

- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

In the Matter of the Petition of Sprint)	<u>DOCKET NO. 00-094-02</u>
Communications Company, L.P., for)	
Arbitration of Interconnection Rates, Terms,)	<u>ORDER GRANTING MOTION</u>
Conditions, and Related Arrangements with)	
Qwest Corporation)	

ISSUED: December 14, 2000

By The Commission:

Hearing on the Motion of Sprint Communications Company, L.P., for Summary Adjudication of Issue Number One Regarding Reciprocal Compensation for ISP Traffic ("Summary Motion"), was held before the full Commission on Thursday, November 9, 2000, at the hour of 3:00 p.m. in the Commission Hearing Room, Heber M. Wells Building, 160 East 300 South, Room #426, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Petitioner Sprint Communications Company, L.P. ("Sprint"), was represented by Jeffrey Weston Shields, Esq., of Jones Waldo Holbrook & McDonough, Salt Lake City, Utah, and by Darren S. Weingard, Esq., Senior Attorney, Sprint Law and External Affairs, San Mateo, California. Respondent Qwest Corporation, formerly known as U.S. West Communications, Inc. ("Qwest"), was represented by Gregory B. Monson, Esq., of Stoel Rives, Salt Lake City, Utah, and by Mary Rose Hughes, Esq., of Perkins Coie, Washington, D.C. The Utah Division of Public Utilities ("Division") was represented by Michael Ginsberg, Esq., Assistant Attorney General. The full Commission, having reviewed the moving and opposing papers of the parties, and having heard argument of counsel, and being fully informed on the matter before it, now makes the following

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that:

1. Sprint's Motion for Summary Adjudication on Issue No. 1, Regarding Reciprocal Compensation for ISP Traffic, is GRANTED. A generic docket, Docket No. 00-999-05, to consider the subject of reciprocal compensation for Internet-bound traffic, is hereby OPENED.
2. As Issue No. 1 was the only remaining unresolved issue between Sprint and Qwest, the parties shall file the final proposed interconnection agreement with this Commission. The parties are ordered to include a provision in the final interconnection agreement that each party agrees to abide by the decision of the Commission in Docket No.00-999-05 regarding reciprocal compensation for Internet-bound traffic.
3. The schedule set in this matter at the pre-hearing conference held in this docket on October 31, 2000, and all scheduling orders entered as a result of that pre-hearing conference are VACATED.

Dated at Salt Lake City, Utah, this 14th day of December, 2000.

/s/ Stephen F. Mecham, Chairman

/s/ Constance B. White, Commissioner

/s/ Clark D. Jones, Commissioner

Attest:

/s/ Julie Orchard

Commission Secretary