- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

IN THE MATTER OF THE)	DOCKET NO. 15-2025-01
APPLICATION OF DAMMERON)	DOCKET 1(0. 13-2025-01
VALLEY WATER WORKS, LLC FOR A)	DPU Exhibit No. 1.0 SR
CULINARY WATER RATE CASE AND)	
AN EXPANSION OF SERVICE AREA)	

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

MARK A LONG

DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE STATE OF UTAH

AUGUST 7, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Subje</u>	<u>Page Number</u>	
I.	INTRODUCTION	3
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	3
III.	SUMMARY OF EXHIBITS	3
IV.	RESPONSE TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	4
V.	DIVISION RESPONSE AND COMMENTS REGARDING	
	CUSTOMER FEEDBACK AND CONCERNS	5
VI.	DIVISION'S AMENDED RECOMMENDATION	9
VII.	BILLING ANALYSIS AND COMPARISON	12
VIII.	DIVISION'S COMMENTS AND CONCERNS REGARDING	
	DAMMERON WATER'S IRRIGATION RATE STRUCTURE	15
IX.	RECOMMENDATION	17
X	CONCLUSION	18

1	Ι.	INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND POSITION
3		WITH THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES.
4	A.	My name is Mark A. Long. My business address is Heber M. Wells Building,
5		160 East 300 South, 4th Floor, Salt Lake City, Utah. I am employed by the
6		Department of Commerce, Division of Public Utilities (Division) for the State of
7		Utah as a Utility Analyst in the Telecommunications & Water Section.
8	0	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY IN THESE
0	Q.	HAVE TOU FREVIOUSLT FILED TESTIMONT IN THESE
9		PROCEEDINGS?
10	A.	Yes. I previously filed direct testimony on behalf of the Division on
11		June 19, 2015.
12	II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
13	Q.	PLEASE STATE THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY.
14	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to respond to the Rebuttal Testimony submitted
15		by Dammeron Valley Water Works, LLC (Dammeron Water), comment on some
16		customer concerns and recommend a revised rate structure.
17	III.	SUMMARY OF EXHIBITS
18	Q.	WHAT EXHIBITS ARE YOU INCLUDING IN SUPPORT OF YOUR

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

20	A.	The exhibits referred to in my Surrebuttal testimony are identified immediately
21		below and will be discussed in further detail in the body of my testimony.
22	•	Exhibit 2 SR – Amended Recommended Rates by the Division.
23	•	Exhibit 3 SR - Rate Comparison of Current, Proposed by Dammeron, and
24		Recommended by Division.
25	•	Exhibit 4 SR - Comparisons of Billing Amounts of the Different Rate Structures.
26	•	Exhibit 5 SR - Graphic Comparisons of Billing Amounts of the Different Rate
27		Structures.
28	IV.	RESPONSE TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
29	Q.	WOULD YOU LIKE TO COMMENT ON DAMMERON WATER'S
30		ASSERTION IN ITS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY THAT ITS
31		CONSERVATION RATE APPROVED IN 2008 WAS CONSIDERED
32		"EXEMPLARY?"
33	A.	Yes. The Order issued by the Commission in Docket 07-2025-T01, which was
34		submitted as Exhibit 6 in my Direct Testimony, does not make any reference to
35		the Conservation Rate as being "exemplary." Rather, the Commission's Order
36		refers to the Division's analysis that the proposed conservation rates would result
37		in a revenue requirement shortfall of \$30,056 per year. The Order also states that
38		Dammeron Water agrees to subsidize any net losses incurred as a consequence of
39		the Conservation Rates.

40	Q	DOES THE DIVISION STILL RECOMMEND DISCONTINUING THE
41		CURRENT CONSERVATION RATE APPROVED IN DOCKET 07-2025-
42		T01?
43	A	Yes, the Division renews its objection to the current Conservation Rate. For the
44		Division's position, please refer to its Direct Testimony starting on line 599.
45	Q.	DOES THE DIVISION WISH TO MAKE ANY ADDITIONAL
46		COMMENTS REGARDING DAMMERON'S REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
47	A.	No. The Division believes that the remainder of the issues in the Rebuttal
48		Testimony are adequately covered in the Division's Direct Testimony.
49	<u>v.</u>	DIVISION RESPONSE AND COMMENTS REGARDING CUSTOMER
50	FEE	DBACK AND CONCERNS
51	Q	HAS THE DIVISION RECEIVED ANY FEEDBACK FROM DAMMERON
52		WATER'S CUSTOMERS AFTER FILING ITS DIRECT TESTIMONY
53		REGARDING ITS RECOMMENDATION TO RAISE DAMMERON
54		WATER'S RATES?
55	A	Yes. The Division has received inquiries from five or six people regarding this
56		docket.
57	Q	PLEASE ADDRESS THE CONCERN BY A FEW CUSTOMERS
58		REGARDING THE DIVISION'S RECOMMENDATION TO INCLUDE
59		AN ADDITIONAL \$0.15 PER 1,000 GALLONS TO THE DIRECT

60 VARIABLE COSTS OF \$0.30 PER 1,000 GALLONS TO DAMMERON 61 WATER'S IRRIGATION RATE. 62 A The Division believes that this additional \$0.15 per 1,000 gallons added to the 63 \$0.30 per 1,000 gallons (direct variable costs) is consistent with the concept of 64 full-cost pricing for the following reasons: 65 1. Mr. Pace has stated that Dammeron Water's water system is overbuilt based 66 solely on its culinary water requirements. The present water system includes a 67 measure of redundancy in its water storage capacity to ensure that adequate water 68 is available for the potential significant seasonal water usage by its irrigation 69 customers. Additionally, Mr. Pace has stated in various meetings and in several 70 conversations that its pumps are larger than they would have to be otherwise in 71 order to accommodate its irrigation customers. 72 2. Customers receiving water under the irrigation rate impose significant wear 73 and tear on the equipment due to their large water use. One water customer 74 suggests that the premise that irrigation water use amounts to extra wear and tear 75 on equipment is only conjecture. The Division disagrees with the statement that 76 the additional water pumped through the system does not cause any extra wear 77 and tear on the equipment. Mechanical equipment with moving parts such as 78 pumps, meters, and backflow preventers will wear out faster with the increased 79 water demand and usage placed on them by the irrigation customers.

	The Division acknowledges that an extensive and potentially expensive
	asset/infrastructure study is needed to determine the exact cost of the redundancy
	and larger pumps required to support the irrigation use as well as the additional
	wear and tear imposed on the system by the irrigation usage. However, even
	without the study, the Division believes that \$0.15 per 1,000 gallons to cover the
	additional costs to accommodate irrigation use is a conservative estimate and the
	minimum amount that should be added. The Division believes it is in the public
	interest to make those customers using the system pay their fair share of costs so
	that other users do not have to subsidize the irrigation customers.
Q	DOES THE DIVISION'S RECOMMENDATION OF \$0.45 PER 1,000
	GALLONS FOR IRRIGATION WATER INCLUDE ANY
	CONSERVATION INCENTIVES?
A	No. The Division believes that the recommended rate of \$0.45 per 1,000 gallons
	only minimally covers its costs and does not include any conservation measures.
Q	PLEASE ADDRESS THE CONCERNS OF A COUPLE OF CUSTOMERS
	REGARDING THE DIVISION'S RECOMMENDATION THAT ALL
	CULINARY WATER TIERS MUST FIRST BE USED PRIOR TO
	ALLOWING IRRIGATION SHAREHOLDERS TO USE THEIR

99	A	In the Division's Direct Testimony it recommended that all culinary levels
100		(48,000 gallons) be used prior to allowing irrigation shareholders to use their
101		irrigation allotment at the irrigation rate. The Division recommended this rate
102		structure for irrigation water users to apply some measure of conservation to
103		Dammeron Water's irrigation water usage.
104	Q	WHY DID THE DIVISION'S RECOMMENDATION SUBJECT
105		DAMMERON WATER'S IRRIGATION WATER TO CONSERVATION
106		MEASURES BY REQUIRING ITS IRRIGATION CUSTOMERS TO
107		FIRST USE THEIR TOTAL CULINARY ALLOTMENT?
108	A	The Division is mindful that most of the western United States is suffering from
109		drought conditions and many areas are taking active measures to conserve its
110		limited and dwindling water supply. The Division's recommendation was made
111		to help Dammeron Water manage its finite supply of water through rates to help
112		keep Dammeron Water from suffering the same fate as many other areas in the
113		State and country who are running out of water.
114	Q	DOES THE DIVISION BELIEVE THAT DAMMERON WATER IS
115		CURRENTLY FACING WATER SHORTAGES SUFFICIENT ENOUGH
116		TO REQUIRE AGGRESSIVE CONSERVATION MEASURES?

117	A	No. Based on all the information available to the Division, it believes that
118		Dammeron Water presently has the water rights and available water to use even
119		more water than it uses now or will use in the foreseeable future.
120	<u>VI. 1</u>	DIVISION'S AMENDED RECOMMENDATION
121	Q	BASED ON THE FACT THAT PRESENTLY, AND IN THE
122		FORRESEEABLE FUTURE, DAMMERON WATER HAS MORE THAN
123		ENOUGH WATER TO ALLOW RELATIVELY EXTENSIVE WATER
124		USAGE, DOES THE DIVISION WISH TO AMEND ITS ORIGINAL
125		RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE APPLICATION OF
126		DAMMERON WATER'S IRRIGATION RATE?
127	A	The Division acknowledges that it has no evidence that Dammeron Water is
128		presently in need of an aggressive or strong conservation measure regarding its
129		irrigation usage. With some reservations, the Division amends its
130		recommendation concerning the application of Dammeron Water's irrigation rate.
131		The Division recommends allowing all irrigation customers to use their irrigation
132		allocation after using only the first culinary tier (12,000 gallons). Specifically,
133		now irrigation customers will pay the irrigation rate of \$0.45 per 1,000 gallons
134		immediately after using their first culinary tier of 12,000 gallons at \$0.30 per
135		1,000 gallons. After the monthly irrigation allotment of 40,000 gallons per each
136		irrigation share is used, then the standard culinary tiers two through five will

apply. This change in the rate structure has the potential of saving those customers with an irrigation share \$27.00 per month as compared to the Division's original recommendation.

140 Q PLEASE PROVIDE A CHART SHOWING THE AMENDED RATES.

141 A The Division has prepared the Chart below showing its Amended Recommended
142 Rates. This chart is also presented in Exhibit 2 SR.

Division's AMENDED Recommended Monthly Rates							
	Customers	WITHOUT	Irrigation		Custome	ers WITH Irr	igation
	Cost	Usage A	mounts		Cost	Usage A	mounts
Base Rate	\$37.90	Includes N	O Gallons		\$37.90	Includes N	O Gallons
Tier 1 (per 1,000 gallons)	\$0.30	First	12,000		\$0.30	First	12,000
					Irr	igation Tie	r
					\$0.45 (per 1,000 gallons)	Irrigation received gallons po for each w	s 40,000 er month
Tier 2 (per 1,000 gallons)	\$0.60	Next	12,000		\$0.60	Next	12,000
Tier 3 (per 1,000 gallons)	\$1.20	Next	12,000		\$1.20	Next	12,000
Tier 4 (per 1,000 gallons)	\$1.80	Next	12,000		\$1.80	Next	12,000
Tier 5 (per 1,000 gallons)	\$2.70	Over	48,000+		\$2.70	Over	Varies *

* This amount varies based on the number of irrigation shares.

145

143

144

147 Q PLEASE PROVIDE A COPY OF THE DIVISION'S INITIALLY

148 **RECOMMENDED RATES.**

Div	vision's ORIG	GINAL Reco	mmend Mo	nthly R	ates		
	Customers	WITHOUT	Irrigation	Cu	Customers WITH Irrigation		
	Cost	Usage A	mounts	Co	st	Usage A	mounts
Base Rate	\$37.90	Includes N	IO Gallons	\$	37.90	Includes N	IO Gallons
Tier 1 (per 1,000 gallons)	\$0.30	First	12,000		\$0.30	First	12,000
Tier 2 (per 1,000 gallons)	\$0.60	Next	12,000		\$0.60	Next	12,000
Tier 3 (per 1,000 gallons)	\$1.20	Next	12,000		\$1.20	Next	12,000
Tier 4 (per 1,000 gallons)	\$1.80	Next	12,000		\$1.80	Next	12,000
					In	igation Tie	r
				(per	.45 1,000 ons)	Customer 40,000 ga month f water	llons per or each
Tier 5 (per 1,000 gallons)	\$2.70	Over	48,000+		\$2.70	Over	Varies *

^{*} This amount varies based on the number of irrigation shares.

Q DOES THE DIVISION'S RECOMMENDATION OF \$0.45 PER 1,000

GALLONS FOR IRRIGATION WATER INCLUDE ANY

153 **CONSERVATION INCENTIVES?**

149

151

152

With the application of the irrigation rate immediately after the first tier of 12,000 gallons there is no longer any element of conservation associated with the irrigation rate. As discussed previously, the \$.45 per 1,000 gallons is only minimally covering what the Division believes to the costs of providing and delivering the irrigation water.

159		Although the recommended rate amount and application of the irrigation rate
160		(tier) no longer have elements of conservation pricing, subjecting irrigation
161		customers to the standard culinary tiers after their irrigation allotment is used
162		should keep in check abusive use after the irrigation allocation is used.
163	VII.	BILLING ANALYSIS AND COMPARISON
164	Q	HOW DOES THE DIVISION'S AMENDED RECOMMENDED RATE
165		STRUCTURE IMPACT THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT OF
166		DAMMERON WATER?
167	A	Since all fixed costs are covered in the base rate, this rate structure only affects
168		the amount of extra funds being contributed to the Capital Reserve Account,
169		above and beyond the required funding (depreciation expense) of the Capital
170		Reserve Account.
171	Q	HAS THE DIVISION PREPARED AN EXHIBIT SHOWING THE
172		VARIOUS RATE STRUCTURES PERTINENT TO THIS CASE?
173	A	Yes. The Division has made Exhibit 3 SR showing:
174		Dammeron Water's current rate structure
175		The rate structure proposed by Dammeron Water
176		The rate structure initially recommended by the Division
177		• The amended rate structure now supported by the Division.

178	Q	HAS THE DIVISION PREPARED A BILLING COMPARISON BASED
179		ON TYPICAL AND ACTUAL CUSTOMER USAGE AMOUNTS?
180	A	Yes it has. Please refer to Exhibit 4 SR that shows billing amounts based on the
181		various applicable rate structures. Additionally, the Division has prepared
182		Exhibit 5 SR that graphically displays billing amounts based on the various
183		applicable rate structures.
184	Q	DOES THE DIVISION WISH TO COMMENT ON THE BILLING
185		COMPARISONS OF THE DIVISION'S ORIGINAL AND ITS REVISED
186		AMENDED RATES?
187	A	Yes. Since the Division's revised rates impact only those customers with
188		irrigation shares, all water customers without irrigation shares are unaffected as
189		demonstrated by the first three billing comparisons.
190		Also, those irrigation customers who exceeded their irrigation allotment and
191		purchased water through all of the culinary tiers (tiers 2 through 5) showed no
192		impact on their billing amounts between the Division's original and amended
193		rates. This scenario applies to the irrigation customer with one irrigation share
194		who used 100,000 gallons in one month and to the irrigation customer with two
195		irrigation shares who used 140,000 gallons in one month.
196		Finally, the irrigation customers who do not exceed their irrigation water
197		allotment and did not purchase water in the higher priced tiers showed a

Docket No. 15-2025-01 DPU Exhibit 1.0 SR Mark A. Long August 7, 2015

198 significant savings when applying the Division's recommended amended rate 199 structure. In this case, the irrigation customer with two irrigation shares using 200 63,000 gallons of water in one month who did not exceed their irrigation 201 allotment had savings of \$27.00 that month. 202 Q DOES THE DIVISION WISH TO COMMENT ON THE BILLING 203 COMPARISONS OF DAMMERON WATER'S CURRENT AND 204 PROPOSED RATES, AND THE DIVISION'S AMENDED 205 **RECOMMENDED RATES?** 206 Α Yes. In comparing the overall increase from Dammeron Water's current rates to 207 Dammeron Water's proposed rates and the Division's amended rates based solely 208 on the rate amounts is impossible due to the various tier structure usage amounts 209 and base amounts. In order to provide a comparison, the Division used the billing comparisons in Exhibit 4 SR and found that in combining the percent increase in 210 211 all six scenarios that the Division's billing amounts based on it amended rates 212 were, on average, more than 20 percent lower than Dammeron Water's billing amounts based on its proposed rates.¹ 213 214

¹ Percent increases for Dammeron Water are based on using 1-tap billing amounts of Dammeron Water's current rates compared to 1-tap billing amounts of Dammeron Water's proposed rates. Percent increases for the Division's amended rates are based on using 1-tap billing amounts of Dammeron Water's current rates compared to the billing amounts using the Division's amended rates.

215	VIII.	DIVISION'S COMMENTS AND CONCERNS REGARDING
216		DAMMERON WATER'S IRRIGATION RATE STRUCTURE
217	Q	WHAT COMMENTS AND CONCERNS DOES THE DIVISION WISH TO
218		VOICE?
219		Since the inception of the irrigation rate being implemented it has never covered
220		its own costs and must be subsidized by either Mr. Pace or other culinary users.
221		In the past, Dammeron Water would bend the rules for its customers to use
222		additional water for irrigation and to keep the land next to the freeway green.
223		Dammeron Water's approach and attitude to its water and irrigation use was
224		essentially a free-for-all for irrigation water. Historically, Dammeron Water has
225		been careful to exclude all irrigation water from any conservation measures and
226		has encouraged its use as a cheap source of water to keep the Dammeron Valley
227		green.
228	Q	WHY DOES THE DIVISION CHARACTERIZE THE CURRENT
229		IRRIGATION RATE STRUCTURE, AND ADMINISTRATION OF IT BY
230		DAMMERON WATER AS A FREE-FOR-ALL APPROACH?
231	A	Dammeron Water allows its customers to switch between tap designations to
232		obtain the lowest possible water bill to encourage its customers to water more to
233		keep their lots green, especially those lots by the freeway. Specifically,
234		Dammeron Water allows its irrigation customers to change their gps (gallons per

day) AKA "tap" designation from a 2-tap or 1 ½-tap to a 1-tap so they could get to the cheaper irrigation rate tier using less water than the larger tap sizes required. The change in tap-size designation appears to have been done for some irrigation customers, but only if they complained about the cost. The irrigation customers who did not complain were not offered the same consideration. This change in tapping designation was done regardless of what size lot they had. The Division's proposal does not include different tiers and prices for various size lots and will eliminate this continued abuse. The Division learned that if customers (mainly irrigation customers) were letting their lots get brown, or Mr. Pace felt so inclined, these customers would get additional water at either no or minimal cost. In another example, Dammeron Water stipulated in Docket 87-2025-01, included as Exhibit 4 in my Direct Testimony, that it agreed to issue a disclosure statement to prospective landowners and to prospective water right owners stating that the irrigation rates could be affected by Mr. Pace's contribution to water system costs and/or by subsidization from culinary rates, and that these irrigation rates may not vary significantly from culinary rates if a cost of service is performed. In the current docket when asked to produce the disclosure statement concerning rate subsidies, Mr. Pace indicated that he verbally informed customers about the

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

254		irrigation rate as ordered in Docket 87-2025-01, but was not able to provide a
255		written statement.
256		The Division reminds Dammeron Water that from this point forward, it cannot
257		knowingly deviate from what its tariff says. Dammeron Water must charge the
258		correct rates and fees and must strictly adhere to the quantities specified in the
259		tariff tiered rates. Failure to do so can result in fines, penalties and loss of its
260		Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN).
261	IX.	RECOMMENDATION
262	Q.	BASED ON YOUR INVESTIGATION, PLEASE STATE YOUR
263		RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE RATES, FEES AND THE
263264		RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE RATES, FEES AND THE EXPANSION OF SERVICE AREA OF DAMMERON WATER?
	A.	
264	A.	EXPANSION OF SERVICE AREA OF DAMMERON WATER?
264265	A. 1.	EXPANSION OF SERVICE AREA OF DAMMERON WATER? After considering Dammeron Water's Rebuttal Testimony and input from some
264265266		EXPANSION OF SERVICE AREA OF DAMMERON WATER? After considering Dammeron Water's Rebuttal Testimony and input from some Dammeron Water customers, the Division recommends the following:
264265266267		EXPANSION OF SERVICE AREA OF DAMMERON WATER? After considering Dammeron Water's Rebuttal Testimony and input from some Dammeron Water customers, the Division recommends the following: The rates and rate structure proposed by Dammeron Valley be rejected because
264265266267268	1.	EXPANSION OF SERVICE AREA OF DAMMERON WATER? After considering Dammeron Water's Rebuttal Testimony and input from some Dammeron Water customers, the Division recommends the following: The rates and rate structure proposed by Dammeron Valley be rejected because they will not result in just and reasonable rates, and are not in the public interest.

Division's AMENDED Recommended Monthly Rates											
	Customers WITHOUT Irrigation					Customers WITH Irrigation					
	Cost Usage Amounts			Cost	Usage A	mounts					
Base Rate	e Rate \$37.90 Includes NO Gallons			\$37.90	Includes N	O Gallons					
Tier 1 (per 1,000 gallons)	\$0.30	First	12,000		\$0.30	First	12,000				
					Irrigation Tier						
					\$0.45 (per 1,000 gallons)	Irrigation customer receives 40,000 gallons per month for each water share					
Tier 2 (per 1,000 gallons)	\$0.60	Next	12,000		\$0.60	Next	12,000				
Tier 3 (per 1,000 gallons)	\$1.20	Next	12,000		\$1.20	Next	12,000				
Tier 4 (per 1,000 gallons)	\$1.80	Next	12,000		\$1.80	Next	12,000				
Tier 5 (per 1,000 gallons)	\$2.70	Over	48,000+		\$2.70	Over	Varies *				

- 273 3. That the Service Connection Fee be increased from \$1,500 to \$2,000.
- 274 4. That Dammeron Water be allowed to expand its service area.

275 X. CONCLUSION

- The Division believes that its amended recommended rates are just and reasonable and consistent with the public interest and, therefore, the Division recommends the Commission approve these new rates and fees.
- 279 Q. DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 280 A. Yes, it does. Thank you.