BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

> April 4, 2016 1:00 p.m.

Location: Heber M. Wells Bldg. 160 East 300 South, Room 451 Salt Lake City, Utah

Hearing Officer: Jennie T. Jonsson Job Number: 293646 Reporter: Melinda J. Andersen Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public

Page 2 1 A P P E A R A N C E S For Neighborhood Networks: 2 Johnathan Penberthy 1875 South State T250 3 Orem, UT 84097 Telephone: (801)724-6641 4 For the Division: Justin C. Jetter 5 Assistant Attorney General 160 East 300 South, 5th Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84114 б Telephone: (801)366-0335 7 -000-8 INDEX 9 Witness Page 10 WILLIAM DUNCAN 11 Direct Examination by Mr. Jetter 4 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	Page 3 PROCEEDINGS						
2	HEARING OFFICER: Today is April 4, 2016 just						
3	after 1:00 in the afternoon. This is the date and time set						
4	for the hearing in the matter of the application of						
5	Neighborhood Networks Incorporated for a certificate of						
6	public convenience and necessity to provide resold and						
7	facilities-based local exchange services within the State						
8	of Utah. This is Docket number 16-2587-01.						
9	Let's go ahead and put appearances on the						
10	record. Neighborhood Networks is being represented						
11	telephonically. Go ahead and give us your name and if you						
12	would spell it for the record that would be helpful.						
13	MR. PENBERTHY: Yes. Johnathan Penberthy,						
14	J-O-H-N-A-T-H-A-N, P-E-N-B-E-R-T-H-Y.						
15	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. For the Division?						
16	MR. JETTER: Thank you. I'm Justin Jetter. I						
17	represent the Utah Division of Public Utilities. With me						
18	at counsel table is William Duncan, also with the Division						
19	of Public Utilities.						
20	HEARING OFFICER: Very good. As I mentioned						
21	when I read the heading this application is for a						
22	certificate of public convenience and necessity. There is						
23	some procedural history that I want to make sure is part of						
24	the record. On March 4th the Utah Rural Telecom						
25	Association filed comments and expressed concern about						
1							

Litigation Services | 1.800.330.1112 www.litigationservices.com

Page 4 whether Neighborhood Networks should be allowed to operate 1 2 in rural areas of Utah where an Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier has a limited number of access lines and a fairly 3 small customer base. URTA also requested intervention. 4 On seeing those comments Neighborhood Networks 5 amended its application clarifying that it does not seek to 6 7 operate in such a rural area. 8 On the basis of that URTA amended its comments, 9 or I suppose I should say filed additional comments stating 10 that it does not object to the application. And URTA is 11 not here today. 12 With that I believe we can go ahead and take testimony. Mr. Penberthy, do you swear to tell the truth 13 14 today? 15 MR. PENBERTHY: Yes. 16 HEARING OFFICER: Do you have anybody else who 17 will be testifying or providing information on behalf of Neighborhood Networks? 18 MR. PENBERTHY: I don't believe so. 19 20 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Why don't you go ahead 21 and give me whatever information that you would like the 2.2 Commission to review. 23 MR. PENBERTHY: Basically Neighborhood Networks 24 we would like to apply to get our CLEC status to obtain the 25 rights to provide resold and facilities-based services,

HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-2587-01 - 04/04/2016

Page 5 gain access to the right-of-ways in public utilities so we 1 2 can go ahead and eventually deploy fiber within the State 3 of Utah. HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Mr. Jetter, do 4 you have any questions? 5 6 MR. JETTER: I have just a brief question, 7 Mr. Penberthy. Can you hear me okay? 8 MR. PENBERTHY: Yes. 9 This is just to confirm on our MR. JETTER: 10 record for future times when this might occur. I want to 11 make sure you understand that by amending your application your service offerings would be limited to the competitive 12 territories in Utah. And if you did wish to expand into 13 14 the rural areas with 5,000 or less access lines that you 15 have amended your application to exclude, you would need to file a new application with the Commission here to amend 16 17 the service territory that you're authorized to serve in with the CPCN that you would receive based on the amended 18 19 application. Do you understand that? 20 MR. PENBERTHY: Yes. And I have actually spoken with URTA and I know the president. Our intentions 21 2.2 were never to go out and step in their territory in the 23 first place, but mainly would like to focus on the general 24 public areas that aren't considered rural. 25 MR. JETTER: Okay. Thank you. I just wanted

HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-2587-01 - 04/04/2016

Paqe 6 to clarify that for the record. At least with respect to 1 2 my client, the Division of Public Utilities, we don't have an opinion either way on serving those territories, just 3 4 that they wouldn't be part of this particular certificate. MR. PENBERTHY: Correct. 5 6 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Jetter, do you have a 7 witness today? 8 MR. JETTER: Yes, I do, Your Honor. 9 HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead. 10 MR. JETTER: William Duncan we would like to 11 have sworn in. 12 (The witness is sworn in.) DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 14 BY MR. JETTER: 15 Mr. Duncan, are you aware of the application 0. filed in this docket? 16 17 Α. Yes. Are you also aware of the amendments made to 18 Q. 19 that application as of March 8, 2016? 20 Α. Yes. 21 0. Have you reviewed the comments that were filed by Ron Slusher with the Division of Public Utilities dated 22 23 March 14, 2016? Α. 24 Yes. 25 Would you adopt those comments as your own Q.

1	today?	Page 7				
2	Α.	Yes.				
3	Q.	Are there any corrections you would like to				
4	make to those?					
5	A. No.					
6	Q.	Are you aware of any objection outside of the				
7	matter with	the Utah Rural Telephone Association or any				
8	other reaso	n that this application should not be approved?				
9	Α.	No.				
10	Q.	Does the recommendation contained in that March				
11	14, 2016 recommendation of approval remain the Division's					
12	recommendat	ion to the Commission?				
13	Α.	Yes.				
14	Q.	Finally, would granting the CPCN as applied for				
15	in the amen	ded application be just, reasonable and in the				
16	public interest?					
17	Α.	Yes.				
18		MR. JETTER: I have no further questions and I				
19	would just move to enter the Division's recommendation into					
20	the record.					
21		HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Penberthy, is that				
22	acceptable	to you to have the Division's memorandum entered				
23	as an exhib	it into this record?				
24		MR. PENBERTHY: Yes.				
25		HEARING OFFICER: Do you have any questions for				

Mr. Duncan? 1 MR. PENBERTHY: Not at this time. I wish for 2 3 my understanding based on the recommendation by the Department of Public Utilities for the recommendation of 4 approval unless the Public Service Commission deems 5 6 otherwise in the proceeding that you go that way. 7 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I just want to make 8 sure that I word the order in a way that takes care of all 9 the possible issues. I noticed in the Division's 10 memorandum that it stated Neighborhood Networks does not 11 own property in Utah and does not plan to construct 12 facilities. Is that still the case today? 13 MR. PENBERTHY: Not at this time we don't have 14 any plans to construct any kind of facilities. If that 15 does change we will let the Service Commission know. HEARING OFFICER: I just wanted to make sure 16 17 that nothing had changed since the Division made that finding. 18 MR. PENBERTHY: No. We have not built or 19 20 purchased any facilities. HEARING OFFICER: And then there is also the 21 2.2 information in the record regarding the bond. Neighborhood 23 Networks has requested to be exempted from the bonding requirement; is that correct? 24 25 MR. PENBERTHY: Correct.

Page 9 1 HEARING OFFICER: And the Division agrees that 2 that's appropriate? MR. JETTER: Yes, Your Honor. The Division has 3 recommended that that be waived. 4 5 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So I think I have it. 6 We do have a template that we use for these and so I have 7 put together an initial draft of the order. I just want to 8 make sure that you're aware of the language that I have 9 In the findings of facts it says Neighborhood used. 10 Networks does not propose to operate in any area with fewer 11 than 5,000 access lines that is serviced by an ILEC that 12 has fewer than 30,000 total access lines. Is that 13 accurately stated? 14 MR. PENBERTHY: Yes. 15 HEARING OFFICER: So the CPCN that is issued 16 will state that you are not allowed to operate in that sort 17 of area. And as Mr. Jetter indicated earlier if that changes and you wish to operate in such an area you would 18 19 need to let the Commission know and submit an application 20 for review. Okay. 21 MR. PENBERTHY: Okay. 2.2 HEARING OFFICER: All right. Well, then I 23 think I have what I need. Thank you all very much. We can close this hearing. 24 25 (The hearing concluded at 1:15 p.m.)

> Litigation Services | 1.800.330.1112 www.litigationservices.com

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF UTAH COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)

I, Melinda J. Andersen, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the County of Salt Lake and State of Utah, do hereby certify:

)

That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth, and were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewritten under my direction and supervision:

That the foregoing 10 pages contain a true and correct transcription of my shorthand notes so taken.

WITNESS MY HAND and official seal at Salt Lake City, Utah this 11th day of April, 2016.

melu dal

My Commission Expires: February 10, 2018

Melinda J. Andersen, C.S.R.

Page 10

HEARING

PROCEEDINGS	DOCKET	NO.	16-2587-01	- 04/04	4/2016
				Index:	14OFFICER

	application	considered	focus 5:23	· 14OFFICER
1	3:4,21 4:6,10	5:24	future 5:10	L
	5:11,15,16,19	convenience		
14 6:23	6:15,19	3:6,22	G	least 6:1
16-2587-01 3:8	apply 4:24	Correct 6:5		less 5:14
1:00 3:3	April 3:2	counsel 3:18	gain 5:1	like 4:21,24
	area 4:7	CPCN 5:18	general 5:23	5:23 6:10
2	areas 4:2 5:14,	customer 4:4	give 3:11 4:21	limited 4:3
2016 3:2 6:19,	24	Customer 4.4	good 3:20 5:4	5:12
23	Association			lines 4:3 5:14
20	3:25	D	H	local 3:7 4:2
4	authorized	date 3:3	heading 3:21	
	5:17	dated 6:22	hear 5:7	M
4 3:2		deploy 5:2		made 6:18
4th 3:24	aware 6:15,18	DIRECT 6:13	hearing 3:2,4,	
		Division 3:15,	15,20 4:16,20	mainly 5:23
5	B	17,18 6:2,22	5:4 6:6,9	make 3:23 5:11
5,000 5:14	base 4:4	docket 3:8	helpful 3:12	March 3:24
3,000 5.14	based 5:18	6:16	here 4:11 5:16	6:19,23
	Basically 4:23	Duncan 3:18	history 3:23	matter 3:4
8	basis 4:8	6:10,15	Honor 6:8	mentioned
8 6:19	behalf 4:17	0.10,10		3:20
	being 3:10	E	I	might 5:10
Α	believe 4:12,19		Incorporated	
	brief 5:6	either 6:3	3:5	N
access 4:3 5:1,	Dilei 5.0	eventually 5:2	Incumbent 4:2	name 3:11
14	C	EXAMINATION	information	necessity 3:6,
actually 5:20	<u>ر</u>	6:13	4:17,21	22
additional 4:9	Carrier 4:3	exchange 3:7	intentions 5:21	need 5:15
adopt 6:25	certificate 3:5,	4:2	intervention	Neighborhood
after 3:3	22 6:4	exclude 5:15	4:4	3:5,10 4:1,5,
afternoon 3:3	clarify 6:1	expand 5:13		18,23
ahead 3:9,11	clarifying 4:6	expressed	into 5:13	Networks 3:5,
4:12,20 5:2 6:9	CLEC 4:24	3:25		10 4:1,5,18,23
allowed 4:1	client 6:2		J	
also 3:18 4:4	comments	F	J-o-h-n-a-t-h-a-	never 5:22
6:18	3:25 4:5,8,9		n 3:14	new 5:16
amend 5:16	6:21,25	facilities-based	Jetter 3:16 5:4,	number 3:8 4:3
amended 4:6,8	Commission	3:7 4:25	6,9,25 6:6,8,	
5:15,18	4:22 5:16	fairly 4:3	10,14	0
amending 5:11	competitive	fiber 5:2	Johnathan	object 4:10
amendments	5:12	file 5:16	3:13	obtain 4:24
6:18	concern 3:25	filed 3:25 4:9	Justin 3:16	occur 5:10
anybody 4:16	confirm 5:9	6:16,21		offerings 5:12
appearances		first 5:23		OFFICER 3:2,
3:9				,

HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-2587-01 - 04/04/2016

Index: operate..witness

			Index:	operatewitness
15,20 4:16,20	review 4:22	testifying 4:17		
5:4 6:6,9	reviewed 6:21	testimony 4:13		
operate 4:1,7	right-of-ways	their 5:22		
opinion 6:3	5:1	time 3:3		
own 6:25	rights 4:25	times 5:10		
	Ron 6:22	today 3:2 4:11,		
P	rural 3:24 4:2,7	14 6:7		
P-e-n-b-e-r-t-h-	5:14,24	truth 4:13		
y 3:14				
part 3:23 6:4	S	U		
particular 6:4	say 4:9	understand		
Penberthy	seek 4:6	5:11,19		
3:13 4:13,15,	serve 5:17	URTA 4:4,8,10		
19,23 5:7,8,20	service 5:12,17	5:21		
6:5	services 3:7	Utah 3:8,17,24		
place 5:23	4:25	4:2 5:3,13		
president 5:21	serving 6:3	utilities 3:17,		
procedural	set 3:3	19 5:1 6:2,22		
3:23	should 4:1,9			
provide 3:6	Slusher 6:22	W		
4:25	small 4:4	want 3:23 5:10		
providing 4:17	spell 3:12	wanted 5:25		
public 3:6,17,	spoken 5:21	way 6:3		
19,22 5:1,24 6:2,22	State 3:7 5:2	whatever 4:21		
put 3:9	stating 4:9	whether 4:1		
	status 4:24	will 4:17		
Q	step 5:22	William 3:18		
	such 4:7	6:10		
question 5:6	suppose 4:9	wish 5:13		
questions 5:5	swear 4:13	within 3:7 5:2		
	sworn 6:11,12	witness 6:7,12		
R	—			
read 3:21				
receive 5:18	table 3:18			
record 3:10,12,	take 4:12			
24 5:10 6:1	Telecom 3:24			
represent 3:17	telephonically			
represented	3:11			
3:10	territories 5:13			
requested 4:4	6:3			
resold 3:6 4:25	territory 5:17, 22			
respect 6:1				