
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,)	
)	
)	Docket No. 03-035-28
)	
Claimant,)	
v.)	
)	PREPARED REBUTTAL
PACIFICORP, dba UTAH POWER,)	TESTIMONY OF BRUCE W. JENSEN
)	FOR PACIFICORP
)	
Respondent.)	July 14, 2004
)	

1 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

2 A. My name is Bruce W. Jensen. My business address is 1407 West North
3 Temple, Suite 230, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84116.

4 **Q. What is your employment history?**

5 A. I am currently employed by PacifiCorp as a Field Operations Manager for
6 Northern Utah. In the late 1990's, I was employed by PacifiCorp as a Manager of
7 Transmission Engineering. I was responsible for managing the design of PacifiCorp's
8 transmission lines in the Utah Power and Light service area. This included reviewing
9 requests for attachments on transmission lines for criteria such as loading and
10 clearances.

11 **Q. What areas will your testimony address?**

12 A. My testimony will address the statements made by Rodney Bell in his July 2,
13 2004, testimony regarding the documentation presented to me in a meeting that we had
14 in the late 1990's.

15

1 **Q. Have you reviewed the testimony of Rodney Bell?**

2 A. Yes.

3 **Q. Mr. Bell states that you identified a number of transmission poles on which you**
4 **thought Comcast was not permitted to attach. Do you recall having a meeting**
5 **with Mr. Bell about that?**

6 A. Yes. I had concerns regarding whether Comcast was permitted to attach to
7 PacifiCorp's poles. Accordingly, in 1998 or 1999, certainly before the time Mr. Bell
8 claims permits first became an "issue," Mr. Bell brought documentation to me to
9 demonstrate that Comcast was permitted to attach.

10 **Q. Mr. Bell states that the documentation that he brought to you to show that**
11 **Comcast was authorized to attach to transmission poles were blanket**
12 **authorizations/permitting maps. Do you agree?**

13 A. No. Blanket authorizations were not acceptable for transmission facilities.
14 Evidence of authorizations for such attachments required more documentation, such as
15 signed written agreements. While I do not recall whether he showed me permitting
16 maps, such maps would not be proof of a valid authorization, and I would not be
17 satisfied with such documentation.

18 **Q. What types of documentation did Mr. Bell show you?**

19 A. Mr. Bell showed me a signed agreement and permits that addressed the poles at
20 the locations in question. As I recall, the agreement was an older agreement,
21 purportedly showing that Comcast had permission from the company previously to be

1 on the poles at those locations. Accordingly, I was satisfied that the attachments were
2 authorized. Only if I was presented with either a signed permit or agreement would I
3 then be satisfied that the attachment was authorized.

4 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

5 A. Yes it does.