BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of:	_)Docket No. 09-2440-01
Request for a Rate	increase of)
Hidden Creek Water	Company) TRANSCRIPT
) OF HEARING
)

Wednesday, January 20, 2010 - 9:04 a.m.

Location: PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

160 East 300 South Fourth Floor, Room 451 Salt Lake City, Utah

Before: Ruben H. Arredondo,

Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES

FOR HIDDEN CREEK WATER COMPANY:

Tracy Tanner, President, Operator

Jason Tanner, Treasurer, CPA

FOR THE STATE OF UTAH, DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:

Patricia E. Schmid
Assistant Attorney General
UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
160 East 300 South
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Telephone: 801.366.0353

INDEX

Witness	Page
MARK LONG	
Examination by Ms. Schmid	4
Examination by Ms. Tanner	15
Examination by Mr. Tanner	16

EXHIBITS

Number	Descr	iptio	<u>n</u>				Marked	Admitted
1	Memorandum	from	DPS	to	PSC,	1/4/10	3	5

1	Wednesday, January 20, 2010; 9:04 a.m.
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	JUDGE ARREDONDO: This is In the Matter of
4	the Request for Approval of a Rate Increase of Hidden
5	Creek Water Company, Docket 09-2440-01.
6	Let's take appearances, please, beginning
7	with the Division.
8	MS. SCHMID: Good morning. Patricia Schmid
9	from the Attorney General's Office, representing the
10	Division of Public Utilities. And with me is Mark
11	Long, Utility Analyst from the Division of Public
12	Utilities.
13	JUDGE ARREDONDO: Thank you. And would the
14	Company, please.
15	MS. TANNER: My name is Tracy Tanner, the
16	Operator for Hidden Creek Water Company sorry.
17	Start over.
18	JUDGE ARREDONDO: Sorry.
19	MS. TANNER: Tracy Tanner, the Operator for
20	Hidden Creek Water Company.
21	JUDGE ARREDONDO: All right. Thank you. And
22	with you, Ms. Tanner?
23	MR. TANNER: My name is Jason Tanner. I'm
24	the Treasurer for Hidden Creek Water Company, and also
25	their CPA.

1	JUDGE ARREDONDO: Thank you. Mr. Tanner, if
2	you would, look at your microphone. That little light
3	should be on. If you can pull it towards you.
4	MS. TANNER: It's not. There you go.
5	MR. TANNER: Excuse me.
6	JUDGE ARREDONDO: All right, thank you. And
7	let's begin with the Division. Mr. Long, I assume, is
8	going to comment on the Division's recommendation.
9	(Mark Long was duly sworn.)
10	JUDGE ARREDONDO: Thank you.
11	MARK LONG,
12	having been first duly sworn, was
13	examined and testified as follows:
14	EXAMINATION
⊥ 1	
	BY MS. SCHMID:
15 16	BY MS. SCHMID: Q. Good morning.
15 16	
15 16 17	Q. Good morning.
15 16 17 18	Q. Good morning. A. Morning.
15 16 17 18	Q. Good morning.A. Morning.Q. Could you please state your full name,
15	 Q. Good morning. A. Morning. Q. Could you please state your full name, business address, and job title for the record.
15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Q. Good morning. A. Morning. Q. Could you please state your full name, business address, and job title for the record. A. Yes. Mark Allen Long. Job title, utility</pre>
115 116 117 118 119 220 221	<pre>Q. Good morning. A. Morning. Q. Could you please state your full name, business address, and job title for the record. A. Yes. Mark Allen Long. Job title, utility analyst. Address is 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake.</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Good morning. A. Morning. Q. Could you please state your full name, business address, and job title for the record. A. Yes. Mark Allen Long. Job title, utility analyst. Address is 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake. Q. Are you employed by the Division of Public
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Good morning. A. Morning. Q. Could you please state your full name, business address, and job title for the record. A. Yes. Mark Allen Long. Job title, utility analyst. Address is 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake. Q. Are you employed by the Division of Public Utilities?

1	Public Utilities in this docket?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Did you prepare, or cause to be prepared, a
4	memorandum dated January 4th, 2010, entitled "In the
5	Matter of the Request of Hidden Creek Water Company for
6	Approval of a Rate Increase?"
7	A. Yes, I prepared that.
8	Q. And that has eight pages of narrative and
9	several pages of exhibits, concluding with Exhibit-1.8;
10	is that correct?
11	A. That's correct.
12	MS. SCHMID: The Division would like to move
13	the admission of what we will mark as DPU Exhibit-1,
14	consisting of the eight pages of narrative and the
15	related exhibits, as previously described.
16	JUDGE ARREDONDO: Okay, we'll admit that.
17	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
18	Q. Mr. Long, do you have a summary that you
19	would like to give today?
20	A. Yes, I do.
21	Q. Please proceed.
22	JUDGE ARREDONDO: Could I ask just a quick
23	question? Is this recommendation any different, the
24	January 4th, different from the December 31st

25

recommendation?

THE WITNESS: No, it's the same, with just the updated date.

JUDGE ARREDONDO: All right.

JUDGE ARREDONDO: That's fine.

A. The purpose of my testimony today is to provide a brief summary of the Division's analysis and recommendation in response of the request by Hidden Creek for a rate increase.

THE WITNESS: I apologize for that.

A little bit of background. Hidden Creek
Water Company is located near Springville, Utah in
Hobble Creek Canyon's left fork. The lot sizes range
from one to 87 acres. The water company is serving 18
metered customers, with an additional 30 lots on
standby status. Of those 30 lots on standby, nine lots
are still owned by the developer.

The rates set in 1997 and formally approved in the 1998 CPCN are \$33 per month system fee plus 78.3 cents per thousand gallons, regardless of the quantity used, although that is with a \$5 or 6,000 gallon a month minimum. And they have remained unchanged since 1997.

Since its inception, Hidden Creek's expenses exceeded revenues, and each year this deficit continues to worsen. Consequently, Hidden Creek's operations

have been subsidized by the developer, which has kept rates artificially low for many years through their ongoing financial contributions as well as through their labor provided at well below market value.

In doing the audit and rate analysis, the Division would like to make mention that Tracy Tanner, who is president of the water company, was very knowledgeable in all aspects of Hidden Creek's operations and finances, and was also very helpful during the Division's analysis.

The Division reviewed the annual reports from 1998 through 2008 and used 2008 as the test year.

Based on recent trends, as well as conversations with Ms. Tanner, no growth, and therefore no additional connections, are anticipated to occur in 2010.

I would now like to draw attention to two of the material expenses listed on Exhibit-1.2, and those are the promissory note and the labor expenses. The promissory note, which is on Exhibit-1.2, line 13, was provided by Hidden Creek to the Division, and it was a copy of a \$550,000 promissory note executed on January 1st, 1998 by the developer, which represents the amount paid for the water company's infrastructure by the developer.

Upon inspection of the original CPCN that was

issued to Hidden Creek in 1998, Docket No. 97-2440-01, page 2, item 2, states, and I quote, "The Developer claims plant costs of 513,000, already paid, and an additional 150,000 in future costs to complete the next phase. Title to all plant has been transferred to Applicant. Aside from a \$1,550 connection fee, all other developer expenses are to be recouped through lot sales."

Therefore, the loan of 550,000 as originally claimed in the rate increase request by Hidden Creek as its investment in utility plant in service has been removed and reclassified by the Division as contribution in aid of construction.

Since the \$550,000 loan represents the entire value of Hidden Creek's utility plan in service, the net effect of classifying the loan as contribution in aid is as follows: First of all, it removes the entire loan obligation from Hidden Creek as well as the \$38,080 annual payment on line 13. It also removes all the utility plant in service from the rate base.

Since no assets remain on the accounts of
Hidden Creek, there can no longer be any depreciation
expense, and therefore that is removed, as indicated on
line 38 of Exhibit-1.2. It also removes the
accumulated depreciation from the accounting records,

and as I mentioned earlier, adds the entire 550,000 to contribution in aid of construction.

The second expense I'd like to talk about is the labor expenses. In the Division's initial review of total labor costs of \$12,000 a year, or a thousand dollars per month, charged by Ms. Tanner for the three -- for three separate labor items, and they are billing -- and this is also on Exhibit-1.2 -- the first one is billing of \$2400 a year, and that's on line 17, the water master duties of \$8400, which is on line 19, and testing for \$1200, which is on line 23.

At first blush, they appeared excessive.

Based on that, the Division requested Ms. Tanner to prepare a description of her duties and obtain bids from qualified water masters to perform the water company functions.

Ms. Tanner received two bids, one for 1500 and one for 1900 per month, much higher than the thousand dollars per month that she was asking.

Additionally, based on the time spent in the past years, Ms. Tanner reported that she will be paid approximately \$25 per hour at the thousand dollars per month to perform these duties, although after her expenses her net pay is going to be much less. She is paying for all her own transportation, the wear and

tear on her vehicle, gas, insurance, as well as also funding many of the various office and field tools and instruments that she uses on the job.

The Division determined that the labor amounts are reasonable and recommends that the thousand dollars monthly labor cost be funded in the rates.

This concludes the discussion regarding the expenses.

Going forward, the Division is concerned about the water company's lack of financial reserves. Setting aside reserves is critical to developing and maintaining financial stability and can mean the difference between a system that is self-sustaining and one that may fall victim to disrepair or become financially unstable during even a relatively small emergency.

As you recall, we recently had a special assessment to handle the matter that would have easily been handled by the water company had a reserve account been established, funded, and maintained.

Capital reserves are funded through rates and should be maintained in a protected account and allowed to accumulate or used for qualifying expenses as the needs arise. In past cases, the reserve amount informally consisted of amounts accumulated in an accumulated depreciation account with no oversight as

to its use.

In the past several rate case orders, the Commission has approved funding a reserve account at an amount equal to the annual depreciation expense, plus the annual amortized contribution in aid, using the same service life as if it had been depreciated.

Other than recommending that a reserve account be established at the amount discussed, there was no accounting or oversight associated with the reserve account.

The Division therefore recommends the following guidelines regarding reserves. Number one, the capital reserve amounts are to be deposited in a restricted account, such as a separate escrow account, within 30 days from the receipt of rate payments.

Number two, withdrawals are to be made from the capital reserve account for capital replacements and improvements only.

Number three, in accordance with Utah

Administrative Rule 746-401-3A, expenditures in excess
of five percent of the total utility plant in service
require the water company to file a report with the

Commission at least 30 days prior to the purchase or
acquisition of the asset or project.

At the present time, in this case that would

require any expenditure over \$27,500, or five percent of \$550,000, to have a written submission to the -- to the Commission.

Hidden Creek will also provide an annual accounting of the capital reserve account with its annual report, or any such time that the Commission requests. The annual accounting shall be in the form of an annual bank statement with sufficient detail to determine that the reserve amounts are being deposited timely per requirement one, and that any withdrawals meet requirements two and three, as stated above.

The balance in the reserve account must be clearly identifiable in the audited financial statements as a restricted account, and additionally, reserves are not to be used for system expansion, such as extending the main lines to serve new areas of customers. Funds for the expansion of the system should come from new development, connection fees, assessments, or other sources so that those benefiting from the improvements contribute the funds for its construction.

Next, a summary of all the expenses by major category that's being funded through the rates, commonly referred to as a revenue requirement, and those are as follows, and you can follow along, if you

wish. This is Exhibit-5. And this kind of puts all the expenses in a nutshell, if you will.

The first expense is the annual profit. This company, based on the only thing they have in their rate base, is the cash in their bank account right now, so their annual profit at a 12-percent rate of return is going to be \$1,047. They are also funding a capital reserve of 16,640, estimated state and federal income taxes of 4,422, and operating expenses of 27,749.

In order to fund these expenses, the following rates are recommended. And if you would return -- if you would turn to Exhibit-1.0, page 7. This chart shows the current tariff amounts, as well as those requested by Hidden Creek, and ultimately those recommended by the Division. They're all pretty self-explanatory, although I would like to discuss the first two line items.

The first line item is system expenses, and this is what we've in the past commonly referred to as lots on standby. And what this is saying is that the Division is recommending that every lot on standby status pay \$61 per month.

Additionally, those 18 lots that are connected to the system will pay an additional \$49.50, making the total minimum bill for those connected at

\$110.50, and that will be good through the first 12,000 gallons a month. Any amount over that will pay \$5 per thousand gallons.

The Division is aware that this is a large increase and will have a big impact on the ratepayers' bills, although without the developer subsidizing the water company as in the past and keeping prices artificially low, the water company must now fund its expenses and establish a minimum financial reserve through its own revenues.

In small water companies such as this, without developer subsidies, there are few customers among whom to spread the costs, thus creating higher than desirable rates.

In the past, the Division has often recommended an increase of this scale to be phased in over a period of time. Unfortunately, with relatively so few customers and pending needs, Hidden Creek does not necessarily have this luxury of time.

In conclusion, the Division believes that the recommended rates and charges represent an appropriate balancing of the ratepayers' interests and the interests of Hidden Creek. The Division asserts that the rates and charges set forth on page 7 of Exhibit-1.0 are just and reasonable and consistent with

- the public interest, and therefore the Division
 recommends that the Commission approve these new rates
 and charges.
 - Q. Mr. Long, I have just one clarifying question. In your summary you mentioned special assessments. Those special assessments you referenced were in another docket with another water company; isn't that right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Thank you. Mr. Long is now available for questioning.
- 12 JUDGE ARREDONDO: All right. Thank you. Ms.
 13 Tanner, do you have any questions for Mr. Long?

EXAMINATION

BY MS. TANNER:

- Q. One question we had, we just wanted to clarify, as we understood it, is this -- the capital reserve that's being set up is somewhat of a new program, if you will, with the Commission, and that's not unique just to Hidden Creek. We assume it is going to be something that's incorporated into other water systems --
- JUDGE ARREDONDO: Mr. Long, do you want to answer that?
- Q. -- as far as the regulations of how, you

- 1 know, the restricted account and the monitoring of that
 2 and the new reporting requirements annually and
 3 whatnot. That's what we understood.
 - A. Right now, the Division anticipates applying that to all future rate cases. It may be tweaked a little bit, depending on the Company's circumstances, but she's correct, that's something that we're going --going forward that we're going to be applying to all the -- all the rate cases we do.

JUDGE ARREDONDO: All right. Any other questions? Mr. Tanner.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. TANNER:

Q. Yes, I have a question regarding the depreciation, and I'm speaking from the CPA's point of view. The IRC, that's the Internal Revenue Code, as to depreciation requires you to either take depreciation or you lose it in the year for which it is available.

Now, the infrastructure value is on Hidden Creek's books, and if there's no longer, per this process, a liability which Hidden Creek has to repay because that promissory note has been eliminated per this process, there still is a depreciation cost that is to be taken by Hidden Creek because they are, of course, the owner.

1	My question is, is how to do that as well as
2	comply with the reporting requirements every year. If
3	the depreciation expense is not allowed per the
4	reporting requirements, how am I to handle that per the
5	tax returns of Hidden Creek? I'm just a little
6	confused there.
7	A. Well, basically, taxes are beyond the scope
8	of what we deal with here, and the depreciation has
9	been eliminated for the rate-making purposes only.
10	Q. Okay. I in the past, I've always tried
11	to reconcile to the penny my tax return reporting and
12	the Division reporting.
13	MS. TANNER: Annual reporting.
14	Q. And so yet I guess what you're saying is
15	both of those things aren't even the same thing as what
16	we're doing here. This is these are the numbers
17	used to determine the rate increase. Okay.
18	A. Correct.
19	MS. SCHMID: Could we have one moment?
20	Q. I think I'm clear. In other words, the
21	annual report will still show a depreciation expense,
22	but it just simply has nothing to do with how the rate
23	increase was calculated, is what we're saying?

A. Correct, and also for future rate cases as

MS. TANNER: Is that correct?

24

1 well.

- Q. At some time in the future, if we go through this process again, again, you'll just eliminate computationally the depreciation expense?
 - A. Right.
- Q. I understand. Okay. And then in terms of the capital reserve account, I think it should be noted that we have voluntarily complied with your proposals, even though this is not yet fully memorialized in the statutes and your processes, in light of the fact that we're -- this rate increase is not staggered or incremental, as sometimes -- as often is the case.
- A. Correct. And this capital reserve account is no reflection whatsoever on the way you've been running your company.
 - Q. No, I understand.
- A. In fact, you know, you probably have more in the bank than the last several companies I've looked at, so it's in no way a reflection of Hidden Creek whatsoever.
- Q. I understand, Mr. Long. I just want it to be noted that we thought that the idea is good, we think it's prudent and in the interest of -- best interest of the users of the system as well.
- **A.** And that's correct. In fact, our

1 conversations with you have been very helpful in helping us establish the criteria for this reserve 2 3 account as well, so we extend our gratitude to you for 4 that too. 5 MS. TANNER: I don't think we have any other 6 questions. 7 JUDGE ARREDONDO: Okay. All right. Thank 8 you. 9 (By Mr. Tanner) I'm sorry, I do have one Q. 10 other just note of clarification. Can we understand that as you implement these new procedures and you 11 12 refine them that if there are any major changes or 13 directional deviations that we would be grandfathered into whatever ends up being the official policy of the 14 Division, such -- for example, let's say that the final 15 16 Division recommendation is four percent or six percent, 17 that we would then be retroactively implementing whatever the final procedural and process requirements 18 19 are? Does that make sense? 20 Α. Yeah. MS. SCHMID: I would feel comfortable 21 22 answering that one, if I may. 23 JUDGE ARREDONDO: All right then. 24 MS. SCHMID: There wouldn't be any

grandfathering, but any changes would be implemented

```
1
     through the next rate case that was filed. We don't do
 2
    retroactive changes.
 3
              MS. TANNER: Oh, I see.
 4
              MR. TANNER: So --
 5
              MS. TANNER: So as of now, what you're
 6
    recommending is what we'll work with in our operational
7
    basis?
 8
              MS. SCHMID: That is correct, until you
     choose to file another rate case or one is filed on
9
10
    behalf of the customers.
               MR. TANNER: Oh, I see. So in other words,
11
12
     another water company, if, for example, they're not
13
    doing a rate increase for another five years, they
    wouldn't even be required to deal with capital reserve
14
15
    accounts?
16
              MS. SCHMID: Unless they are called in,
    because calling them in and redoing their rates would
17
18
    be in the public interest.
19
               MR. TANNER: I understand.
20
               JUDGE ARREDONDO: Okay. And would you like
21
     to present any testimony, the Company like to present
22
     any testimony?
23
               MS. TANNER: (Shaking head.)
24
               JUDGE ARREDONDO: No? All right. I did have
25
    one question, Mr. Long, on page 5 of your
                                                             20
```

1 recommendation, paragraph 3, the numbered paragraph 3. You said, "At the present time in this case, 2 expenditures over 27,500 would require a submission --3 4 would require submission of a written report and 5 Commission approval." What would that written report 6 contain? 7 THE WITNESS: We would anticipate it would just contain a brief description of what projects 8 they're working on, and that would fall under one of 9 10 the criteria that it would be a capital replacement or improvement. 11 12 JUDGE ARREDONDO: Okay. 13 THE WITNESS: And we could work with the Company as well if we need additional information or 14 they need further clarification. 15 16 JUDGE ARREDONDO: Okay. And with that, if 17 there's nothing else, we'll go ahead and recess until ten o'clock, when public witness testimony is 18 scheduled. So I'll come back on the bench at ten and 19 20 then wait a few minutes. But from my understanding, 21 you don't know of anybody that's going to appear. 22 MS. TANNER: I haven't gotten any calls or 23 any inquiries. 24 JUDGE ARREDONDO: Okay. What I'll do is I'll 25 come back on at ten and we'll wait five minutes or so,

```
1
     and if nobody shows up, we'll conclude the proceedings,
    all right? Thank you.
 2
 3
              MS. SCHMIDT:
                             Thank you.
 4
                 (Recess from 9:30 - 10:00 a.m.)
               JUDGE ARREDONDO: Back on the record.
 5
 6
     is the time for public witnesses. Again, the Company's
    represented that -- did you contact a few people in
7
     the -- customers?
 8
 9
              MS. TANNER: Yes. I just wanted to clarify
10
     for the record that I did send out our January billing
     invoice on January 1 with the notice of this hearing,
11
12
    the date, time, place, and also the website they could
13
    go to to get the information, as per my instructions to
14
    do so, so that was sent out on January 1.
15
               JUDGE ARREDONDO: Okay. And the Commission
16
    will note that there are no public witnesses.
17
     addition, no one has called stating that they're going
    to comment today, so therefore we'll go ahead and
18
    conclude this proceeding. And I'll recommend to the
19
20
    Commission that they approve the rate increase. Thank
21
    you.
22
              MS. TANNER: Thank you.
23
              (The hearing concluded at 10:01 a.m.)
24
                           --00000--
25
```

REPORTER'S HEARING CERTIFICATE

I, Angela L. Kirk, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Court Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify:

That prior to being examined the witness was duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth;

That said proceedings were taken down by me in stenotype on January 20, 2010, at the place herein named, and was thereafter transcribed, and that a true and correct transcription of said testimony is set forth in the preceding pages.

I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause of action and that I am not interested in the event thereof.

WITNESS MY HAND and official seal at Salt Lake City, Utah this 1st day of February, 2010.

Angela L. Kirk, RPR, CCR