

CCS EXHIBIT 6.14

1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2 OF THE STATE OF WYOMING

3 -----

4 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION	
5 OF PACIFICORP FOR AUTHORITY TO	Docket No.
6 INCREASE ITS RETAIL ELECTRIC	20000-ER-02-18
7 UTILITY SERVICE RATES IN WYOMING,	(Record No. 747
8 CONSISTING OF A GENERAL RATE	
9 INCREASE OF APPROXIMATELY \$30.7	
10 MILLION PER YEAR, A THREE-YEAR	
11 RATE SURCHARGE FOR PREVIOUS	
12 POWER COSTS TO RECOVER \$60.3	
13 MILLION, AND AN ADDITIONAL	
14 THREE-YEAR RATE SURCHARGE TO	
RECOVER POWER COSTS OF \$30.705	
MILLION RELATED TO THE	
HUNTER NO. 1 GENERATING UNIT	

13 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS

14 VOLUME IV
January 13, 2003

* * *

23 EXAMINATION BY THE COMMISSION

24 Q. (BY CHAIRMAN ELLENBECKER) Mr. Cunningham,
25 you ever been involved in a situation with PacifiCor

1 where there was an issue surrounding maintenance or
2 testing or equipment integrity for a generation faci
3 where the company did an examination and acknowledged
4 either human or equipment or testing failure of its
5 making as being the fault?

6 A. Yes, sir.

7 Q. Can you illustrate one of those?

8 A. The most recent one that comes to mind was
9 main transformer failure at the Jim Bridger Plant, a
10 would have been in the summer, I believe, of 2000.
11 was on, I believe, Jim Bridger 4 if I've got the uni
12 straight.

13 The circumstances surrounding that was the
14 was in overhaul, a normal overhaul and the main
15 transformer had normal routine maintenance on it, and
16 somewhere the traveling apparatus crew that came into
17 plant to work on the crew worked on it under a clearance.
18 We have safety clearances for people working on the
19 equipment. And rather than have the operating personnel
20 lock out the power to the cooling equipment on the fan,
21 they did it themselves, and on start-up, the return to
22 service when the tags were recovered, nobody knew that
23 the cooling equipment was still off.

24 So when the unit was started, it came to load
25 and the transformer temperature got high. The operator

1 observed it at that point, but it was late into it.
2 dropped the load, got it under control and about a week
3 later the transformer failed in service, and we knew
4 it was because it had been overheated.

5 We had a spare transformer. It took us about
6 two weeks, 13 days, as I recall, to replace it. This
7 again during the high-price power period, too.

8 Q. And what are the regulatory implications of
9 that situation, if you know?

10 A. I don't. They become part of our net power
11 cost calculation and there was no -- any special
12 consideration given to the extra cost that I know of

13 Q. No special request made by the company that
14 relates to that circumstance?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. Do you know whether the company specifically
17 made an adjustment to attribute that higher relative
18 power cost to the company rather than to any other party?

19 A. I don't.

20 Q. So you're not sure of the regulatory treati

21 A. I'm not, no.

22 Q. But you are sure the company acknowledged :

23 error in the situation?

24 A. Yes.