



JON HUNTSMAN Jr.  
*Governor*  
GARY HERBERT  
*Lieutenant Governor*

State of Utah  
Department of Commerce  
Division of Public Utilities

FRANCINE GIANI  
*Executive Director*

JASON PERRY  
*Deputy Director*

CONSTANCE B. WHITE  
*Director, Division of Public Utilities*

**MEMORANDUM**

To: Public Service Commission of Utah

From: Division of Public Utilities  
Constance White, Director  
Energy Section  
Artie Powell, Manager  
Abdinasir Abdulle, Technical Consultant

Date: December 15, 2005

Re: Docket Nos. 03-035-01 and 04-035-21      Docket 05-035-T19  
Advice No. 05-19      Compliance Filing  
Schedule No. 3      Low Income Lifeline Program – Residential Service  
Optional for Qualifying Customers  
Schedule 91      Surcharge to Fund Low Income Residential Lifeline  
Program

---

**Recommendation**

The Division recommends the Commission approve the proposed amendments of Schedule Nos. 3 and 91 with an effective date of November 23, 2005. After carefully reviewing the proposed amendments, the Division determined that the proposed amendments are in compliance with the November 23, 2005 Commission Report and Order for Docket Nos. 03-035-01 and 04-035-21.

**Issue and Discussion**

The Commission, in its November 23, 2005 Report and Order for Docket Nos. 03-035-01 and 04-035-21, ordered, among other things, the continuation of the HELP program and PacifiCorp

to file revised tariff Schedule No. 3 and No. 91 effective on the date of, and consistent with, this order.

On November 29, 2005, in compliance with the Commission order in this case, PacifiCorp filed with the Commission its proposed amendments to Schedule No. 3 and No. 91. For Schedule 3, the Company proposed two changes. First, customers who move during the eligibility year will remain on Schedule 3 for the remainder of the annual eligibility period provided that the customer notifies the Company of the change in service address. Currently customers who move are required to go through a reinstatement process. Second, customers that are disconnected for nonpayment of an account and subsequently reestablish service with the Company will remain on Schedule 3 for the remainder of the annual eligibility period. Currently, these customers are required to go through a re-certification process.

These two proposed changes in Schedule No. 3 are in conformance with the Commission's November 23, 2005 order which states that an amendment to Schedule No. 3 should be made to permit PacifiCorp to continue to provide Schedule No. 3 pricing to eligible households after a move or reconnect after disconnect without the need for the household to re-certify before such time as an annual re-certification would have been due. The Division will, in its annual review and audit, evaluate PacifiCorp's compliance with the Commission's order.

The proposed amendments to Schedule 91 include a 17 percent reduction in Schedule 91 surcharges. The Company is requesting an effective date of November 23, 2005. Table 1 shows that the proposed amendment for Schedule 91 actually reduces the current Schedule 91 surcharge by 17 percent for all Schedules except Schedule 19 which has been eliminated.

Table 1. Schedule 91 surcharges before and after the amendment.

Schedule	Surcharge before the amendment	Surcharge after the amendment	Percent change*
Schedules 1, 2 & 25	\$0.12	\$0.10	16.7%
Schedules 6, 6A & 6B	\$5.77	\$4.79	17.0%
Schedule 7	\$0.05	\$0.04	20.0%
Schedule 8	\$5.77	\$4.79	17.0%
Schedules 9, 9A, & 9B	\$6.25	\$5.19	17.0%
Schedule 10	\$0.62	\$0.51	17.7%
Schedule 11	\$0.40	\$0.33	17.5%
Schedule 12	\$0.21	\$0.17	19.0%
Schedule 13	\$0.21	\$0.17	19.0%
Schedule 19	\$0.58	-----	NA
Schedule 21	\$6.25	\$5.19	17.0%
Schedule 23 & 23B	\$0.27	\$0.22	18.5%
Schedules 31	\$6.25	\$5.19	17.0%
Schedule 33	\$6.25	\$5.19	17.0%
Overall average	\$5.49	\$4.55	17.1%

\*The deviations of the percentage changes of some of the Schedules from the intended 17% is due to the fact that the numbers reported in the column headed by Surcharge after the amendment are rounded numbers. All changes are negative.

Therefore, the Division concludes that the proposed amendments are in compliance with the November 23, 2005 Commission order.