1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH
2	000
3	
Ι	IN THE MATTER OF: THE) NVESTIGATIONS OF THE POWER) DOCKET #04-035-01 OUTAGE OF DECEMBER 2003)
7	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
8	SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH
9	JANUARY 6, 2003
10	9:00 A.M.
11	
12	BEFORE:
13	RICHARD M. CAMPBELL, Chairman, Public
14	Service Commission of Utah; and
15	CONSTANCE B. WHITE, Commissioner, Public
16	Service Commission of Utah; and
17	TED BOYER, Commissioner, Public
18	Service Commission of Utah.
19	000
20	
21	
22	

1	A P P E A R A N C E S
2 FOR P	ACIFICORP: BOB MOIR
	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT
3	POWER DELIVERY - DISTRIBUTION
	825 NE Multnomah Street
4	LCT 1700
	Portland, Oregon 97232
5	
	AMANDA NELSON
6	VICE PRESIDENT
	CUSTOMER SERVICES
7	1900 Southwest 4th Avenue
	Portland, Oregon 97201
8	
	BILL LANDELS
9	EXECUTIVE VICE-PRESIDENT -
	PACIFICORP
10	201 South Main Street
	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
11	
	HE DIVISION OF MICHAEL GINSBERG
12 PUBL	IC UTILITIES: ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
	500 Heber Wells Building
13	160 East 300 South
	Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
14	
FOR TH	HE COMMITTEE OF REED T. WARNICK
15 CONS	UMER SERVICES: ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
	Heber M. Wells Building
16	160 East 300 South
	Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1 Tuesday, January 6, 2004 9:00 a.m.

2

3 PROCEEDINGS

4 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: All right. We are 5 meeting today to begin our investigation of the recent power outages. Let me emphasize this is just 6 the beginning of our fact finding. We would expect 7 the Division of Public Utilities and the Committee of 8 9 Consumer Services will thoroughly audit and investigate these outages and make a recommendation 10 to the Commission. It has never been the duty of the 11 12 Commission to micromanage the utility. We have never told the utility how many linemen to hire, nor which 13 14 trees to trim. However, I feel safe that what we've 15 experienced recently is an inadequate service and this Commission wants at the conclusion of this 16 17 investigation to know what changes need to be made. 18 We as a Commission have received more 19 customer complaint calls on this issue in the short 20 period of time than we have any other issue in the past 30 years. And there are major problems here 21 22 that we need to get fixed.

- 23 The way we're going to proceed today is that
- 24 we will first have the Company provide to us the
- 25 information they have at this point. Following that,

1 we will then turn to the Division and the Committee

2 as well as the Commission, the public agencies

3 charged with regulating the utility to ask their

4 questions.

5 We realize that there are those from the

6 public here that would like to make comment, and we

7 will allow for that as well. So let's go ahead and

8 we'll turn it over to the Company.

9 MR. LANDELS: Do you mind if I stood that

10 way and faced that way?

11 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: That would be fine.

12 MR. LANDELS: I'm Bill Landels, EVP for

13 PacifiCorp and ranking officer for Utah Power. We

14 are asked by the Commission to come forward today to

15 share with everyone, the commissioners, the Division,

16 the public agencies --

17 THE MEDIA: Could we ask you to be on the

18 microphone, please?

19 MR. LANDELS: Is that better? I always like

20 to accommodate the media.

21 THE MEDIA: Thank you.

22 MR. LANDELS: We were asked to come forward

- 23 today to share with everyone from the commissioners
- 24 to the public agencies, the Consumer Committee and
- 25 the general public, our knowledge as of today of what

1 the impact of the post Christmas storm was, how we

2 responded, events that ensued from it.

3 You will hear in our short presentation from the actual managers who led the recovery operation, 4 the real hands on managers who were in charge of this 5 recovery. You will hear a number of things today. 6 We will cover almost all aspects of the operation 7 today. But there's one theme I want to get right up 8 9 front at the beginning which is from a customer's point of view we know and we share your view. This 10 was not a good deal. This -- the customer was not 11 12 well served in aspects of this outage. There were good points, there were things that went well, and 13 14 there are things we are going to touch on that didn't 15 work well. 16 One thing I want to get at at the outset was 17 our employees. And whatever happens today and whatever is recorded or whatever is said from the 18

19 audience today, I think it -- for the sake of our

20 employees, I would like to place on the record our

21 appreciation publicly to the tireless efforts all our

22 employees made from the linemen to those answering

- 23 the phones, to those in the back offices on logistics
- 24 or in transport or on IT. They worked unselfishly,
- 25 tirelessly and sometimes heroicly to restore the

1 power. And whatever happens today, I think -- and probably this is a universal acceptance, they did 2 3 their job. 4 I think you will also hear some things, for example, the failure of our outage management 5 information system, a key piece of how we restore 6 customers, the information center that we lost 7 through technical failure, a failure that seriously 8 9 compromised our ability to communicate with customers and handle communications generally. The service 10 level that ensued from the failure of that 11 12 communications system was unacceptable. It led to an unacceptable level for our customers of how we were 13 14 handling them at that time in terms of communication, 15 and it's unacceptable to us. 16 Our company's job is to keep the power on. 17 We are pro people in our company from top to bottom. 18 This event is one that has caused a blow to the pride and the feeling of every member of Utah 19 20 Power. I can assure you of that. This isn't something we walk away from and say the snow came, it 21

22 was a bad deal, and that's what happens when the snow

- 23 flies on trees and knocks out lines. This was an
- 24 awful event, and we feel it as much as our customers
- 25 do.

1 So at the outset, I want to say t		So at the outse	t, I want to	say to
-------------------------------------	--	-----------------	--------------	--------

customers, we so much regret the hardship that ensued 2 3 from the storm, that the frustration of trying to reach us by phone at times and not getting good 4 information, and so much regret, so much of the 5 anxiety and frustration that we saw firsthand 6 ourselves and other times some people have called us 7 to tell us what really happened. For all of that, we 8 9 are truly sorry as a company. And I want you to believe from top to bottom, we are truly sorry, and 10 we feel this as we go forward. This has been a real 11 12 blow to us. 13 As the chairman said, this is the start of a process. It's the start of an investigation. For 14 15 example, on the communications system, we know what went wrong with that. We're already on the case. 16 17 We're fixing it, and it will never happen again. But there's so many other aspects we need to get into 18 19 every corner and shine the light on every corner of 20 this event to -- and agree with the chairman that we must bring every result and every effort to fix this, 21 22 and it will never happen again.

- 23 So at the outset once again, we are truly
- 24 sorry for what happened. I want you to know we are
- 25 giving this every ounce and every piece of energy we

- 1 got that's going into at what went wrong. And we're
- 2 going to fix it and get by. So with that, Chairman,
- 3 can I invite Bob Moir to open our presentation?
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Great.
- 6 MR. MOIR: Good morning --
- 7 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Just a minute, Bob.
- 8 Since we don't have access to the -- do we have paper
- 9 copies we can look at?
- 10 MR. MOIR: If anybody else requires copies,
- 11 we got several copies for distribution.
- 12 While we're doing that, I would like to
- 13 formally introduce myself. My name is Bob Moir, and
- 14 I'm the senior vice-president that looks after
- 15 distribution for the whole PacifiCorp. I've actually
- 16 been in the USA virtually -- actually five years
- 17 today to the day I came to the USA and served
- 18 PacifiCorp. I've worked in the company for 36 years
- 19 man and boy so to speak.
- 20 Just to reiterate what Bill Landels said,
- 21 and this is not the good cuff by cuff approach, but I
- 22 think what I'd like to do is explain to the customers

- 23 and customer representatives some of the issues that
- 24 we did have to deal with, some of the extenuating
- 25 circumstances, and what was our response and so on.

And I don't want you to go away with a feeling that
in Shakespearian terms, Me think you protected too
much, because I think there is -- there is a degree
of admission to be made by this company but also
there's a degree of explanation in terms of what we
actually experienced on the day.

7 So I'd like to make some introductions. And 8 my fellow presenter this morning is the lady sitting 9 to my left, Amanda Nelson, who is the vice-president of customer services. You already met Bill Landels, 10 our executive vice-president. Bill actually leaves 11 12 Utah Power in March and is being replaced by another EVP which also is to Bill's immediate right. That's 13 Mr. Walje. Rich is also responsible for technology 14 for the entire ScottishPower and PacifiCorp. 15 16 Also with us is John Stewart from regulation 17 and Doug Larson also from regulation. 18 Sitting in the middle of the room to the left is Jody Berger, Rick Bielby and Calvin Gillmore. 19 20 They are our operational people. They were the 21 people who were the front line troops, so to speak, 22 or at least directing the front line troops on those

- 23 five days from the 26th of December.
- As far as the agenda is concerned today, and
- 25 we'll have a lot to get through, I think there's

something like 23 or 24 slides in total. I'd like to
restrict your questions to the end other than for the
ones of clarity, of course. But any questions, keep
to the end and then we'll move to focus and stick to
the trial.

6 I'd like to speak about the storm itself,

7 what were the elements of this storm that perhaps

8 made it different from many other storms that we've

9 experienced -- and we've had stories of being one of

10 the worst storms to hit Utah and so on -- our

11 response from our operational perspective, how did we

12 respond in terms of resources, etcetera.

13 The customer services -- and Bill Landels

14 has already alluded to the fact that in the middle of

15 all of this, our technology, our outage management

16 technology failed on us. So therefore, I think we

17 need to look at the responses as far as that is

18 concerned.

19 And the last piece as far as response is

20 concerned is the communication piece: How did we

21 communicate or not with our customers? How did we

22 deal with the media and so on?

- 23 Importantly for me, and I think Bill Landels
- 24 has already said, that when we have outages such as
- 25 this, it is all very relative for people like me to

1 come up and say this happened and that happened, you know this is what you can expect. And that's totally 2 the wrong approach, letting it go away without 3 absolutely going into this. What absolutely can we 4 learn from this particular outage? What did we do 5 right? What did we do wrong? What can we learn 6 going forwards? What can we do to make sure this 7 type of thing doesn't happen again because it's 8 9 fairly obvious to me while senior vice-president of distribution, I can give a lot of excuses. At the 10 11 end of the day, I'm a customer like everybody else. 12 If I was a customer who was not supplied electricity for five days, I would not be a happy bunny. And I 13 appreciate absolutely that point of view. So I think 14 this company deserves -- sorry -- has to give 15 customers an explanation which they thoroughly 16 17 deserve. 18 The next piece will be some of the stuff that we have been doing, some good stuff we have been 19 20 doing in Utah Power as far as taking the business forward. And, you know, there has been a lot of 21 22 speculation about the managerial owned by Scottish

- 23 Company. These foreigners aren't investing the way
- 24 that they should, all that kind of stuff. And I
- 25 would like to think at the end of this, the -- upon

1 talking about the storm, we can talk about the good stuff that's being done by the Company under the 2 investment particularly in this area of the Wasatch 3 Front. And I'll speak of that later on. Then at the 4 end, we'll go through an overall summary. 5 So let's talk about the storm itself, major 6 snowstorm. Whether it was the second worst storm for 7 a December storm in history, whether it was the top 8 9 ten ever, who knows. All I know was it was a major storm and it affected our system vitally. It 10 11 happened in reasonable numbers on the 26th of 12 December immediately following Christmas day and within a relatively short period between ten and 13 14 60 inches of snow was dumped on our system. At the height of the storm we had 70,000 Utah Power 15 customers out of service. Now, you can question, are 16 17 you sure it's 70,000, not 75,000? Was it not 80,000? That relates back to the technology piece. Hands up, 18 I don't really know. I know it was a lot of 19 20 customers. And I know it was around 70,000, but the 21 precise number, because we didn't have the 22 technology, I don't know.

- 23 What I do know is from a technology
- 24 perspective, from the electrical engineers amongst
- 25 you, 25 percent of the circuit breakers locked out.

1	And as a result of that and all the devastation that
2	was caused in those early hours of the 26th of
3	December, we really had to mobilize as many of our
4	internal and external resources as we possibly could.
5	You'll hear how we brought in people from Oregon and
6	Washington. We brought people in from southern Utah.
7	We brought in tractors from Idaho, etcetera. It
8	really was a situation of as far as we were
9	concerned, this is a major storm, we have to react,
10	all hands on deck. Really a major course, is a day
11	immediately following Christmas day when
12	traditionally some of our people are on vacation.
13	But people once we got mobilized and we
14	got mobilized very quickly, they did work around the
15	clock 24/7, right through to the end of the outage at
16	five and a half days later.
17	We have in our when emergencies like this
18	hit us, we have a very formal approach to measure
19	response. And our two emergency action centers, one
20	in Salt Lake here and the larger corporate one in
21	Portland, were opened immediately. I chaired the
22	corporate one. And really the responsibility of that

- 23 is to coordinate all of the efforts from our media
- 24 perspective, our customer service perspective and
- 25 logistics resources, make sure recourses are

1	available, etcetera, because bearing in mind also at
2	the time we're getting major weather storms, tedious
3	storms from the west of the country. And as it comes
4	to the end of this particular period of five days, we
5	got hit badly on the west coast of our service
6	territory. So emergency centers formally opened
7	immediately.
8	And as far as the PSC is concerned, Utah
9	Power is declaring this as a major event as
10	putting forward as a major event, largely because of
11	the number of customers effected, extended damage on
12	our system and un I mean, we see it as a force
13	majeure event.
14	Some speculation has been, Well, you
15	we've been through this before, so what went wrong
16	this time? 1984, people got memory obviously I
17	don't have a memory of a 1984 storm, but people who
18	worked for me do have. And I think it's rather an
19	inappropriate comparison. Yes, ultimately there was
20	a lot of snow that fell at that time. But it
21	effected certainly more customers under those and it
22	seems to be the recall. But it was only within a 20

- 23 square mile area compared with this area which is
- 24 larger, much larger, 400 square miles. In fact,
- 25 those 400 square miles as far as the system was

1	concerned, we we have a far bigger system than we
2	did 20 years ago. So 400 square miles, bigger
3	system, far more widespread, and I don't want to go
4	into the technicalities of what the storm looked
5	like. It sounds like it's too much of an excuse, but
6	the experts tell me that the moisture content of the
7	snow was over six times what it normally is. Oddly,
8	you know, a Christmas card snowflake has a
9	three percent moisture, and the moisture in this
10	context had something like 20 percent. The fact,
11	again, it's far heavier and lies on our trees,
12	branches break, branches fall on lines, etcetera. So
13	moisture content is different.
14	As far as what is a fair comparison, I
15	think, is the number of people that we actually
16	mobilized. I'll go into some of the details shortly,
17	but we did mobilize roughly the same number of
18	people. It was in excess of 500 people who actually
19	worked on this particular outage in Salt Lake. So a
20	lot of people, a lot of linemen, a lot of troublemen,
20 21	lot of people, a lot of linemen, a lot of troublemen, a lot of assessors or people who went in and assessed

- 23 particularly important given, of course, that the
- 24 technology failed. Because the system that we have
- 25 is called CADOPS, C-A-D-O-P-S. That is no

1 intelligence when it comes to outage management. We do not feel as far as what we know about the outage, 2 3 all bets are off. 4 So it's significant that the number of assessors we had, had to be right because they 5 6 manually have to go out to the field and report back to us and say, Look, this is the extent of the 7 damage. This is what you should try to concentrate 8 9 on as opposed to some transient computer system that tells you what to do. 10 11 So I think one final point is in 1984 prior 12 to the days of concentration and for that matter regulation on safety, our guys used to walk around 13 the clock 72 hours continuously. One lineman could 14 walk 72 hours without taking a break. Now, I'm a guy 15 who is absolutely paranoid of safety. And quite 16 17 frankly, from a safety perspective, we are talking about freezing cold conditions in the middle of the 18 night, that is not on. So we had a sensible approach 19 20 that our guys were working 16 hours solid and would 21 have an eight-hour break and back on 16 hours again. 22 So we're back to my statement of, Me think

- 23 you protected too much, I don't think I am in this
- 24 case. I think -- we talk about 1984 verses this
- 25 particular outage, I do not believe hand and heart

1 that it's a fair comparison.

2	All discussion about trees, I think greatly
3	so, because one thing that surprised me in this
4	particular outage when I was in the emergency action
5	center was that when it comes to how much material do
6	we need to do this thing right, the thing we needed
7	the least of was actually poles. In storms like this
8	especially when you have high wind, not only you get
9	pole breakages, we had hardly any. The problem was
10	that the wires broke. The wires broke due to the
11	weight of the snow and more particularly to lines
12	being broken by tree limbs on trees.
13	We actually had and I think this is an
14	important statistic as well more than 5,000,
15	120/244 service type installations that were affected
16	by heavy snow and breaking wires, etcetera. In total
17	we replaced, and I think the record here is something
18	like 26.5 miles of strands of wire on this particular
19	outage. So it was colossal.
20	The 5,000 figure is important from the
21	perspective we talked about we have a fairly
22	regular tree trimming program. Is it rigorous

- 23 enough? If you want me to be totally honest, I think
- 24 it could be more rigorous. We recognize during our
- 25 budget process three or four months ago, I put

1 forward in Utah we should be going for a three-year cycle and we're ramping up to that. Of course, that 2 means more dollars. The Company we spend -- in the 3 Company we spend something like 31 million dollars a 4 year trimming trees, which is in actual fact probably 5 6 our own double what we spent in the mid-nineties. I personally don't believe it's enough. I believe we 7 have to spend some more to get around the three-year 8 9 cycle.

10 But the important point is irrespective of 11 our tree trimming program and our cycles etcetera, 12 it wouldn't have made that much difference on this particular storm because we would have had to have a 13 14 10-foot clearances for any effect on the type of tree damage that was caused to our wires here. Obviously, 15 on the transmission lines, etcetera, maybe there was 16 17 something that could have been done here. But by and large on the subdistribution and the local service 18 lines and distribution lines, etcetera, we would have 19 20 had to have up to 10 feet clearance. As we all know the customer's premises service lines, etcetera, we 21 22 only clear to our own one foot. So one-foot compared

- 23 with ten feet is an awful lot. And you cannot
- 24 mitigate for the table of damage done on trees on our
- 25 service lines with one foot clearance.
| So I say over the last three years of |
|---|
| vegetation management program, our tree trimming in |
| simple terms has been has been enhanced. If it |
| had not been enhanced, I think it would have made a |
| difference. I think over the next three years, it |
| will be enhanced even more. |
| And that's our story on trees. It's not one |
| I'm particularly ashamed of. I think it's a well |
| thought vegetation management program. We have |
| professionally trained foresters working for us. |
| It's well run. Of all of the divisions I run, I |
| would say our tree trimming program is probably the |
| most professional. That's not to say that over the |
| next two or three years, we're going to ramp up the |
| extent of tree trimming we do and spend more money. |
| And I've said I've requested that from a five to |
| ten-year business plan. |
| So let's talk about the summary of the |
| storm. Sorry for repeating myself, but some of the |
| most important salient features of this particular |
| storm were that high moisture content, heavy, heavy |
| snow, high moisture content, six times normal |
| |

- 23 covering 400 square miles, an extensive area on the
- 24 Wasatch Front. I hate the storm. 70,000 customers
- 25 were out of service. As we know, some of them out of

1 service for too long. I think simple terms.

2	Many trees were damaged, extensive damage,				
3	including the top lines I have spoken of. We				
4	shouldn't forget the significance of these service				
5	lines and top lines as far as how long it took to get				
6	energy back on. More than a thousand tree locations,				
7	not trees, but tree locations required clean up which				
8	3 is actually three times what the 1984 storm required.				
9	Our Emergency Action Centers were opened				
10	0 immediately, as per our policy, as per our plan,				
11	1 etcetera. It was not the same kind of storm as 1984				
12	2 because it was far smaller, 20 times smaller in terms				
13	of geographical area that was affected. But having				
14	4 said that, a comparable number of employees were				
15	utilized in the field.				
16	So let's go into them. I'm not sure of the				
17	precise number of people that were involved but in				
18	excess of 500. I've spoken about the guys that came				
19	in from Washington, from Oregon. We had contractors				
20	in from Idaho. We had contractors in from southern				
21	Utah, similar employees from southern Utah. In other				
22	words, we recognized the problem early and all these				
19 20	in from Washington, from Oregon. We had contractors in from Idaho. We had contractors in from southern				

- 23 people come in to manage this system.
- 24 It's worth remembering, of course, that --
- 25 because I -- by the Saturday morning, it became

1 obvious to us that our technology system was failing on us. Now, technology allows you to answer the 2 phone properly with proper information. It gives you 3 the intelligence of where your outage is. It 4 connects the outage with the system. It allows you 5 to concentrate and get your biggest bang when you 6 send people out to repair -- to repair the system. 7 So when that goes off, and in all honesty it was me 8 9 that made the decision, the brave decision I thought at the time, to switch off our computer system. I 10 firmly believe it was the right one. 11 12 And what we did in all honesty was replaced it with a 25-year old system, if you like. Going 13 back 25 years when we used to use people systems, 14 etcetera, and people interacting with customers on 15 the phone. Where are you? Okay. I'll take a note 16 17 of your problem. Get it over to the dispatch people, dispatch people give the paper to the lineman. And I 18 think over the five days, we handled something like 19 20 in Salt Lake 130,000 pieces of paper. So you can imagine what that was like given the collapse of the 21 22 technology.

- 23 So our effectiveness undoubtedly was
- 24 impaired. To what level it was impaired? Who knows.
- 25 We don't no whether we lost any time off the

1 restoration. What we do know, our guys were truly occupied all the time. Whether we could have been 2 concentrating on -- if you use the expression, the 3 biggest bank for the bocktape joke area, and we don't 4 know. What we do know is we had everybody, 215 line 5 personnel, 135 tree trimmers were out there 6 mobilized, 190 assessors. Remember I told you 7 because of the situation, very important that you get 8 9 one person to go out and assess exactly what the extent of the damage is. We had 190 of them. 10 11 And importantly, I think, because of this 12 paperwork system we had, we had to divide the whole area into grids. And we appointed a grid captain 13 that we felt that particular geographically -- you 14 try to understand the extent of the outages. And you 15 have many people that had -- you had all these bits 16 17 of people coming in, and then he responded the best he could. So that's how -- that's how it worked for 18 us. Over 500 employees in total. 19 20 In support of that, obviously we had the 21 dispatchers. We had estimators, storekeepers. Meter 22 readers were used, operations clerks. I mean,

- 23 there's a photograph which I've never seen in my 36
- 24 years, we've had something like 30 people around the
- 25 table sorting bundles of paper, bearing in mind we're

1 in the year 2004 and people rely on computer systems.

2 The whole world is computer based, the technology.

3 So when that goes, the bits of paper -- and we turned

4 the clock back 25 years. No question about it. I've

5 seen these bits of paper before, but not to that

6 extent when you have something like 113, 114 bits of

7 paper to handle.

8 So importantly, importantly from all of --

9 as I said my paranoia with safety is such that we

10 kept a close eye on the safety elements of this, and

11 we have no lost-time accidents through that whole

12 period.

13 So let's say that's the first part. You'll hear from me in terms of other stuff we've been 14 doing. But as I say, I think under the circumstances 15 of extremely heavy snow, a widespread heavy dump of 16 17 snow on our system, 400 square miles effected. And then in the middle of all of that, bearing in mind 18 it's the day after Christmas, in the middle of all of 19 20 that, our technology failed. So only five days I think that from an operational perspective, I think 21 22 our guys did a great job. I still say, still say the

- 23 company has lessons to learn from this. And the
- 24 inquiries that ensues the result of this hopefully
- 25 will bring that out. Thank you very much. Any

1 questions you have, give customers service response.

2	MR. DRAKE: Excuse me, Chairman Campbell. I			
3	would just recommend that the Company shorten their			
4	presentation. We've got written documentation, and			
5	it would be my hope that there would be a chance for			
6	other dialog besides their perspective on this issue.			
7	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: We certainly intend to			
8	have other dialog. We do want to give them a full			
9	opportunity to explain themselves. But we fully			
10	intend to have dialog.			
11	MS. NELSON: I'm hoping to get this			
12	2 presentation done by 10:00. It's not going to take			
13	us that long.			
14	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you.			
15	MS. NELSON: As Bob introduced me before, my			
16	name is Amanda Nelson, and I'm the vice-president of			
17	customer services. That entails the call handling			
18	that we have in our Wasatch and our Portland centers,			
19	our meter reading groups and our large customer			
20	account management group. And I'm going to give you			
21	a review of the customer service response to the			
22	storm as well as the updates in regards to the			

- 23 technology that Bob and Bill referred to earlier.
- 24 So this slide just summarizes the staffing
- 25 we put in place following this storm announcement on

Thursday. Just as Bob talked about, the Emergency			
2 Action Center planning encompasses all parts of the			
3 company. So for customer services set up its			
4 customer service action plan that rolled up into the			
5 action plan, and that looked at the staffing number,			
6 that looked at the logistics, that looked at			
enforcing overtime and making sure that we had cover			
8 to answer the customer calls that came through.			
9 Because we have two sites, we were able to rotate our			
10 sites keeping our important site open for 24 hours			
11 during some part of the storm and then rotating to			
12 our Wasatch site allowing that site to go and stay			
13 open for 24 hours and let some of our agents in			
14 Portland take some time away from the evening shifts.			
15 What was important for us through the storm			
16 at the beginning, and obviously as we experienced			
17 some of the technology issues, was making sure we had			
18 integrated communications plan. So we worked with			
19 our emergency action center to make sure that any			
20 messages we sent out, whether it was through our			
21 media, through our telephone updates or through our			
22 agents were consistent.			

- 23 We also set up with the help of our
- 24 community group here in Utah working with the Church,
- 25 city officials and county officials and the Red Cross

1 as a humanitarian plan, making sure we passed shelter information to customers, particularly those in need 2 of having those facilities. Plus, we worked a door 3 to door effort with our community and our account 4 management working at the status of customers, both 5 the infirm and the elderly, to make sure they had 6 facilities, if not escalating the facilities back to 7 our dispatch group. 8 9 We have heard a little bit about the technology issues that we experienced. And what we 10 11 attempt to do here is to try to give you a breakdown 12 of that in more detail. Although, as Bill said and as Rick mentioned at the beginning, this is just part 13 of our feedback to this process and that there will 14 be an inquiry into the technology performance, and we 15 16 will provide the findings of that inquiry. 17 But as early as Friday morning we started to experience problems with our CADOPS system. And our 18 CADOPS system is a system that provides all the 19 20 conductivity. We know which customers are assigned to which substation. And what it is able to do, it's 21 22 able to understand when a customer calls through,

- 23 which substation that they are attached to. If we
- 24 know that substation is off and notify the customer
- 25 we know of the outage, we know they are having a

1 supply interruption, and we're able to pass the

information back to them about how soon their supply 2 would be restored. We still experience problems with 3 that system which created issues whereby customers 4 couldn't get their trouble order or couldn't record 5 6 their outages through the electronic system we have. 7 This meant that calls began to back up in our cues. And it meant we were having long busy times and it 8 9 meant some customers were not able to get through. 10 As Bob said we actually switched the CADOPS 11 system off. We decided to switch the system off on 12 Friday afternoon. We had some feedback from an IT 13 support group that by switching the system off, like rebooting a computer, that it would clear itself and 14 come back up and start working again. So we took the 15 decision to switch the computer off on Friday. It 16 17 was switched off and came back up, and we started to use the system again. 18 19 We then continued to experience problems 20 where customers were calling in, had previously 21 called in, and recorded an outage, notified us they 22 had interruption to their supply. And they were

- 23 being told they actually had recorded an outage and
- 24 actually we didn't know about the outage. This
- 25 obviously caused a huge amount of concern. Customers

stayed on the line wanting to speak to an agent or
 speak to a live person and say, You know, I've
 recorded my outage. Didn't anybody know that I was
 off of supply?

5 This actually then resulted in switching the 6 system off on the Saturday and going to the manual process that Bob referred to where we had to use our 7 backup systems and we had to actually print out all 8 9 the work orders on to pieces of paper, give them to our field operations, Jody and Rich -- Rick who were 10 11 in charge of that, and they then assigned the work 12 from a manual process while the system actually run that process for us. 13 14 Obviously, the loss of an automated system, which rolls up outages enable you to see when 15 customers are still off even though you believe the 16 17 outage has been restored in that area, impinged our performance and definitely impacted our effectiveness 18 during the storm. 19 20 We continued to provide information to our 21 agents manually. So what happens is through this the 22 calls come through our agents who would have pieces

- 23 of paper and have information through the e-mail
- 24 system letting them know when the times we could
- 25 expect customers to be restored. But obviously

1 b	because of the technology not working as designed, we				
2 w	weren't able to give that information as effectively				
3 a	as we would have done if it had been operating.				
4	We actually switched the system back on				
5 a	again on Tuesday. Our IT people worked throughout				
6 tł	the 24 hours, throughout the whole of the storm				
7 lo	7 looking at what the issues were with the system and				
8 w	8 we reconnected on Tuesday. We continued to monitor				
9 tł	9 the system, but for the periods of the storm, we				
10 a	0 actually switched our technology systems off.				
11	1 So what did that mean in terms of impact?				
12	2 And we've heard this morning about the number of				
13 c	3 complaints that have come through to be				
14 ı	4 unprecedented. We also experienced a large number of				
15 c	5 customers waiting a long period of time to actually				
16 g	get through to our agents, particularly as they				
17 e	experienced concerns about prior notification that				
18 t	hey may have given as not actually being recorded.				
19	We saw our service level drop, the speed in				
20 v	which we answer customer calls, and we saw customers				
21 r	not being able to get through into our network				
22 ł	because everything was backing up.				

- 23 I just want to reiterate, this is not our
- 24 normal level of service. This is not something that
- 25 we feel we've done an outstanding job in. But

1 putting that into context, we do have a plan with regards to improving this performance as we've been 2 implementing this plan over three years. And 3 hopefully during the end of the presentation, we'll 4 5 get an opportunity to take you through that plan. 6 One of the efforts we undertook to try and prevent customers trying to call in and not having 7 the outage recognized, we tried to do some outbound 8 9 dialing. We got an agency that we use, a company that the vast majority of the US utilities use, a 10 11 company called 21st Century. We asked them to try to 12 set up an on the cue for a last minute effort to call customers back and try to confirm from customers they 13 were still off and we knew they had an outage. While 14 I think that was a good initiative on our behalf, 15 because obviously it wasn't tested and tried, and we 16 17 hadn't had this in operation before, we didn't get the results we wanted and ended up with some 18 customers being confused, some customers calling back 19 20 in and a degree of frustration. And we've seen some 21 responses come back in from customers talking about somebody contacting us proactively, tried to press 22

- 23 yes on the system and then getting caught in the
- 24 system. So we set the governor. We tried to help
- 25 customers. Unfortunately, this technology didn't

1 work as well as we had planned.

2	I'm not going to go through these details in				
3	a huge amount this information in a huge amount of				
4	detail because as the gentleman spoke before, this is				
5	documented in your slide packet. You're welcome to				
6	take away. But what it shows, it shows under the				
7	areas here is when we started to switch off our IVR				
8	system. You can see here a large number of customers				
9	at the beginning of the outage were actually able to				
10) record their message. And just as a context during				
11	the first part of the outage, we want as many people				
12	to call in as possible so we can populate the outage,				
13	and then we understand through the assessors how				
14	quick we can restore it and then we start feeding				
15	information back to customers so they can then plan.				
16	We had to switch the systems off, and they				
17	switched off late Saturday. So some calls came				
18	through on Saturday and then was switched back on				
19	Sunday starting again to be kicked back in on Monday				
20	and be fully operational by Tuesday.				
21	We just touched a little bit on the external				

22 communications. Again, you know, we had some lessons

- 23 learned in the past. We have implemented some of
- 24 that feedback we received. And the results of this
- 25 external communication plan was based on that

1 feedback.

2	And we started to respond to our media calls				
3	about 2:30. We sent out a number of media				
4	advisories. We also set up our Emergency Action				
5	center and made sure we had media coverage at all of				
6	those centers. We did a total of 18 advisories. A				
7	particular note, I think, is the fact we put in all				
8	the safety information as we became aware of it,				
9	whether it was the use of power to heaters or whether				
10) it was the use of providing information to people				
11	1 about shelters and about keeping warm during the cold				
12	2 weather. And obviously, we provided ongoing				
13	3 communications since the storm and intend to do that				
14	4 as we go forward.				
15	5 I just want to focus now on this slide in				
16	terms of the next steps we have with regards to our				
17	response to the storm. And then I'd like to take you				
18	through some of the work that we have been doing in				
19	this area to prevent this happening and to prevent it				
20	happening going forward.				
21	We are going to undertake an internal review				

22 of the technology performance as Rich Walje, who we

- 23 introduced here before, will be heading that review.
- 24 We'll also undertake a review of our response
- 25 operationally. We'll look back again and see if we

did do everything we could have done based on the
 situation that we were facing. And obviously, we're
 going to share these findings with the PSC and any
 other stakeholders.

5 We have reintegrated our telephony systems 6 with our outage management system. We put the pieces back together again, and we continued to monitor that 7 performance. We have actually gotten the investment 8 9 program for our CADOPS system. We have known for sometime it needed further investment, that we 10 11 continued to use as a core system and like anything 12 else, it needs to have further investment put into 13 it. We have an investment plan for that system, and 14 that is due to be finished in probably January, February or March -- March depending on our review. 15 It will be finished within this quarter. 16 17 We also want to review our estimated times 18 of restoration, trying to give customers information back about how soon they can expect to have their 19 20 outage restored. Although, as you can imagine during 21 the storm, it's very, very difficult to give them 22 that information because storm happenings may change,

- 23 outages come up and it encourages us to move our
- 24 resources around.
- 25 We also continue to benchmark our

1	performance	with other	utilities.	One of the

- 2 utilities that scored very highly in outage
- 3 management is the Carolina Power Light Company. And
- 4 a lot of the technology and investments we have put
- 5 in place is based on the model that they actually
- 6 have in place. And we'll also continue to review our
- 7 communication's plan, letting customers know how we
- 8 restore customers, in what order and what
- 9 responsibilities they have with regards to their
- 10 media base and with regards to their safety.
- 11 MR. MOIR: Mr. Chairman, maybe at this
- 12 stage, we'll take a process check. The next phase of
- 13 our presentation is about some of the business
- 14 initiatives that are going on inside distribution and
- 15 inside customer service, outside of the storm,
- 16 irrelevant to the storm.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I will admit I looked
- 18 ahead, and I think this would be a good point to stop
- 19 and ask questions related directly to what recently
- 20 happened. And then time permitting, we can look at
- 21 some of those business initiatives.
- I guess what I would like to do is first

- 23 allow the Division of Public Utilities and the
- 24 Committee of Consumer Services since you are our
- 25 investigatory agencies, to allow you to ask him some

1 questions and ask him some follow-up questions.

2 Mr. Ginsberg, did you have something you

3 wanted to ask?

4 MR. GINSBERG: Thank you. Irene Rees, the
5 Director of the Division of Public Utilities will
6 make a presentation from the Division and ask
7 questions.
8 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: All right.
9 MS. REES: Thank you. There's a couple of
10 things that we would like to do with the time that

11 you are allotting us today. First, we want to also

12 on the record pass along our appreciation to the

13 staffs that worked through the storm and really did a

14 good job, if you would do that for us.

15 Secondly, I asked Rhea Peterson to make a

16 presentation today. Rhea handles complaint calls

17 from the public. And we felt she could give us a

18 summary of the kinds of complaints that were

19 received. A lot of the public won't have an

20 opportunity to talk directly to the Company, and she

21 has an opportunity to address some of the comments

22 and questions. And then, of course, we will pass

- 23 along the other questions and complaints and comments
- 24 she received through her normal complaint process.
- 25 After that we would like to make some

1 recommendations regarding the investigation report			
and some guidance we would like to give to the			
3 Company on that. But we'll start with Rhea.			
4 MS. PETERSON: The Division received 130			
5 complaints regarding the outages in a four business			
6 day period that began on December the 29th. We also			
7 talked to an additional 137 customers who we were			
8 able to give them the circumstances, what was			
9 happening with the outages and felt that they			
10 received proper information, yet they did not want to			
11 file a complaint.			
12 Some of their comments and questions have			
13 already been dealt with previously, so I won't go			
14 over them. Some of those that have not been dealt			
15 with, we would like to present to the Company.			
16 One is that during Y2K and the Olympics, did			
17 the Company have a back up system plan in place with			
18 the CADOPS, and if so, could that have been			
19 implemented during this outage? And I don't know if			
20 you want me to just present the questions and let			
21 them respond later, Rick?			
22 CHAIDMAN CAMPRELL. Lthink what we are			

22 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I think what we are

- 23 going to do at this point, we have a lot of visitors
- 24 here who typically don't come before us. I want to
- 25 make sure we give them ample time to speak. So
perhaps what we will do now is provide your list of
questions, your list of concerns, what you intend to
do as far as moving forward, and then we'll allow
those from the public that were affected and want to
speak, we'll allow them a chance to speak. And then
time permitting we can begin getting some responses
at that point.

8 MS. PETERSON: Okay. The customers also 9 believe that recently the Company has reduced its efforts in tree trimming, so they wanted that 10 addressed. Also customers who had contact with UPL 11 12 crews or contract crews learned that some limitations were on some of the crews. Questions were: What 13 work can the contract crews perform? Why is it 14 necessary you use four-man crews instead of one or 15 two-man crews? And another question was: Is there a 16 17 systematic plan in place to replace aging equipment and systems in neighborhoods where there are frequent 18 19 outages and problems? 20 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you very much. 21 MS. REES: You already mentioned you would

22 like an investigation and report to come out of this

- 23 process. And it's our recommendation that we start
- 24 with an internal evaluation by the Company. It
- 25 sounds like they already started that process. We

1	would like to let them have the opportunity to
2	evaluate their system and make a report back to us.
3	And of course, we're ready to present some issues
4	that we would like covered in that report as well as
5	the issues they already identified and reserve the
6	possibility that we'll want to meet with the Company
7	further and refine ideas for that report, and if
8	necessary, conduct our own audit if we're not
9	satisfied with the outcome of that report.
10	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: An area I would be
11	interested in in addition to auditing what happened,
12	would be what other states have in place as far as
13	reliability standards and what sort of accountability
14	is required of utilities in other states. I would
15	like to know if our standards are par for the course
16	or whether they could be updated or improved.
17	I think it's important that you audit and
18	look at what the Company does through their internal
19	evaluation, but I would hope we would also do our own
20	benchmarking and be able to make our own independent
21	judgments of whether of what level of service we

22 deem is adequate.

- 23 MS. REES: And benchmarking is an issue that
- 24 we have on our list. For instance, there are
- 25 municipal companies that were exposed to the same

1 storm that didn't seem to suffer the same sort of
2 problems. Although, they do cover smaller areas.
3 We would like the Company and we will
4 also conduct our own investigation of this to make
5 a comparison of how it fared in this storm in
6 comparison to some of the municipals that were
7 subject to the same storm. We agree that there
8 should be an overall comparison with other investor
9 owned utilities as well and how they perform in a
10 major event of that nature. With regard to
11 reliability of this information system, the number of
12 customers impacted, average restoration time, length
13 of time the customers are out of service and
14 methodology for prioritizing responses.
15 We'd also as part of that benchmarking
16 comparison, like to look at the tree trimming
17 operations and staffing problems, at least staff
18 levels that are available to respond to a major
19 event. If you don't mind, I'll just go ahead and
20 state the other issues
21 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Why don't you go ahead

22 and state your issues and then we'll --

- 23 MS. REES: This is not in any particular
- 24 order of importance. These are the issues we think
- 25 are important to include and some of them you already

1 identified.

2 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Ms. Rees, why don't you 3 pull that microphone close to you. 4 MS. REES: We believe that there have been before these two, these last two storms, the Company 5 6 has identified up to nine major events, what they've described as major events in the past three years. 7 And so it looks like this is a frequent problem. And 8 9 so we are interested in the Company's response to that, and in particular what they are doing in their 10 efforts to keep their facilities updated and their 11 12 lines clear. 13 We've already talked a little bit about the tree trimming efforts and the extent to which tree 14 damage contributed to this and other outages. And we 15 would like that addressed in the report. We would 16 17 also like addressed in the report the discussion of how the Company budgets for tree trimming operations 18 and how that budget will change as a result of this 19 20 event. 21 And presumably the Company's facilities are

22 located in easements or rights-of-way owned by the

- 23 company. And we have heard from the Company or from
- 24 others that sometimes the Company is faced with
- 25 resistance from the public to allow them onto their

1	property to maintain their facilities. So what we
2	would like the Company to address is given that they
3	have easements and rights-of-way across people's
4	property, what are their rights and obligations that
5	follow from the easements and rights-of-way and what
6	are the legal and practical impediments to
7	maintaining their facilities in those rights of ways.
8	The Company has already acknowledged that
9	there is a public perception that the investment and
10	quality of the Company's infrastructure has
11	deteriorated since Utah Power emerged with PacifiCorp
12	and ScottishPower. And we'd like the Company to
13	address this issue in the report describing where the
14	Company has come from to this point and where it's
15	going with its investments and infrastructure and
16	improvements. We also would like them to address
17	shifts in philosophy or focus for the Company over
18	that period of time.
19	Rhea mentioned and I'll repeat it again
20	because we'd like to include it in the report has
21	the Company identified there are particular areas
22	along the Wasatch Front that are frequently hit with

- 23 power outages or disruptions? And if so, what are
- 24 the problems in those areas and has the Company
- 25 identified areas where there is aging equipment in

1 need of upgrade and what are the plans to do that?

2 The next issue is that when ScottishPower merged with PacifiCorp, it put in place a five-year 3 transition plan where it said it was going to cut 4 about 1600 jobs. We'd like the Company to address in 5 6 the report what it considers to be optimum staffing and how it makes that decision and how it makes the 7 8 decision to use contract or supplemental crews to 9 supplement its regular staff, how those decisions are 10 made. 11 As you know the customers that we've dealt 12 with have complained about the communication system, so we would like the communication system issue that 13 you've already talked about addressed in the report. 14 15 And I think those are the major issues that we've identified that we would like addressed. 16 17 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Great. Thank you. Mr. Ball, from the Committee of Consumer Services. 18 19 MR. BALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, 20 commissioners. My name is Roger Ball. I'm the Director of the Utah Committee of Consumer Services. 21 22 I'd like to kind of make what I've got to say kind of

- 23 in the order that things happened if I might,
- 24 Chairman.
- 25 Obviously, this all started with a heavy

1	snowfall. And there's no one, I think, in the room
2	who is going to deny the fact that this was a heavy
3	snowfall. I don't come from Scotland. I come from
4	the other end of the United kingdom where the weather
5	is mitigated by the gulf stream, and I have never
6	seen this much snow in my life before. And I've
7	lived here now for 11 years. However, I'm not
8	convinced, the Committee is not convinced this is
9	such an unusual event as perhaps has been
10	represented. And we would like to see the Company's
11	report include reference to those weather and
12	climatic issues. We over perhaps a period of at
13	least 20 years and maybe going back even further.
14	The reference has been made to a storm of
15	1984. In terms of the memory of those of us who have
16	been working for the Committee in recent years, we
17	recall a storm somewhere around about October time of
18	1999 which took a significant number of customers out
19	of service on the basis of heavy wet snowfall early
20	in the fall. And as a consequence of that, the then
21	commission convened a meeting similar to this one in
22	intent, although on a smaller scale in terms of

- 23 attendance, and this was in the period between
- 24 ScottishPower seeking approval for the Commission for
- 25 its merger with PacifiCorp and its receiving that

1 approval which happened as I recall in December of

2 1999.

3 As a result of that particular storm and that particular meeting in -- I think it was in June 4 or July of the year 2000, there was an extensive 5 presentation from PacifiCorp on its tree trimming 6 intentions. Mr. Moir referred to the fact that at 7 the moment the Company is spending between 31 and 8 9 \$32 million a year over its six-state territory on tree trimming. And Mr. Larson was kind enough to 10 inform me earlier on today that about 8 million of 11 12 that is being spent here in Utah. And so the Committee has questions which it would like to see 13 14 the Company address in its investigation about whether that is a sufficient amount of money, about 15 how that money is in fact being spent. Is the plan 16 17 and design of the tree trimming program in Utah adequate? Is th46 execution of the plan adequate? 18 19 Mr. Moir referred to the fact that the aim 20 is to give a one-foot clearance and the need in this case was a 10-foot clearance. I think there's a 21 22 clear question to be addressed in that regard.

- 23 What we have here it seems to me is an
- 24 intent to provide preventive maintenance program in
- 25 terms that engineers would understand. When

1	· •	• ,	1 .	•	C ·	
	nrovonfivo	maintenance	doeg not	1n	tact	nrevent
1		maintenance	uous not.	III	ract.	DICYCIII

- 2 outages, then you have very real questions about the
- 3 value of the investment in that preventive
- 4 maintenance program. It either needs to be adequate
- 5 and prevent the falls or you have to question whether
- 6 there is any point in doing it at all. And those
- 7 kind of issues need to be addressed.
- 8 In the old days, you know, 200 years ago,
- 9 when regiments would form up opposing one another on
- 10 the battle field at sort of 20 spaces and fire point
- 11 blank at one another with muskets, it was not unknown
- 12 for 10 percent or more on either side to be killed or
- 13 injured. The term "decimate" was coined to describe
- 14 that kind of battle field loss.
- 15 Well, if PacifiCorp lost 70,000 of its
- 16 customer connections on this occasion, it probably
- 17 doesn't have as many as 700,000, so you could, in
- 18 fact, say that the customer base of PacifiCorp was
- 19 decimated on this occasion. That would be regarded
- 20 as a huge level of losses. It's not by any means
- 21 insignificant.
- 22 Now, I'm not proposing to suggest that that

- 23 level of loss was experienced either in 1999 or the
- 24 following year when again there were outages due to
- 25 heavy wet snow around early November or again in

1	early 2001	not early 2001.	I beg your pardon.

2	Around Thanksgiving in 2001 when again there were
3	thousands of outages of Utah Power customers due to
4	heavy wet snow. But these this suggests that
5	there is, in fact, a pattern. It suggests that, in
6	fact, this event was not as unusual as some have
7	represented it to be. And it makes it very clear
8	that we need to look closely and extensively at
9	PacifiCorp's plan both for avoiding these outages and
10	for dealing with them when they occur.
11	Turning to the next step in this process,
12	what happened afterwards? It's clear that PacifiCorp
13	mustered all of the resources they felt able to do to
14	deal with the consequence of this storm and the
15	number of outages they had. But again, I think the
16	Committee would like the Company to address the
17	question of whether their emergency response plan for
18	these kinds of issues is, in fact, sufficient.
19	To the best of my knowledge, electricity
20	companies serving in adjacent states such as Arizona,
21	New Mexico, Colorado were not experiencing particular
22	troubles at that time. Would it not, in fact, be

- 23 sensible, would it not be possible, would it not be
- 24 economically reasonable to have long-term
- 25 arrangements with these adjacent electricity

1 companies that would enable the Company, PacifiCorp,
2 to bring in additional resources over and above their
3 own on occasions when PacifiCorp is experiencing
4 acute problems on a kind of tradeoff basis with those
5 other utilities that from time to time also
6 experience problems? For example, we understand that
7 not so long ago in Arizona there were summer storms
8 that caused huge outages down there and that
9 customers became very irate because, of course, they
10 had no access to their air conditioning under those
11 circumstances.
12 Now this would not be that different from
13 arrangements that the Company uses and has been
14 facilitated in recent years by a market, an increased
15 market facility for the trading of energy when they
16 lose a generator or whatever. So we would encourage
17 the Company to address that in their in their
18 investigation and report.
19 Ultimately, we are asking the Company to
20 address the question of how do they ensure that
21 future storms don't result in similar outages because
22 recent weather events, recent years I mean,

- 23 weather events clearly indicate -- although the scale
- 24 of this may have been three, four, five times what
- 25 has happened in the last five years, it's not, in

fact, a hundred times different or something of that
sort.

3 I believe it was Mr. Landels who suggested at the beginning of his presentation that the Company 4 wants to have this declared a major event. When 5 6 ScottishPower proposed to this Commission back in the nineteen -- late '98, '99 period that they would like 7 to merge with PacifiCorp, one of the offerings that 8 9 they brought proudly and appropriate so to the table was a service guaranty program. The service guaranty 10 11 program was intended to do two things. It was 12 intended to incent the Company to be quick on its feet in restoring customers whose service had been 13 interrupted, and it was intended to provide a modest, 14 some would say, a very modest reparation to those 15 customers. We are talking a few dollars. We are not 16 17 talking as much as \$100. We're just talking with a few dollars for extended outages. One of the things 18 that the Company achieves when it gets Commission 19 20 sign off, that something is a major event is that it 21 no longer needs to make those guaranteed payments. 22 Now the Company has regularly -- Ms. Rees

- 23 referred to the fact that there have been, I think
- 24 she said, nine major events in the last three years.
- 25 The Company has regularly on those occasions asked

1	the Commission to approve the declaration of a major
2	event and as a result has avoided making those
3	guarantee payments to its customers on those
4	occasions. The Committee would ask the Commission
5	not to hurry to approve the Company's request that
6	this be declared a major event.
7	It is quite clear that many customers have
8	experienced considerable inconvenience, discomfort
9	and in many cases extra expenditures sometimes
10	running into considerable amounts of money. It is
11	not clear to the Committee of Consumer Services that
12	with the experience of recent years, that with the
13	investigate the prior investigations of the
14	Commission, that with the prior commitments of the
15	Company, that it is appropriate for the Company on
16	this occasion to transfer all of those costs to its
17	customers rather than to its stockholders. In fact,
18	we would look to Mr. Landels, we would look to the
19	Chief Executive of PacifiCorp, we would look to the
20	chairman and board of ScottishPower to address the
21	question of what does this Company intend to do for
22	the 70,000 plus customers who have suffered outages

- 23 during the course of this event?
- 24 Now, no one is suggesting that this happened
- 25 because of the deliberate intent of Utah Power,

1 PacifiCorp and ScottishPower. No one is suggesting 2 that it happened because of the negligence or incompetence of those companies or any of their 3 employees. The Committee is by no means the last to 4 represent publicly that it regards those who were 5 6 working 16 hour shifts in freezing conditions and 7 through 24 hours a day were some kind of heroes to the people whose electricity service they restored. 8 9 We're not suggesting that those who were working in the service centers or the managers to the very top 10 11 level of the company who went out of their way to be 12 responsive to this event in Utah were anything other 13 than going many extra miles in trying to do that. But it's the Committee's responsibility to represent 14 the interests of the customers. And we do not want 15 16 the Commission, the Company or anybody else to 17 overlook those customers and what they went through. 18 We would remind all here present that anyone 19 who goes to the Public Service Commission's website, 20 their home page, goes to the mission statement of the 21 Commission and reads just the very first sentence 22 will read the following: "The primary responsibility

- 23 of the Commission is to ensure safe, reliable,
- 24 adequate and reasonably priced utility service."
- 25 The Committee suggests that a message needs

1 to be sent to all the utilities that this Commission regulates, to which it grants monopoly rights through 2 service -- through certificates of convenience and 3 necessity that they need to be first and foremost 4 conscious of those particular obligations. 5 6 Now, the Committee will as the Division does no doubt will have further and more detailed issues 7 that it would wish the Company to address in its 8 9 internal investigations and in its report. We will no doubt be talking further with the Company to 10 develop an agenda which we would expect them to see 11 12 addressed. In that, we would expect to see a full public written report as the Company has indicated 13 14 they will produce. 15 The Committee reserves the right to hire consultants, to exam these issues. The Committee 16 17 reserves the right to come back to the Commission at a later stage and indicate that it believes it 18 indeed -- it believes and hopes it will not be 19 20 necessary -- that it believes that the Company's investigation and report are inadequate and to ask 21

22 the Company to order the Company -- the Commission to

- 23 order the Company to commission an outside
- 24 investigation and report if that is necessary.
- 25 Thank you very much, sir.

1	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Mr. Ball, you well know
2	we do understand what our obligations are and take
3	seriously our responsibility as regulators.
4	MR. BALL: Indeed I do, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: What I would like to do
6	now is allow I have some public witnesses that
7	would like to spend sometime sharing with us their
8	we have more that they would like to share with us
9	what happened. This is certainly part of our fact
10	finding responsibility, and we look forward to
11	hearing from you.
12	Due to the nature and the number, we would
13	ask you limit yourself if you could to two or three
14	minutes. We do want you to say what you have to say.
15	We'd start with Dr. Richard Drake. And take a seat
16	at the front with this microphone.
17	MR. DRAKE: It's my pleasure to be here
18	today as a citizen, as a health practitioner, as a
19	consumer of electrical power. I appreciate the work
20	of our commission here, Rick Campbell and Constance
21	White and Ted Boyer and all those who assist them and

22 the Committee of Consumer Services, the Division of

23 Public Utilities.

- 24 I have been very concerned for a number of
- 25 years about the quality of service that we fail to

- 1 receive from PacifiCorp, ScottishPower, and I think
- 2 it's conveniently called Utah Power.

3	I want to go to question three here in terms
4	of what standards of the Public Service Commission
5	put in place to ensure reliable service. And I think
6	that's a question Dr. Roger Ball is talking about as
7	well as is it Rhea that was speaking. But I
8	feel very strongly, and I do this as a scientist, as
9	somebody who's very well aware of the electrical
10	physics and so forth, to ask a question: What
11	objective independent evaluations have been conducted
12	by the Public Service Commission? And I say
13	independent, to determine whether PacifiCorp,
14	ScottishPower have met certain standards or has the
15	Commission just believed that the companies are
16	giving them the real facts. They've gone to any
17	outside engineering experts to determine the facts?
18	How many engineers in other power company
19	professionals 50 years and older did the Company
20	terminate or phase out of when PacifiCorp took over
21	the company?
22	One of the well Einstein type engineers in

- 23 this group has circulated a document titled "Fact
- 24 Sheet Why Power Lines Fall Down". And this was in
- 25 contradiction to the information that we received

1 from the PR aspect of PacifiCorp.

2	I would ask the question: What percent of
3	the Company's total revenue is being put directly
4	back into routine and preventive maintenance? When
5	Portland Power displayed an absolute dismal record of
6	providing dependable electrical service in Portland
7	and other areas of Oregon, the Oregon Public Service
8	Commission demanded that the Company dedicate a
9	certain percentage of that company's total revenues
10	to routine and preventive maintenance along with
11	other forms of infrastructure development and
12	improvement. Why would PacifiCorp be required to do
13	that? What percent did the Commission require the
14	Company to dedicate for the above stated purposes?
15	So I am suggesting that the Public Service Commission
16	look into this and maybe follow a lead of Oregon with
17	regard to this problem.
18	Why did the CEO of PacifiCorp go on Utah
19	television and like an adolescent blame the Utah
20	consumer claiming the Company cannot gain access to
21	trees that need to be trimmed when, in fact, there
22	are many individuals who have plead with, who have

- 23 begged the Company to come and trim their trees?
- 24 And I give you two specific examples with
- 25 approval of these particular residents. For example,
1 William Van Cleaf has experienced five power outages last year. Specifically asked the lineman who came 2 to his place at 2132 East Lambourne Avenue if they 3 would trim the huge elm trees that were impinging on 4 the power lines. The answer he got was quote, "The 5 Company doesn't do that anymore." 6 7 Ron Madsen, who also lives on Lambourne 8 Avenue, and who during his five-day outage had to pay 9 \$3,500 for a motel room and so forth for five, eight children and he also had to rent a generator to keep 10 11 his freezers, furnace and other equipment operating. 12 He -- he was a direct witness to many blue and white sparks coming from two tree branches touching a 7K-V 13 line carrying high voltage to a transformer. He 14 called the problem -- the problem to the attention of 15 the linemen and they said, "The company doesn't do 16 17 tree trimming anymore." 18 So what's the contradiction here? The Company engineer personally told me they had 19 20 essentially eliminated the budget or moved the funds 21 elsewhere for carrying out a task, trimming trees 22 essential to the success of any electrical grid. And

- 23 yet a CEO PacifiCorp has the temerity to excuse their
- 24 conduct by blaming the consumer for a decision the
- 25 company consciously made to cut tree trimming budget.

1	When Mr. Eskelsen talks about there being
2	500 people working on this $12/25/03$ outage, what he
3	ignores is that meter readers and estimators are not
4	linemen necessary to restore power. He essentially
5	is saying the triage, as I speak using medical terms,
6	is very successful, but they have terminated the bulk
7	of the physicians and surgeons or skill linemen
8	necessary to restore life and vitality to the
9	stricken patient, the electrical consumer.
10	When Mr. Eskelsen talked about an automated
11	system, what he seems not to understand, you cannot
12	fix a broken line by pushing a button. The numbers
13	of qualified linemen employed by the company is
14	abysmal.
15	The PSC needs to know what the maintenance
16	budget, percent and total dollars adjusted for
17	inflation was before PacifiCorp took over. They need
18	to look at the annual maintenance budget for 1989
19	'98 and '99 and compare that budget with the Utah
20	Power budget just before PacifiCorp took the reigns
21	of Utah Power.
22	Point of information: It was after the

- 23 1998-99 budget that ScottishPower came on board. And
- 24 they did begin to address some of the maintenance
- 25 problems with PacifiCorp, but they are in a game of

1 catch up that is frightening when one realizes the extent of deterioration that occurred under the aegis 2 3 of PacifiCorp. 4 Ten, is the PSC going to allow this company to run this power grid into the ground just before 5 they abandon the project, move elsewhere while taking 6 all the revenues out of state? Why is the home 7 office in Portland? Why are all the calls for help 8 9 from Utah consumers routed to Portland? That question needs -- when PacifiCorp serves seven 10 11 states, Utah Power has a majority of all PacifiCorp 12 customers, electric facilities, power generation and provides a majority of PacifiCorp's revenues. Why 13 does the PSC not require PacifiCorp to direct 14 operations head quarters to reside in Salt Lake City 15 where it will be more accountable to Utah Power 16 17 customers and the PSC? 18 This document is not held by you three. I happen to have a copy or can get a copy for you. 19 20 How well is the Company doing with regard to 21 having adequate substations with regard to buying 22 quality verses inferior equipment? I have knowledge

- 23 of the fact that their underground cable that they
- 24 are using, they buy for 25 cents a foot less than
- 25 cable that will last for 40 years. The cable they

1	are now buying will last maybe for 15 or 20. Is this
2	the kind of network we want? What efforts are being
3	made for the laying of underground cable? What is
4	being done about the hardened copper lines that
5	chemically and physically change when too much power
6	is put through a conduction system? Is the Company
7	even addressing this problem?
8	And there's another document circulating in
9	the audience today titled, "Fact sheet Why Power
10	Lines Fall down". It explains in detail that this is
11	not a weather related problem in most cases.
12	How well is the Company or PacifiCorp and
12 13	
13	
13	ScottishPower much like the airline manufacturer who
13 14	ScottishPower much like the airline manufacturer who sells the state legislature and the Governor's office
13 14 15	ScottishPower much like the airline manufacturer who sells the state legislature and the Governor's office and the Public Service Commission an aircraft, whose manual reads, "Flies beautifully in good weather, but
13 14 15 16	ScottishPower much like the airline manufacturer who sells the state legislature and the Governor's office and the Public Service Commission an aircraft, whose manual reads, "Flies beautifully in good weather, but
 13 14 15 16 17 	ScottishPower much like the airline manufacturer who sells the state legislature and the Governor's office and the Public Service Commission an aircraft, whose manual reads, "Flies beautifully in good weather, but if you encounter inclement conditions, you may
 13 14 15 16 17 18 	ScottishPower much like the airline manufacturer who sells the state legislature and the Governor's office and the Public Service Commission an aircraft, whose manual reads, "Flies beautifully in good weather, but if you encounter inclement conditions, you may experience a catastrophic event"?
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	ScottishPower much like the airline manufacturer who sells the state legislature and the Governor's office and the Public Service Commission an aircraft, whose manual reads, "Flies beautifully in good weather, but if you encounter inclement conditions, you may experience a catastrophic event"? Since most patients used to be hospitalized

- 23 what responsibility does the PSC and the state of
- 24 Utah have to insure a predictable quality power
- 25 supply? On this outage I went and attended to about

1 seven different clients who were in home held care

2 settings totally dependent on power. This is

3 abysmal.

4 With many employees working out of their homes, some with sophisticated electrical equipment, 5 what costs are incurred by those employees when one 6 cannot count on a predictable supply of electrical 7 8 power? 9 And a final observation: PacifiCorp and ScottishPower are very fortunate that the thousands 10 11 upon thousands of homes and businesses did not have 12 ruptured water lines which would have happened if the temperatures had fallen to the minus 20s and 30s. 13 14 The damage would have been catastrophic. 15 I expect the Public Service Commission to 16 hold PacifiCorp and ScottishPower accountable for 17 quality electrical grid. Too many years have gone by with what appears to be a third rate service, almost 18 19 analogous to some third world countries. I say this 20 with all sincerity and not out of ignorance. Thank 21 you.

22 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you, Dr. Drake.

23 David Ward.

- 24 MR. WARD: I am David -- is this on? All
- 25 right. My name is David Ward. I'm a 30-year veteran

1 of Utah Power & Light. For five years between oh, 2 about 1987 and '92 I was an operations engineering 3 supervisor with responsibilities of the Tooele, Salt 4 Lake, Summit and part of Wasatch County. After that I 5 left for a corporate engineering job with PacifiCorp. 6 I spent five years fighting what I 7 considered short-sided, inept, inexperienced, 8 incompetent engineering from Portland. Now when I 9 left the Company, I did receive a special severance 10 and retirement package with a confidentiality clause 11 that prevents me from talking about certain 12 proprietary and company secrets. But let's talk about 13 some things that are general knowledge and can be 14 learned just with a little investigation. 15 But first of all, I do have to say a word 16 about ScottishPower. Since ScottishPower has taken 17 over the realms of PacifiCorp, they are making progress. The problem lies with PacifiCorp and 18 Portland engineering. 19 20 Now, the outage has been blamed on snow. A 21 properly designed and built power line is designed to 22 withstand snow, ice and wind. It will fall down on a

- 23 house if this falls on it or a big tree. But the
- 24 power lines that went down, many of them should have
- 25 stayed up.

1	Now what was the problem? There was a fact
2	sheet that was referred to by Dr. Drake. One of the
3	problems is that many of the older copper lines have
4	become annealed. This is a process where the
5	molecular structure changes and the lines lose their
6	strength. This is usually caused by overloading or
7	by repeated short circuit currents. When a line is
8	annealed, it does not have the strength required to
9	withstand a tree branch falling on it.
10	Another problem of these lines is once they
11	become annealed, they are not as strong and they will
12	stretch. This stretch adds sag. A line with
13	excessive sag blows together and burns down.
14	Lines also have excessive sag when they are
15	installed improperly with inadequate guying. Many of
16	the distribution lines in Utah Power have final sags
17	that exceed the final sag required by Utah Power.
18	In my travels through the northwest, I have
19	seen more of these lines in the Portland area
20	excuse me, outside of Portland. It's important to
21	realize that PacifiCorp does not serve the bulk of

- 23 PacifiCorp serves a small area outside of Portland
- 24 with probably as many customers as the Ogden area.
- 25 So that leads me to some questions I will ask a

1 little later.

2	Another major problem that causes line
3	failures are the use of wood insulator pins. These
4	are used to support conductors. With time, leakage
5	currents will char and burn these to where they
6	become very weak. When this happens, snow on the
7	line or wind will bring the line down.
8	To my knowledge PacifiCorp, Utah Power has
9	no program to find and replace burned insulator pins.
10	The same wood insulator pins often fall through
11	crossarms partly letting the insulator lie on the
12	crossarm. This increases leakage current and burning
13	of crossarms.
14	Now, there are other issues that can be
15	mentioned that are covered. It was lucky in this
16	from the comments I received, there were few poles
17	that went down. This is very surprising. You can
18	find out why a pole fails by looking at it. If a
19	pole falls over, it's because the ground was soft or
20	plastic. If the pole shatters or cracks, usually oh,
21	two or three feet higher above the ground, it was
22	from excessive line tension. When a pole breaks at

- 23 the ground line or below ground line, it is because
- 24 of wood rot. Currently, I am unaware of an
- 25 inspection and replacement program for wood poles.

1	Now, let me talk about a couple of questions
2	I think we should be asking. A question for Utah
3	Power, I think, has been pretty well covered. This
4	is the existing rate base has money in it for
5	maintenance. How has that money been spent?
6	Question for the PSC: It appears that the
7	ratepayers have paid for maintenance they may not
8	have received. Are they going to be charged again to
9	rebuild this system? PacifiCorp's maintenance
10	history was talked, I believe, briefly by Dr. Drake,
11	so I don't need to go into that. But it is important
12	to ask why the state PSC that has the most experience
13	dealing with PacifiCorp requires by statute
14	maintenance, a percentage of revenue to be spent on
15	that maintenance. This is something that it looks
16	like it's time to have here in Utah.
17	It was also covered a majority in the
18	PacifiCorp customers fall in Utah Power & Lights's
19	service territory. It might be interesting to
20	digress here and look at the history of the merger
21	between Utah Power and Pacific Power. At that time
22	PacifiCorp was about the size of Utah Power. It had

- 23 other entities: Pacific Telecom, Mirco Mining,
- 24 Pacific Financial, all of these have come to what
- 25 might be termed abysmal failure.

1	Right now the majority of the customers and
2	the majority of the revenue for PacifiCorp comes from
3	Utah Power. Why then not is PacifiCorp's electric
4	operation headquarters in Salt Lake City where it can
5	be accountable? Why continue the short sighted,
6	inept, maybe incompetent management from Portland
7	that has been a disaster for the stockholders of
8	PacifiCorp, the older stockholders of the Utah Power
9	& Light company and a disaster for its customers? It
10	is now time to get the headquarters in Utah where it
11	belongs.
12	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you, Mr. Ward. V
13	are recording the proceedings here, so we're going to
14	need to take a short break to rest our court
15	reporter, a time to rest. So we'll take a seven-
16	minute break.
17	(Recess taken)
18	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: All right. We're going
19	to resume this meeting. I'd like to invite
20	Mr. Comstock forward. Once again we find this
21	MR. WARNICK: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Comstock has
22	left. He left a written statement which we can

We

- 23 provide or read. I understand he's no longer here.
- 24 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: That's wonderful. We'll
- 25 take that statement and put it on file. We are

1 interested in what each of you have to say. Once

2 again, we're trying to make sure everyone has a

3 chance to speak. So let's go then to George --

4 MR. OVSOVNIAN: Ovsovnian.

5 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you for the help,6 sir.

7 MR. OVSOVNIAN: Commissioners, I'm glad to 8 have the opportunity to speak here today. I guess my 9 questions are going to be directed to Mr. Landels. I 10 had the brief opportunity to meet him after the 11 ScottishPower/PacifiCorp merger. I'm going to try to 12 make it probably as short as I can. I got another 13 meeting I have to attend. 14 To start off, looking at the PacifiCorp job 15 offering's page, the career page on the internet, 16 December 30th was the date. There was a total of 87 17 job openings, Sixty-seven of which were on the 18 PacifiCorp side, 20 in Utah. We've heard a lot today 19 of the lack of manpower on Utah's side. I think this 20 is just one verification of what everybody is talking 21 about.

I was employed with Utah Power for 26 years,

- 23 16 of which was in the systems dispatch center which
- 24 was moved to Portland in late 2000. When they made
- 25 that move, there was a total of roughly 50 years of

1 experience that went out the door on that move.

2 One thing I would like to speak about today, 3 during the Olympics when the Olympics came to Utah, a 4 decision was made to bring the system dispatch center 5 back to Utah during the whole event. I would like the 6 Commission to find out if they could, who made that 7 decision. It was a good decision by the way. Who 8 made the decision? Why was it made? Did they realize 9 that the communication channels that Portland receives 10 to run the Utah system from the dispatch center comes 11 from Utah? A majority of the information is from 12 Utah. RTU's, you pull it through T-1 channels at the 13 time. I don't know if that's changed. But the T-1 14 channels were how you were monitoring the system. 15 Every time there was a disruption, you didn't -- you 16 didn't have the ability to see the Utah side. We knew 17 that was a concern. We aired that concern before the 18 move to no avail. 19 We'd also like to find out if we could how 20 many times that channel has been down. How many times

21 has the Utah side not been able to be monitored from

22 the system dispatch center?

- 23 I still maintain that when the decision was
- 24 made during the Olympics to bring the center back down
- 25 to Utah, it was the best thing you could have done in

- 1 the -- in the event of a disruption, system dispatch
- 2 center should have been here on the same floor as the
- 3 distribution side. During the disruption system, the
- 4 distribution puts the system back together, not
- 5 900 miles away from the T-1 channel.
- 6 That's about all I have to say, and I
- 7 appreciate the opportunity.
- 8 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you.
- 9 MR. OVZOVNIAN: Thank you.
- 10 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you for your
- 11 comments. Harvey Lloyd.
- 12 MR. LLOYD: Thank you very much. I
- 13 appreciate being here with Sister Campbell and Brother
- 14 Boyer. I appreciate -- I live in Holladay.
- 15 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Could you pull that
- 16 microphone closer so we can hear you?
- 17 MR. LLOYD: I want to address this situation
- 18 by saying you think we're having a rough year now, we
- 19 live under the rocky mountain area. We go from 4600
- 20 feet here to 12,000 feet plus, and we're very
- 21 vulnerable. And you think this is a tough year you've
- 22 had here, but we've gone through a number of years of

- 23 dry, dry season. You've coasted without many
- 24 problems. But we have bad weather coming. This is
- 25 just the beginning of what can happen. We can get

1 very set back in what lies in the future.

I lived in Idaho, and I remember one year
for about 15 miles the telephone poles were covered
with snow top -- still standing, covered with snow.
That can happen here.

6 This reminds me of the Donner party, I can 7 see. They live under the Donner pass. And that was a 8 bitter winter that they had. And we can experience 9 that now. And we can experience it here, too. 10 Now, I want to tell you that I really 11 appreciated what the Donner -- what the workmen did. 12 They were just unwilling to give the extra effort to 13 get this power on. The problem was they didn't have 14 enough people to meet the situation. And we get worst 15 situations in the future. It can be much worse than 16 it was this year. I think it can get much worse. 17 I've seen it. I've been in the latter part of my life 18 here now, but my children experienced it. The rest 19 homes were very hard hit with being out of power. 20 These people are not able to combat the telephones and 21 get communications.

I don't want to review things that other

- 23 people have said, and I appreciate what they said, but
- 24 I thank you for your time here.
- 25 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you, Mr. Lloyd.

1 Bill Sutton.

2 MR. SUTTON: Good morning. This is my first 3 experience at a meeting like this, and so I don't have 4 a great deal to say. But I would like to say a couple 5 of things that my experience with investors on the 6 utilities goes back probably over 50 years. I've been 7 a resident here of Utah for the last 20. Have seen a 8 lot of things happen in the utility -- the provision 9 of utility services. For the first time in 50 years, 10 I found it necessary to come down and take a look and 11 say, What's going on here? 12 Interestingly enough, I did not have an 13 outage during this last storm, a storm which in my 14 opinion wasn't a serious storm. There was no ice, no 15 great deal of wind, probably something that the system 16 should have survived without too much difficulty, at 17 least based on the experience I've had in other parts 18 of the country where weather can be a lot more severe 19 than what we saw here. 20 I believe that this is a wake-up call. 21 There's some structure, corporate structure that

22 should be questioned. I think the financial status of

- 23 ScottishPower might be looked at over the last two,
- 24 three years and why perhaps investment hasn't been put
- 25 in for the provision of service the way it should

1 be -- at least the way I feel it should be.

2	I believe the dialog that is going on here
3	may get to the crux of the problem and improve the
4	quality of service. This is 2004. I believe that
5	technology can be handled, should be handled. And the
6	experience we've had is probably a minor incident.
7	The outcome is just totally unacceptable. And I
8	believe there's some brilliant people I heard speak
9	this morning that can solve this problem and improve
10	the situation. This isn't this isn't at this point
11	life and death, but it needs to be turned around and
12	gotten on the right track.
13	That's all I have to say.
14	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you, Mr. Sutton.
15	Wendy Morgan.
16	MS. MORGAN: My name is Wendy Morgan. And I
17	represent a group of residents who live about 7500
18	South 16th East along the little Cottonwood Creek
19	River. In this area there's a lot of cottonwood trees
20	we very much enjoy. However, it seems to be we've had
21	three severe power outages in the last eight weeks.
22	The first was on November 1st. We were without power

- 23 for 24 hours. The second then came on December the
- 24 26th. We were without power for three days. Power
- 25 was restored Sunday evening. Went back out Monday

1 morning for ten hours.

I'm not here to complain about the
inconveniences our family sustained, which our home
got down to about 45 degrees. Our family had gathered
for the Christmas vacation and had come home from out
of state, and it's a Christmas we won't forget. I
have a 15-year old Eagle Scout who suggested we all go
build a snow cave and spend the night in them and
we'll be warmer.

Well, I am here to talk about some of the
humanitarian plan I was interested to see that does
exist. I want to tell you about my humanitarian plan.
I am concerned about residents who live in the Meadows
Mobile Home Park who live in this area. I didn't run
into anybody else going door to door from any of these
agencies. During these three outages, I went door to
door, and I checked on 92-year old Dorothy. And she's
huddled inside. Her home is very cold. The concern
is many of these residents, they don't want to be
uprooted from their homes. With a power outage we
don't know if it's going to last for two hours or last

- 23 So the first step might -- the first logical
- 24 thing might be to get these people to warm homes. But
- 25 they prefer to stay in hopes and in the faith that

their power will come back on. Well, we made sure 92 year old Dorothy was warm after a sustained amount of
 time, made sure she got to a family member's house.

We have a couple in their 90's. He is on
oxygen for his support. He has an emergency backup
system that only lasts so long. We are able with each
power outage to make sure he gets placed somewhere
where he can have power. We were very fortunate that
this was not so widespread there wasn't a place we
could go and take these people who need electricity to
live.

We have a woman who was -- suffered severe
asthma attacks from the cold. I took her to the
emergency room where she was able to be given care.
And I could go on. I do want you to know that there
are some people who this is life threatening for.
Those are the ones I'm concerned about. I'm not quite
sure what to go back and tell these people who have
been without power three times, three major outages in
eight weeks, what hope I can give them.
Our -- apparently our grid is scheduled to be

22 cleaned. We have a severe problem with overgrown

- 23 vines and trees. We have called and requested in past
- 24 months and past years to have these power lines
- 25 cleaned. One of these was so overgrown that you
1 couldn't even see the light from the street light.

2 And yet, there's been no response to that request to

3 have this taken care of. We're concerned it's a

4 maintenance problem and want to know what hope we can

5 give these residents who need to have power in order

6 to live.

7 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you. Bryan8 Jewett. Is Bryan here?

9 Gary Forbush.

10 MR. FORBUSH: Hi. I've a couple of copies

11 of a statement I submitted via the e-mail yesterday.

12 My name is Gary Forbush. I represent the

13 Carriage Crossing Homeowners Association in Sandy

14 Utah. It's a cluster of newer homes about three to

15 six years old, and we're located approximately 8295

16 South 960 East in Sandy. And approximately when we

17 these outages -- and unfortunately as this other lady

18 said, we've had two outages in the last eight weeks.

19 We've had one outage for approximately 17 hours just

20 after Halloween, and we had another outage on the

21 26th. And about 85 percent of those new homes which

22 have all underground power were all affected by this

23 outage.

- 24 So we -- I guess the number one complaint
- 25 I've gotten from the homeowners in my homeowners

1 association is a busy signal. They call to report,

they call to get updates, and it's worse -- it's 2 3 worse than anything to have a busy signal that's not responsive. I happen to be a technology 4 professional. I happen to have worked with a media 5 company in Atlanta when I was living there. And in 6 preparation for the Atlanta Olympics, we had a 7 business continuity disaster recovery plan, plan for 8 9 the Olympics if something happened so that we could maintain our network. And I believe with the wind 10 and the snow and the cold that we have and the 11 12 potential for earthquakes we have, that the utilities probably should be all required to provide such a key 13 14 service that they be required to have a formal plan that says what they will do, what their protocols 15 are, what their resources are. Because, you know, 16 17 the problem is as these problems grow, the systems that you have to deal with, these problems also need 18 19 to scale. From what I heard this morning, it doesn't look like you did. So that's a big issue that could 20 21 be addressed.

22 My other recommendations I guess, is one of

- 23 the things I think would be helpful at some point in
- 24 time to learn the most we can from these outages is
- 25 to get a map to show where the outages were and then

1 that should be kind of a key thing that PacifiCorp 2 and ScottishPower should be able to focus on to say obviously these are the areas that were most effected 3 by that problem. So we should focus our initial 4 operation review in those areas. That seems like 5 6 that would be most efficient as opposed to looking at everything in the system, focus on where the problems 7 were and have initial operation reviews done where 8 9 those problems came to light. 10 Also, I would just recommend that you 11 evaluate ways of scaling your system, your 12 infrastructure to meet demands during outage periods. 13 The biggest frustration we have, again, is a busy signal. All of our neighbors called. We all 14 tried to report. I was probably one of the first to 15 report the outage at 4:30 on the morning on the 26th. 16 17 I got through, but some other of my neighbors told me all they got when they called over and over again 18 were busy signals. That is not a response. That is 19 20 a fundamental problem that needs to be addressed. 21 And that's all I have to say. Thank you 22 very much.

- 23 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you, Mr. Forbush.
- 24 Rich Dixon. Is Mr. Dixon here? Joy Bushnell.
- 25 MS. BUSHNELL: Thank you for this

opportunity. I just want to share our experience just
 so that someone has listened to the pain and suffering
 that we have all had.

I live in an old neighborhood in the East
Millcreek area. And we've only lived there for a
year. And we've had several outages in this past year
that have been short lived until this one. We were
out of power for 106 hours which is into the 5th day.
And all the neighbors warned us the power goes out
frequently in this area. And so we were well aware of
it. They said this has never happened like this
before.

My concern is, as many has stated, that this
is unacceptable. We appreciate the efforts of the
people working on the power. We really do. For days
we saw no trucks in our area. None. I mean we would
drive in our car mainly to stay warm, but to look for
trucks to see if help was on the way.
By day three -- of course, we reported it

By day three -- of course, we reported it
first thing that morning just to get our name on the
list so they knew the areas that were out. And we
got the signal that there was no outage reported in

- 23 that area, which now I understand there was a problem
- 24 with the system. And when I finally reached a live
- 25 voice on day three, they did say -- because I said

every day we call, they say there is no power outages
 reporting in our area. She said, Well, the system is
 down. It's kerplunk. But those are hard words to
 hear.

5 You know, my concern is we had relatives. I 6 have to say Christmas was wonderful. It has ruined our holidays because we had to send our relatives 7 home. They were scheduled to leave yesterday. We 8 9 sent them home Sunday. We had to house them in hotels because you can't lean on family when you have 10 other family staying with you. I mean, that's just 11 12 an overload of common courtesy to me. So we housed our relatives in hotels as well as ourselves and as 13 14 well as snuck our animals into the hotels to save 15 their lives. 16 I guess the thing -- many of our neighbors 17 witnessed the blows of power. So that we had witnessed people that knew the areas that were down. 18 19 And there was no response from anyone. 20 Finally on Monday -- yeah, on Monday, we saw 21 a truck in the area. We grabbed him, of course, and

22 he said, I've had one of your neighbors report where

- 23 it's down. Many of our neighbors across the street
- 24 right in front of me came up on Sunday. So you know
- 25 the excitement you have there. We stayed in our car

1	until 10:00 at night in the driveway with the heat
2	going, the DVD player going so we could stay warm.
3	We knew our power would be up. This is Sunday.
4	Come Tuesday afternoon when it came up of
5	course, we were very frustrated. The truck in the
6	area that day said they had reported the lines down
7	four hours ago. Twenty-four hours later the truck
8	showed up in that area. To me that is unacceptable
9	when you know where the lines are down. There was
10	just a little elite area of people that did not
11	receive the power for 106 hours.
12	And I guess I understand the media. We were
13	not able to keep up on the media mainly because we
14	had no access to that, but I understand that it has
15	been reported that UPL does not accept any
16	responsibility for losses in this. But by day five,
17	there are definitely losses that everybody has
18	concurred. And I thank you for this time.
19	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you. Beinel Juan.
20	Did I get that right? Probably not.
21	MS. JUAN: I'll just spell it.
22	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: B-e-i-n-e-l I'm

- 23 sorry. Or is that an "r"?
- 24 MS. JUAN: Bernel.
- 25 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: It's an "r". Sorry

1 about that.

MS. JUAN: That's all right. I want to thank you for this opportunity. And I'm really bad in front of people. I've heard on the radio everybody is complaining about the power companies. And I believe that everybody -- I mean, all people, all the consumers, everybody has responsibility when it comes to a disaster like this. It was not just the power companies.

10 I lived in Minnesota. And in the middle of 11 winter, I mean about the 15th of January, we had our 12 power go down for over two weeks. And there was 13 nothing, nothing anybody could do. I mean they --14 they had power trucks -- everybody was working on the 15 power lines. And they are really good at trimming the 16 trees up there. I mean, we have lots of snow, and we 17 have the really cold, cold weather. 18 It amazes me down here -- now I understand, I thought this was desert so it was always nice in 19 20 the wintertime. And I found out this is still a mild 21 winter yet. So to have the trees when -- back home

22 when we had trees growing up into the wires, we

- 23 called the power company and said, Look you have to
- 24 come out here. If we get a bad storm, it's going to
- 25 take my wires down and I don't want my wires down.

1 And they would come out and they would trim the

2 trees.

3 Computers break down. Everybody knows that anybody who has got a computer knows that something 4 goes wrong with the computer. We need people to back 5 6 up the computers. When we got the computers out at first, they said that, you know, we will need less 7 manpower. You still need the manpower. In the time 8 9 of a disaster, manpower is the only thing that's going to do it. The computers are going to break 10 11 down. 12 We had -- when I came home, I was visiting my daughter in Provo and came home to a power outage. 13 I called work to find out if they had power, and they 14 did have power. When I got home from work that day, 15 I was going to call to find out if some of my friends 16 17 still had power, and I had no telephone. To me the telephone is more important than the power. I mean, 18 I had -- I had emergency lighting that I had in 19 20 store. We have been warned and forwarned about 21 disasters, what could happen in time of a disaster. 22 Every consumer needs to have some disaster plan for

- 23 their own family because if we had a tornado -- we
- 24 had a tornado in Salt Lake. And we've had several
- 25 different little ones that I never knew they had

1 tornados in Salt Lake until after the one downtown.

2 And then we had all these little ones going on. And

3 if we have a tornado that jumps all over the place,

4 you're going to have power lines and power poles that

5 are down if they hit them just right. And people can

6 be without power for a week on end before they get

7 all that taken care of.

8 With people with medical problems that need 9 power, it amazes me that all of them do not have some 10 type of backup. Yes, there is a lot that the power 11 company can do and that telephone companies can do, 12 but the people themselves have to be prepared. And there is so much. I mean, they have solar power, 13 they have wind power that you can adjust for your 14 homes. And for somebody who owns a home it's more 15 16 their responsibility -- I mean, it's kind of hard to 17 fix up a place you're renting with that type of power. But we have to be prepared as people, too. 18 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you. Byron

21 Jacobson. Did I get that right?

22 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Jorgenson.

- 23 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I'm sorry.
- 24 MR. WARNICK: Jorgenson, I believe.
- 25 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Are you here

1 Mr. Jorgenson? George Hansen? Thank you.

2 MR. NIELSEN: And for the record it's not
3 Jorgenson; it's Nielsen. The only thing you got right
4 was the Byron.

5 MR. WARNICK: Sorry.

6 MR. NIELSEN: Anyway, I'm the businessman 7 here for Local 57 IBEW which stands for International 8 Brotherhood of Electrical Workers. I have the 9 privilege of representing the employees not only on --10 that work for Utah Power & Light Company, but also the 11 employees that work for the contractors, the outside 12 line construction contractors and also the tree 13 trimmers that were out there working long continuance 14 hours on this outage. 15 I will have to thank the Company for their 16 concern of the safety for their employees. I was here 17 in 1984 when we had employees that was working for 18 Utah Power & Light that were way too many hours. When 19 you work 72 continuous hours, you're working way too 20 long. That's not the safety of the employees. The 21 concern -- and I think the consumer needs to 22 understand that you're working with an extremely

- 23 dangerous commodity when you are working with
- 24 electricity. And in order for those employees to work
- 25 safely, they need the rest. In order to get that

1 rest, they are going to have to be away from the 2 property, and they are not going to be able to do the 3 work that they are able to do. 4 One thing that did disturb me, I talked to a lot of my membership and that was I had some of the 5 consumers coming up. They were mad. And rightfully 6 so, but now they are starting to throw rocks at the 7 employees. Some of them were spit at. And I think 8 9 that is just totally out of line when you got the employees out there trying to get their service back. 10 11 And they are trying to take their frustration out on 12 the employees that are out there working very diligently. 13 14 But the problem you run into, and I've been in this business since 1963, not only as an employee 15 for Utah Power & Light Company, but I've also been a 16 17 union representative for 24 some years, and I've seen our membership go from 3400 down to 1600. And the 18 19 problems started not with ScottishPower. It started 20 with PacifiCorp. PacifiCorp come in and give everybody low rates. Well, in order to pay for low 21 22 rates, that's got to come from some place. And where

- 23 it comes from, it comes off the backs of the
- 24 employees. Reduce the employees, you lose the
- 25 service. Since ScottishPower has come on board, I've

1 seen where the transitional plan come along, they were going to cut employees. They found the 2 transitional plan was not going to work, they turned 3 the transitional plan back around and they are 4 starting to hire employees back. My opinion is they 5 6 are not hiring enough employees back, but I'm a little selfish on that end. But I think the Company 7 has turned a corner and are starting to hire 8 9 employees back. 10 And I think -- but you can't have low rates 11 and then also demand high service because linemen are 12 very highly qualified. They have to be highly qualified in order to do the work they have to do on 13 the energized circuits. You have other utility 14 companies out there looking for linemen. They are 15 coming at a high cost, and cannot do it also at lost 16 17 cost. That's all I have to say. 18 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you, Mr. Neilsen. That concludes the names I have on my list. Is there 19 20 anybody else from the public that wanted to make a 21 statement? Please come forward. And if you would,

22 please state your name for our record.

23 MR. NIELSEN: My name is Eric Nielsen. I

- 24 believe, Mr. Chairman, that the Commission should very
- 25 pointedly ask ScottishPower when they will be on a

three-year term trimming cycle. Trimming trees is
 really, I believe, the crux of the reliability
 problem. And it's not a glamorous aspect of the power
 distribution process. But that's really the key to
 reliability.

6 I have learned that in the 1990s, the regulators in Oregon were very serious about tree 7 trimming. And PacifiCorp decided in the 1990s that 8 9 Utah was a second class citizen because regulation in Utah was relatively passive. I mean no disrespect 10 and I mean no offense, but 41 percent of PacifiCorp 11 12 customers were located in Utah -- excuse me, 43 percent in the 1990s. 41 percent of PacifiCorp 13 revenue came from Utah in the 1990s. But as of 1998, 14 24 percent of PacifiCorp tree trimming dollars were 15 spent in Utah. Why the disparity if 41 percent of 16 17 your revenue comes from Utah and 43 percent of your customers live in Utah? Why are you only spending 18 24 percent of your tree trimming dollars in this 19 20 state? 21 I have been suing PacifiCorp for the last

22 three and a half years, and I deposed about 35

- 23 PacifiCorp employees. And I have learned that the
- 24 answer to that disparity question is this: They were
- 25 fining PacifiCorp in the 1990s in Oregon if they

- 1 didn't trim trees. And a very proactive regulatory
- 2 body actually inspected lines in Oregon

3 independently. They did not rely on PacifiCorp to
4 tell them whether trees were being trimmed. They
5 hired inspectors. And when they discovered that
6 lines weren't being trimmed, trees weren't being
7 trimmed -- excuse me -- they fine the company. And
8 there was a shift in dollars that went to Oregon as a
9 result of that.

10 I am gratified that ScottishPower promised you, the Commission, I believe in the year 2000, that 11 12 they would extend tree trimming crews from 27 crews in 1999 to, I believe, 60 crews in the year 2000. 13 Sir, I do not know whether that promise was kept. I 14 do not know whether, in fact, ScottishPower increased 15 the number of tree trimming crews to 60. I do 16 17 believe that they have increased their budget from about \$3.9 million in about 1991. And that number 18 remained relatively constant clear until 1998. I 19 20 think from '91 until '98, the annual Utah tree trimming budget went from about 3.9 million to 4.6. 21 22 And I'm gratified that the promised figure now is

- 23 about 8 million out of a total company-wide budget of
- 24 31 million.
- 25 But the question is: Where does that get

1 us? The National Electric Safety Code is th	ne
---	----

2 statutory codification, if you will, of what their

- 3 duty is. You have to follow the National Electric
- 4 Safety Code which requires tree trimming. Utah law
- 5 specifically says a utility operating in Utah must
- 6 follow the National Electric Safety Code.
- 7 Industry standard is three years to trim
- 8 trees. In the 1990s Utah Power & Light, meaning
- 9 PacifiCorp, wasn't anywhere near that. They were
- 10 trimming trees on a five to seven year cycle which is
- 11 abysmal. That is atrocious.
- 12 In the year 2000, when ScottishPower was
- 13 given permission to buy PacifiCorp, they promised we
- 14 will get on a three-year cycle. And I heard the
- 15 gentleman from Scotland this morning tell us again,
- 16 we are going to ramp up and get on a three- year
- 17 cycle. My question is: When exactly is that going
- 18 to happen? If you're still on a three to five-year
- 19 cycle, that's not adequate, because when we water
- 20 trees as we do in the Salt Lake valley, some trees
- 21 grow four to six feet a year. If they are not on a
- 22 tree trimming cycle of three years in the year 2004,

- 23 when will they be? And if they cannot achieve that
- 24 industry standard minimum three-year cycle with \$8
- 25 million a year, I would ask a very pointed and

1	specific question to ScottishPower, and I would not
2	let them off the hook. If you can't do it with
3	8 million, do you need to spend 12 million? If you
4	can't do it with 12 million, do you need to spend 16
5	million? And I would be asking ScottishPower very
6	pointedly, what are the dividends you are paying your
7	shareholders? What are the compensation packages you
8	are paying upper level management? And what do you
9	need to do to get Utah on a three-year trim cycle?
10	In addition to that, what do you need to do
11	to make sure that the lines are inspected annually?
12	It's not just tree trimming. It's inspecting the
13	lines. I speak from a body of knowledge that we've
14	accumulated from deposing about 35 PacifiCorp
15	employees over the last several years.
16	And I am gratified. I want to be fair to
17	ScottishPower, I do think things have improved in
18	terms of dollars spent, but and I apologize if
19	ScottishPower thought they were buying a Mercedes
20	with 10,000 miles and they discovered when they
21	bought PacifiCorp that they really got a Yugo with
22	100,000 miles. I mean, I feel sorry for the fine

- 23 people of Scotland if that's the bargain they thought
- 24 they were making when they bought PacifiCorp. But if
- 25 you want to keep the car running and you discover

1 that you really bought a Yugo with 100,000 miles, you

2 got to spend the money. You've got to make sure the

3 lines are inspected every year and the trees are

4 trimmed minimum every three years. When is that

5 going to happen? Thank you, sir.

6 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you. Are there7 any more comments?

8 MR. FORBUSH: Could I just add one thing?

9 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Go ahead.

10 MR. FORBUSH: Can I do it from here? One of 11 the other things I think would be helpful, you know, 12 given this situation the opportunity -- you know, I 13 have a homeowners association meeting coming up in 14 March. I happened to talked to Dave Eskelsen, if he's 15 here from the Company. But anyway, he was very 16 helpful and he took my information, and he said he 17 thought there would be community managers that might 18 be assigned to some of the areas to collect feedback 19 from the customers. And I know I have about 89 20 homeowners that would love to be able to hear what 21 their plans are and give them feedback on what the

22 problems have been. And I would suggest that probably

- 23 is something that could be scoped out through the
- 24 whole community that was impacted by the power outage.
- 25 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you for that
 - DEPOMAX REPORTING SERVICES, INC. (801) 328-1188 89

1 comment. Commissioner Boyer.

2 COMMISSIONER BOYER: Thank you all for being here, and we're very pleased with the public response 3 as well and we appreciate your concerns. We 4 emphasize many of us lived through the same outage 5 problems that you did. 6 7 I think rather than ask specific questions, I'm just going to just identify a few areas of 8 9 perhaps further investigation and exploration. And I'd like to say also at the outset while the 10 experience was dreadful and as bad, we've heard about 11 12 the hardworking crews that were out working, safety that they enjoyed during the working in the evening 13 hours and at night, during snowstorms and so on. We 14 also heard of many acts of human kindness, and we do 15 live in a great area. 16 17 As I sift through the information that I've received and as I look at complaints that we received 18 either by telephone or through e-mail and some of 19 20 your comments today, and also my personal experience, my particular house had power but all of my adjacent 21 22 neighbors did not. So we had sort of a soup kitchen

- 23 going at our house during the outage as well.
- I think we can identify -- at least I can
- 25 identify about six areas probably of major concern,
1 at least issues that seem to exacerbate the

circumstances this time. We -- the extent of the 2 outage was 70,000 customers out of service, we had 3 the duration of the outage, which for most people 4 lasted from two to three days but in some cases as 5 long as five days. We had the absolute failure of 6 7 the CADOPS computer system, the outage reporting 8 system. And I think a really troubling problem that 9 people I had contact with experienced was the frustration and inability to talk with anybody at 10 11 Utah Power. Those people I talked to did call in and 12 got into the phone tree and ultimately found out either the line was busy or that the operators were 13 14 busy or that no outage had been reported in the area, this after a repeated dozen times. So I think people 15 16 were really frustrated. They didn't know whether 17 their outage had been reported, whether they were on 18 the cue to be repaired, where they stood on that cue 19 and they didn't know how long it would be before they 20 would have power restored. So they didn't have the 21 opportunity to make alternate plans. Or they didn't 22 have information on which to make alternate plans.

- 23 Would they be able to get power, stay with family or
- 24 get a generator, or snuggle under a quilt or whatever
- 25 that alternative might have been?

1	I	think	there's	an	issue	of	the

2 prioritization of repairs. I was driving the first

- 3 morning after the outage, and I saw an oxygen truck
- 4 drive up to a home not far from mine delivering a

5 battery operated oxygen system for someone, and my

6 heart went out to that person and the fright they

7 must have experienced.

8 And then I'm concerned about the frequency 9 of outages and also what appears to be a pattern of outages. Mr. Ball mentioned a few of them over the 10 last 20 years as recently as '99 and there was 11 12 another large outage during the Thanksgiving season in 2001 and, of course, this one. It gives one cause 13 for concern, I think. 14 15 I think an area of concern is staffing. We 16 heard a lot of people talk about that. Is staffing

17 adequate? There's been allegations that the Company

18 didn't put as many resources to bear this time as

19 they did before, and we heard rebuttal information on

20 that. But whatever the number was, is it adequate?

21 Is it appropriate to do that work in-house? Should

22 it be outsourced? Should we work collaboratively

- 23 with other states like we would in an earthquake
- 24 emergency and borrow and trade labor from other
- 25 utilities of other states and these sorts of things?

1 These are just questions at this point.

2	The infrastructure, is it adequate for this
3	area? Are the wires adequate? Are the poles
4	adequate, the maintenance and inspection adequate? A
5	lot has been said about tree trimming, the frequency
6	of tree trimming. Are we putting adequate resources
7	to bear on preventive maintenance? Is that most
8	appropriately done in-house or through contract
9	labor? Is the access to the trees a real issue? And
10	what's the answer to that? If the Company can't get
11	access to the trees for trimming, what needs to be
12	done? Do we need legislation to permit broader
13	access easements to do that trimming? I know,
14	ironically, we do get complaints from people who
15	don't want their trees trimmed or feel they've been
16	pruned too severely, and so there's a balance that
17	has to be dealt with.
18	I think people suggested this, we ought to
19	look at that line item on budget for tree trimming.
20	And if it's \$8 million, is that adequate, or is it
21	not adequate? And is all \$8 million being spent on
22	that particular activity? I think we have an

- 23 opportunity here in which the consumers, the
- 24 customers who have experienced outages are going to
- 25 be a great resource of information on the problem

- 1 areas, the areas where we need to focus and look.
- 2 And I'm not sure how we best collect that
- 3 information, but perhaps a number could be
- 4 established where people could call in and say we
- 5 experienced a problem here or the lines are overdrawn
- 6 here or we have frequent outages.
- 7 In the bigger picture, I think I have a
- 8 question about the financial resources of the
- 9 company. Are the rates we established fair and
- 10 reasonable to operate and fulfill the mission that we
- 11 view we have and statutory has designed us to provide
- 12 adequate supplies of utilities as just and reasonable
- 13 rates while at the same time permitting the Company
- 14 to recover an adequate return on their capital
- 15 investment and to track capital when they need to
- 16 make infrastructure expenditures?
- 17 I'm a big fan of technology. I know one can
- 18 accomplish much more through the use of technology
- 19 that through the old school, paper and pencil. But I
- 20 do have a question about the backup system and the
- 21 references made to the 25year old paper and pencil
- 22 backup system. What is that system? Of course, I'm

- 23 not a Monday night quarterback here, but one
- 24 possibility might have been to establish a telephone
- 25 bank of operators, perhaps people from the company or

1 of the private sector in the publication of a number or series of numbers where people could call in when 2 the system failed so they could at least be assured 3 that their complaint was received and they were in 4 cue to have the service restored. 5 6 I have a question about communication between dispatch and the crews. I heard personally 7 anecdotal reports, crews were not receiving the 8 9 complaints on time and they didn't know where the outages were on time. Is this function better 10 centralized in Oregon or should it be localized? 11 12 I was looking in preparation for today's hearing at the report Utah Power gave in December of 13 14 2001 relating to the Thanksgiving outages in that 15 year, 2000, obviously 2001, and many of the same things are listed in there, that list of lessons 16 learned from June 12th, what actions were taken and 17 so on including tree trimming, review of telephone 18 19 capacity and so on. 20 I have a question did CADOPS work in that situation? I believe it was deployed in November of 21 2001. How did it function in that? In that case 22

- 23 there were reported to be over 100,000 customers out
- 24 of service for a period of about three days.
- 25 Maintenance and inspection, is it being

1 performed? Is it being performed at an adequate

2 level?

3 And I don't want to end on a sour note

4 because I recognize the Company has expended tens of

5 millions of dollars in the last couple of years

6 particularly upgrading infrastructure. And I'm

7 pleased to have seen that during the last summer.

8 That was a long and hot summer. We had many fewer

9 outages we had in the past years attributable in part

10 to the infrastructure improvements made by the

11 Company. But I'm not sure that we're getting the

12 same yield out of the winter outage preparedness and

13 lessons we have learned. Thank you.

14 COMMISSIONER WHITE: Thank you. I also

15 would like to thank the public, those members of the

16 public who came in and offered their comments. They

17 were very good questions raised, and I am

18 appreciative of the matters that were brought to our

19 attention. And I would particularly like the people

20 doing the investigation or investigations here, the

21 Company, the Division and the Committee, to look at

22 some of these questions that were raised today by the

- 23 members of the public with relation -- with respect
- 24 to tree trimming, whether the Company had adequate
- 25 resources, whether the poles are being adequately

1 maintained and then other -- all the other matters

2 that were raised today.

3 I -- I would be interested to see at some

4 point if the Company is considering recourse against

5 the CADOPS vendor. I don't know where that

6 particular inquiry might lead.

7 Speaking of the CADOPS system, I'm unclear

8 right now, and I don't know if it's an answer that

9 anyone knows at this point, but I'm unclear as to how

10 much the damage, the lengthy outages were caused by

11 the snowstorm and how much of that was aggravated.

12 Is it possible to measure the amount of damage in

13 terms of hours of outage or whatever that was

14 aggravated by the failure of the computer system?

15 And aside from the question of whether or

16 not the snowstorm amounts to a force majeure, I would

17 like to look at whether or not the failure of the

18 computer system ought to be treated separately in

19 terms of assessing whether or not the company has

20 this declared to be a major event.

21 A lot was mentioned today about whether the

22 Company is employing adequate numbers of experienced

- 23 linemen and, you know, the people who maintain the
- 24 system. My husband has a short-term phrase for that,
- 25 a short phrase for that. He calls them old guys in

1 pickup trucks. And whether it's the telephone
2 utility, the gas or the electricity, he says he
3 personally and anecdotally measures the help of that
4 system by having experienced employees, men with
5 maybe gray hair or women, experienced employees in
6 pickup trucks that are new or well maintained pickup
7 trucks. And when any utility and PacifiCorp is
8 the first one to lay off some of these people when
9 a utility does that, I think that's cause for
10 concern. And it is a balance getting the right
11 number of people and not and not too many and not
12 too few. And I don't run that operation, so I don't
13 know what the answer is. But I do recollect that a
14 couple of years ago the Division commissioned a study
15 on the adequacy of the distribution system of
16 PacifiCorp in Utah. It was done by an outside
17 independent expert. And I'm not sure I don't
18 recollect what was in there. That may be useful in
19 shedding light on this investigation but that might
20 be one avenue to look at.
21 But one thing that really stood out for me

22 in that report that I still remember, and one of the

- 23 things it said in effect, I'm paraphrasing, is that
- 24 one reason that Utah -- the distribution system got
- 25 into so much trouble, particularly with respect to

1 the summer outages, but one reason is higher ups in the company didn't believe their own employees, some 2 of their linemen and their employees on the ground, 3 the old guys in the pickup trucks, telling them about 4 the growth on the system. And according to the 5 report, if I'm remembering this correctly, that was 6 disregarded to some extent by higher ups saying in 7 effect, We don't believe you, nobody can grow that 8 9 fast. So I would apply some of those lessons to the present situation. And in addition to the question 10 of looking at what's the right level of staffing and 11 12 the right level of expertise and how many people should you be hiring back again, in connection with 13 this investigation, I suspect it would be very 14 interesting to question current employees of 15 PacifiCorp in Utah, the linemen, the technical 16 employees, the engineers, and get their views of how 17 it's going on the ground. And that may be useful for 18 this investigation. 19 20 I would just like to close as Commissioner Boyer did and other people have by expressing 21

appreciation for the Company employees who worked so

22

- 23 hard as well as the Commission staff, the Division
- 24 staff, and the Committee for the work they have done.
- 25 And I also am aware, and I would like to

1	comment on the extent of the Company's commitment.
2	Their Quantum Leap program has put enormous sums of
3	money into Utah. And as Commissioner Boyer
4	commented, its borne I think it's showing results
5	at least in the summertime. So this has shown us it
6	may be time to focus on the other season as well.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you. I think my
9	fellow Commissioners have adequately discussed our
10	viewpoint of the issue. I want to just reiterate
11	from the Commission's standpoint, we reviewed the
12	recent events as unacceptable as far as the service
13	level, and we are very interested in understanding
14	what needs to change and what we can do as a
15	Commission to have changed circumstances.
16	I also you're going to think I put
17	Dr. Drake and Mr. Ward up to it, but I have mentioned
18	to the Company over the last six to 12 months my
19	concern about the presence or lack of presence in
20	Utah, and it's something we will continue to focus
21	on.

22 As far as this investigation is concerned, a

- 23 lot of this work will take place outside of the
- 24 public view as auditors audit and investigators
- 25 investigate. But we will from time to time, I would

1 hope, have reports and updates of how that

2 investigation is going.

3 We as a Commission will take the comments we received today, the questions that have been posed. 4 We will make sure that they -- you certainly will 5 6 have access to the transcript and realize that those are questions that we are interested in having 7 8 answered. 9 You've been silent for a long time. Go ahead if you have a statement or two you would like 10 to make before we rap up the meeting. 11 12 MR. LANDELS: Fellow Commissioners, and just for the record, I live in Salt Lake City now given 13 some of the comments that have been made. 14 15 Today is a very emotional day. It's a tough day for the customers, and it's a tough day for those 16 17 of us who give our lives to the power company. I don't think today, in my mind, I don't regard it in 18 anyway as destructive. In fact, I welcome and 19 20 appreciate and respect so many of the comments that 21 have been made. And indeed, I think they will enrich 22 and help the intensity and definition of the study

- 23 and the inquiries that will get underway immediately.
- 24 To that extent, I think this has been a useful,
- 25 helpful day, although emotional.

1	I think secondly, there is one theme that
2	has come through as well, and I don't want to go down
3	the wrong way, but a lot of comments about lack of
4	investment, or money power levels or tree trimming
5	relate in fact to post Utah Power/Pacific merger as
6	distinct from what we call our merger which was in
7	late 1999. That has come through a lot.
8	I'm going to say I don't know the people who
9	were in the company at that time, didn't meet any of
10	them. But I'll say this for this company because I
11	have a duty to respect the employees of my company
12	and the people who run it. We found in Utah when we
13	came here if you like the lack of a long-term
14	resource plan that could go on for supply and demand
15	in generation, I think there was a lack of investment
16	in relation to the growth. I don't think investment
17	had been keeping up to date with the huge growth and
18	the commendable growth which this state has enjoyed
19	in terms of distribution networks. And I think in
20	maintenance and in manpower, there were questions
21	about the robustness.
22	I think it's important to say this is not

- 23 we are not a bad company. In the last few years we
- 24 have gone into public record levels on investment.
- 25 We're reinventing apprenticeship programs, manpower

1 is coming in, the 34 percent improvement in the summer, the distribution or reliability on the 2 3 Wasatch Front is a direct result of investment. 4 That doesn't get away with the fact our 5 system failed in terms of our communication with 6 customers during this outage and unacceptable, totally unacceptable service levels. It doesn't get 7 to the point that we should get quicker and more 8 9 aggressively to the right optimum level of tree 10 cutting cycles. 11 So Chairman, I welcome the opportunity to 12 present our view, welcomed and respected the views that have come back to us. I'm proud of the company 13 14 I work for. And I can assure everyone in this room 15 and the Commissioners that the reviews that will emanate and take place, and they will be fully 16 audited and shared and discussed, will be for the 17 benefit of the state of Utah, for our customers and 18 for our employees, and a lot of good will come after 19 20 today. And I appreciate your time. 21 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you for your time.

22 Let me just once again thank the public who has come

- 23 and spent time with us and shared their viewpoint.
- 24 Once again, we will take -- everything that comes out
- 25 of this will be made public so the public is fully

 aware of what the results of the investigation are and what we intend to do about it. This meeting is adjourned. (Proceedings concluded at 11:47 a.m.) (Proceedings concluded at 11:47 a.m.) 		
 3 adjourned. 4 (Proceedings concluded at 11:47 a.m.) 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	1	aware of what the results of the investigation are
 4 (Proceedings concluded at 11:47 a.m.) 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	2	and what we intend to do about it. This meeting is
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	3	adjourned.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	4	(Proceedings concluded at 11:47 a.m.)
7 8 9 9 10 11 11 12 13 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19	5	
 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	6	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	7	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	8	
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	9	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	10	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	11	
14 15 16 17 18 19	12	
15 16 17 18 19	13	
16 17 18 19	14	
17 18 19	15	
18 19	16	
19	17	
	18	
20	19	
	20	
21	21	
22	22	

```
1
STATE OF UTAH )
2 )SS.
COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)
3
```

4 I, SUSAN S. SPROUSE, Certified Shorthand Reporter

5 Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public

6 in and for the State of Utah do hereby certify:

7 That the foregoing transcript pages were

8 stenographically reported by me at the time and

9 place hereinbefore set forth;

10 That the same was thereafter reduced to

11 typewritten form;

12 And that the foregoing is a true and correct

13 transcript of those proceedings to the best of my

14 ability.

15

16

17 DATED this 9th day of JANUARY, 2004.

18

19

20SUSAN S. SPROUSE, CSR, RPR21My Commission Expires 11/8/2007

22