lengthy 3:12	10:7		state 4:7,8 8:9
instance 11:10	like 7:13 9:24	one 7:1,3	R	10:6
interest 11:11	10:19,21 11:3	opportunity	read 8:24 9:18	stated 5:2
interests 4:24	likely 11:25	6:23 10:12	reading 8:23	statement 6:11
into 4:20	little 3:12	11:21	reason 6:11	statements
Irvine 8:12	LLC 3:10 4:22	opposition	reasonable	7:19 9:2,8
issued 10:22,	5:7,8,17,18,19,	11:13	11:10	stock 5:24 6:3,
23.24	25 6:4 7:3,7	order 7:11	recommendati	5
20,27	looks 9:17	11:24	ons 11:5	structure 5:12,
J		organization	record 3:5,13	13 7:1
	M	5:19	4:19 7:13 8:10	such 6:10
Jesus 3:21,23,		ownership	10:7	supplemental
24 8:3,11	made 11:5	4:25 5:12	regulatory 4:6,	10:22
Jetter 4:13,14	make 4:1 6:20	owns 4:21 5:7,	7,8	supporting
9:13,15,22,23	7:10 10:20	17,18	represent 4:14	7:22 9:7
10:5 11:15	11:3	,	request 10:22	swear 8:2 10:1
JUDGE 3:4,20,	Mark 3:17	P	requires 9:19	sworn 9:24
22 4:2,8,11,18	markets 4:1		review 10:12	
5:5,10,14,21	Matter 3:9	Pacific 3:25	11:25	
6:1,7,9,15,24			11.20	
	1	1	1	1

Index: tabl	e.	.XO
-------------	----	-----

			LabieAC
	Utilities 4:15,		
Т	16 10:10		
table 4:15	utility 4:22 5:1		
technical 10:9	6:18 10:9		
tender 9:11			
testify 7:21	V		
8:17,21 9:6	Verizon 3:11,		
testimony 7:22	18,25 4:20,24		
9:9 11:6	5:1,24 8:14		
their 11:25	vertical 7:1		
therefore 6:17			
through 6:20	W		
7:20 9:3,7,18	want 7:13		
throughout	will 4:23 5:1		
10:11	7:10 11:23		
time 3:7	William 4:17		
title 7:17 8:15	Williams 3:16,		
today 3:6 6:23	17 5:3,6,11,15,		
7:14 8:21 9:22	22 6:2,8,10,16		
11:6,25	7:5,8,14,15,24		
transaction	8:5,6,8 9:20		
5:23 9:19	11:18,20		
transfer 3:9 5:1 6:3	witness 7:14,		
transferring	18 8:17 9:11,		
6:5	22		
true 7:20 9:3	work 8:13,14 works 7:17		
truth 8:2 10:1	WOIKS 7.17		
Tuesday 3:1,6	X		
turn 5:17			
two 5:8	XL 3:10		
type 9:18	XO 3:10,18 4:5,		
	7,21,23,24 5:6,		
U	7,16,17,18,19, 23,24 6:3 7:2,		
ultimately 4:25	3,6		
under 4:23	0,0		
5:22 6:12,16			
understanding			
7:18			
understood			
6:25			
Utah 3:17 4:14			
6:18			
	ļ	I	