# - BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

\_\_\_\_\_

In the Matter of the Negotiated ) Resale/Interconnection Agreement Between ) U.S. WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC., ) and BROOKS FIBER COMMUNICATIONS,) INC., f/k/a PHOENIX FIBERLINK OF ) UTAH, INC. )

DOCKET NO. 99-049-63

-----

ISSUED: March 23, 2000

## **SYNOPSIS**

The parties to the agreement which is the subject of this proceeding having submitted the same for Commission Approval; and the agreement appearing to conform to the requirements of § 252(e)(1) of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 and applicable Federal Communications Commission regulations; and the agreement appearing to conform to applicable Utah law and Commission Regulations; and approval of the agreement appearing to be in the public interest, the Commission approved the agreement.

\_\_\_\_\_

By the Commission:

### PROCEDURAL HISTORY

U.S. WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC., and BROOKS FIBER COMMUNICATIONS, INC., f/k/a PHOENIX FIBERLINK OF UTAH, INC., , entered into an amendment to their existing interconnection and/or resale agreement (hereafter "the Agreement") dated November 19, 1999. The parties filed the Amendment with the Commission December 14, 1999, for review and approval in accordance with 47 USC 229 § 252(e)(1), a part of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (hereafter "the Act"). The Administrative Law Judge, having reviewed said agreement and having been fully advised in the matter, now enters the following Report, containing proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law, and the Order based thereon.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

1. We find the amendment does not discriminate against any telecommunication carrier not a party to it.

2. The Amendment comports with the Act's § 251, and with currently effective Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulations.

3. The Amendment comports with applicable Utah law and this Commission's rules.

4. The United States Congress and the Utah Legislature having established the fostering of competition in the telecommunications industry as sound public policy, the Agreement is in the public interest, since it comports with such policy.

5. The Division of Public Utilities, Utah Department of Commerce, recommends approval of the agreement.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The amended Agreement should be approved now as meeting the requirements of 47 USC § 252(e)(1).

## <u>ORDER</u>

# NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

The amendment to the Resale/Interconnection agreement between U.S. WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC., and BROOKS FIBER COMMUNICATIONS, INC., f/k/a PHOENIX FIBERLINK OF UTAH, INC., dated November 19, 1999, be and it hereby is, approved in conformance with 47 USC § 252(e)(1).

Any person aggrieved by this Order may petition the Commission for review within 20 days of the date of this Order. Failure so to do will forfeit the right to appeal to the Utah Supreme Court.

DATED at Salt Lake City, Utah, this23rd day of March, 2000.

/s/ A. Robert Thurman, Administrative Law Judge

Approved and Confirmed this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of March, 2000, as the Report and Order of the Public Service Commission of Utah.

/s/ Stephen F. Mecham, Chairman

/s/ Constance B. White, Commissioner

/s/ Clark D. Jones, Commissioner

Attest:

/s/ Julie Orchard, Commission Secretary