

1 Q Please state your name and business address.

2 A. My name is Daniel C. Peterson. My business address is, One Utah Center, Suite 2300,
3 201 South Main Street, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84140-2300.

4 Q. What is your current position at PacifiCorp?

5 A. I am a Manager in the Regulation Department.

6 **Qualifications**

7 Q. Please briefly describe your education and business experience.

8 A. I graduated from Bradley University in 1970 with a Bachelor of Science Degree in
9 Accounting, and I received a Master of Public Administration Degree from Brigham
10 Young University in 1977. I joined PacifiCorp (the Company) in 1978 in the Accounting
11 Department where I was a Supervisor responsible for activities such as capital budgeting,
12 cost analysis, and depreciation studies. I continued in that role until 1989 when I
13 assumed my present position. As a Manager in the Regulation Department I am
14 responsible for the calculation and justification of jurisdictional revenue requirements, as
15 well as other studies which may be required in support of regulatory proceedings.

16 Q. Are you a licensed Certified Public Accountant?

17 A. Yes. I am licensed in the State of Utah.

18 **Purpose of Testimony**

19 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

20 A. I will address certain normalizing adjustments contained in Exhibit UP&L____.1 (DDL-1),
21 which is the Company's Utah Results of Operations Report for the twelve-month test
22 period ended December 31, 1999. Specifically, my testimony explains normalizing
23 adjustments 4.6, 4.17 and 4.19 through 4.22 in Tab 4 (O&M expense), the adjustments in

1 Tab 6 (Depreciation and Amortization), the adjustments in Tab 7 (Tax Adjustments) and
2 adjustments 8.9, 8.14, 8.15 and 8.16 in Tab 8 (Rate Base). As explained by Mr. Doug
3 Larson, the adjustments are presented in pre-tax dollars, where applicable. The tax effect
4 of each adjustment is calculated and reflected on the summary page following each tab.

5 **Normalizing Adjustments**

6 Q. Please explain O&M adjustments 4.6, 4.17 and 4.19 through 4.22 contained in Tab 4.

7 A. **Uncollectible Accounts** (Adjustment 4.6) – This adjustment normalizes bad debt
8 expense based on 2000 actual experience. Adjustment 4.6 reduces Utah expense by
9 \$3,963,820.

10 **Miscellaneous General Expenses** (Adjustment 4.17) - This adjustment removes
11 membership and dues expense for the Utah Taxpayers Association and the Utah
12 Manufacturers Association, as well as certain miscellaneous expenses as ordered by the
13 Commission in Docket No. 99-035-10. Adjustment 4.17 reduces Utah expense by
14 \$24,950.

15 **Postage Allocation** (Adjustment 4.19) – The Commission’s order in Docket No. 99-035-
16 10, as modified by the Order on Reconsideration dated October 6, 1999, requires that a
17 portion of postage expense be borne by unregulated activities. This adjustment allocates
18 1999 postage expense between regulated and unregulated activities in accordance with
19 the Commission order. Adjustment 4.19 reduces Utah allocated expense by \$596,846.

20 **Workers’ Compensation Amortization** (Adjustment 4.20) – This adjustment reflects
21 the second year of a two-year amortization of a one-time adjustment to workers’
22 compensation expense, as ordered by the Commission in Docket No. 99-035-10.
23 Adjustment 4.20 increases Utah expense by \$185,032 and increases rate base by \$92,516.

1 **Property Insurance Amortization** (Adjustment 4.21) – This adjustment reflects the
2 second year of a two-year amortization of a one-time adjustment to property insurance
3 expense, as ordered by the Commission in Docket No. 99-035-10. Adjustment 4.21
4 increases Utah expense by \$961,435 and increases rate base by \$480,717.

5 **Noell Kempf Climate Action Project** (Adjustment 4.22) – This adjustment amortizes
6 the total actual expenditures on the Noell Kempf Climate Action Project over five years,
7 with the unamortized balance in rate base, as ordered by the Commission in Docket No.
8 99-035-10. Adjustment 4.22 increases Utah allocated expense by \$7,222 and increases
9 rate base by \$251,918.

10 Q. Please explain the depreciation and amortization adjustments summarized under Tab 6,
11 page 6.0.

12 A. **Correct Accumulated Depreciation Reserve** (Adjustment 6.1) – PacifiCorp began
13 booking increased depreciation expense in Utah beginning in December of 1997
14 (effective January 1, 1997) and continuing through June of 1998 based on a 1996
15 depreciation study of the Company’s depreciable electric plant. The 1996 depreciation
16 study was not acted upon by the Commission. As a result of booking depreciation
17 expenses based on the 1996 depreciation study, PacifiCorp booked total additional
18 expenses of approximately \$29 million Company-wide (\$27.6 million excluding
19 Centralia). In the Stipulation approved by the Commission in Docket No. 98-2035-03,
20 the parties agreed that the Company should reverse the booking of the change in
21 depreciation rates based on the 1996 depreciation study. However, this reversal was not
22 recorded in the Company’s accounting records until April 2000. Therefore, Adjustment
23 6.1 is necessary to restate 1999 accumulated depreciation to the proper level. This

1 adjustment reduces Utah accumulated depreciation (thereby increasing rate base) by
2 \$6,583,534. In addition the Commission ruled in Docket No. 99-035-10 that the
3 additional depreciation expense associated with Condit not be allowed. This adjustment
4 removes 1997 and 1998 depreciation expense for Condit from the reserve balance
5 increasing Utah rate base an additional \$1,451,894.

6 **Depreciation Accounting Adjustment** (Adjustment 6.2) - The parties to the Stipulation
7 in Docket No. 98-2035-03 also agreed that Utah's share of the \$29 million accounting
8 adjustment (approximately \$7 million) would be amortized over 24 months. After
9 removing the Centralia Plant, the adjustment is reduced to approximately \$27.6 million
10 total company with \$6.5 million allocated to Utah. Adjustment 6.2 is necessary to reflect
11 12 months of amortization of the \$6.5 million Utah accounting adjustment. Adjustment
12 6.2 reduces 1999 test period Utah depreciation expense by \$3,291,767.

13 **Annualized Depreciation Expense** (Adjustment 6.3) – The then-current Commission-
14 approved depreciation rates (from Docket No. 93-2035-04) were applied to December 31,
15 1999 depreciable plant balances to calculate the on-going level of depreciation expense
16 using existing rates. This annualized depreciation expense was compared to the actual
17 expense booked to Account 403 for the same period. This adjustment to booked
18 depreciation expense is necessary to reflect on-going depreciation expense based on the
19 depreciation rates in effect and the depreciable plant balances reflected in the test period.
20 Adjustment 6.3 increases Utah allocated expense by \$2,355,741.

21 **Annualized Accumulated Depreciation** (Adjustment 6.4) -- Adjustment 6.3 annualized
22 depreciation expense, and any change made to depreciation expense has a direct impact
23 on the accumulated depreciation reserve balance. Adjustment 6.4 is necessary to reflect

1 the impact of adjustment 6.3 on the accumulated depreciation reserve. This adjustment
2 decreases Utah allocated rate base by \$ 1,177,870.

3 **New Depreciation Study – Depreciation** (Adjustment 6.5) – This adjustment is
4 necessary to reflect test period depreciation expense using the depreciation rates from the
5 Company’s new depreciation study that was approved January 6, 2000 by the
6 Commission in Docket No.98-2035-03. The new rates were compared to the existing
7 rates to determine the incremental (or decremental) differences resulting from the new
8 study. The incremental rates were then applied to year-end 1999 plant balances
9 (excluding the Centralia Plant and Mine) to calculate the increase in test period
10 depreciation expense attributable to the new Commission-approved rates. Adjustment
11 6.5 increases depreciation expense allocated to Utah by \$3,939,050.

12 **New Depreciation Study – Accumulated Depreciation** (Adjustment 6.6) - Adjustment
13 6.6 increased test period depreciation expense to reflect the new depreciation rates
14 recently approved by the Commission. This adjustment is necessary to reflect the impact
15 of the increased depreciation expense on the accumulated depreciation reserve.
16 Adjustment 6.6 increases the accumulated depreciation allocated to Utah (thereby
17 reducing rate base) by \$1,969,525.

18 As indicated above, Adjustments 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4, 6.5 and 6.6 exclude any
19 depreciation expense and accumulated depreciation impacts related to the Centralia Plant
20 and Mine. All depreciation expense and rate base associated with the Centralia Plant and
21 Mine is removed from test period results by Adjustments 8.18 and 8.19.

22 Q. Please explain the interest and tax adjustments summarized under Tab 7, page 7.0.

1 A. **Interest True-up** (Adjustment 7.1) – The amount of interest expense included in the test
2 period is a cost of financing rate base through debt securities. Therefore, it is appropriate
3 to synchronize or true up the amount of interest expense with the amount of rate base.
4 This true up was accomplished by multiplying the jurisdiction specific adjusted rate base
5 by the weighted cost of debt. The interest determined in this manner was then compared
6 to the actual interest recorded in 1999 to determine the necessary adjustment. For rate
7 making purposes, interest expense is a deduction in determining income taxes.
8 Therefore, the revenue requirement impact of the interest true up is reflected as a change
9 in income tax expense. Adjustment 7.1 reduces the interest expense allocated to Utah by
10 \$610,729 thereby increasing income tax expense by \$232,325.

11 **Wyoming Wind Tax Credit** (Adjustment 7.2) – This adjustment normalizes a federal
12 income tax credit the Company is entitled to take as a result of placing its Wyoming wind
13 generating plant into service before June 30, 2004. The credit, which is based on the
14 generation of the plant, will expire after June 2004 unless renewed by the federal
15 government. Adjustment 7.2 reduces Utah income tax expense by \$750,362.

16 Q. Please explain Rate Base adjustments 8.9, 8.14, 8.15 and 8.16 contained in Tab 8.

17 A. **Update Cash Working Capital** (Adjustment 8.9) – This adjustment is necessary to true-
18 up cash working capital for the normalizing adjustments made in this filing. Cash
19 working capital is calculated by taking total operation and maintenance expense allocated
20 to Utah (excluding depreciation and amortization) and adding Utah’s allocated share of
21 taxes, including state and federal income taxes and taxes other than income. This total is
22 then divided by the number of days in the year to determine the Company’s adjusted daily
23 cost of service. The daily cost of service is multiplied by the Company’s net lag days to

1 produce the cash working capital. Adjustment 8.9 increases Utah allocated rate base by
2 \$21,883,779.

3 **Reverse Accounting Write-Downs Part 1** (Adjustment 8.14), **Part 2** (Adjustment 8.15)
4 and **Part 3** (Adjustment 8.16) – These adjustments remove from 1999 results of
5 operations certain accruals and asset write-downs that are not appropriate for electric
6 operations. The adjustments also reflect a three-year amortization of other one-time
7 write-offs that do relate to electric operations but which should be normalized for
8 ratemaking purposes. Adjustment 8.14 decreases Utah allocated expense by \$9,694,067,
9 increases Utah allocated rate base by \$1,101,721 and reflects deferred tax effects.
10 Adjustment 8.15 increases Utah revenues by \$1,853,197, decreases Utah allocated
11 expense by \$1,017,349, increases Utah allocated rate base by \$1,124,223 and reflects
12 deferred tax effects. Adjustment 8.16 increases Utah revenues by \$181,446, reduces Utah
13 allocated expense by \$347,477, increases Utah allocated rate base by \$146,170 and
14 reflects deferred tax effects.

15 **Conclusion**

16 Q. In summary what conclusion does your testimony support?

17 A. My testimony demonstrates that the normalizing adjustments described above are
18 reasonable and necessary to fairly reflect PacifiCorp's 1999 test year results of operations.

19 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

20 A. Yes.