

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH**

---

IN THE MATTER OF THE )  
APPLICATION OF PACIFICORP )  
FOR APPROVAL OF ITS )  
PROPOSED ELECTRIC RATE )  
SCHEDULES & ELECTRIC )  
SERVICE REGULATIONS )

---

Docket No. 03-2035-02  
SUPPLEMENTAL  
TESTIMONY  
OF J. TED WESTON

**OCTOBER 2003**

1 **Q. Are you the same Ted Weston who previously testified in these proceedings?**

2 A. Yes.

3 **Summary of Testimony**

4 **Q. Please summarize your testimony.**

5 A. Pursuant to the Commission's order in this case, the Company has updated its  
6 filing for known and measurable changes through the end of the test period.  
7 Those changes are shown in the replacement pages for Exhibits UP&L \_\_\_(JTW-  
8 1) and UPL \_\_\_(JTW-2) which are attached to my testimony. All of the pages  
9 behind Tabs 1 and 2 of Exhibit UPL \_\_ (JTW-2) will be replaced along with each  
10 of the summary pages behind Tabs 3 through 8, except Tab 6, and the impacted  
11 top sheets and supporting work papers as described in this testimony. Based on  
12 these changes, the price increase supported by the Company was reduced from  
13 \$128.4 to \$127.5 million.

14 **Q. Please explain the revisions to the revenue adjustments summarized under**  
15 **replacement page 3.0 of Tab 3.**

16 A. **Effective Price Change** (Adjustment 3.2) – Adjustment 3.2 increased \$822,869  
17 from \$444,710, to \$1,267,579. While preparing the Cost of Service study, the  
18 Company determined that revenues for one special contract were understated in  
19 the present revenues. In addition, a few minor corrections were made to present  
20 revenues from other rate schedules. These corrections increase present revenues  
21 an additional \$822,869.

22 **Q. Please explain Exhibit UPL \_\_\_S (JTW-1S).**

1 A. **Unbilled Revenues** (Adjustment 3.8) – After Price Waterhouse Coopers  
2 completed an audit of unbilled revenues, they recommended that PacifiCorp  
3 change its unbilled revenue calculation from an income statement method to a  
4 balance sheet method. As previously disclosed in response to a discovery request,  
5 the old method overstated Utah revenues by \$8,497,546. Exhibit UPL\_\_S  
6 (JTW-1S) reduces present revenues by this amount. Adjustment 3.8 is consistent  
7 with how unbilled revenue corrections were treated in Docket No. 99-035-10.

8 **Q. Please explain Exhibit UPL \_\_S (JTW-2S).**

9 A. **Pole Attachment Revenues** (Adjustment 3.9) – During the summer, the  
10 Company completed an audit of the Wasatch front, which identified over 23,400  
11 unauthorized pole attachments. In addition, a rate increase for telecommunication  
12 attachments was noticed in May and is expected to go into effect before the end of  
13 the year. Exhibit UPL \_\_S (JTW-2S) shows the impact of both those changes  
14 which increases annual rental revenues by \$143,590.

15 **Q. Please explain any revisions to the O&M adjustments summarized under**  
16 **replacement page 4.0 of Tab 4.**

17 A. **Pension and Benefit Adjustment** (Adjustment 4.6) – Adjustment 4.6 is reduced  
18 by \$392,803 to \$10,610,248. This reflects a reduction to employee medical and  
19 dental benefit costs from the amount reflected in the original filing by \$1,005,092  
20 total company, or \$392,803 on a Utah basis as noted in the replacement pages of  
21 Mr. Rosborough.

22 **Miscellaneous General Expense** (Adjustment 4.8) – This adjustment removes  
23 from results of operations certain miscellaneous expenses that should not have

1           been charged to customers. As part of the Wyoming audit, an additional \$30,134  
2           of expenses, \$11,777 to Utah, associated with an employee assigned to the  
3           Wyoming's governor's office was identified. Also, a review of Price Waterhouse  
4           Coopers' invoices to the Tax department has identified \$734,824 total Company,  
5           or \$287,268 to Utah, that needs to be removed from results. This adjustment  
6           originally reduced Utah operating expense by \$380,532, that reduction is  
7           increased to \$675,580.

8           **FERC Price Cap Accrual** (Adjustment 4.10) – This adjustment was revised to  
9           remove a joint-owner bad debt accrual associated with trail mountain  
10          amortization, reducing bad debt expense an additional \$267,650.

11          **Pro-Forma General Wage Increase** (Adjustments 4.14 & 4.15) – These  
12          adjustments normalize labor expenses to better match labor costs during the  
13          period the proposed prices will be in effect. From April through the end of  
14          August, 124 employees left PacifiCorp, during the same time period there were  
15          250 additional employees hired for a net increase of 126 employees. We have  
16          revised this adjustment to remove salaries paid to employees who left since March  
17          and annualize the salary paid to the new hires. This update increases Utah  
18          allocated expenses by \$2,515,997 from the original filing for a total general wage  
19          increase of \$4,054,975.

20          **FICA Adjustment** (Adjustment 4.16) – The revised FICA tax adjustment is  
21          \$165,576, a net decrease of \$1,088,949 from the original filing. This adjustment  
22          was updated for two items. First, FICA taxes are increased by \$169,975  
23          associated with the update to the Pro-Forma General Wage Increase adjustment.

1 Second, the adjustment is reduced by \$1,258,925 to correct an error in the FICA  
2 cap increase calculation included in the original filing. When the increase in  
3 wages applicable to the change in the FICA cap was calculated, the social security  
4 rate was not applied to that amount, instead, the wages rather than the social  
5 security tax was included. This overstated FICA taxes by \$1,258,925.

6 **Q. Please explain Exhibit UPL \_\_\_S (JTW-3S).**

7 A. Exhibit UPL \_\_\_S (JTW-3S) International Assignee Costs (Adjustment 4.17)  
8 removes the costs of international assignees that have returned to Scotland. Since  
9 March, twenty-three international assignees have returned to Scotland. This  
10 adjustment removes their associated costs, reducing test period expenses by  
11 \$1,261,222.

12 **Q. Are there any revisions to the Net Power Cost adjustments in Tab 5?**

13 A. Yes. Mr. Widmer has provided supplemental testimony describing the changes to  
14 his original study. These updates increase net power costs from \$522,281,763 to  
15 \$534,254,123, an increase of \$11,972,360, or \$4,611,920 to Utah. This update is  
16 reflected in replacement pages 5.1 of Tab 5.

17 **US Magnesium Replacement Power** (Adjustment 5.2) – Replacement page 5.2  
18 of Tab 5 removes the revenues associated with the purchase of replacement power  
19 during the US Magnesium economic curtailment period. This adjustment was  
20 originally prepared assuming that the load for US Magnesium was included in  
21 GRID during the economic curtailment periods. However, the US Magnesium  
22 load during the economic curtailment is excluded from GRID, therefore the cost  
23 of replacement power was not included in the normalized net power costs.

1 Because the cost of replacement power is not included in the results of operations,  
2 the revenues associated with the purchase of replacement power should not be  
3 included. As filed, adjustment 5.2 overstated Utah operating income by \$528,352.

4 **Q. Please explain any revisions to the tax adjustments summarized under**  
5 **replacement page 7.0 of Tab 7.**

6 A. **Interest True-Up** (Adjustment 7.1) – This adjustment has been updated to reflect  
7 the impact of these changes to rate base. The changes decreased the interest  
8 reduction from \$7,090,843 to \$4,502,356, an increase to interest expense of  
9 \$2,588,487.

10 **IRS Settlement** (Adjustment 7.5) – Mr. Larry Martin has provided supplemental  
11 testimony describing the changes to these costs. These revisions reduce Utah’s  
12 allocated share of the tax settlement costs from \$32.5 to \$23 million, thereby  
13 decreasing the five-year amortization by \$1,893,166 to \$4,601,029. The un-  
14 amortized rate base would be \$18,404,118.

15 **Allocation of Tax Depreciation** (Adjustment 7.6) – While responding to  
16 discovery, the Company identified a Schedule M-1 adjustment that had incorrectly  
17 been categorized as book depreciation rather than tax depreciation in our filing.  
18 This item was correctly recorded as tax depreciation on the Company records.  
19 Since the entry was recorded correctly on books, there is no impact to  
20 accumulated deferred income taxes. This adjustment simply corrects the  
21 allocation, increasing tax expense by \$372,235.

22 **Q. Please explain any revisions to the miscellaneous rate base adjustments**  
23 **summarized under replacement page 8.0 of Tab 8.**

1 A. **Update Cash Working Capital** (Adjustment 8.1) – It is necessary to update this  
2 adjustment to capture the impact of these revisions on cash working capital.  
3 These revisions reduce the reduction to cash working capital from \$1,580,371 to  
4 \$934,436, increasing rate base \$645,935.

5 **Proforma Major Plant Additions** (Adjustment 8.8) – This adjustment has been  
6 updated to capture any known changes to the project completions, as well as  
7 updating the accumulated deferred income tax for bonus tax depreciation. These  
8 revisions decrease Utah rate base by \$19,645,906 and depreciation expense by  
9 \$565,545.

10 **Demand Side Management Tariff Rider** (Adjustment 8.10) – An additional  
11 \$185,320 of the Utah DSM program deferral was removed from rate base,  
12 increasing the deduction from \$6,740,784 to \$6,926,104.

13 Q. **Please explain Exhibit UPL \_\_\_ S (JTW-4S).**

14 Exhibit UPL \_\_\_ S (JTW-3S) Deductions to Rate Base (Adjustment 8.11) –  
15 Adjustment 8.8 shows the major plant additions through the end of the test period.  
16 These additions represent approximately twenty-four percent of the fiscal year  
17 capital additions. This was determined by comparing the capital additions  
18 included in adjustment 8.8 of \$210 million to total capital expenditures of \$665  
19 million. Then since the test period walks forward nine months we have taken  
20 nine-twelfths, which produces a percent of 23.68%. To be consistent with the  
21 treatment of plant additions, the Company has reduced rate base by taking this  
22 same percentage of rate base deductions. This was accomplished by taking the  
23 test period depreciation, amortization, and accumulated deferred income taxes

1 multiplied by the twenty-four percent factor. The impact of these changes is to  
2 reduce rate base by \$33,689,831.

3 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

4 A. Yes.