## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT ) Docket Nos.

APPLICATION OF ) 16-2515-01 and

COMMUNICATIONS ) 16-2546-01

INFRASTRUCTURE )

INVESTMENTS, LLC; ONVOY, ) Hearing

LLC; BROADVOX-CLEC, LLC; )

and GTCR ONVOY HOLDINGS, )

LLC for APPROVAL OF )

TRANSFER OF CONTROL )

February 25, 2016 9:01 a.m.

Hearing Officer: Jennie T. Jonsson

Location: Public Service Commission 160 East 300 South, Room 451 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Job Number: 288381

Reporter: Teri Hansen Cronenwett

Certified Realtime Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES
2	For Joint Applicants: William J. Evans
3	PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER
4	201 South Main Street Suite 1800
5	Salt Lake City, UT 84111 (801) 532-1234
6	bevans@parsonsbehle.com
7	For the Division of Justin C. Jetter
	Public Utilities: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
8	160 East 300 South 5th Floor
9	Salt Lake City, UT 84114 (801) 366-0335
10	jjettter@utah.gov
11	
12	
13	I N D E X
14	
15	
16	MARY BULEY
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Evans 5
18	RON SLUSHER
19	Direct Examination by Mr. Jetter 14
20	EXHIBITS
21	Description Page Admitted
22	Joint Application with Exhibits A & B and
23	Supplemental Exhibits 1 - 5 13
24	(Exhibits were previously marked and prefiled with Judge Jonsson.)
25	

1	Page 3 February 25, 2016 9:01 a.m.
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	JUDGE JONSSON: All right. Let's go ahead and
4	go on the record. Today is Thursday, February 25th,
5	2016, nine o'clock in the morning. This is the date and
6	time set for the hearing In the Matter of the Joint
7	Application for Approval of Transfer of Control. The
8	Joint Applicants are Communications Infrastructure
9	Investments, LLC; Onvoy, LLC, Broadvox-CLEC, LLC; and
10	GTCR Onvoy Holdings, LLC. The docket numbers are
11	16-2515-01 and 16-2546-01.
12	Let's put appearances on the record. For the
13	applicants.
14	MR. EVANS: I am William Evans of Parsons
15	Behle and Latimer. Beside me is Ruth Hackford-Peer, my
16	associate, and on the phone is our witness for Onvoy,
17	the Onvoy entities, Mary Buley.
18	JUDGE JONSSON: For the division.
19	MR. JETTER: Good morning. I am Justin Jetter
20	with the Utah Attorney General's Office, and I represent
21	the Utah Division of Public Utilities. And with me at
22	counsel table is Ron Slusher, utility technical
23	consultant for the Utah Division of Public Utilities.
24	JUDGE JONSSON: Very good. Would it be useful
25	to go ahead and mark the exhibits that we've got so far

	Page 4
1	and get those on the record? Would you like to do that
2	first?
3	MR. EVANS: Sure, yes.
4	JUDGE JONSSON: Okay. We've had prefiled a
5	memo from the division and several exhibits from the
6	applicants. Do you want the memo in evidence?
7	MR. JETTER: Yes. We'd like that in evidence,
8	your Honor.
9	JUDGE JONSSON: Okay. And then do you want
10	to Mr. Evans, do you want to go through the
11	Supplemental Exhibits 1 through 5 and describe those
12	briefly.
13	MR. EVANS: Yes. Maybe what we should do is
14	let our witness describe what those are.
15	JUDGE JONSSON: Sure.
16	MR. EVANS: And then we can move to have those
17	received at the end of her testimony. Does that work
18	for you?
19	JUDGE JONSSON: That's fine. Do you want to
20	call your witness then?
21	MR. EVANS: Sure. Shall we just jump in?
22	JUDGE JONSSON: Yeah, let's just do it.
23	MR. EVANS: Okay.
24	JUDGE JONSSON: And this is Mary Buley.
25	MR. EVANS: This is Mary Buley for the Onvoy

Page 5 1 entities. 2 JUDGE JONSSON: And Ms. Buley, do you swear to 3 tell the truth here today? THE WITNESS: I do. 4 5 JUDGE JONSSON: Very good. Go ahead. 6 MR. EVANS: Thank you. MARY BULEY, 8 called as a witness at the instance of the Joint Applicants, having been first duly sworn, was examined 9 10 and testified as follows: 11 EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. EVANS: 13 Good morning, Ms. Buley. Can you hear me 0. 14 okay? 15 Yes, I can. Α. 16 Q. Okay. 17 COURT REPORTER: We can't hear her. JUDGE JONSSON: Ms. Buley, give us a testing, 18 19 testing one, two, three. 20 THE WITNESS: Testing, testing, one, two, 21 three. 2.2 JUDGE JONSSON: Is that better? Okay. All right. Very good. 23 24 Q. (By Mr. Evans) Okay. For the record, would 25 you please state your name and your business address and

Page 6 1 your position at Onvoy? 2 I am Mary Buley, senior regulatory manager at 3 Onvoy. My office address is 103006 Avenue North, Plymouth, Minnesota, 55441. 4 And how long have you held that position at 5 6 Onvoy? I have been the regulatory manager at Onvoy 8 since 2003. Previously I was employed with the Minnesota Department of Administration where I was a 9 buyer of telecommunication services for Minnesota state 10 agencies. And before that, I was a telecom analyst and 11 12 expert witness for the Minnesota Department of Public Service, which is -- was the regulatory arm of the 13 14 Minnesota PUC. And I testified in natural gas and in 15 telecommunications cases. Have you reviewed the joint application filed 16 17 by Communications Infrastructure Investments, LLC, Onvoy, LLC, Broadvox-CLEC, LLC, that's been filed in 18 19 Utah? 20 Α. Yes. And do you have personal knowledge of the 21 22 matters set out in the application? 23 Α. Yes. 24 You are not the officer that signed the Q. 25 verification on the application though, are you?

Page 7

- 1 A. No. The verification for Onvoy was submitted
- 2 by Scott Sawyer, the general counsel of Onvoy, and its
- 3 subsidiaries, including Broadvox-CLEC, LLC, but I am
- 4 fully informed of the details of this transaction and
- 5 the facts contained in the application.
- 6 Q. So for the purposes of your testimony here
- 7 today, do you adopt the statements in the application as
- 8 your own?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Are there any changes or corrections that
- 11 should be made to the joint application?
- 12 A. I have no corrections or changes at this time,
- 13 but we have updated the application with five
- 14 supplemental exhibits that were submitted to the
- 15 commission.
- 16 O. Okay. We'll go over those in a minute. Is
- 17 Onvoy asking the commission to approve this transaction
- 18 pursuant to commission rule 746-349-7?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And is Onvoy or Broadvox CLEC, either one of
- 21 them, serving as an incumbent local exchange carrier
- 22 here in Utah?
- A. No, they are not.
- Q. Are the joint applicants required to file for
- 25 domestic and international Section 214 authority with

Page 8 the Federal Communications Commission for this 1 2 transaction? 3 Α. Yes. Has that been done? 4 0. 5 Α. Yes. That has been done. Okay. Do you -- do you have in front of you 6 0. 7 Supplemental Exhibit No. 1 as it was submitted to the Utah Commission? 8 I do. 9 Α. 10 Q. Can you tell us what this is, please. This is the joint application for Section 214 11 12 authority for this change. It was filed with the FCC on January 19th, 2016. 13 14 And is this for domestic or international 0. 15 authority? Supplemental Exhibit 1 is the application that 16 17 covers both domestic and international authority. And do you have in front of you the document 18 Q. that was submitted to the Utah Commission as 19 20 Supplemental Exhibit No. 2? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And can you tell us what this is, please. Q. 23 Α. This is a FCC's public notice accepting the

it was issued by the FCC on February 5th, 2016.

domestic 214 application for streamlined treatment, and

24

25

Page 9 1 Do you have Supplemental Exhibit 3 before you? 0. 2 Α. I do. Can you tell us what that is, please. 3 0. This is the FCC's public notice accepting the 4 Α. 5 International Section 214 application for streamlined treatment which was issued on February 12, 2016. 6 7 Do any state commissions other than Utah Q. 8 require the joint application -- joint applicants, 9 rather, to obtain approval before closing this 10 transaction? Yes. Approval is required in these states: 11 12 California, Colorado, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Georgia, Hawaii, Indiana, Louisiana, Maryland, 13 Minnesota, Mississippi, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, 14 15 Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Virginia and West Virginia. Do you have a copy of Exhibit B to the Utah 16 17 application? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Can you tell us what that is, please. 0. 20 This is the application that was filed in New Α. York for approval of this transaction. 21 22 And is -- is that similar to the applications 0. 23 that you filed in other states?

Q. And have, have the applicants filed for

24

Α.

Yes.

Page 10

- 1 approval in all of the states that require it?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And were those -- and have you received
- 4 approvals from any of those states?
- 5 A. Yes. Delaware and Georgia have determined
- 6 that no additional commission action is required, which
- 7 is essentially a grant of approval.
- 8 Maryland, the Maryland PSC, noted that the
- 9 transaction -- and that is essentially a grant of
- 10 approval. Ohio automatically approved the transaction
- 11 by operation of law on February 21st, 2016. Minnesota
- 12 PUC also approved the transaction on February 22nd,
- 13 2016.
- We are awaiting responses to our applications
- 15 in all other states.
- 16 Q. Do you have any other updates or information
- 17 from those other states as to the progress of those
- 18 transac -- or those applications?
- 19 A. Yes. I do. The Colorado commission
- 20 considered the transaction at its meeting on February
- 21 18th, 2016, and issued its order approving the
- 22 transaction on February 23rd, 2016.
- 23 Q. Thank you. Can -- can you identify what has
- 24 been submitted to the Utah Commission as Supplemental
- 25 Exhibit No. 4?

- Page 11
  A. Supplement Exhibit No. 4 is the Public Service
- 2 Commission memorandum from the State of Delaware dated
- 3 January 27, 2016, noting that the Delaware Commission
- 4 does not need to act for this transaction.
- 5 Q. And finally, Supplemental Exhibit 5?
- 6 A. This is the letter of acknowledgement from the
- 7 Georgia Public Service Commission dated January 27th,
- 8 2016, notifying us that no further commission action is
- 9 required for the transfer.
- 10 O. And are there certain states that do not
- 11 require commission approval but that require only
- 12 notification of the transaction?
- 13 A. Yes. These states include Connecticut, Idaho,
- 14 Kentucky, Massachusetts, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico,
- 15 North Carolina, Rhode Island, South Dakota and
- 16 Washington.

1

- 17 Q. And have the applicants provided notice in all
- 18 of those states?
- 19 A. Yes, we have.
- Q. Have you received or are you aware of any
- 21 opposition from third parties or the public with respect
- 22 to any state or federal application for approval of this
- 23 transaction?
- A. No, except that the Louisiana PS -- Public
- 25 Service Commission staff protested the filing in that

Page 12 state because Broadvox-CLEC had not filed certain annual 1 2 reports or paid certain fees. Broadvox-CLEC has now submitted a delinguent report and fees, and the parties 3 expect the protest to be removed and the filing to be 4 5 approved in the next couple weeks. Okay. Can you please offer a summary of why 6 you believe this transaction is in the public interest? 7 8 Α. Yes. This transaction will allow Onvoy to better serve Utah customers and enhance its ability to 9 10 compete in the Utah marketplace. Onvoy will continue to 11 be managed and operated in the same way by the same 12 personnel and will continue to provide high quality services at the same rates and on the same terms and 13 14 conditions that are currently in effect. 15 At the same time, the transaction may give Onvoy access to additional financial resources through 16 its new relationship with GTCR, which will enable it to 17 better meet the needs of customers and compete in the 18 telecommunications marketplace. 19 20 Q. Thank you, Ms. Buley. 21 MR. EVANS: Ms. Buley is now available for 2.2 cross, and we would offer into evidence -- maybe we 23 should do this first, subject to cross -- the joint

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

applications -- the joint application with accompanying

Exhibits A and B and Supplemental Exhibits 1 through 5.

24

25

1	Page 13  JUDGE JONSSON: Any objection?
2	MR. JETTER: No objection, your Honor.
3	JUDGE JONSSON: Thank you. Any questions for
4	Ms. Buley?
5	MR. JETTER: No questions from the division.
6	JUDGE JONSSON: I just, I was writing down
7	states as quickly as I could, but I missed one.
8	Maryland, Montana, Mississippi, and then I missed the
9	next one. It was a North Carolina or North Dakota or it
10	was an N state where there's an application on file.
11	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Let's see.
12	JUDGE JONSSON: Between Mississippi and New
13	Jersey.
14	MR. EVANS: Nebraska maybe?
15	JUDGE JONSSON: Probably. And then you said
16	that it's been approved by Ohio, Minnesota and Colorado,
17	correct, already?
18	THE WITNESS: Ohio, Colorado, Minnesota and
19	JUDGE JONSSON: And Louisiana is expected
20	shortly?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes. And Delaware and Georgia
22	and Maryland.
23	JUDGE JONSSON: Oh, I missed Maryland, okay.
24	Okay.
25	THE WITNESS: And likewise, Delaware. Oh, did

Page 14 we say that, Delaware? 1 2 JUDGE JONSSON: Yeah. So Maryland has also 3 approved it. Okay. 4 THE WITNESS: Right. 5 JUDGE JONSSON: Okay. All right. I think I am up to date. Do you have any other witnesses? 6 MR. EVANS: No. 8 JUDGE JONSSON: Mr. Jetter. 9 MR. JETTER: Thank you, your Honor. division would like to call and have sworn in Mr. Ronald 10 Slusher. 11 12 JUDGE JONSSON: Mr. Slusher, do you swear to tell the truth today? 13 14 THE WITNESS: I do. 15 JUDGE JONSSON: Thank you. 16 RON SLUSHER, 17 called as a witness at the instance of the Division of Public Utilities, having been first duly sworn, was 18 examined and testified as follows: 19 20 EXAMINATION BY MR. JETTER: 21 22 Mr. Slusher, would you please state your name 23 and occupation for the record. My name is Ron Slusher, S as in Sam, 24 Α. 25 L-U-S-H-E-R. I'm a utility technical consultant for the

Page 15

- 1 Division of Public Utilities.
- 2 Q. And in the course of your employment have you
- 3 had the opportunity to review the joint application for
- 4 approval and transfer of control filed in this docket?
- 5 A. I have.
- 6 Q. I should correct my question. Filed in these
- 7 dockets. I believe we're here for two dockets today.
- 8 A. I have.
- 9 Q. And have you also had the opportunity to
- 10 review the exhibits that were attached to the January
- 11 15th joint application?
- 12 A. I did.
- 13 Q. And have you also had the opportunity to
- 14 review the February 17th Supplemental Exhibits 1 through
- 15 5?
- 16 A. I did.
- 17 Q. And did you cause -- create and cause to be
- 18 filed with the commission comments from the Division of
- 19 Public Utilities dated February 11th, 2016?
- 20 A. I did.
- 21 Q. Are there any corrections, edits or changes
- 22 you would like to make to those?
- 23 A. No corrections.
- Q. And did the February 17th supplemental
- 25 exhibits change your opinion?

Page 16 It did not. 1 Α. 2 0. And is it a correct statement then that the division still recommends approval by the commission of 3 the application in these two dockets? 4 5 Yes, we do. Α. Are you aware of any objections from any 6 0. 7 parties to the approval of these applications? 8 Α. I have not heard or seen of any objections. 9 And finally, do you believe approval would be 0. 10 just, reasonable and in the public interest? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Thank you. Q. I have no further questions for 13 MR. JETTER: 14 Mr. Slusher, and he's available for cross-examination. 15 JUDGE JONSSON: Any questions? 16 MR. EVANS: No cross. Thank you. 17 JUDGE JONSSON: Okay. I just have one question. You mentioned, Mr. Evans, that there were 18 Exhibits A and B attached to the application. So I just 19 20 was looking for those, and I have got Exhibit A, which is the diagrams of the preimposed transaction corporate 21

MR. EVANS: I think Exhibit B should have been the New York application. Let me see. That was -- no,

structures. I am not seeing Exhibit B. What is Exhibit

22

23

B?

Page 17 it's, it's not there. So we'll submit that. 1 2 JUDGE JONSSON: Okay. So just Exhibit A. 3 MR. EVANS: Yeah. If you like, we can submit 4 that New York application posthearing. 5 MR. JETTER: The New York application is on the commission website. It may have been in the 6 electronic filing and might have just gotten 8 inadvertently --9 MR. EVANS: Would you like us to submit paper 10 on that? JUDGE JONSSON: No. I'll pull it if I need 11 12 it. 13 MR. EVANS: All right. Thank you. Sorry for 14 that oversight. 15 JUDGE JONSSON: That's all right. All right. Well, I think that I have everything I need. Is there 16 17 anything else the parties would like to put on the record today? 18 MR. EVANS: Only that the commission's -- the 19 20 commission's rule creates a presumption that if there is no opposition, this should be deemed in the public 21 22 interest. Based on the information in the application, 23 we submit it that way. JUDGE JONSSON: Okay. Thank you very much. 24 25 Thank you, Ms. Buley.

1	min	MECC: Voulto nolcomo	Page 18
1		NESS: You're welcome.	-
2		ONSSON: Okay. And can you spel	1 your
3	name? Hackford,		
4	MS. HAC	KFORD-PEER: Right, hyphen P-E-E	-R.
5	JUDGE J	ONSSON: I guessed right. Okay.	Thank
6	you.		
7			
8	(The he	aring concluded at 9:18 a.m.)	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	Page 19 CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF UTAH )
3	COUNTY OF SALT LAKE )
4	THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the foregoing proceedings
5	were taken before me, Teri Hansen Cronenwett, Certified
6	Realtime Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary
7	Public in and for the State of Utah.
8	That the proceedings were reported by me in
9	Stenotype, and thereafter transcribed by computer under
10	my supervision, and that a full, true, and correct
11	transcription is set forth in the foregoing pages,
12	numbered 3 through 18 inclusive.
13	I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise
14	associated with any of the parties to said cause of
15	action, and that I am not interested in the event
16	thereof.
17	WITNESS MY HAND and official seal at Salt Lake
18	City, Utah, this 3rd day of March, 2016.
19	Ti · II Non H
20	Teri Hansen Cronenwett, CRR, RMR
21	License No. 91-109812-7801
22	My commission expires: January 19, 2019
23	
24	
25	

1	3	action 10:6 11:8	<b>approved</b> 10:10,12 12:5	С
<b>1</b> 4:11 8:7,16 12:25 15:14	<b>3</b> 9:1	<b>additional</b> 10:6 12:16	13:16 14:3 approving	California 9:12
<b>103006</b> 6:3	4	address 5:25	10:21	<b>call</b> 4:20 14:10
<b>11th</b> 15:19		6:3	<b>arm</b> 6:13	called 5:8 14:17
<b>12</b> 9:6	<b>4</b> 10:25 11:1	Administration 6:9	associate 3:16	Carolina 11:15
<b>15th</b> 15:11	5	adopt 7:7	attached 15:10 16:19	13:9
16-2515-01	<b>5</b> 4:11 11:5	agencies 6:11	Attorney 3:20	carrier 7:21
3:11	12:25 15:15	ahead 3:3,25	authority 7:25	cases 6:15
<b>16-2546-01</b> 3:11	<b>55441</b> 6:4	5:5	8:12,15,17	<b>change</b> 8:12 15:25
17th 15:14,24	<b>5th</b> 8:25	analyst 6:11	automatically 10:10	CLEC 7:20
<b>18th</b> 10:21	7	annual 12:1	Avenue 6:3	closing 9:9
<b>19th</b> 8:13	<b>746-349-7</b> 7:18	appearances 3:12 applicants 3:8, 13 4:6 5:9 7:24 9:8,25 11:17	awaiting 10:14	Colorado 9:12
			<b>aware</b> 11:20	10:19 13:16,18
			16:6	Columbia 9:13
<b>2</b> 8:20	<b>9:01</b> 3:1	application 3:7	В	comments 15:18
<b>2003</b> 6:8	<b>9:18</b> 18:8	6:16,22,25 7:5, 7,11,13 8:11,	Based 17:22	commission
<b>2016</b> 3:1,5 8:13,25 9:6		16,24 9:5,8,17, 20 11:22 12:24 13:10 15:3,11 16:4,19,25 17:4,5,22	<b>Behle</b> 3:15	7:15,17,18 8:1, 8,19 10:6,19,
10:11,13,21,22	A		briefly 4:12	24 11:2,3,7,8,
11:3,8 15:19	<b>a.m.</b> 3:1 18:8		Broadvox 7:20	11,25 15:18 16:3 17:6
<b>214</b> 7:25 8:11, 24 9:5	24 9:5 <b>ability</b> 12:9		Broadvox-clec	commission's
<b>21st</b> 10:11	accepting 8:23 9:4	<b>applications</b> 9:22 10:14,18	3:9 6:18 7:3	17:19,20
<b>22nd</b> 10:12	access 12:16	12:24 16:7	12:1,2 <b>Buley</b> 3:17	commissions 9:7
<b>23rd</b> 10:22	accompanying	<b>approval</b> 3:7 9:9,11,21 10:1,	4:24,25 5:2,7,	Communicatio
<b>25</b> 3:1	12:24	7,10 11:11,22 15:4 16:3,7,9	13,18 6:2 12:20,21 13:4	ns 3:8 6:17 8:1
<b>25th</b> 3:4	acknowledgem		17:25	compete
<b>27</b> 11:3	<b>ent</b> 11:6	approvals 10:4	business 5:25	12:10,18
<b>27th</b> 11:7	act 11:4	approve 7:17	buyer 6:10	concluded 18:8

conditions	D	E	12:25 15:10,	general 7:2
12:14		E	14,25 16:19	General's 3:20
Connecticut 11:13 considered	<b>Dakota</b> 11:15 13:9	edits 15:21 effect 12:14	expect 12:4 expected 13:19	<b>Georgia</b> 9:13 10:5 11:7 13:21
10:20	date 3:5 14:6	electronic 17:7	expert 6:12	give 5:18 12:15
consultant 3:23 14:25	dated 11:2,7 15:19	employed 6:8		<b>good</b> 3:19,24
contained 7:5	deemed 17:21	employment 15:2	F	5:5,13,23 grant 10:7,9
continue	<b>Delaware</b> 9:12 10:5 11:2,3	enable 12:17	facts 7:5 FCC 8:12,25	GTCR 3:10
12:10,12 control 3:7	13:21,25 14:1	end 4:17	FCC'S 8:23 9:4	12:17
15:4	delinquent 12:3	enhance 12:9 entities 3:17	February 3:1,4	guessed 18:5
<b>copy</b> 9:16	Department 6:9,12	5:1	8:25 9:6 10:11, 12,20,22	Н
corporate 16:21	describe 4:11,	essentially 10:7,9	15:14,19,24 federal 8:1	<b>H-a-c-k-f-o-r-d</b> 18:3
<b>correct</b> 13:17 15:6 16:2	14 details 7:4	<b>Evans</b> 3:14 4:3,10,13,16,	11:22	Hackford 18:3
corrections 7:10,12 15:21, 23	determined 10:5	21,23,25 5:6, 12,24 12:21 13:14 14:7	fees 12:2,3 file 7:24 13:10	Hackford-peer 3:15 18:4
counsel 3:22 7:2	diagrams 16:21	16:16,18,24 17:3,9,13,19	filed 6:16,18 8:12 9:20,23, 25 12:1 15:4,6,	<b>Hawaii</b> 9:13 <b>hear</b> 5:13,17
couple 12:5	District 9:12	evidence 4:6,7 12:22	18	heard 16:8
<b>COURT</b> 5:17	<b>division</b> 3:18, 21,23 4:5 13:5	EXAMINATION	filing 11:25 12:4 17:7	<b>hearing</b> 3:6 18:8
covers 8:17	14:10,17 15:1, 18 16:3	5:11 14:20	finally 11:5	held 6:5
create 15:17	docket 3:10	<b>examined</b> 5:9 14:19	16:9 <b>financial</b> 12:16	high 12:12
creates 17:20 cross 12:22,23	15:4	exchange 7:21	fine 4:19	Holdings 3:10
16:16	dockets 15:7 16:4	<b>Exhibit</b> 8:7,16, 20 9:1,16	front 8:6,18	<b>Honor</b> 4:8 13:2 14:9
cross- examination	document 8:18	10:25 11:1,5 16:20,22,24	fully 7:4	hyphen 18:4
16:14 customers	8:14,17,24	17:2	G	I
12:9,18	duly 5:9 14:18	<b>exhibits</b> 3:25 4:5,11 7:14	<b>gas</b> 6:14	<b>Idaho</b> 11:13

identify 10:23	joint 3:6,8 5:8	likewise 13:25	Mexico 11:14	2
inadvertently 17:8	6:16 7:11,24 8:11 9:8 12:23, 24 15:3,11	<b>LLC</b> 3:9,10 6:17,18 7:3	Minnesota 6:4, 9,10,12,14	objections 16:6,8
include 11:13	JONSSON 3:3,	local 7:21	9:14 10:11 13:16,18	obtain 9:9
including 7:3	18,24 4:4,9,15, 19,22,24 5:2,5,	long 6:5	minute 7:16	occupation 14:23
incumbent 7:21	19,22,24 5.2,5, 18,22 13:1,3,6, 12,15,19,23	<b>Louisiana</b> 9:13 11:24 13:19	missed 13:7,8,	offer 12:6,22
Indiana 9:13	14:2,5,8,12,15 16:15,17 17:2,	M	Mississippi	office 3:20 6:3
information	11,15,24 18:2,		9:14 13:8,12	officer 6:24
10:16 17:22 informed 7:4	5	made 7:11	Montana 11:14 13:8	<b>Ohio</b> 9:15 10:10 13:16,18
Infrastructure	<b>JUDGE</b> 3:3,18, 24 4:4,9,15,19,	make 15:22	morning 3:5,19	Onvoy 3:9,10,
3:8 6:17	22,24 5:2,5,18,	managed 12:11	5:13	16,17 4:25 6:1,
instance 5:8 14:17	22 13:1,3,6,12, 15,19,23 14:2, 5,8,12,15	manager 6:2,7	<b>move</b> 4:16	3,6,7,18 7:1,2, 17,20 12:8,10,
interest 12:7	16:15,17 17:2,	mark 3:25	N	16
16:10 17:22	11,15,24 18:2, 5	marketplace 12:10,19	natural 6:14	operated 12:11 operation
international 7:25 8:14,17	jump 4:21	Mary 3:17 4:24,	Nebraska 9:14	10:11
9:5	Justin 3:19	25 5:7 6:2	13:14	opinion 15:25
Investments 3:9 6:17	K	<b>Maryland</b> 9:13 10:8 13:8,22,	Nevada 11:14	<b>opportunity</b> 15:3,9,13
Island 11:15		23 14:2	<b>North</b> 6:3 11:15 13:9	opposition
issued 8:25 9:6	Kentucky 11:14	Massachusetts	noted 10:8	11:21 17:21
10:21	knowledge	11:14	notice 8:23 9:4	<b>order</b> 10:21
J	6:21	Matter 3:6 matters 6:22	11:17	oversight
		meet 12:18	notification 11:12	17:14
<b>January</b> 8:13 11:3,7 15:10		meeting 10:20	notifying 11:8	Р
Jersey 9:14	<b>L-u-s-h-e-r</b> 14:25	memo 4:5,6	noting 11:3	<b>P-e-e-r</b> 18:4
13:13	Latimer 3:15	memorandum	numbers 3:10	paid 12:2
<b>Jetter</b> 3:19 4:7	law 10:11	11:2		<b>paper</b> 17:9
13:2,5 14:8,9, 21 16:13 17:5	letter 11:6	mentioned	O	Parsons 3:14
		16:18	objection 13:1,	

parties 11:21	<b>pull</b> 17:11	represent 3:20	set 3:6 6:22	supplemental	
12:3 16:7 17:17	purposes 7:6	require 9:8	shortly 13:20	4:11 7:14 8:7, 16,20 9:1	
Pennsylvania	pursuant 7:18	10:1 11:11	signed 6:24	10:24 11:5	
9:15	put 3:12 17:17	required 7:24 9:11 10:6 11:9	similar 9:22	12:25 15:14,24	
personal 6:21			Slusher 3:22	<b>swear</b> 5:2 14:12	
personnel	Q	resources 12:16	14:11,12,16,	sworn 5:9	
12:12	quality 12:12	respect 11:21	22,24 16:14	14:10,18	
<b>phone</b> 3:16	question 15:6	responses	<b>South</b> 11:15		
Plymouth 6:4	16:18	10:14	spell 18:2	Т	
position 6:1,5	questions	review 15:3,10,	<b>staff</b> 11:25	table 3:22	
posthearing	13:3,5 16:13, 15	14	<b>state</b> 5:25 6:10 9:7 11:2,22	technical 3:22	
17:4	quickly 13:7	reviewed 6:16	12:1 13:10	14:25	
prefiled 4:4	quickly 13.7	<b>Rhode</b> 11:15	14:22	telecom 6:11	
preimposed	R	Ron 3:22	statement 16:2	telecommunica	
16:21		14:16,24	statements 7:7	tion 6:10	
presumption 17:20	rates 12:13	Ronald 14:10	states 9:11,23	telecommunica	
Previously 6:8	reasonable 16:10	rule 7:18 17:20	10:1,4,15,17 11:10,13,18	<b>tions</b> 6:15 12:19	
progress 10:17	received 4:17	<b>Ruth</b> 3:15	13:7	terms 12:13	
	10:3 11:20	s	streamlined	testified 5:10	
protest 12:4	recommends		8:24 9:5	6:14 14:19	
protested 11:25	16:3	<b>Sam</b> 14:24	structures	testimony 4:17	
provide 12:12	record 3:4,12 4:1 5:24 14:23 17:18	Sawyer 7:2	16:22	7:6	
provided 11:17		Scott 7:2	subject 12:23	testing 5:18,	
PS 11:24	regulatory 6:2,	Section 7:25	<b>submit</b> 17:1,3, 9,23	19,20	
	7,13	8:11 9:5	-	<b>Texas</b> 9:15	
PSC 10:8	relationship	senior 6:2	<b>submitted</b> 7:1, 14 8:7,19	Thursday 3:4	
<b>public</b> 3:21,23 6:12 8:23 9:4	12:17	serve 12:9	10:24 12:3	time 3:6 7:12	
11:1,7,21,24	removed 12:4	Service 6:13	subsidiaries	12:15	
12:7 14:18 15:1,19 16:10	report 12:3	11:1,7,25	7:3	today 3:4 5:3 7:7 14:13 15:7	
17:21	REPORTER	<b>services</b> 6:10 12:13	summary 12:6	17:18	
<b>PUC</b> 6:14	5:17		Supplement 11:1	transac 10:18	
10:12	reports 12:2	serving 7:21	11.1		
		<u> </u>			

Index: transaction..York

		IIIdex.	transactioniork
transaction	weeks 12:5	 	
7:4,17 8:2 9:10,21 10:9,	<b>West</b> 9:15		
10,12,20,22	William 3:14		
11:4,12,23 12:7,8,15	witnesses 14:6		
16:21	work 4:17		
transfer 3:7 11:9 15:4	writing 13:6		
treatment 8:24 9:6	Y		
truth 5:3 14:13	<b>York</b> 9:14,21 16:25 17:4,5		
U			
updated 7:13			
updates 10:16			
<b>Utah</b> 3:20,21, 23 6:19 7:22 8:8,19 9:7,16 10:24 12:9,10			
<b>Utilities</b> 3:21, 23 14:18 15:1, 19			
utility 3:22 14:25			
V			
verification 6:25 7:1			
Virginia 9:15			
W			
Washington 11:16			
website 17:6			