- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

In the Matter of the Application of) PacifiCorp and Scottish Power plc for) an Order Approving the Issuance of) PacifiCorp Common Stock)

DOCKET NO. 98-2035-04

SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULING ORDER

ISSUED: April 2, 1999

By The Commission:

After considering the parties' comments and discussion, made at the hearing on April 2, 1999, relating to the issues previously identified through the parties' Issue list submissions and the matters identified in the Commission's Memorandum to the parties, dated March 31, 1999, the Commission issues this supplemental scheduling order to supplement the schedule previously established by our Scheduling Order issued February 8, 1999.

We supplement the existing schedule for this docket as follows:

1. On April 12, 1999, PacifiCorp and Scottish Power plc (hereafter Applicants) shall file with the Commission and serve upon all parties a filing/memorandum in which the Applicants shall identify all issues, which have previously been identified in any of the parties' Issue list submissions, that the Applicants contend are irrelevant to the considerations which the Commission must make in determining whether to grant or deny the Applicants' Joint Application. The Applicants shall provide their reasons and argument supporting the Applicants' position that an identified issue is irrelevant. To the extent that relevancy or burden of proof may be fact dependent, the Applicants shall identify the factual circumstances in which the Applicants contend the issue is irrelevant.

2. In the April 12, 1999, filing/memorandum, the Applicants shall also identify those issues which are relevant to the Commission's consideration, but for which the Applicants contend other parties, rather than the Applicants, have the burden of proof. To the extent that the burden of proof may be fact dependent, the Applicants shall identify the factual circumstances in which the Applicants contend the burden of proof is born by a party other than the Applicants.

3. On April 29, 1999, other parties shall file with the Commission and serve upon all parties responsive filings/memoranda which respond to the Applicants' April 12, 1999, filing/memorandum. The responsive filings/memorandum shall provide the responding party's reasons and argument for any position contrary to the Applicants' position on the relevancy of an issue or the burden of proof for an issue. To the extent that relevancy or burden of proof may be fact dependent, the response shall identify the factual circumstances in which the party contends the issue is relevant or the burden of proof is born by the Applicants. The responsive filings/memorandum may also provide the responding party's reasons and argument in support of the Applicants' position on the relevancy of an issue or the burden of proof for an issue or the responsive filings/memorandum may also provide the responding party's reasons and argument in support of the Applicants' position on the relevancy of an issue or the burden of proof for an issue.

4. The Commission has set further hearing upon the April 12 and 29, 1999, filings/memoranda for May 4, 1999, at 9:00 a.m., in the Commission's main hearing room #426, fourth floor, Heber M. Wells Building, 160 East Third South, Salt Lake City, Utah.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations (including auxiliary communicative aids and services) during this hearing should notify Julie Orchard, Commission Secretary, at 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City Utah 84111, (801) 530-6713, at least three working days prior to the hearing.

DATED at Salt Lake City, Utah, this 2nd day of April, 1999.

/s/ Stephen F. Mecham, Chairman

/s/ Constance B. White, Commissioner

/s/ Clark D. Jones, Commissioner

Attest:

/s/ Julie Orchard Commission Secretary