## **Show of Interest Forms Submitted Via E-mail**

## March 23, 2000

Provo: Bountiful: SLC: Ogden:

T1: Joel C.
T2: Lindon
T3: na
Overlay:
Split: ON
B1: Submit

Provo: Bountiful: SLC: Ogden:

T1: Shawn Eliot T2: Payson

T3:
Overlay:
Split: ON
B1: Submit

S1:

Type your comments here 10 numbers is too much to dial.

Provo: Bountiful: SLC: Ogden:

T1: Kristin Kraus T2: Salt Lake City

T3: Overlay: Split: ON B1: Submit

S1:

It will cause some difficulties for some people who would get new area codes, but because the phone company provides messages when someone dials an area code that has changed, this should not be too much of a problem. And with enough warning, it shouldn't cause too much hardship for businesses that would have to change stationery, etc. They should have time to use up their old stocks of supplies.

Provo: Bountiful: SLC:

Ogden: ON

T1: Quentin Packard

T2: Murray
T3: none
Overlay:
Split: ON
B1: Submit

S1:

A suggestion you may have considered:

Try expanding the prefix or suffix to 4 or 5 digits, respectively . I am not familiar with the numbering scheme but would it not give you 10 to the eighth power of numbers within an area code? This is not the same as a four-digit area \ code because that would still have a three-digit prefix and a four digit suffix, the same number of phone lines available now. We could thus retain the area code.

For simplicite's sake use only one digit, the same, this way all callers, from within and without the area will learn quickly our new scheme and be prepared for it within their own areas if you take this nationwide.

Provo: Bountiful: SLC: Ogden:

T1: alan teeples
T2: american fork

T3:

Overlay: Split: ON B1: Submit