2		
3)
	In the Matter: the) TRANSCRIPT OF
4	Application of Eagle's) PROCEEDINGS
	Landing Water Company, LLC)
5	for a Certificate of) Docket No.:
	Convenience and Necessity) 07-2477-01
6	to Operate as a Public)
	Utility Rendering Culinary)
7	Water Service, or for an)
	Exemption from Public)
8	Service Commission)
	Regulation)
9		
10		
11		
12	August 13, 2008 *	9:40 a.m.
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	Location: Public Ser	vice Commission
18	160 East 300	South
19	Salt Lake Cit	y, Utah
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	Before: Sand	y Mooy,
25	Administrative 3	Law Judge
26		

A P P E A R A N C E S 1 2 FOR EAGLE'S LANDING WATER COMPANY: 3 J. Craig Smith Brad Simpson Chris Preston 4 SMITH HARTVIGSEN, PLLC 5 215 South State Street, Suite 600 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 6 Telephone: (801) 413-1600 Fax: (801) 413-1620 7 FOR THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES: 8 Patricia E. Schmid OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 9 160 East 300 South, #500 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 10 Telephone: (801) 366-0353 11 Fax: (801) 366-0352 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 Paul Hicken David Olsen 14 Jay Spencer 15 Krystal Fishlock - via telephone Chuck Smart - via telephone 16 17 EXHIBITS 18 Admitted No. 19 DPU 1.0 11 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE MOOY: I'm Sandy Mooy. The
3	Commission has asked me to conduct this proceeding
4	for the Certificate for Eagle's Landing Water
5	Company. Commission Docket 07-2477-01.
6	Let's take appearances, beginning with Ms.
7	Schmid.
8	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
9	Patricia E. Schmid, with the Attorney
10	General's Office, representing the Division of Public
11	Utilities.
12	MR. HICKEN: Paul Hicken, with the
13	Division of Public Utilities.
14	MR. SMITH: Craig Smith, with the
15	Applicant.
16	And along with me are Brad Simpson from my
17	office. I'm an attorney. And Chris Preston.
18	JUDGE MOOY: And also on the phone, could
19	you identify yourselves.
20	MS. FISHLOCK: Yes. This is Krystal
21	Fishlock, for Eagle's Landing.
22	MR. SMART: And Chuck Smart. I'm a
23	resident of Eagle's Landing.
24	JUDGE MOOY: All right. For the
25	Applicant actually between the Division and the
26	

Company, have you reached a resolution of any issues
 relative to the application in and of itself and
 granting the Certificate?

MS. SCHMID: With regard to the shortfall, I believe that we have, because it's my understanding that the Company is going to make up any shortfall.

That's correct.

MR. SMITH: Yeah.

8 Right now we only have seven connections to the system. And obviously that's not enough to 9 10 support a water system of the size and scope of the one that we have here. It's built for a build out of 11 95 connections when it's fully -- that area is fully 12 developed. And so in the interim, we will be -- you 13 know, obviously the developer, who is also the owner 14 15 of the water company, David Olsen, who is also here, 16 will be supplementing the cost to run the Company so 17 that it can continue to function without -- even 18 though it will not be profitable in the short run until more homes are built, more connections and more 19 revenues available through that. 20

21 MS. SCHMID: And also, the water company 22 has agreed, I believe, to recover a majority of its 23 system costs through the sale of lots.

24MR. SMITH: That's right.25JUDGE MOOY: Okay. Mr. Smith, you just

26

want to make a proffer relative to the evidence and take what's been filed with the application, the exhibits attached thereto? Or do you actually want to go through and introduce -- I'm willing to take a proffer?

6 MR. SMITH: Yeah. I'm happy to offer a 7 proffer, if that's acceptable to you, Mr. Mooy. And 8 let me do that.

9 Let me give a little bit of background 10 about the system as well and where it's at and all of 11 that kind of information.

The Eagle's Landing Water Company serves 12 13 the Eagle's Landing development, which is a subdivision, a rural subdivision that's in extreme 14 15 southern Utah County along Highway 89. And it's just 16 off of Highway 89 just at the -- very near the Utah County/Sanpete County border. It's a -- the 17 subdivision itself has been approved by Utah County. 18 19 And it's an existing subdivision. As I previously mentioned, at build out there will be 95 connections. 20 21 The water company was built to serve that 22 single development. And the water system consists of 23 a well and tank and water lines to all of the lots. The current owner of the water company and 24 25 the developer is David Olsen. Mr. Olsen is here, if

there is any questions for him today. Along with him 1 is Mr. Jay Spencer, who is the person who operates 2 3 the system and does the physical operations of the water system. Mr. Olsen purchased this out of a 4 bankruptcy sale. And it was in somewhat of a state 5 6 of disrepair, both the development itself and the 7 water company. And has since that time spent a lot of time and money trying to bring things up to 8 9 standards.

10 Some of the information we would like -part of our proffer is that we have sufficient water 11 12 rights to serve the entire 95 units. We have -- the system has been approved and is in good standing with 13 the Division of Drinking Water, as far as providing 14 15 drinking -- you know, safe drinking water. We have 16 sufficient source and capacity to serve all 95 units when they are built. As we mentioned earlier, we're 17 18 currently only serving seven units. There is 19 probably, I think, one or two more that are under construction that will come online shortly. And how 20 21 fast the rest of the units or the lots will be built 22 on and be connected to the system really just depends 23 on the real estate market.

I think Eagle's Landing was originallyplanned as kind of a second home subdivision.

Because it is out in the mountains. It is in a very 1 2 rural area. But my understanding is that it is 3 attracting year-round residents. It is close -- like I say, just off Highway 89. So it has good 4 accessibility year round, as does most of the bird's 5 6 eye area that it's in. So I think it's kind of 7 changing or changing to more of a permanent, year-round residential area. 8 9 But the system was built for that. The 10 water rights are sufficient for that. Also as part of our proffer we have come 11 to an agreement with the Division of Public Utilities 12 for a rate of \$35 a month as the base rate for 13 service up to 10,000 gallons of water. We have then 14 15 five tiers above that on a -- from 10,001 to 16 20,000 gallons, we have a rate for that. I won't read the whole rate. I just ask that that be added 17 18 to the record. We also have connection, stand by, 19 turn on fees, turn off fees and a late payment fee that has been proposed. And it is my understanding 20 21 the Division is in agreement with those rates. At this time, I'd like to ask Krystal 22 23 Fishlock, who has been our financial analyst, has helped us with the financial, if she could just take 24 25 a few minutes and cover that part of the proffer. 26

1JUDGE MOOY: All right. Ms. Fishlock, go2ahead.

MS. FISHLOCK: Okay. Just briefly -- and
if you've looked over the Division's filing, if you
have any questions on these specific numbers, I'd be
welcome to answer those questions.
I thought at this time just to give you a

brief overview of my impression of the costs and the 8 9 rates structure that they have set. The information 10 I gathered was from responses to the Division and some questions I had. We had some conference calls 11 12 and some other information from the Company was 13 submitted. And looking at the costs that they're going to have, the profitability of the Company being 14 stand alone, you really can't see that until the 95 15 16 customers have come onto the system. So what I did is looked at what -- what was a reasonable time frame 17 18 for the construction and the build out and just a 19 little bit based on my understanding of the market, but more so from the Company and what they're seeing 20 21 in the area in the construction --

MS. SCHMID: Pardon me. I'm not reallyfamiliar with the proffer of things.

Would it be appropriate for Ms. Fishlockto be sworn as she is giving her impressions?

JUDGE MOOY: We could. The aspect of 1 proffer would be almost like the -- what we do with 2 3 written testimony. If this person were under oath, 4 this is what the person would say. 5 MS. SCHMID: Okay. Thank you for the 6 education. 7 JUDGE MOOY: Ms. Fishlock, if you want to continue. 8 9 MS. FISHLOCK: Okay. So in speaking with 10 the Company and looking at their information, I was able to help them put it into the format which we all 11 12 understand as calculating revenue requirements. And then submitted that to the Division. 13 14 So the Division's -- I do agree with the 15 few changes the Division came up with on -- one was, 16 you know, making sure we had the right tax rate, the Company was using the right tax rate for doing 17 18 forecasting. 19 And then we also were able to help the Company to put together a proper regulatory 20 21 depreciation schedule. Their depreciation was tax 22 basis. So we needed to modify that to show what it 23 would be for regulatory basis. So basically I felt that the numbers here 24 25 are pretty reflective of what you would find in a 26

small company, their operating type revenues and 1 expenses. And then, of course, the cost of doing 2 3 your additions each year. I was able to -- I helped the Company understand the procedures for 4 contributions in aid of construction. And we were 5 6 able to agree with the Division on a break out for 7 that. 8 And it appears that based on the 9 calculations that we came up with, it would be 10 approximately 2014 before all of the -- before 95 customers would be able to be obtained. And that 11 would be the first year that the company would 12 finally come into a net profit state. 13 14 JUDGE MOOY: Thank you. 15 Anything further, Mr. Smith? 16 MR. SMITH: Just that the -- as I think I've mentioned, but I want to make sure is clear, the 17 developer is currently subsidizing the Company. The 18 19 net loss for the current year is \$19,000. And is committed to continue to do so until we get full 20 21 build out, which is when we become profitable. 22 And unless the -- Mr. Mooy, unless you 23 have other questions, I think that's my proffer. 24 JUDGE MOOY: All right. Thank you. 25 Ms. Schmid, do you just want to do the 26

same thing, a short summary of the Commission's --1 excuse me, the Division's review and the ultimate 2 3 conclusions regarding the application? 4 You can use Mr. Hicken if you'd like. MS. SCHMID: I would prefer that Mr. 5 6 Hicken do that. 7 And then as part of that, I'd also like to move for the admission then of DPU Exhibit 1.0, with 8 9 1.1 through 1.6 attached thereto. 10 So Mr. Hicken does have a summary that he 11 would like to give. JUDGE MOOY: Those exhibits are the 12 comments from the Division, dated the 20th of July, 13 with the attachments? 14 15 MS. SCHMID: That is correct. And 16 entitled, "In the Matter of the Application of Eagle's Landing Water Company, LLC for a Certificate 17 18 of Convenience and Necessity to Operate as a Public 19 Utility Rendering Culinary Water Service, Docket Number 07 -- 2477-01." 20 JUDGE MOOY: Thank you. 21 22 Any objection of the receipt? 23 MR. SMITH: No objection. (DPU EXHIBIT 1.0, WITH ATTACHMENTS 1.1 24 THROUGH 1.6, WAS RECEIVED.) 25 26

1 JUDGE MOOY: Okay. Mr. Hicken? 2 You don't need to be sworn. Just give us 3 -- ultimately what I'm looking for is the conclusion 4 that you think the rate base establishment and the rates that have been proposed are just and 5 6 reasonable. 7 If I can coach the witness. 8 MR. HICKEN: Mr. Smith and Ms. Fishlock 9 covered the exhibits pretty well. So I won't need to 10 go into those, unless there are any questions. 11 I did make a couple of changes. One being in -- one of the exhibits showed 12 a revenue at \$36 per month. And that was not the 13 rate that was mentioned in the application. So I 14 15 changed that in the exhibit to \$35 per month, which 16 is what they asked for in the application. 17 JUDGE MOOY: Okay. 18 MR. HICKEN: There was a change on the 19 depreciation rate for the additions, which is in Exhibit 1.6. And after I discussed what those 20 21 additions might be, determined that they were 22 primarily meters and distribution lines, the proper 23 depreciation life was 35 years. And in the Company's 24 exhibit, it was 25. So I made that change. Other than that, the Division feels that 25 26

1 the rates proposed are appropriate and needed for the 2 Company to compensate the water service provided. 3 The Division finds these proposed rates to be reasonable and just. And the Division is also 4 satisfied that the water users' rights and interests 5 6 will be protected. And certification is in the 7 public interest. 8 Therefore, the Division recommends the 9 Commission approve Eagle's Landing Water Company's 10 proposed rate schedule and application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. 11 12 JUDGE MOOY: Thank you. 13 Is there anyone else present, either in the hearing room or on the phone, who wishes to 14 15 address the application either for or against? 16 MR. SMART: This is Chuck Smart. I just 17 have one question. 18 On the notice that was posted, it states 19 there is -- "In the Matter of Eagle's Landing," blah, blah, blah, "Certificate to Operate a Public Utility 20 21 Rendering." But then it also says "An exemption from 22 Public Service Commission Regulation." 23 JUDGE MOOY: Correct. MR. SMART: So they will be exempt from 24 25 Public Service regulation or --26

1	JUDGE MOOY: At this time, they will not.
2	They will receive a certificate. They will be
3	subject to regulation by the Commission.
4	The exemption was an alternative requested
5	by the Company. I suppose sometime in the future if
6	all the lots are purchased, then there is a
7	homeowners association wants to take over and revive
8	the request for exemption, they could do so at that
9	time. But my understanding is the Company wishes to
10	go forward as a certificated company subject to
11	regulation by the Commission.
12	MR. SMART: Okay. Thanks.
13	MR. SMITH: Yes. That's our request.
14	MR. SMART: Thank you very much.
15	MR. SMITH: And I think the comments you
16	stated are exactly right. Mr. Olsen can probably
17	tell this, but he is not in the water business
18	because he wants to be in the water business. He is
19	in the water business to support his development that
20	he's doing. Once that development is fully
21	developed, then there will probably be a transition
22	to a HOA or some other way to handle the water
23	service. And then at that time, if they want to
24	become exempt and because they'll be owned by the
25	users then that's likely the likely scenario.

1	JUDGE MOOY: That's my understanding.
2	Anything further?
3	MS. SCHMID: Nothing further from the
4	Division.
5	JUDGE MOOY: Anyone else?
б	(No verbal response.)
7	JUDGE MOOY: Thank you very much. The
8	Commission will take that under advisement. The
9	Commission will probably just issue the order on its
10	own, as opposed to asking one of you two to draft it
11	up.
12	Thank you very much.
13	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
14	MR. SMITH: Thank you.
15	MR. SMART: Thanks.
16	(Hearing concluded at 9:56 a.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1 REPORTER'S HEARING CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF UTAH) 3) ss. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE) 4 5 I, Kelly Fine-Jensen, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: 6 7 That said proceeding was taken down by me in stenotype on August 13, 2008, at the place therein 8 named, and was thereafter transcribed, and that a true and correct transcription of said testimony is 9 set forth in the preceding pages; 10 I further certify that I am not kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said 11 cause of action and that I am not interested in the outcome thereof. 12 WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 21st day of August, 2008. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Kelly Fine-Jensen, RPR 21 Notary Public Residing in Salt Lake County 22 23 24 25