#### **COPY OF TRANSCRIPT**

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a Pennsylvania corporation,

Docket No. 03 035 28

Claimant,

VS.

PACIFICORP, dba UTAH POWER, an Oregon corporation,

Respondent.

DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH CLIFTON

TAKEN AT: Ballard, Spahr, Andrews & Ingersoll

201 S. Main Street, Suite 600

Salt Lake City, Utah

DATE: May 21, 2004

9:02 a.m. TIME:

DAWN M. DAVIS, RPR REPORTER:



Salt Lake City

Washington, DC

New York

Los Angeles

Corporate Offices: 50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

801-983-2180

Toll Free: 877-441-2180

Fax: 801-983-2181

APPEARANCES
For the Claimant:
JOHN DAVIDSON THOMAS
COLE, RAYWID & BRAVERMAN
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Second Floor
Washington, D.C. 20006
and
GENEVIEVE D. SAPIR
COLE, RAYWID & BRAVERMAN
2381 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 110
El Segundo, California 90245
For the Respondent:
GARY G. SACKETT
JONES, WALDO, HOLBROOK & MCDONOUGH
170 South Main Street, Suite 1500
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
Also present: JOSEPH CLIFTON





25

## Thacker + Co LLC

Court Reporters

Utah's Leader in Litigation Support

1	Deposition of Joseph Clifton
2	May 21, 2004
. 3	PROCEEDINGS
4	Joseph Clifton, called as a witness for
5	and on behalf of the Claimant, being first duly
6	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
7	EXAMINATION
8	BY-MR. THOMAS:
9	Q. Good morning, Mr. Clifton.
10`	Would you state your full name for the
11	record, please?
12	A. Joseph Lee Clifton.
13	Q. And could you give us your title and
14	your business address?
15	A. It's Northwest Supervisor, T&D
16	Infrastructure Management.
17	Q. And your employer is
18	A. PacifiCorp. And my address isoffice
19	address is 650 Northeast Holladay Street, Suite
20	700 in Portland, Oregon.
21	Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken
22	before?
23	A. A long time ago.
24	Q. What was the circumstances of that
5	deposition?



If you have a clarification that you would like to make about your answer or previous answer that maybe you had given earlier, feel free



21

22

23

24

25

finished.

## Thacker + Co llc

to do that at any time. We want to make sure that the responses you are giving are accurate and that you're comfortable with them.

If you need to consult with Counsel while you are giving an answer I would ask that you finish your answer and then consult with your Counsel at that point.

I have a couple of sort of form questions that I need to ask you just that we have to do to ensure the integrity of the deposition, and just a few of those kinds of questions.

The first one is, are you on medication or drugs at the moment that would make it difficult for you to answer the questions truthfully?

A. No.

- Q. Are you under a doctor's care for any illness that would make it difficult for you to answer questions?
  - A. No.
- Q. Have you had a drink within the last eight hours, an alcoholic drink, that would make it difficult for you to answer questions?
  - A. No.



## Thacker + Co LLC

ı	g. can you think of any other reason way
2	you wouldn't be able to answer the questions I'm
3	asking you truthfully and completely?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Thank you.
6	Could you tell me again what your title
7	is with PacifiCorp?
8	A. Northwest Supervisor.
9	Q. Northwest Supervisor.
10	A. (Witness nods head.)
11	Q. And could you give me a summary of your
12	job responsibilities?
13	A. Okay. I have the Northwest region,
14	which encompasses Washington State and northern
15	Oregon. And the inspectors that do the pre and
16	post inspections of third party attachments to
17	PacifiCorp's facilities.
18	Q. And this relates to pole attachments
19	and joint use.
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. And would you be the person who would
22	oversee such things as permit application and
23	permit applications by joint users, for example?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Would you have responsibility over



those regions that you have described for makeready work that's needed to be on the poles and the connection with the permit application, for example?

- A. When you are saying with--as far as make-ready work, how do you mean as far as . . .
- Q. When--well, let's do it this way.

  Could you walk me through--I think you said a moment ago that you were--oversaw the permitting process within your region. And I was wondering if you could sort of take me from sort of the A to Z of pole permit applications. And we'll take Comcast as an example. If Comcast wants to get onto a new pole, what is the process for doing that?
- A. Basically in Oregon and Washington they typically file an NJUNS application, which is part of the National Joint Use Notification System.

  And in that application they would apply for a certain set of poles and state that they were wanting to build something on it.

They would then provide another supplemental application with more detailed information, saying, We want to attach to this pole at this height. We would be lashing a fiber



## Thacker + Co LLC

onto it. This is the diameter of the bundle, this is the weight per foot.

We would get that, look at the application to make sure that it met the requirements at least on the paper portion of it. And then the inspectors would go out in the field and inspect what they had provided there, saying, Yes, it could be attached to it, or no, it couldn't, or they could attach if we did some make-ready work, for instance. But part of the application is the licensee would note on there any make-ready that needed to be done either by PacifiCorp or another party on the poles.

You mentioned Comcast, it would be, for instance, probably Qwest that they would be looking at to see if they needed to relocate facilities.

- Q. And is the process the same in Utah that you just described as for Oregon and Washington?
  - A. Be the same throughout PacifiCorp.
  - O. Is NJUNS used in Utah?
  - A. No, it's not, not to my knowledge.
- Q. When a permit application is submitted by whoever at Comcast submits the permit



## Thacker + Co LLC

1	applications, where does that application go?
2	A. When they initially submit the
. 3	application or
4	Q. Yes.
5	A. When they initially submit the
6	application it would typically go to what's called
7	an administrative services coordinator. They
8	would be the first person that would see it.
9	Q. And did the administrative services
10`	coordinator sit in a district office in Utah, or
11	is it someone in Portland?
12	A. They are all in Portland.
13	Q. They are all in Portland.
14	A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
15	Q. Is it done by e-mail, do you know?
16	A. It comesdepending on the area. It
17	could come in e-mail, it could come by fax, it
18	could come in the mail, or it could come through
19	the NJUNS system.
20	Q. But not for Utah?
21	A. Not for Utah what?
22	Q. Not for Utah, would not come through
23	the NJUNS system in Utah.
24	A. To my knowledge, NJUNS doesn't operate
25	in Utah.



1	Q. All right. What I would like to do is
2	I am going to doI am going to try and get a
3	feel for the permitting process here and ask you
4	some questions about that. And for the moment,
5	let's just focus on the situation in Utah.
6	A. Okay.
7	Q. The chain through Utah.
8	So it is submitted towhat was the
9	title of thewas it joint use coordinator?
10	A. Administrative services coordinator.
11	Q. Administrative services coordinator.
12	How many of those are there for Utah,
13	if you know?
14	A. I don't know.
15	Q. Approximately a dozen? five?
16	A. I don't manage that group. And so
17	their resources are managed by another person.
18	And how they have those dedicated, I don't know.
19	Q. Who manages that group?
20	A. Laura Raypush.
21	Q. Laura Raypush. Do you manage Laura
22	Raypush?
23	A. No, I do not.
24	Q. Who manages Laura Raypush?
25	A. Corey Fitzgerald.



1	Q. Okay. Once the application isagain,
2	we are speaking about Utahand once the
3	application is submitted to the coordinator, what
4	happens to it at that point? What is
5	A. In Utah?
6	Q. In Utah, please, yes. Everything is
7	Utah I am asking about here. And if I ask you
8	about another State, I will try and be specific
9	to that.
10	A. And I can only be specific to it as the
11	time when I was working down in here.
12	Q. Right.
13	A. What's going on since I've been out of
14	here, I wouldn'tI'm not exactly sure.
15	But when I was here, the coordinator
16	would get the application. She would review it
17	with me. And we would send it out to the field
18	for the inspector to inspect, providing the
19	application was complete.
20	Q. So when you were here in Utah you would
21	review the application and review what the
22	Comcast believed was necessary for make-ready, and
23	then you would send the crew out in the field to
24	verify the recommendations that Comcast had for



make-ready?

25

## Thacker + Co LLC

As I recall, typically they did not put 1 Α. any recommendations down for make-ready on their 2 In Utah what they were doing at applications. 3 the time was photocopying a portion of a map and 4 faxing it to the office in Portland. 5 Okay. And what time period was this 6 that you were actually in Utah or had 7 responsibility for Utah? 8 It was approximately December of last 9 year through March of this year. 10 Okay. 11 0. It was an interim period. 12 I think what I'd like to do is change 13 tacks a little bit, Mr. Clifton, so I can nail 14 down a time line. I may come back. I probably 15 will come back to the whole permitting process. 16 But let's go through a bit of a chronology of 17 your time of your career with PacifiCorp and 18 19 before. Okay. 20 Α. In your current position--how long have 21 you been in that current position? 22 Since February of 2002. 23 Α.



24

25

Q.

Α.

## Thacker + Co LLC

Court Reporters
Utah's Leader in Litigation Support

February of 2002.

Uh-huh (affirmative.)

1	ν.	And before February of 2002, what were
2	you doing	g? Were you with PacifiCorp?
, 3	· A.	No. I worked for another company.
4	Q.	What was the other company that you
5	worked fo	or?
6	Α.	Northstar Communications Group.
7	Q.	And what was or is Northstar
8	Communica	tions Group?
9	Α.	Engineering and construction company.
10	Q.	Where are they based?
11	Α.	Birmingham, Alabama.
12	Q.	Birmingham.
13		How long were you at Northstar?
14	Α.	A little over two years.
15	Q.	Can you describe for me the kinds of
16	informati	onI'm sorrythe kinds of projects that
17	Northstar	worked on generally, give me an idea of
18	what they	did?
19	Α.	Uh-huh (affirmative.) Everything
20	involving	engineering and construction of
21	telecommur	nications attachments.
22	Ω.	Were youyou were an engineering and
23	constructi	on contractor for telecommunications.
24	Α.	Correct.
25	Q.	Did it include cable TV as well?





background.

24

25

## Thacker + Co LLC

Court Reporters
Utah's Leader in Litigation Support

PacifiCorp. So they were familiar with my

1	Q. And that's how you knew Corey, was from
2	the work at Northstar you were doing for cable
3	television companies.
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. What cable televisions companies did
6	you work for or did you have as clients when you
7	were at Northstar?
8	A. At Northstar it would have been RCN and
9	WinFirst.
10	Q. Were there other telecommunications
11	companies that were your clients or customers when
12	you were at Northstar in addition to those two
13	cable TV companies?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Can you tell me who they were?
16	A. Global Crossing, Level Three. Qwest.
17	Those are the three that stick out in my mind
18	right now.
19	Q. And was your work with Northstar in the
20	Pacific Northwest exclusively?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Where else were you working for
23	Northstar?
24	A. Nationwide, depending on where they
25	needed me to help.



# Thacker + Co LLC

1	Q. Was the majority of the work that you
2	did, if you can recall, in the Northwest?
3	A. The majority of the work would have
4	been in the western United States.
5	Q. Including what other States?
6	A. Oregon, Washington, California, Arizona.
7	Q. My memory is not very good. You said
8	that you were there for about two years at
9	Northstar.
10	A. Just over two years.
11	Q. Just over two years.
12	So we are in about 2000 time frame,
13	would that be right, when you started with
14	Northstar?
15	A. It would have been earlyvery early
16	2000.
17	Q. Okay. What were you doing prior to
18	2000 and working for Northstar?
19	A. I was working for another cable
20	television contractor, North Sky Communications.
21	Q. And where was North Sky based?
22	A. Vancouver, Washington.
23	Q. And could you describe your
24	responsibilities with North Sky for me?
25	A. Uh-huh (affirmative.) I had



1 responsibilities for the splicing--sweep and 2 splicing of cable television facilities. 3 Who were your--examples of your clients Ο. or customers when you were with North Sky? 4 5 Well, today they would be Comcast, Α. 6 would have been the major one. 7 And previously that was . . . 8 They switched very rapidly in their Α. time frames. I mean, they had been Time-Warner, 9 10 TCI, AT&T, and depending on the system, Comcast, 11 all in a period of less than six months, 12 probably, to a year. I mean, there was quite a 13 bit of consolidation going on. 14 And was the work that you did for North Q. Sky in the Portland, Vancouver area exclusively? 15 16 Α. No. It ran as far south as Corvallis. That's Oregon. 17 Ο. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Okay. How long were you with North 20 Sky? 21 Α. I'm not sure. There 22 Q. Approximately. 23 Over a period of a couple of years I did a variety of projects for them. 24 25 Q. Were you a full-time employee of North



## Thacker + Co LLC



Q.

25

## Thacker + Co LLC

When did you complete your formal

#### 1 education? 2 Α. I've never stopped. 3 MR. SACKETT: Take that. 4 MR. THOMAS: That's why I said formal. 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 6 BY MR. THOMAS: 7 Q. When did you graduate from high school? 8 Α. 1977. 9 Q. Did you go to college? 10 Α. I have been going to college. 11 Do you have a degree from college or Q. 12 university? 13 Α. Not yet. 14 You have been combining work with 15 education since approximately 1977. 16 Α. That's correct. 17 What I'm trying to do is trying to get 18 a snapshot of your career. And I'm going through 19 in my own head the most efficient way to do that. 20 Let's go from 1987 to the 1999 time 21 period where you testified a moment ago that you 22 had worked for a number of contractors doing a number of different kinds of things. 23 24 Α. Okay. 25 Were those all cable TV or



## Thacker + Co LLC



1	A. When I started I was an auditor. And
2	my responsibility was to go to multiple dwelling
3	units, apartment buildings, to review the lines
4	that were connected to the buildings.
5	Q. What was the purpose of performing
6	those audits?
7	A. To find out if we had illegal
8	connections in the buildings.
9	Q. Did you?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. I have heard that.
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. That's when you first started asat
14	TCI, was as an auditor, correct?
15	A. It wasn't called TCI then.
16	Q. Okay. Do you remember what it was
17	called then?
18	A. Group W Cable.
19	Q. At some point in that two to three-year
20	time period, though, it became TCI.
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. Were you always an auditor when you
23	worked for that cable company that was first Group
24	W and then it became TCI?
25	A. No.



	When did way stop being an auditor?
1	Q. When did you stop being an auditor?
2.	A. Approximately three or four months into
3	the job.
4	Q. Okay. And then what did you do after
5	you served your auditing time?
6	A. I went into the installation
7	department.
8	Q. And can you describe for me what those
9	functions entailed?
10	A. It involved installing cable television
11	services in homes, businesses, or apartment
12	buildings.
13	Q. Did you have to climb poles to do that?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And did you have other positions after
16	that at TCI? I was calledwas it TCI by this
17	point?
18	A. It was TCI by that point and thenot
19	necessarily other positions, but they would change
20	classification names.
21	Q. Basically the same job
22	responsibilities, that you were essentially an
23	installer.
24	A. (Witness nods head.) But you would
25	probably do service work.



1	Q. So the answer is yes, you were
2	basically an installer, but you would also do
. 3	service work.
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Thanks. That was just for the benefit
6	of the court reporter. Thank you.
7	When you say service work, do you mean
8	if a customer had a problem with itsyou know,
9	her reception you would be called on to lookyou
10	were a tech?
11	A. Correct. Yes.
12	Q. And you did that until you left TCI in
13	1987.
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And after you left TCI in 1987, where
16	did you go? You startedI believe you said you
17	had a series of contracting jobs over
18	approximately a 12-year period.
19	A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
20	Q. What wasI may not have the energy to
21	ask you every one, but I want to get an idea of a
22	few.
23	A. Okay. I went toI got my contractor's
24	license and went to work for B&B Cable
25	Contractors.



1	Q.	And where was that?
2	Α.	ItI am not sure the city their office
3	was in, bu	at I was working at the same location.
4	Q.	Which was where?
5	Α.	Seattle.
6	Q.	Seattle.
7		When you were working for Group W and
8	then TCI,	where was that?
9	Α.	Seattle.
10	Q.	Seattle.
11		Are you from Seattle originally?
12	Α.	No.
13	Q.	Where are you from originally?
14	Α.	When you mean originally you have to
15	Q.	Where did you graduate from high
16	school?	
17	Α.	Roseburg, Oregon.
18	Q.	Oregon.
19		Are you from the Pacific Northwest,
20	generally	speaking? Is that where you consider
21	yourself t	o be from?
22	Α.	Generally speaking.
23	Q.	You moved around a bit when you were
24	growing up	with your family.
25	Α.	Yes.



1	Q. Okay. What was the name of the first
2	contractor that you went to work for post
3	immediately post TCI?
4	A. B&B Cable Contractors.
5	Q. And what did B&B Cable Contractors do?
6	A. Cable television installation and
7	construction.
8	Q. Did you work as an installer for B&B?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Did you only do installation, or did
11	you also do service calls as you did inat TCI?
12	A. Yes, did all sorts of service calls.
13	Q. All sorts of service calls.
14	Did youwhen you were still at B&B,
15	did you do engineering and construction of cable
16	systems, or was it pretty much limited to the
17	customer piece of things?
18	A. No. Did construction and reviewed
19	engineering that other people would provide to us
20	for the work that they needed to be done and make
21	corrections on it as needed or explain to them
22	that their engineering wouldn't work and why it
23	wouldn't work.
24	Q. When you say other people, what do you
25	mean by other people?



A. In the particular case where we would
be working, for example, for a TCI, they would
provide engineering documentation, Here, go build
this. And it may bewhen you get to the
location you look at it and you go, Well, this
cannot be built like this. And so you would take
it back to their in-house engineer and say, You
can't do this, and these are the reasons why.
Q. Would you submit applications to
Seattle City Light for construction of cable TV
facilities?
A. No.
Q. You didn't have a permitting function
at that point with B&B.
A. Not at that time, no
Q. How long were you with B&B?
A. Again, it was a contracting company.
And I would have worked for them different
projects from 1987 throughI believe the last
project I did for them was probably inI believe
it was 1984'94.
Q. 1994.
A. Yeah.
Q. So you were with B&B exclusively from



'87 to '94.

25

# Thacker + Co llc

1	Α.	No.
2	Q.	No. Who else were you doing projects
3	for durin	g that 1987 to 1994 time frame?
4	Α.	I did some work with a company called
5	Pacific C	oast Cable. And I also had my own
6	construct	ion company during that period of time.
7	Q.	Was Pacific Coast Cable a cable
8	televisio	n company?
9	Α.	Yes, they were. Cable television and
10	some teler	ohone.
11	Q.	They provided telephone service and
12	cable tele	evision services directly to residential
13	customers.	
14	Α.	No. They were a contractor.
15	Q.	Oh, they were a contractor.
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	Okay. For cable operators?
18	Α.	Foryes, for different companies.
19	Q.	For telecommunications companies
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	like Qwest.
22	А.	At the
23	Ω.	It was U.S. West probably at the time.
24	, A.	Right, it would have been U.S. West at
25	the time.	I don't believe we did any projects
L		



for U.S. West. We did do projects for--I am trying to recall who--it would basically have been 2 in the Comcast--it was Comcast today, but it would 3 have been different names back then. There were a variety of different ones. 5 Well, you mentioned some telephone Q. 6 companies. Would you have done some work for 7 GTE, or would it have been for smaller rural 8 telephone companies that may not be a household 9 name like GTE was? 10 Right. It would have been--as I 11 Α. recall, it was typically communities, cities, that 12 were wanting interlinks between buildings. 13 14 Q. Okay. Phone interlinks. 15 Α. Okay. You mentioned that you had your 16 Q. own construction company. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Was it communications construction? 19 0. 20 Α. Yes. Outside plant? 21 Q. 22 Yes. Α. Poles, underground? 23 Ο. 24 Α. Yes. Did you do work for cable TV companies? 25 0.



## Thacker + Co LLC

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Telephone companies?
- 3	A. Not directly for a telephone company,
4	but would have subcontracted to other contractors,
5	for example, for U.S. West.
6	Q. So there might have been another
7	contractor for U.S. West, and you would have been
8	the sub for that main contractor.
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. Were there any other companies inor
11	contractors in this 1987 to 1994 time frame that
12	you worked for?
13	A. I don't believe so.
14	Q. Is it
15	A. Well, let me ask you a question.
16	Q. Sure.
17	A. When you say that, are you talking
18	about when I owned my construction company and who
19	I worked for, or are you talking about when I
20	worked foras a contractor directly too?
21	Q. Well, what I meant to ask was from 1987
22	to 1994 you had stated that there were a number
23	of companies that contractors that you had worked
24	for. And you mentioned B&B.
25	A. Right.



# Thacker + Co llc

1	Q. Y	ou mentioned Pacific Coast, was it
2	or	
3	A. P	acific Coast Cable.
4	Q. P	acific Coast cable. And you mentioned
5	you had you	r own company.
6	A. R	ight.
7	Q. W	hat was the name of your own company?
8	A. C	omplete Cable Services.
9	Q. C	omplete Cable Services.
10	s	o there is three contractors in that
11	time period	or companies in that time period.
12	All I was to	rying to figure out was if we had
13	talked about	t them all.
14	A. 0	kay.
15	Q. W	ere there others in addition to those
16	three?	
17	A. I	don't believe so.
18	Q. W	ere you doing projects simultaneously
19	for those th	ree? And I don't mean that you had
20	to be working	ng on projects for all three companies.
21	Bul was ther	e a time when you were doing projects
22	for a Comple	ete Cable's customers and you were also
23	working on p	rojects for Oregon Coast Cable or
24	Pacific Coas	t Cable?
25	A. Th	nere would have been times when I



would have collaborated with other contractors 1 2 during that period of time on a project. Did these three contractors work in the 3 4 same geographic area? 5 Α. Not typically. 6 Different parts of the Northwest? Q. 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. Washington and Oregon? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Northern California? Q. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Any other places? Did you get over to 0. 13 Idaho? 14 Α. No. No. 15 Q. Nevada? Just the western United States 16 17 that you mentioned. 18 I am starting to exhaust my knowledge of western geography, so I am glad you answered 19 20 that way, because I was out of States. 21 I think we are up to 1994 now. 22 1994, what did you do for work? 23 1994 I took a couple of years somewhere 24 approximately in a two-year period there. 25 stayed at home and worked with my wife.



## Thacker + Co llc

1	Q.	What was thewhat were you working on?
2.	Α.	We had a pottery manufacturing
3	business.	
4	Q.	Really?
5	Α.	Uh-huh (affirmative.)
6	Q.	And was that for two years you were
7	devoted t	o that more or less full-time?
8	Α.	Yeah. Approximately during that period
9	there.	
10	Q.	'94 to '96.
11	А.	90
12	Q.	That's three years, I guess, if you
13	start at the beginning of '94.	
14	Α.	Yeah, '94 to '95, somewhere right in
15	there.	
16	Q.	And then at some point you went back to
17	engineeri	ng and construction
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	work.
20		And that was '95, '96.
21	Α.	Right. Yes.
22	Q.	And who did you work for then?
23	Α.	Fleary Campbell Construction.
24	Q.	Where are they based?
25	Α.	Oakland, Oregon.



1	Q.	And can you describe what Fleary
2	Campbell	Construction did and who they worked for,
. 3	et cetera	1?
4	Α.	Underground construction for
5	telecommu	nnication systems, some power work.
6	Q.	Who were the clients of this company?
7	Α.	U.S. Forest Service, cities, cable
8	televisio	n subcontractor to another prime
9	contracto	r.
10	Q.	For a cable television project.
11	Α.	Right, cable television project.
12		Logging companies.
13	Q.	And this was all underground
14	communica	tions construction.
15	Α.	And power.
16	Q.	And power.
17		You mentioned it was the sub to a
18	larger co	ntractor working on a project for a cable
19	TV compan	y, correct?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	Who was the principlethe primary
22	contracto	r, if you recall?
23	Α.	The company was called Prime Line
24	Construct	ion.
25	Q.	And this was a communications



1	A. Yes, they just did cable television.
2	Q. What was nature of this project?
3	A. It wasthat particular project was a
4	rebuild of a hybrid fiber coax system.
5	Q. Who was the cable operator?
6	A. That one was Falcon Cable.
7	Q. And where was this company?
8	A. In and around Roseburg, Oregon.
9	Q. And the year, approximately?
10	A. It would have been somewhere in 1995,
11	1996 range, in there. I am not sure of the exact
12	date.
13	Q. And how long were you withwas it
14	Fleary Campbell?
15	A. Fleary Campbell.
16	Approximately two years.
17	Q. Did you go directly from Fleary
18	Campbell to NorthstarNorth Sky? Excuse me.
19	A. No. No.
20	Q. Okay. After you finished with Fleary
21	Campbell, where did you go then?
22	A. I went to college and started taking
23	some college classes.
24	Q. And you were pursuing your education
25	full-time.



1	Α.	I did.
2	٥.	For how long?
3	Α.	I took two terms that time.
4	Q.	Two semesters?
5	Α.	Two quarters.
6	Q.	Two quarters.
7		Is that approximately four months? No,
8	six month	s,
9	Α.	It would be approximately six months.
10	Q.	And then afterwhere did you take
11	these cou	rses, in Oregon?
12	Α.	Umpqua Community College.
13	Ω.	Is that in Oregon?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	And what were you studying? What's
16	your cour:	se of study?
17	Α.	Electronics.
18	Q.	After your two quarters where you were
19	a full-tir	ne student, did you go back to the
20	workplace-	
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	full-time?
23		And where did you go?
24	Α.	I started contracting cable television
25	engineerin	g.



1 0. Was that the name of the company, or is 2 that a description of --That was a description. That's what I 3 Α. started doing was cable television engineering. 4 Cable television engineering for whom? 5 0. The work would have ultimately went to 6 Α. what would have been Comcast properties in Oregon 7 and Washington. 8 Did you work for a contractor? 9 10 I--yes. Was it your company? 11 I was an independent contractor. 12 that sense it was my company, but I subbed to 13 another company. 14 And what was the company that you 15 subbed to? 16 There has been consolidations in that 17 Α. industry too. And I am trying to remember their 18 names. They are based out of Sheboygan, Wisconsin. 19 Who are they now, if you recall, if you 20 know? 21 I am not sure who they are now. 22 was quite a bit of consolidation in that area 23 now. Oh, Channel Communications. At the time, 24



that's who they were called.

25

### Thacker + Co llc

1	Q. They were called Channel
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. But they are not called Channel
4	anymore, to your knowledge.
5	A. I'm not sure.
6	Q. What was the nature of the work that
7	you did for Channel?
. 8	A. Basically walked pole lines and mapped
9	the pole lines in the facilities that were on the
10	pole lines. So created paper maps of a grid area
11	and placed all the poles on the maps and all the
12	cable television facilities and the powerand the
13	power feeds.
14	O. Was this in connection with a cable HFC
15	upgrade?
16	A. Toyes, to the best of my knowledge.
17	Q. Where was this work?
18	A. That work was in Vancouver, Washington.
19	Q. And how long were you a contractor to
20	Channel?
21	A. I believe that project lasted
22	approximately five to six months.
23	Q. Can you help me with the year at this
24	point? I am losing track.
25	A. That would have beenI believe that
1	



And it would have went

was the summer of '98.

1

through the November, December time frame. 2 So end of 1998 is when it concluded. 3 Ο. Yeah, somewhere around in there. 4 Α. And did you--after that project ended, 5 did you then start working for North Sky? 6 There was--shortly thereafter, yes--7 Α. Did you say at first you were a 8 0. contractor for North Sky? 9 10 Α. Yes. And then you subsequently became--at 11 the end of that period became a full-time 12 13 employee. Or am I getting confused with 14 Northstar? With Northstar or North Sky? 15 Α. Well, my question was--16 0. There's two norths there. 17 Α. 18 Q. Yeah. No. And that was my question. I am confused between North Sky and Northstar. 19 And I was trying to remember whether your 20 testimony was that you became a full-time employee 21 22 of North Sky or Northstar. North Sky would have been prior to 23 Α. Northstar. 24 25 Right. Q.



### Thacker + Co llc

1	A. Right. So I wouldI had done some
2	splicing work for North Sky prior to becoming a
. 3	full-time employee as a contractor.
4	Q. But at some point you were a full-time
5	employee with North Sky.
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. Are North Sky and Northstar related?
8	A. Not even close.
9	Q. I am thinking heavens and north.
10	A. No, no, it just happened to be they
11	both had north in their names one right after the
12	other.
13	Q. Okay. Is there any period from 1987
14	until 1999 that we've left out here?
15	A. I don't know. You would have to tell
16	me.
17	Q. I am just trying to make sure that I've
18	covered the whole time line. That's fine.
19	Tell me again when you started with
20	PacifiCorp.
21	A. It would have been in February of 2002.
22	Q. And did PacifiCorp approach you about
23	applying for the position?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And who approached you?



		1
1	Α.	Corey mentioned it to me.
2	0.	And you knew Corey from the work that
3	you were d	oing for Northstar.
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	And that work involved submitting
6	applicatio	ns.
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	At that time were you participating
9	withI'm	not sure whether it was called the
10	Oregon Tas	k Force or Joint Use Association. But
11	I think it	was the Joint Use Association.
12	Α.	The Oregon Joint Use Association?
13	Q.	Correct.
14	Α.	When I was at North Skyor Northstar
15	Q.	Now you are confused.
16	Α.	at Northstar or when I came to
17	PacifiCorp	?
18	Q.	At Northstar, first of all.
19	А.	No, I don't believe I was involved with
20	it at that	time. I was aware of it.
21	Q.	You knew it was going on.
22	А.	Sure.
23	Q.	Because it was your world.
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	Okay. And you subsequently became



1	involved with the Joint Use Association in Oregon.
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. When was that, approximately?
4	A. After I came to work at PacifiCorp.
5	Q. Was it after you came to work at
6	PacifiCorp, approximately when?
7	A. It would have been sometime in early
8	2002, February, March, April, sometime in that
9	early period there.
10	Q. So pretty soon after you started with
11	PacifiCorp you became active in the Joint Use
12	Association.
13	A. Active in it, yes.
14	Q. Do you serve on the board?
15	A. No.
16	Q. What capacity do youare you involved
17	with the Oregon Joint Use Association?
18	A. I am a member of the standards
19	committee.
20	Q. Any other committees?
21	A. No.
22	Q. What does the standards committee of
23	the Joint Use Association in Oregon do? What are
24	its responsibilities?
25	A. Some items that we're looking at right
1	



1 now are universal pole tagging systems that could possibly be used by a variety of different 2 companies, addressing applications, how they are 3 provided, what's provided. Anything that relates 4 to something that would standardize items or 5 standards relating to pole attachments. 6 Permit processing? Q. Permit processing, yes. 8 Α. Information needed for processing a 9 Q. 10 permit? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Safety standards? 0. 13 We haven't had those discussions. Α. 14 let me clarify that. 15 Q. Sure. I mean, safety is always a discussion. 16 But establishing safety standards, that's 17 18 something that there are governmental agencies that establish those safety standards. 19 So I take it that you didn't mean to 20 say that the standards committee didn't care about 21 22 safety and didn't talk about it. 23 Right. Correct. Α. Thank you for that clarification. 24 Q.



25

### Thacker + Co LLC

Do you work on definitions of things?

1	A. No.
2	Q. Do you attempt to come up with
. 3	circumstances for which a permit is necessary as
4	opposed toand let me give you an example.
5	Certain kind of work may add mass to a pole.
6	A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
7	Q. Putting a new transformer on or a new
8	power supply, certain other kinds of work like re-
9	splicing fiber may not. Did you ever have any
10	discussions about whether one of those events
11	would require a permit and another one might not?
12	A. Well, a transformer is a different
13	thing, because that's strictly a power company
14	issue. So there wouldn't be any discussions over
15	that.
16	If you are talking about pole
17	attachments, whether a pole attachment would add
18	massis that where you are asking?
19	Q. Yes.
20	A. If a pole attachment would add mass?
21	And certainly it would require an application.
22	Q. But did you have discussions of these
23	sort of things in the standards committee? T



24

25

## Thacker + Co LLC

mean, an application for this kind of activity,

but you don't need one for that kind of activity.

- A. I don't know that we've ever had a discussion saying that we didn't need to have applications for anything. I don't recall having any of those conversations. It was that if you were modifying something, you had to have an application for it.
  - Q. If you were modifying, adding to?
- A. Adding to or modifying, changing something. Changing a location on a pole would change the structural characteristics of that pole.
- Q. Are you still active on the standards committee?
  - A. Yes.

Q. When we spoke last week in examination of Corey and of Mr. Coppedge we spent a lot of time going through what I believe I referred to here as an A to Z of a process. And what we did there was we were talking specifically about the audit that Osmose was performing in Utah and how the information went from the field to Osmose and then to PacifiCorp.

And both Mr. Coppedge and Ms. Fitzgerald walked us through a very detailed and comprehensive narrative of that whole process.



### Thacker + Co LLC

1 What I'm trying to--I don't want to ask you about 2 that right now, because I'm not sure that's within your bailiwick, although we may talk about it a 3 little bit. 4 What I'm trying to do is I am trying to 5 6 get the same kind of picture in my head about the 7 pole permit application process in Utah that Mr. Coppedge and Ms. Fitzgerald were able to give me 8 with respect to Osmose. And so I want to ask you 9 a few questions, some of them which might be 10 11 repetitive of a couple of questions that I had 12 asked you previously but with the idea of, you 13 know, taking me through the flow chart or the schematic of how this would be processed. 14 15 just--do want to ask--you know, spend a little bit 16 of time trying to understand this. 17 Comcast fills out a pole application form, gets it to PacifiCorp in Portland and -- well, 18 19 let me back up. 20 When were your--you started PacifiCorp in 2002. 21 22 Α. Uh-huh (affirmative.) 23 Q. And what was your title then? 24 Α. Same title as I have now, Northwest 25 Supervisor.



### Thacker + Co LLC

1	Q. Northwest. And you were Northwest
2	Supervisor when you first started.
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And did you have responsibility for
5	Utah when you first started, or is that a recent
6	development?
7,	A. No. That was just a temporary, recent
8	development.
9	Q. When did you assume responsibility for
10	Utah?
11	A. It wasit wasn't all of Utah, again.
12	It was just the area from the metro area south.
13	So it wasn't all of Utah.
14	And it was in approximately the
15	December through March time frame of this past
16	year. So it was approximately a three to four-
17	month period.
18	Q. Soand you no longer have
19	responsibility for Utah.
20	A. No.
21	Q. Okay. What are you currently doing?
22	A. Northwest Supervisor. Stillthe same
23	job that I was doing.
24	Q. But what are the areas, the geographic
25	areas of responsibility?



	2	
1	Α.	Washington State and northern Oregon.
2	Q.	Who has responsibility today for metro
3	south?	
4	Α.	Mark Kuhn.
5	Q.	And north Utah?
6	Α.	It would be Brian Lund.
7		I'm going todo you mind if we
8	Q.	No, absolutely. Let's take a break.
9	Α.	It's a little warm in here.
10		(Recess taken.)
11	Q.	Mr. Clifton, we had just established, I
12	think, t	that you were inyou had responsibility
13	for Utah	for a three to four-month period. Is
14	that cor	rect?
15	Α.	For the southern Utah
16	Q.	For the southern
17	Α.	area.
18	Q.	Not all of Utah.
19	Α.	No.
20	Q.	But metro south. Thank you.
21	Α.	Yeah.
22	Q.	During that periodand focusing
23	specific	ally on the permit application processI
24	would li	ke you to describe for me, from the time
25	youan	application was received in Portland from
1		



Comcast, each step that was taken from the time the application is made until the attachment is made and it is post inspected.

- A. Okay. We could use Comcast for an example.
  - O. I can think of no better one.
- A. Okay. The--typically the applications that I saw that came from this area, it was a photocopy of a map. And on that map there would be basically the poles. And it may or may not have had on it what they were attempting to do.

And they would have had another sheet of paper that was filled out with some of the pole numbers, not typically all the pole numbers that they wanted to get to. It was very-typically very incomplete.

And we would get that application and attempt to figure out where they were going. And then an inspector would take it out into the field from PacifiCorp. And they would look at the poles and say, "Yes, this makes sense," "No, it does not make sense," turn the paperwork back into the office and then to the administrative services coordinator. And then she would send the--Comcast in this case--a note, This is what we



### Thacker + Co LLC

1 found. 2 And then after a period of time we 3 would have went back out and looked at it and seen if they indeed did what they said they were 4 5 going to do. 6 Q. Permit comes into Portland, correct? 7 Α. Uh-huh (affirmative.) 8 0. Permit application -- excuse me--Α. Right. 10 --comes into Portland. Q. 11 Α. Uh-huh (affirmative.) 12 Administrative services coordinator 0. 13 reviews it, sits down with you. And you review 14 it with him or her. 15 Well, it--in the Utah--are you talking 16 about in general -- see, there is -- in Utah what 17 happened at that time was the--yeah, it's the same 18 process. I guess the way we are saying it 19 sounds--let's step back for a second, because I 20 think that -- the application comes into the 21 administrative services coordinator. She logs it 22 into the system, I have received something. I



receives it.

23

24

25

### Thacker + Co LLC

guess that's where we are missing a couple of

steps in there. She logs it in the system.

1	Q. Who is that person for otal of those
2	persons?
3	A. I'm not sure who all is involved in
4	that now. I think we covered that.
5	Q. At the time.
6	A. At the time, Roz Holstrom was doing it.
7	Q. Anybody else for metro south?
8	A. For metro south at the time, no, there
9	wasn't at the time when I was involved.
10	Q. Just Roz.
11	A. Just Roz at the time.
12	Q. I'm sorry. Please continue.
13	A. Okay. She would log that into the
14	system. And depending on if she understood or it
15	didn't make sense, then we would talk about the
16	application. And I'd say, Send it to the
17	inspector to be inspected, or, This is what they
18	are really trying to say even though they are
19	notit doesn't make sense on this, I can look at
20	their map. And they actually have to have
21	continuity here, so this is what they are trying
22	to tell you. So, Yes, it's okay to go out to the
23	field.
24	Q. And would Roz then send instructions to
25	the inspectors to go to the field and look at the



1 route? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Inspectors are--0. 4 Α. Yes. 5 Ο. --in Utah? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And the inspectors would do what at 8 that point? Would they have a copy of the permit 9 application in the map? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Please describe for me exactly what 12 they would do starting from having a copy of the 13 map and getting into their truck to go look at 14 the route. 15 They would drive to the location, get Α. 16 out of their vehicle. And they would look at the 17 poles in question and try to determine if it made sense--if they could attach cleanly on the poles 18 19 without any issues, or if they had noted an 20 issue--and I don't recall them noting any issues 21 for make-ready for Utah Power to do--they would 22 say, This won't work, it needs a taller pole, 23 something needs to be moved on this facility, I'm 24 not sure, but something doesn't look quite right, 25 we need it to go to another person to review it.



### Thacker + Co llc

And then they would bring that 1 application -- they would send that application back 2. to Portland. 3 The application would go back from Utah Ο. to Roz in Portland. 5 Yes. Α. 6 And then would Roz communicate back to 7 0. Comcast? 8 Yes. 9 Α. Orally, by e-mail, by fax? 10 It wouldn't have been orally. 11 Everything's written, so it would either--I'm not 12 exactly sure if--it would have been some form of 13 written communication. Whether it was e-mail or 14 fax, I'm not sure. 15 Would the information from Roz be the 16 result of what was acquired in the field by the 17 inspectors? 18 Are you saying did Roz transfer the 19 information that the inspectors gave her to 20 Comcast and notify them? 21 That's what I was trying to say. 22 0. That's what she would have done, she 23 would have notified Comcast of the results of the 24



inspection.

25

### Thacker + Co LLC

- Q. So she may say, You are clean to attach on these 20 poles, but you need make-ready on these 20 poles, for example.
  - A. Correct.

- Q. And she would specify as a result of the inspector's report exactly what was needed for make-ready.
  - A. No, not necessarily at that point. No.
- Q. Okay. Then help me understand. Would she say, There is some make-ready that's needed, but I don't have all that information at this point?
- A. Two different things could happen on the make-ready—or three—probably three different things could happen on the make-ready side.

  Either another communications company may need to relocate on that facility point or that pole, and she would tell them, for example, if it was Qwest was too high on the pole that Qwest would need to relocate down the pole. And then you would have to take the position that Qwest was in, for an example with Qwest. That's a possible scenario.

Another scenario would be that a street light conductor is coming in a street light. And if they attach at that location it would create



### Thacker + Co LLC

an infraction. Therefore, we would need one of our servicemen to go out and cover the conductor of the street light and ground the mass to allow for a reduced clearance and that there would be a time and material charge for that.

Or it could come back and say--the inspector could have said, The pole is too short and would need to be replaced to accommodate them. And then a note would go to Comcast and say, The pole is too short. Would you like to pay for an estimate to have the pole replaced in order to provide space for your facilities? So those are the kind of scenarios.

- Q. And then Comcast would come back and say what?
- A. They could--they have options. I mean . . .
  - O. To pay for the make-ready?
- A. If it involved service work, sure, they could say, Yes, we'll pay for it. Or if it involved replacing a pole, their first step would be to say, We'll pay to have an estimate created for that. Or they could come back and say, We don't want to do this, we'll go underground at this location and say, We'll move off the



### Thacker + Co llc

1	facility.
2	Q. When you were talking a moment ago
3	about first receiving permit application from
4	Comcast I believe you mentioned that some of the
5	information was incomplete or incorrect.
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. You gave an example, I believe, of,
8	Well, this is a continuous run and they've, you
9	know, excluded some poles in that run.
10	A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
11	Q. What would Comcast bewould they be
12	basing, if you know, their application on a field
13	inspection of the route?
14	A. I don't know how they arrived at some
15	of their conclusions or their thought process.
16	Q. Do you have an idea of why with this
17	particular discrepancy we are talking about of
18	lack of continuity, why that would not have
19	appeared on their permit application, continuity?
20	A. Why it wouldn't have?
21	Q. Yes.
22	A. Because they didn't fill it out
23	properly.
24	Q. Fill out the form properly or
25	A. To miss poles that were in the field,



for example. I mean, they'd have a start point,
an end point, but the middle is missing, but yet
they want to go from one pole to the next.

One pole or one point?

A. One point to the next point.

Let's use an example. There is ten

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let's use an example. There is ten poles here between point A and point B, but there's only seven poles on the application, and the middle three are missing.

- Q. Could it have been that they maybe had inspected those poles, determined on their own that the make-ready was too burdensome and decided to go underground on those three poles?
- A. It's possible. But when you go out and you find it on the poles, then that's not probable.
  - O. Go out and find what on the poles?
- A. For example, if it was an overlash of fiber but yet you had coax already running all the way across those poles, then obviously they didn't go underground on those middle poles.
- Q. So you have in your mind an example where that had occurred, where there were some number of poles in the middle dropped out.
  - A. I don't have it in my mind, but I have



### Thacker + Co LLC

1	seen that.
2	Q. With Comcast?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Where they had applied for overlashing
5	and they had coax up there already and just
6	seemed to have missed the poles in their
7	application.
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Back in your days as a cable TV
10	construction guy did you do permits for
11	overlashing when you were rebuilding HFC systems?
12	A. Did I do permits for
13	Q. Did you or your clients file permit
14	applications for overlashing?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. That was one example that we just
17	talked about with missing poles in a run.
18	A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
19	Q. Were there other examples where it
20	didn't make sense from what was in the permit
21	application to what was on your maps or out in
22	the field? Do you have other examples of that?
23	A. Thatthat's a good example that we
24	just gave. And other examples would be, for
25	example, where they would have poles listed and



they just put no tags for poles.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. What does that mean, no tags?
- where the pole was at, for example. We would receive applications, We want to go attach to these poles, but basically we don't know where they're at. And it's pretty difficult for us to approve an application if we don't know where you are at. And we would get a map that was not legible with a line on the map, We would like to go here. And I would tell Roz, Send it back to them and ask them where it's at.
- Q. Did they get maps from you before--when they were doing their walkouts?
  - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Would you have provided them if they asked?
- A. We--there is a group in the company where they can purchase maps from if they want to purchase maps.
- Q. But that wasn't typically, to your knowledge, part of the process when you were in Utah.
  - A. With Comcast?
  - Q. Yes.



### Thacker + Co LLC

1	A. Not to my knowledge.
2	Q. Are there any other examples? That may
, 3	be the best in those vivid example that you have
4	of missing poles, but do you have other examples
5	where there was a disconnect between what Comcast
6	was asking for or putting on their application and
7	what actually existed in theyour maps or in the
8	field?
9	A. Do you have an example of what
10`	you're
11	Q. I'm asking you.
12	A. I think those are probably the two best
13	examples. I mean, if it'sif you are trying to
14	get from one place to another and you are missing
15	something in the middle, it'ssomething is
16	missing.
17	Q. I'll just ask you again, and then we
18	can move on to something else. But are those all
19	the examplesI understand that those are probably
20	the best and the most vivid. But can you recall
21	any others as we're sitting here today discussing
22	this?
23	A. There is nothing that comes to mind
24	right now.
25	Q. Okay. Mr. Clifton, what I would like



to do at this point is maybe have you walk me through some of the paperwork that is actually processed as part of this application procedure.

And I think what we are going to take a look at first are some application sheets. And we'll give you a moment to look at it. Genevieve is pulling the material right now.

And we'll mark this for identification as Clifton Exhibit 1. And these are--Clifton Exhibit 1 is a four-page document entitled Joint Pole Notice. At the top of it is written in hand, Lindon, Utah. And it's signed at the bottom by--or initialed by Marty Pollock.

Are you familiar with this form, generally speaking? Not necessarily this specific application but this form.

- A. I--I've seen them, yes.
- Q. Is this not the PacifiCorp application form that is used in Utah, joint pole notice form?
- A. This isn't our standard application form, no.
- Q. Is--at the top of the page you'll see a--top left-hand corner--an indication that this is to Roz Holstrom. Is that the individual we



### Thacker + Co LLC

1	were talking about before who was the coordinator
2	at the time
3	A. Yes.
4	Qthat you were there? Okay.
5	You'll notice that at the bottom of
6	this document near Marty Pollock's initials it's
7	dated 12-1-03. Were you thedid you have
8	responsibility as the supervisor for Salt Lake
9	City metro and southern Utah at that time?
10	A. It was around this time. I couldn't be
11	sure of the exact date, but approximately here.
12	Q. When did you leave? When did you go
13	and take the NortheastNorthwest Oregon?
14	A. I have always had the Northwest.
15	Q. When did your responsibility for Utah
16	end?
17	A. It would have been approximately March
18	of this year.
19	Q. Okay. And when did it start?
20	A. It was right around that December time
21	frame there. I don't remember therecall the
22	exact date.
23	Q. Was it before Thanksgiving, do you
24	remember?
25	A. I don't recall. It was just right in



1 there.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Was this the PacifiCorp form that was used at that time for pole permit applications?
- A. No, not to my knowledge. The only ones I've seen use this are Comcast here.
- Q. So this is a Comcast form, to the best of your knowledge.
- A. I don't--I'm not exactly sure. The joint pole notice is a term we use, but I'm not sure if--where this form came from. I mean, apparently, from what I'm reading here, it was sent by Comcast to Roz. It could have been developed by PacifiCorp by the--I'm not sure.
- O. But you personally did not develop this document.
  - A. No.
- Q. When the pole application forms were sent to Roz in Portland--
  - A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
- Q. --I believe you testified that you would sit down with Roz and look over the applications and--in preparation for sending these things out to inspectors. Is that correct?
- A. Yeah. She would run some of these by me if she didn't understand them. Like this



### Thacker + Co LLC

•	would be a perfect example. When you look at it
2	there's so much information missing on it. And
, 3	even looking at the map, I'm not exactly sure
4	what they are trying to do. And this would have
5	been an example of she would have brung it to me,
6	I would have sat down with her and talked with
7	her about it. And I would have advised her to
8	send it back to them and tell her that it was
9	tell them that it was incomplete, because by
10	looking at this I couldn't make a decision on
11	what they were planning to do. And that even
12	comes withyou know, I couldn't tell. Or where.
13	Q. Have youand I know I am repeating
14	myself, Mr. Clifton, and I apologize for that.
15	A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
16	Q. But when you sat down with Roz would
17	you look at not necessarily this specific form
18	A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
19	Qbut forms like this?
20	A. There were some forms like this. There
21	were someyes.
22	Q. Your answer is yes?
23	A. Been forms, yes.
24	Q. Forms like this that are substantially
25	the same as this, if not identical.



Well, this is--Comcast is--was not 1 Α. consistent on the forms that they provided to us. 2 So when you say forms, there were different forms 3 4 that Comcast provided. I am asking about this form. 5 About this particular form. I have Α. 6 seen this particular form, yes. 8 Q. Thank you. Just walking through the first page 9 quickly, this has a -- it has certain map location 10 information on here including a--including 11 PacifiCorp pole numbers and addresses. Is that 12 13 correct? It does not have the complete 14 Α. PacifiCorp pole number on here, no. 15 So at the -- in the top quarter of the 16 page where we see PacifiCorp Pole 031903, that's 17 not a complete pole number. 18 It's part of the pole number. 19 other part of the pole number would be the map 20 21 string that's missing. Is the map string below this where it 22 says 114-06-02? 23



24

25

Α.

Q.

### Thacker + Co llc

So if you have the map string, plus the

Court Reporters
Utah's Leader in Litigation Support

Yes, I see that now.

pole number, plus the address, and in this case 114--I'm sorry--141 East 200 South, that would identify the specific pole that you are talking about.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. In this particular location, that's where they are saying, yes.
- Q. Okay. And you see on this document the same information on the right-hand column--not the same but similar information for another location and another pole.
  - A. Uh-huh (affirmative.) That's correct.
- Q. Based on your knowledge of PacifiCorp pole locating protocols, are these poles adjacent?
  - A. They may or may not be.
- Q. Is it the fact that one pole number is 03 and the other is 05 an indication that there may be an 04 between the two?
  - A. This could be 06 between the two.
- Q. So they are not sequentially numbered by circuits.
- A. The poles would be numbered as they were set in the field. So, for example, if you had an 03 and an 05 and later you need to interset a pole but 04 was taken somewhere else it could be an 06.



### Thacker + Co LLC

A	Q. Or some much higher number if it was
1	
2	done some years later.
3	A. I haven't seen them typically higher
4	numbers, so I don't know.
5	Q. Okay. That's helpful.
6	Moving down the page, it says "Foreign
7	Utility." What does that refer to when we talk
8	about foreign utility? Who is that referring to?
9	A. In reference to PacifiCorp, a foreign
10	utility would be another party that wished to
11	attach to the pole.
12	Q. Okay. So the fact that this form says
13	foreign utility, isn't that a pretty good
14	indication that this is a PacifiCorp-designed
15	form? Because if it were a Comcast-designed form,
16	would Comcast refer to itself as a foreign
17	utility?
18	A. Well, I know thatI can't make that
19	call. I don't know who designed this form.
20	Q. But it was used regularly.
21	A. I have seen it, yes, in Utah, yes.
22	Q. Thank you.
23	Now, a few moments agoand we'll
24	finish with this in a minute, Mr. Clifton. I
25	appreciate your patience here. You had indicated



that this form was deficient or difficult to understand. Is that correct?

A. That's correct.

- Q. Okay. Here is your chance. Tell me why.
- A. Well, I'll give you an example. You look at the form--one page says--has the pole number, the address, and the map string on the left-hand side.
  - Q. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
- A. And on the right-hand side it has another pole number, map string, and an address. So is it telling me that they are--are they talking about two poles, are they talking about poles in a line, because when I look at the second page, the second page has the exact same address and map string, but it has no pole number as on the first page. So that's confusing to me. It's the same address, but this one has no pole number.

On the right-hand side they've got an address and a map string, which is the same as on the front page again. And there is no pole number there. So I'm not sure if they are talking about specific poles or if they are trying to



### Thacker + Co LLC

talk about a pole line. But the fact that two addresses are the same with no pole number and the same pole number and—the information is inconsistent on it.

And then when I look at the map to try to gain clarity on the map, there are notes on practically every pole on this map. And I cannot read any pole numbers on this map anywhere. And so I can't correlate that.

And then this is heavy lines in multiples of different places. So is the heavy line one of the locations? I'm not sure. Is—there's maps—there's designations all over this map as far as fiber, as far as 625 cable, as far as 750 cable. And then I see some other ones that's 500 cable, 875 cable. I—I'm not sure where they are at on this map. It's not clear. And then by the confusion on the front, I am not sure what they really want to do.

- Q. If you got an application like this--
- A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
- Q. --what would you do with it?
- A. I would send it back to them and ask them what they wanted to do.
  - Q. Does it not indicate that they want to



### Thacker + Co LLC

attach to certain poles that are designated on the application sheet?

A. Well, there are two--like I said before, there are two pole numbers on here. But at the exact same address they tell me no pole number for the same address. 141 East 200 South has Pole No. 031903 on the first sheet. On the second sheet, they have the exact same address, 141 East 200 South, no pole number. And then on the right-hand side, they have 200 South State Street. And that's just blank. And it doesn't tell me down--that they are going to do anything there at that location.

And on the front, it does tell me that they are going to overlash. But when I look at the map, and knowing what I know about construction, I have no idea what they are going to overlash. Are they going to overlash 625?

Are they going to overlash a 750? Are they going to overlash a fiber? Are they doing all three?

Or are they doing an 875 or a 750? What exactly are they going to do? I can't . . .

- Q. Well, what are the 875 and 750 designations there?
  - A. Those are different cable sizes, coax



### Thacker + Co LLC

cable sizes.

- O. So essentially, if you saw this, your instruction would be, We need more information to know what you are going to do.
  - A. That's all we need, more information.
- Q. You wouldn't be able to take this application and say to your inspectors, Well, we have some locations here, go check it out.
- these cables or what you are talking about doing changes dramatically the structure of the pole.

  Now, hanging a 500 cable, which is a half an inch in diameter, on the pole is significant—
  significantly different to the loading characteristics of an 875. That's basically the diameter in inches. An 875, a 500, and a fiber, you know, all of a sudden it's going from a bundle of the size of your thumb to a bundle this big around makes a huge difference on a pole and what that pole can hold.
- Q. And that information is not available on this.
- A. I have no idea what they are doing on this, no.
  - Q. Wouldn't you have known what kinds of



### Thacker + Co LLC

fibers they were--what the size of the bundles were that they were seeking to overlash from other applications that were submitted before this? In other words, isn't it possible that this might be a shorthand, you know that along this route, for example, they are attaching 750?

- A. I don't know that. I don't know that unless I see the applications to go with it. This is a typical map that I have seen, like I described. And by just looking at this map, this also does not address what's existing on the pole. So, for example, typically there may be already a portion of a bundle on that pole. And now they are adding some other bundle to it. And without knowing what's existing on there and the effects on that structure, and now you are adding this on there, I don't know. It doesn't tell me that here.
- Q. Does a supervisor look at every application form that is submitted to Roz or her?
  - A. No, could not.
- Q. So is it possible that Roz and her counterpart at Comcast would have a working understanding about the size of the facilities to go on the pole?



### Thacker + Co LLC

A. No. I had those conversations with
Roz. And Roz didn'tshe didn't know. I sat
down. And I discussed these with her, just like
I am with you today.
Q. Okay. Well, we may come back to this,
because I may have some additional questions
later.
Exhibit-1 marked
During this time period in Utah that
we'rewhere you had responsibility for, the parts
of Utah that you had responsibility for
A. Okay.
Qwere there fees associated with the
permit review process and the inspections that
were charged to Comcast?
A. Were there fees specific to what?
Q. To filing an application.
A. There are application fees, yes.
Q. For engineering or inspecting the pole?
A. There are inspection fees, yes.
Q. Are there make-ready fees if there is
make-ready work to be done?
A. If PacifiCorp needed to do work on the
pole, is that what you are asking?
Q. Yes.



1	A. Yes, then we would be required to
2	recover those costs.
, 3	Q. I see.
4	Mr. Clifton, we are going to give you a
5	document to take a look at. And we are going to
6	ask you some questions about that.
7	A. Okay.
8	Q. And we'll go ahead and mark this as
9	Clifton 2, Clifton No. 2.
10	Counsel has already seen this.
11	MR. SACKETT: Yes.
12	THE WITNESS: Okay.
13	BY MR. THOMAS:
14	Q. And are you familiar with this
15	document, Mr. Clifton?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And is this a description of
18	PacifiCorp's fee schedule associated with
19	application permits and inspections for
20	attachments made to PacifiCorp poles?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Was this fee schedule in place in Utah
23	in the time period that we have been talking
24	about here where you had responsibility for parts
25	of Utah?



-1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Yes. Okay.
3	Do you see the first line there that
4	says application processing fee, 26.65 plus \$4 per
5	pole?
6	A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
7	Q. Just so I understand this, if I am
8	want to attach to a ComcastI'm sorryif I want
9	to attach to a PacifiCorp pole, I would need to
10	submit a fee in the amount of \$30.65 to attach to
11	one pole.
12	A. No. My understanding is it would be
13	26.65 for the first pole.
14	O. So it's 26.65 for the first pole, plus
15	\$4 for each additional pole. So it would be
16	\$30.65 if I wanted to attach to two poles, but
17	only 26.65 if I wanted to attach to one.
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. You say it's your understanding
20	A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
21	Qis that in fact the case?
22	A. That's, I believe, what happens, yes.
23	Q. Okay. So with respect to that first
24	line, the 26.65 plus \$4, to be completely
25	accurate, it should maybe have said \$4 per each



1 pole thereafter as is indicated in the six 2 inspection level fees below it, correct? 3 Α. (Witness nods head.) 4 0. Okay. 5 MR. SACKETT: Yes? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 MR. THOMAS: Thanks. BY MR. THOMAS: 8 9 Ο. How are these numbers arrived at, the 20--let's take them--let's take the 26.65 first. 10 11 Α. Okay. 12 Q. What--how was that developed? That number was developed by 13 Α. discussions that I had with Corey and Joyce 14 Russell, who was the coordinator at the time. 15 16 And we took a look at--we took a look at the 17 times of what the average times would take, for 18 instance, to process a pole, to process one pole, 19 to process a group of poles, and take those 20 average times that it would take to do that. 21 For instance, we knew the initial one, 22 sending in the application, doing the first pole 23 took the biggest bulk of the time. Then just 24 adding a pole number, hence, going to the four 25 dollar would be much less time, you didn't have



#### Thacker + Co LLC

- Q. So you developed these fees. And there were a bunch of discussions with Corey and Joyce, did you say?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. So what goes into the 26.65 plus \$4 per pole? Talk to me about the elements of your model.
- A. Well, it's been awhile since I created that. But as I recall, we took in the time associated with creating that information to set up the work request for it, to fill out the paperwork that was necessary in-house in order to put it in the work tracking system to send it to the inspector, gathering up these paperwork, for instance, that you would have to review.

And if pole numbers were missing, for instance, they can't go out to the field. So she may have to take a map like this, for example, compare it with our mapping system, say, Oh, we can see this pole number here and this pole number here, there is two poles in-between and two



#### Thacker + Co LLC

pole numbers are missing. Therefore, we know this is really what they want to do. And we would have to add those pole numbers into our system.

And then we would also--if this was the particular form used we would note the pole numbers on here, what the correct pole numbers were.

And if she could verify that and verify that it was a valid pole number, because we would check that in our system, say, Yes, we do own that pole or--for example, it's--another utility owns the pole, the phone company, for example, and we would tell them, We cannot permit this; this is a Qwest pole, you'll need to contact Qwest on this.

And so all of that prep time goes into that time. That would all be included in that fee there for the first pole.

- Q. So hourly labor is factored into that.
- A. Yeah. You would have a time component and then whatever the hourly labor rate is, it would be that time, the time component to get to those figures.
- Q. Is that a loaded labor rate? In other words, does it include -- it includes salary I'm assuming, correct?



#### Thacker + Co LLC

1	A. Yes. When you are asking what a loaded
2	labor rate is
3	Q. Well, I am asking about this specific
4	circumstance.
5	A. Okay.
6	Q. It would include salary, benefits?
7	A. To the best of my knowledge.
8	Q. Is there an hourly labor rate
9	associated with a supervisor's time, for example?
0	A. I don't actually know.
1	Q. With a coordinator's time?
2	A. With them there is an hourly rate, I
13	know that.
14	Q. Did you factor your time into this?
5	A. No.
6	Q. So it was just the time that Roz or
7	someone like Roz would spend on it. That's what
18	went into that.
19	A. Specifically for a coordinator's time.
20	Q. Do you havedo you retain copies of
21	the model that you used in developing this?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Let's go down to the pre-inspection
24	fees. And I think we can probably shortcut this
25	with a couple of quick questions. And that is,



1 for clarification, the Level 4, Level 5, and Level 2 6 fees you see in post inspections are essentially 3 the same fees--are exactly the same fees that are 4 associated with pre-inspection, correct? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Ο. And that's because it involves roughly 7 the same intensity of work. 8 Α. The same time components are involved, yes. 10 Q. Same time components. 11 All right. Let's talk about Level 1 12 inspection. What goes into the two numbers listed 13 there, the 31.30 and the 17.65? 14 Okay. A Level 1 pre-inspection, what's 15 involved in that where it says 31.30, first pole, 16 that would involve a drive time component to get 17 to that facility. And it would involve a time 18 component to inspect it and fill out the 19 associated paperwork with it. 20 If the inspector, for example -- we'll 21 use a four-pole example. If the inspector was 22 going to look at four poles that someone wanted 23 to overlash, he would charge the time component



24

25

#### Thacker + Co LLC

from the shop to get to that pole, the 31.30, and

then poles two, three and four would be billed at

- Deposition of Joseph Clifton the 17.61 rate if that's what inspection level he 1 used, because going 150 --he just doesn't have the 2 same time component there. 3 Is that just the labor rate for the 4 individual who would be looking at the pole, 5 inspector individual? 6 Α. No. 7 All right. What else is in there? 8 Ο. The vehicle. 9 Α. 10 Anything else? Q. No. 11 Α. 12
  - Were there any--jumping back up to the application processing fee for a second, was that just worker time?
  - That would just be the coordinator's time, yes.
  - It didn't include computer charges, printing charges, other things like that.
    - Nothing specific to that. Α.
  - Okay. Any material charges involved with this? In the application processing fee, were there any material charges that were put into that?
  - No. Materials -- define materials. Α. mean . . .



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### Thacker + Co LLC

1	Q. Pens and pencils.
2	A. No.
3	Q. Paper.
4	Any otherI'm sorry. Back down to the
5	pre-inspection fees at Level 1. We have labor,
6	which is based on averages or models of time,
7	correct?
8	A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
9	Q. And vehicles, correct?
10	A. Right, labor and vehicles.
11	Q. Anything else?
12	A. No.
13	Q. I bet you can guess my next question is
14	going to be about Level 2.
15	A. Okay.
16	Q. Talk to me about what goes into Level
17	2, just the way we did for application andfor
18	Level 1.
19	A. Exact same things as Level 1.
20	Q. Okay. How come it's more?
21	A. Because it's a different type of
22	inspection.
23	Q. Different how?
24	A. Well, a Level 2 inspection would
25	involve getting out and measuring heights of



attachments on a pole.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Level 1 is a visual inspection, where it's clear when you look at it that there's no issues with the pole. For example, you drive to a pole and nothing is on it, and it's a 75-foot pole. They can attach to it. It's obviously-plenty of strength and structure to it. There is nothing to measure.

A Level 2, he goes to another pole.

And it's in town, and it's shorter. And he is

concerned that the clearances are not going to be

met and needs to make the measurements to ensure

that he can indeed approve that pole.

- Q. Are there more vehicle charges associated with a Level 2 than there are with a Level 1?
  - A. Same travel component.
  - Q. Flat, exactly the same element?
- A. It's the same element.
  - O. Do you remember how much it was?
    - A. I don't recall off the top of my head.
    - Q. So the \$9.90 difference there is strictly a function of the amount of lime that the inspector needs to spend at each location, correct?



#### Thacker + Co LLC

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Let's move to Level 3. Talk to me
. 3	about Level 3.
4	A. A Level 3 would be a pole that involved
5	a pole loading analysis.
6	Q. What's a pole loading analysis?
7	A. It's where you actually have to do a
8	an actual load analysis of the structure to ensure
9	that it has enough strength in order to support
10	the attachment.
11	Q. And how would you make that
12	determination, whether that level of inspection
13	was needed?
14	A. You would have to make that by the
15	looking at the class of the pole and looking at
16	the bundles of the attachments on the pole, those
17	type of items on it.
18	Q. Would it be obvious to the inspector
19	from the materials that Roz had sent him that
20	this is going to be a Level 1, Level 2, or Level
21	3 inspection?
22	A. From the materials sent to them, they
23	have to make that call out in the field.
24	Q. Out in the field.
25	What is therephrase.



1	Do you know in terms of approximate
2	percentages what's a Level 1 inspection, what's a
3	Level 2 inspection, and what's a Level 3
4	inspection for Utah duringthe parts of Utah you
5	were responsible for for the time period? Are
6	most level ones, or was it mixed across the
7	three?
8	A. We've never done a Level 3 inspection
9	anywhere, to my knowledge, so that would be zero.
10	Q. So everything has been Level 1 or Level
11	2, to your knowledge.
12	A. Right.
13	Q. Do you know why you haven't done a
14	Level 3 inspection?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Why?
17	A. We haven't trained our inspectors to do
18	that yet.
19	Q. But are there poles out there where a
20	detailed loading analysis would be needed?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. What do we do in thatwhat do you do
23	in that circumstance?
24	A. We would ask for assistance from the
25	engineering department.



Q. As opposed to having it done by the
inspector.
A. Yes.
Q. And have we askedpardon me. Have
you, PacifiCorp, asked the engineering department
to assist with pole analyses in Utah?
A. Has PacifiCorp in general? I can't
answer for all of PacifiCorp.
Q. Okay. With respect to Utah.
A. I can't answer for all of PacifiCorp.
I have no idea who
Q. Have you ever asked the engineering
department to assist in a loading analysis
associated with a Comcast permit application?
A. No.
Q. Is that because you have not received a
permit for a pole that required an engineering
analysisa loading analysis?
A. I'm not sure with the number of poles
that came in whichyou know, I'mdo some need
it? I don't know. I mean
Q. But when they are trained and when they
are ready to do it, you got the charge figured
out even though they are not trained yet, correct?
A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)



Q.

### Thacker + Co LLC

Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.
I think we are finished. We can mark
No. 2 here. We are finished with that document
for the moment.
A. Okay.
(Discussion off the record.)
Exhibit-2 marked
Q. We are going to mark this as Clifton
No. 3. And this is a document that is a two-page
document. It's a fax cover sheet with athat's
dated July 15, 2002. And there is a letter
attached to it that's dated April 17, 2002. And
it's a message, which I'll read. It saysthe
fax cover sheet reads, "Marty, per your request
I have attached a letter that was sent out to all
of our licensees in April of this year. The
charges are as follows."
Do you see that, Mr. Clifton
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Were you with PacifiCorp in July of
2002?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you with PacifiCorp in April 17,



4	2002?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Are you familiar with the charges that
4	are set forth on the first page of the fax cover
5	sheet?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Have you ever seen these before?
8	A. No.
9	O. Referring back to Exhibit No. 2 that we
10	had just spent some time talking about Level 1,
11	Level 2, Level 3 inspections, explain to me how
12	it came about that you developed the model for
13	those fees. In other words, did Corey come to
14	you and say, We need to come up with a new fee
15	structure?
16	A. I don't recall exactly how that
17	happened. I know I was charged with it. But
18	exactly what the conversations were that led up to
19	it, I don't recall.
20	Q. Would it likely have been Corey who
21	made that request, do you think?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Do you remember approximately when you
24	developed these Level 1 through 6 inspection



charges?

25

### Thacker + Co LLC

1	A. I believe I was working on those in
2	2002.
3	Q. Do you remember whether it was after
4	July of 2002 or if it was before July of 2002?
5	A. I'm not sure.
6	Q. When did you start for PacifiCorp
7	again, earlier in 2002?
8	A. Yes, that's correct.
9	Q. Was itthe month was
10	A. It was in February.
11	Q. February.
12	Did you start working on this right
13	away, the Level 1 through Level 6 inspections?
14	A. No.
15	Q. It was some months after you arrived.
16	A. Yes, it was some months after.
17	Q. When did the Level 1 through Level 6
18	inspection fees get rolled out to attaching
19	parties?
20	A. I'm not sure.
21	Q. Was it in 2002? If you recall.
22	A. I don't recall.
23	Q. Was it before 2004?
24	A. It wouldyes.
25	Q. But you believe you began to develop



1	them sometime in 2002.
2	A. To the best of my knowledge.
. 3	Q. How long did it take you to develop it?
4	A. I'm not sure. I wouldprobably two to
5	three months.
6	Q. And that would be both designing the
7	model and having internal discussions about them.
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Who made the decision to roll these out
10	once they were developed?
11	A. To roll thewhich ones?
12	Q. One through six, Levels 1 through 6.
13	A. Well, Corey would have had final
14	authority to make that decision.
15	Q. So it was Corey.
16	A. Corey would have been the person making
17	the decision, yes.
18	Q. Thank you.
19	When you were charged with developing
20	the Level 1 through 6, what were your
21	instructions?
22	A. I don't recall. I mean, it was to
23	develop a model.
24	Q. Did somebody come to you and say, We
25	got to come up with a new fee structure and, Joe,



you are the guy? 1 I don't remember what the circumstances 2 Α. were around it. 3 And you're saying that in the course of Q. 4 developing your one through six inspections you 5 never had occasion to refer to prior fees that 6 were charged by PacifiCorp for functions. 7 I did not look at prior fees. I am not Α. 8 sure--what was the--I am not sure if it was a yes 9 or no the way you phrased it. 10 What--let me try and help you with 11 12 that. You stated previously that you had not 13 seen the fees that I--we put on Clifton Exhibit 14 No. 3--15 That is correct. 16 Α. --that we marked as Clifton Exhibit No. 17 Ο. 18 3. So my question was, is it true that 19 you did not look at any prior fee structure in 20 developing Inspection Levels 1 through 6? 21 That's correct, I did not. 22 Did you have an idea through 23



these functions were?

24

25

#### Thacker + Co LLC

conversation what the prior fees associated with

ı	A. I'm sorry. With what?
2	MR. THOMAS: Could you read the
3	question back, please?
4	(Record read.)
5	THE WITNESS: These Level 1 through 6
6	functions or
7	BY MR. THOMAS:
8	Q. I will try and rephrase.
9	In your development of Inspection
10	Levels 1 through 6, did you have an idea of what
11	the prior fees were? Not Level 1 through 6 but
12	what was in effect before Level 1 through 6 went
13	into effect.
14	A. I didn't know exactly what they did
15	prior to that.
16	Q. Exactly did you have an idea?
17	A. I didn't even really have an idea. I
18	mean, it was all over the board with what
19	companies did.
20	Q. Did somebody come to you and say, You
21	know what, we need to charge more for access to
22	our poles for inspection fees?
23	A. No.
24	Q. What was your instruction when you were
25	charged with this?





Okay.

That's correct.

prior to this.

Α.

0.

23

24

25

#### Thacker + Co LLC

Do you know whether anybody at

	1
1	PacifiCorp had actually done a study on cost
2	recovery to be able to make the conclusion that
3	there was not complete cost recovery associated
4	with inspections?
5	A. Could you ask that again?
6	Q. Yes.
7	Was there a study done that indicated
8	that there was under-recovery associated with
9	applications and inspections?
10	A. I don't know.
11	Q. Do you know who would know?
12	A. I wouldCorey.
13	Q. Changing gears just for a quick second,
14	you're aware, are you not, that in Utah a \$250
15	unauthorized attachment penalty has been assessed
16	to Comcast in places where there is no permit on
17	record?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you know when that was developed?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Were you involved in the development of
22	that?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Do you know what goes into the
25	development of that number?
L	



4

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Is that cost--pardon me--is that charge imposed as part of the permitting process?
  - A. I'm sorry.
- Q. When Comcast files an application for attachment to a pole and the--and if it's, for example, an overlash, and there is no permit on record with Pacificorp that Comcast, in fact, is attached to that pole, is Comcast billed \$250 as part of the permitting process?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Going back to the permit applications process, do you--and speaking to Utah metro and south when you had responsibility for Utah--do you know what the lag time was between an application for either a new attachment or an overlash was received by Roz in Portland until the pole was cleared for attachment? And we can talk ranges, a clean pole, a pole with significant make-ready, something that required replacement. Can you give me a sense of those time frames?
  - A. I don't know the elapsed time.
  - O. Do you have an idea, approximately?
- A. There is quite a few factors in there.

  And I don't know. I wasn't down here long enough



#### Thacker + Co LLC

to get a sense of the time frames involved in that.

- Q. Well, let's be more general then.

  Let's not limit it just to Utah, because there has been a couple of years now that I guess you have been supervisor.
  - A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)

- Q. From the time a cable company or CLEC makes application for an attachment until it's cleared for attachment on a perfectly clean pole, on average how long does that take?
- A. It depends on the application size. So if you are talking of 1 pole versus 100 poles it's two different items. So we would need to narrow it down.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's narrow it down. From one to ten poles. Clean--one to ten clean poles.
- A. Okay. One to ten poles, with absolutely no work being done on it, where they want to attach to it. From the time that we would get it to the time that we would go out, an inspector--I don't know off the top of my head on those individual kind of applications like that. I mean . . .



#### Thacker + Co llc

I am asking for approximations. 1 Q. Yeah. We would try to get through that 2 Α. within our time frames that we would have listed 3 in our contracts with them. 4 I am not asking about the time frames 5 that are listed in your contract. I am asking 6 based on your experience. And it's a very narrow 7 question that we have broken down to one to ten 8 poles, perfectly clean. Your best estimate. 9 I would say less than 45 days. 10 Why is 45 days a day that you pick as a 11 benchmark? 12 I just picked that number, because 13 that's what we typically shoot for to strive to 14 do. That's typically what we have in our 15 contracts is a 45-day time frame. 16 If you get an application that contains 17 Ο. 100 poles that are--18 Uh-huh (affirmative.) 19 Α. -- that are clean, would you be able to 20 do that within 45 days? 21 Typically. 22 Α. What about a pole that is Level 2 23 Q. inspection and there are 100 of them? 24



Α.

25

#### Thacker + Co LLC

It depends. The inspections would be

1	completed in that time frame.
2	Q. Okay. Are you aware of circumstances
3	where from application to permit grant it's
4	exceeded 45 days?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Is itdoes it happen frequently or
7	sporadically?
8	A. It could happen at any time.
9	Q. My question was, does it happen
10	frequently or happen sporadically?
11	A. I don't know how often it happens.
12	Q. Do you sometimes have applications that
13	are pending for months?
14	A. I would say yes.
15	Q. For years?
16	A. I don't know.
17	Q. Going back to the \$250 fee that we were
18	talking about previously, to your knowledge, is
19	that fee only charged as a result of the audit
20	that's within more of the province of Mr.
21	Coppedge's duties?
22	A. No.
23	Q. It's not only charged withinit's
24	charged on otherthrough other processes.
25	A. Yes.



1	Q. How is it charged?
2	A. It would be charged if it was in their
3	contract or if it was found out in the field.
4	There is provisions in Oregon under Oregon
5	administrative rules that pertain to fees
6	associated with recovery of unauthorized
7	attachments. So there is a variety of methods.
8	Q. Well, let's go back to just Utah, then,
9	for a moment.
10	When a Comcast attachment is found on a
11	pole but there is no PacifiCorp record of that
12	attachment, it's assessed a \$250 fee, correct?
13	A. I believe so.
14	O. And that's still being assessed today,
15	to your knowledge.
16	A. I would believe so.
17	Q. And for Utah specifically, what would
18	the process be for invoicing Comcast for that \$250
19	fee?
20	A. I don't know.
21	As far as the invoicing goes?
22	Q. Yes.
23	A. I don't know. I don't have anything to
24	do with invoicing.
25	Q. But you are not aware that it's coming



through the permit application process as opposed 2 to the audit. You are not aware that it's coming 3 through the permit application, correct? 4 Α. That it would be coming through a permit application? 5 6 Q. That there would be a charge. 7 Oh, not with the permit application. Α. 8 That's what you are asking. 9 Ο. Yes. If the \$250 would be associated with 10 Α. 11 the permit application. 12 Q. Okay. 13 No, I don't know. Α. Okay. Is your--are you--when you get a 14 Ο. pole application, pole permit application from 15 16 Comcast or any other attacher, for that matter, is 17 it possible to look at that application and to--18 and make a decision at that time whether the 19 attachment can be made? In other words, just 20 from your database or calling up information on 21 the computer, or do you always have to send 22 somebody out to take a look? 23 Α. No, I would send someone out to take a 24 look. 25 Q. Always?



1

#### Thacker + Co llc

1	A. Always.
2	Q. Not that you don't trust Comcast, but
3	you just want to make sure that that's indeed the
4	case.
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. Okay. And to your knowledge is this
7	is that the way that it's being done in other
8	jurisdictions, as well, other than Utah?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. That's just the procedure.
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay.
13	A. Excuse me.
14	Q. Okay. Mr. Clifton, I am going to be
15	talking to him later this afternoon, Mr. Cordova,
16	but I don't know much about Mr. Cordova. Can you
17	tell me what his job role and responsibilities
18	are, to the extent you are familiar with them?
19	A. When?
20	Q. Presently.
21	A. Today?
22	Q. Today, yeah.
23	A. He's the managerdistribution manager
24	of Moab operations.
25	Q. Of Moab ops.



1	A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
2	Q. And previously?
3	A. He was the Southeast supervisor, so he
4	would have been the supervisor in charge of metro
5	south.
6	Q. Did you and Mr. Cordova have the same
7	responsibilities in Utah but during different
8	times?
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. Did he precede you?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. So that I'm tracking properly, was it
13	Mr. Cordova, Mr. Clifton, Mr. Kuhn?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. Okay. It's very alliterative.
16	A. Do you mind if we take a break?
17	Q. You know, I was justsure, let's go
18	ahead and take a break.
19	A. Okay.
20	Q. We can do that. Yeah, let's go ahead
21	and take a break.
22	(Recess taken.)
23	Mr. Clifton, I have a couple of
24	questions for you regarding the Utah Department of
25	Transportation form T-600. Are you familiar with
L	





24

25

Α.

Q.

### Thacker + Co LLC

As I recall, it goes to Comcast.

Are there occasions when you would not

approve a UDOT form?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What would be--give me some examples of when that would be.
- A. The engineering does meet the UDOT requirements, code requirements.
- Q. For example, if ground clearance across the road were too low.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And then what would happen at that point, would you send the application back to Comcast and say, Redo it, it doesn't meet UDOT, or would you say, You need to have ground clearance of, you know, 22 feet over this road, please . . .
- A. I would tell them that it didn't meet the minimum requirements and the--the minimum requirements are--here is what they are. And it may require that we would have to place taller poles in order for them to attach to it in order to get the proper ground clearance and to meet the NESC standards or our construction standards of how far they need to be away from the power facilities.
  - Q. What if UDOT--what if Comcast has an



#### Thacker + Co LLC

attachment on a pole that is not in compliance with UDOT standards but it wants to make it in compliance with UDOT standards? Let's take an example of a pole that—of a span that is, you know, 15 feet at mid span and they need to bring that up to UDOT standards. To do that work on that pole would they have to fill out a T-600 if it's on a State road?

- A. That would be my understanding. If they wanted to make any kind of modifications, then they would have to have that form.
- Q. And if--and they would fill out a T-600, and then they would submit that to PacifiCorp for approval.
- A. With the engineering that they would need in order to make those changes.
- Q. If the application says that--let's try this another way. Excuse me.

If on the application form they are seeking to correct a low span and PacifiCorp were to respond, The span is too low, we can't approve the application, would you have any thought as to how that application could ever be granted so that application—so that that attachment can be fixed?

A. Well, let me see if I understand you



#### Thacker + Co LLC

correctly and your--what you were saying was is that we have two spans that are going over the top of a road, and in this particular case the span has a road clearance height of 15 feet, and it needs a road clearance height to meet UDOT standards.

- Q. (Witness nods head.)
- A. Okay. And are you saying that we notified Comcast that we found this deficiency and you need--and this needs to be corrected or . . .
- Q. What I am saying is that Comcast is filing the T-600 with PacifiCorp to correct that and PacifiCorp's response is, You don't meet UDOT standards, so we can't grant this application essentially to bring it to UDOT standards.
  - A. That doesn't make any sense to me.
  - Q. Okay.

A. Because if you are going to correct it to meet UDOT standards, then we would approve it to correct it, if there was sufficient space on the pole to move them up. If there was not sufficient space on the pole for them to move you, then we'd have to tell them that this pole height is too low, you can't raise it, therefore, we would have to raise the poles—or add taller



### Thacker + Co LLC

poles in order for you to make that. And, of

course, we would grant that in that particular

case providing they were willing to pay to have

the poles replaced.

Q. Changing subjects a little bit and

moving towards the end. At the very beginning

- moving towards the end. At the very beginning of our time together this morning you had indicated that you had given a deposition in a case before.
  - A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
  - Q. About 20 years ago, correct?
  - A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
- Q. You said that was a labor dispute. Is that correct?
  - A. It was a labor relations case related to layoffs, employee layoffs.
  - Q. Were you a party to that case either as a Defendant or a Plaintiff?
  - A. I would work for the company that was-an employee had filed a claim for unemployment-let me think about--I need to just step back a
    second and remember.
    - O. Sure.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I mean, it's been quite awhile ago.

Is--as I recall what it was was that--thinking

back now--it was an employee had filed a case



#### Thacker + Co llc

1	with the state to receive unemployment benefits.
2	And they were denied unemployment benefits. We
3	denied them because they had walked off the job
4	is basically what happened there.
5	Q. Were you the employee?
6	A. No. I wasI worked for the employer.
7	Q. You workedwho was the employer?
8	A. It was a company called Sambo's
9	Restaurants at the time.
10	Q. Okay. But it wasn't a cable company or
11	a
12	A. No, no.
13	Q. It was before you got into the cable
14	business.
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. Reflecting back on your
17	testimony today, is there anything you would like
18	to clarify or correct before we wrap up, because
19	we're just about finished here.
20	A. Nothing that I can think of.
21	MR. THOMAS: Okay. Well, thank you
22	very much.
23	MR. SACKETT: I have a couple.
24	MR. THOMAS: Sure.
25	EXAMINATION



#### BY-MR.SACKETT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Mr. Thomas asked you some questions about the derivation of the numbers that are on what's marked as Clifton Exhibit 2. And you indicated that those--do you have that?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. That those numbers included the employee costs and vehicle costs. Is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And I think there was some confusion about the nature of whether the employee costs were fully loaded. And what was--first of all, do you understand what the term "fully loaded" means, because Mr. Thomas did ask the question?
- A. You know, depending on who I have spoken to it means different things.
- Q. And what does it mean in--well, let me ask it in this way.

Does the employee time that's included in the derivation of those numbers include any kind of implicit overhead amounts, or is it strictly the employee's wage time?

A. It would be--we have a labor rate that the company would have assigned to that employee. And I am not sure what all the components are of



#### Thacker + Co llc

1 that labor rate. I mean, I understand that's our
2 labor rate that we would charge.

- Q. Okay. So it's a labor rate that's internal to the company. And that's used for other purposes and for budgeting and the like.
  - A. I would assume so.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. Mr. Thomas asked you some questions about how long it takes to approve an application. And you said 45 days would not be unusual.
  - A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
- Q. And he described what was sort of a clean kind of application where there were no other attachments, maybe ten poles. And you said it would still take typically 45 days. Why would it take as long as 45 days?
- A. Sure. Because--well, a couple of reasons. First off is is that the ASC, the administrative services coordinator, when she would get it she may not necessarily process it on the day that she gets it. I mean, there is going to be a time lag there with whatever work pending that she has to go with it.

And the second thing is is when it goes to the inspector out in the field, the inspector



### Thacker + Co llc

may have how many ever applications in front of 1 that also. And he goes based on when he has 2 received those on when he's doing those or if he 3 is going to a different area of the--of his 4 district, depending on where he is driving to, then he would do those applications in that 6 district. So those time frames can vary based on 7 workload. 8 So it could be less than 45 days. Ιs 9

- Q. So it could be less than 45 days. Is that right?
  - A. Sure.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And that hypothetical that he gave you was, oh, with respect to Level 1. And then, you know, about a Level 2--
  - A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
- Q. --what--I think you testified that even for a Level 2 situation that the inspection would typically be done within 45 days. Is that correct?
  - A. Typically.
- Q. But that a Level 2 situation might string out considerably longer before approval was finally given. Is that correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And why would that be?



#### Thacker + Co llc

A. It could be a variety of different
things. If the inspector found, for instance, that
there needed to be some make-ready completed by
another party, for instance, then the notification
would have to go back to the particular company
that filed for it, plus a notice would have to go
to the other company that needed to relocate
facilities

And then there's the time that that other company has to respond to PacifiCorp before they move facilities. And then if there's a power component to it also, we would have to notify the company requesting that.

Or if they didn't request it, but yet we found the issue, we would have to say, for example, this--there is low secondary service there that would have to be razed, would you like us to raze the facilities in order to accommodate you? We would have to wait to get a response back from them. And then we would have to schedule the work with field operations, and field operations would have to tell us the work is complete. And then we would have to notify the licensee again in order to attach to the pole.



### Thacker + Collc

So a lot of it depends too on when the

```
licensee--how fast they respond to the notices
 1
      from us that something needs to be done.
 2
                 And that could stretch out over several
 3
          Q.
               Is that right?
      months.
 4
          Α.
                 Yes.
 5
                 MR. SACKETT: That's all I have.
                                                      Thank
 6
      you, Mr. Clifton.
 7
                 THE WITNESS:
                                Okay.
 8
                 MR. THOMAS: (Indicating.)
 9
             (Deposition concluded at 11:50 a.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



#### CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing deposition was taken before me, DAWN M. DAVIS, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah;

That said witness was duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth;

That the deposition was reported by me in stenotype and thereafter caused by me to be transcribed into typewriting, and that a full, true, and correct transcription of said testimony so taken and transcribed is set forth in the foregoing pages;

That no review of this deposition was requested by either party or the witness and, therefore, pursuant to Rule 30 (e) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure the review was waived.

I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause and am not interested in the event thereof.

Dawn M. Davis, RPR

My Commission Expires: March 8, 2008

