HEARING

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

)

)

))))

In the Matter of Comtech Telecommunications Corp's) Docket No. Notice of Acquisition of) 16-2507-01 TeleCommunication Systems, Inc., and its Wholly-Owned) Administrative Law Subsidiary NextGen Communications, Inc.

)

) Judge Jennie Jonsson

Heber M. Wells Building 160 East 300 South, Room 451 Salt Lake City, Utah

9:00 - 9:07 a.m.

Thursday, April 28, 2016

Reported by: Jennifer E. Garner, RPR Notary Public in and for the State of Utah Job No.: 299404

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL 2 Appearing telephonically on behalf of Comtech Telecommunications Corp: 3 Thomas Roland, Esq. 4 ROWLAND & MOORE 200 West Superior Street, Suite 400 Chicago, Illinois 60654 5 Phone: 312-803-1000, ex. 31 6 E-mail: tom@telecomreg.com 7 Kim Robert Scovill, Esq. Senior Director Legal and Regulatory Affairs 8 NextGen Communications, Inc. 9 275 West Street, 4th Floor Annapolis, Maryland 21401 10 11 On behalf of the Division of Public Utilities: 12 Justin C. Jetter, Esq. Utah Attorney General's Office 13 State Agency Counsel 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 14 Phone: 801-366-0353 15 Ronald Slusher 16 Utility Technical Consultant State of Utah 17 Division of Public Utilities Heber M. Wells Building 18 160 East 300 South, 4th Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 19 20 INDEX: Witness for the Division of Public Utilities: 21 22 Direct Cross Redirect Recross Ron Slusher б 23 24 25

1	Page 3 PROCEEDINGS				
2					
3	JUDGE JONSSON: Today is Thursday,				
4	April 28, 2016. It is 9:00 in the morning. This is				
5	the date and time set for the hearing in the matter				
6	of Comtech Telecommunications Corporation's Notice of				
7	Acquisition of TeleCommunications Systems, Inc. and				
8	its Wholly-Owned Subsidiary NextGen Communications.				
9	This is docket number 16-2507-01.				
10	So let's go ahead and put the appearances				
11	on the record. Appearing telephonically for Comtech,				
12	go ahead.				
13	MR. ROWLAND: Tom Thomas Rowland of the				
14	law firm of Rowland & Moore, 200 West Superior				
15	Street, Suite 400, Chicago, Illinois 60654. And also				
16	on the phone we have Kim Scovill.				
17	JUDGE JONSSON: And, Ms. Scovill, what's				
18	your position with Comtech?				
19	MR. SCOVILL: Hi, Kim Scovill				
20	JUDGE JONSSON: Or Mr. Scovill. Excuse				
21	me.				
22	MR. SCOVILL: of Comtech. I'm vice				
23	president of legal and regulatory affairs.				
24	JUDGE JONSSON: Okay. I apologize.				
25	All right. And for the Division of Public				

Page 4 Utilities? 1 2 MR. JETTER: And I'm Justin Jetter 3 representing the Utah Division of Public Utilities, and with me here in the hearing room today is Ron 4 5 Slusher, also with the Utah Division of Public Utilities. 6 7 JUDGE JONSSON: Thank you. So, briefly, 8 the notice to the Commission is as to an agreement and plan of merger. Comtech is going to be merging 9 10 with Telecommunications Systems, Inc. and, thereby, taking control of the wholly-owned subsidiary, 11 12 NextGen Communications. Have I stated that correctly? 13 14 MR. ROWLAND: Yes, your Honor. 15 JUDGE JONSSON: Okay. Very good. Well, then, Mr. Rowland, this is Comcast's (sic) notice so 16 17 you have the floor. Do you want to have Mr. Scovill sworn? Are you going to ask him questions? 18 MR. ROWLAND: It would be -- it's really a 19 20 matter of whether the Commission has any questions. 21 We're more than willing to make Mr. Scovill 2.2 available. 23 JUDGE JONSSON: Okay. 24 MR. ROWLAND: If you would like to go 25 ahead, we can do that.

Page 5 1 JUDGE JONSSON: All right. So, Mr. Scovill, I just need you to affirm that you are 2 3 going to tell the truth today. MR. SCOVILL: Yes. 4 5 JUDGE JONSSON: Very good. 6 Does the Division have any questions? 7 MR. JETTER: No. No questions from the 8 Division. JUDGE JONSSON: The only thing that I 9 10 wanted to clarify is what role, if any, the FCC has. 11 Sometimes when we get these acquisition and merger plans, we see information about what has been filed 12 with the FCC and when the FCC approval is 13 anticipated. Is this the type of transaction that is 14 not required to undergo FCC review? 15 16 MR. ROWLAND: I can answer that, your 17 That is correct. Honor. 18 JUDGE JONSSON: Okay. MR. ROWLAND: Neither TCS nor NextGen is 19 20 registered or licensed in a way with the Federal 21 Communications Commission that would require review 2.2 of this transaction. 23 JUDGE JONSSON: Okay. Great. Does the 24 Division have any witnesses today? 25 MR. JETTER: Yes, your Honor. The

Paqe 6 Division would like to have sworn in Ron Slusher. 1 2 JUDGE JONSSON: Mr. Slusher, do you swear to tell the truth? 3 4 MR. SLUSHER: Yes. 5 JUDGE JONSSON: All right. Go ahead. 6 7 EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. JETTER: Mr. Slusher, would you please state your 9 0. 10 name and occupation for the record. My name is Ron Slusher, S, as in Sam, 11 Α. L-U-S-H-E-R. I'm a utility technical consultant for 12 the Division of Public Utilities. 13 Thank you. And, in the course of your 14 Q. 15 employment, have you had the opportunity to review the application in this docket? 16 17 Yes. Α. And did you file -- create and file with 18 Q. the Commission a memorandum dated March 21, 2016? 19 20 Α. I did. 21 0. And does that memorandum accurately 22 reflect the opinion of the Division on this matter? 23 Α. It does. 24 Q. And to make sure it's clear on the record, 25 does the Division recommend approval of the

Page 7 application? 1 2 Α. Yes, we do. Are you aware of any opposition to this 3 ο. 4 application? 5 I have not heard or seen any opposition to Α. 6 this application. 7 0. And do you believe that approval of this 8 application would be just, reasonable, and in the public interest? 9 10 Α. Yes. MR. JETTER: I have no further questions, 11 12 and Mr. Slusher is available for any cross-examination. 13 14 JUDGE JONSSON: Mr. Rowland, any questions 15 from you for the Division? MR. ROWLAND: I have no questions of the 16 17 Division. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE JONSSON: Okay. And has there been 18 19 any opposition that the companies have seen or heard? 20 MR. SCOVILL: Not that I'm aware of, your 21 Honor. 2.2 JUDGE JONSSON: Okay. Well, I believe 23 that I have what I need. The order form that we use includes a 24 25 statement that orders, in this case, Comtech to

1	Page 8 notify the Commission if any of these transactions
2	affect a certificate of public convenience and
3	necessity that has been issued, whether a name change
4	or a cancellation or anything like that.
5	It doesn't look to me like anything is
6	affected in this one, but I just wanted to make you
7	aware that that you do need to keep that CPCN
8	updated if any of if these transactions affect it
9	in any way.
10	MR. ROWLAND: We understand. Yes, your
11	Honor.
12	JUDGE JONSSON: Okay. Very good. Well,
13	then, I think I have what I need. I thank everybody
14	for being here today and we can close this hearing.
15	MR. ROWLAND: Thank you, your Honor.
16	JUDGE JONSSON: Thank you.
17	MR. SCOVILL: Thanks, your Honor.
18	MR. ROWLAND: Thanks, everyone.
19	MR. JETTER: Thank you.
20	JUDGE JONSSON: Okay.
21	(The hearing ended at 9:07 a.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 9 1 REPORTER'S HEARING CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF UTAH 3) ss. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE) 4 5 I, Jennifer Garner, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: 6 7 That prior to being examined, the witnesses were duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; 8 9 That said proceeding was taken down by me in stenotype on April 28, 2016, at the place therein named, and was thereafter transcribed, and that a 10 true and correct transcription of said testimony is set forth in the preceding pages; 11 12 I further certify that I am not kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause of action and that I am not interested in the 13 outcome thereof. 14 WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 6th 15 day of May, 2016. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Jennifer Garner, RPR 23 Notary Public Residing in Summit County 24 25

Index: 16-2507-01..number

	Apposing		further 7:11	507-01number
	Appearing 3:11	convenience 8:2		К — К
1				
16-2507-01 3:9	application 6:16 7:1,4,6,8	Corporation's 3:6	G	keep 8:7
	approval 5:13	correct 5:17	good 4:15 5:5	Kim 3:16,19
2	6:25 7:7	correctly 4:13	8:12	
200 3:14	April 3:4	course 6:14	Great 5:23	L
2016 3:4 6:19	available 4:22	CPCN 8:7		L-u-s-h-e-r
21 6:19	7:12	create 6:18	Н	6:12
28 3:4	aware 7:3,20	cross-	heard 7:5,19	law 3:14
	8:7	examination	hearing 3:5 4:4	legal 3:23
4		7:13	8:14,21	licensed 5:20
	B	1.10	here 4:4 8:14	like 4:24 6:1
400 3:15		D	Honor 4:14	8:4,5
	being 8:14		5:17,25 7:17,	- ,-
6	believe 7:7,22	date 3:5	21 8:11,15,17	M
60654 3:15	briefly 4:7	dated 6:19		
		Division 3:25	I	make 4:21 6:24 8:6
9	C	4:3,5 5:6,8,24	Illinois 3:15	March 6:19
9:00 3:4	cancellation	6:1,13,22,25	includes 7:24	matter 3:5 4:20
9:07 8:21	8:4	7:15,17	information	6:22
3.07 0.21	case 7:25	docket 3:9 6:16	5:12	memorandum
Α	certificate 8:2	0.10	interest 7:9	6:19,21
	change 8:3	E	issued 8:3	merger 4:9
a.m. 8:21	Chicago 3:15	E	133060 0.0	5:11
accurately	clarify 5:10	employment		merging 4:9
6:21	clear 6:24	6:15		Moore 3:14
acquisition 3:7	close 8:14	ended 8:21	Jetter 4:2 5:7,	more 4:21
5:11	Comcast's	everybody	25 6:8 7:11	morning 3:4
affairs 3:23	4:16	8:13	8:19	jinering of t
affect 8:2,8	Commission	everyone 8:18	JONSSON 3:3,	N
affected 8:6	4:8,20 5:21	EXAMINATION	17,20,24 4:7, 15,23 5:1,5,9,	
affirm 5:2	6:19 8:1	6:7	18,23 6:2,5	name 6:10,11
agreement 4:8	Communicatio	Excuse 3:20	7:14,18,22	8:3
ahead 3:10,12	ns 3:8 4:12		8:12,16,20	necessity 8:3
4:25 6:5	5:21	F	JUDGE 3:3,17,	need 5:2 7:23
All 3:25 5:1 6:5	companies	FCC 5:10,13,15	20,24 4:7,15,	8:7,13
also 3:15 4:5	7:19	Federal 5:20	23 5:1,5,9,18,	Neither 5:19
anticipated 5:14	Comtech 3:6,	file 6:18	23 6:2,5 7:14,	Nextgen 3:8 4:12 5:19
anything 8:4,5	11,18,22 4:9 7:25	filed 5:12	18,22 8:12,16,	notice 3:6 4:8,
	consultant	firm 3:14	20	16
apologize 3:24	6:12	floor 4:17	Justin 4:2	notify 8:1
appearances 3:10	control 4:11	form 7:24		number 3:9
3.10				
	1	1	•	

Index: occupation..witnesses

	representing		wanted 5:10	
	4:3	Т Т	8:6	
0		I		
occupation	require 5:21	taking 4:11	way 5:20 8:9	
6:10	required 5:15	TCS 5:19	West 3:14	
one 8:6	review 5:15,21	technical 6:12	whether 4:20	
only 5:9	6:15	Telecommunic	8:3	
opinion 6:22	role 5:10	ations 3:6,7	wholly-owned	
opportunity	Ron 4:4 6:1,11	4:10	3:8 4:11	
6:15	room 4:4	telephonically	willing 4:21	
opposition 7:3,	Rowland 3:13,	3:11	witnesses 5:24	
5,19	14 4:14,16,19,	than 4:21		
order 7:24	24 5:16,19			
	7:14,16 8:10,	thereby 4:10		
orders 7:25	15,18	thing 5:9		
		Thomas 3:13		
P	S	Thursday 3:3		
phone 3:16		time 3:5		
plan 4:9	Sam 6:11	today 3:3 4:4		
plans 5:12	Scovill 3:16,	5:3,24 8:14		
position 3:18	17,19,20,22	Tom 3:13		
president 3:23	4:17,21 5:2,4	transaction		
PROCEEDING	7:20 8:17	5:14,22		
S 3:1	seen 7:5,19	transactions		
public 3:25	set 3:5	8:1,8		
•	sic 4:16	truth 5:36:3		
4:3,5 6:13 7:9 8:2	Slusher 4:5	type 5:14		
	6:1,2,4,9,11			
put 3:10	7:12	U		
	Sometimes			
Q	5:11	undergo 5:15		
questions	state 6:9	understand		
4:18,20 5:6,7	stated 4:12	8:10		
7:11,14,16	statement 7:25	updated 8:8		
	Street 3:15	use 7:24		
R	subsidiary 3:8	Utah 4:3,5		
	4:11	Utilities 4:1,3,6		
really 4:19	Suite 3:15	6:13		
reasonable 7:8	Superior 3:14	utility 6:12		
recommend	swear 6:2			
6:25	sworn 4:18 6:1	V		
record 3:11	Systems 3:7	vice 3:22		
6:10,24	4:10			
reflect 6:22		\		
registered 5:20		W		
regulatory		want 4:17		
3:23				