



March 7, 2007

UTAH PUBLIC
SERVICE COMMISSION

2007 MAR 12 A 8: 56

0402641

Utah Public Service Commission **RECEIVED**
Heber M. Wells Building
160 East 300 South, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Attention: Steven F. Goodwill
Administrative Law Judge

Re: Utah Docket No. 06-035-148
Formal Complaint of Tim Vetere

At the technical conference held in the above matter on February 22, 2007, Rocky Mountain Power agreed to identify specific changes that could be made to the company's system which may further improve voltage levels experienced by the complainant. As discussed at the technical conference, adding voltage regulators is one of the ways to increase voltage. Accordingly, Rocky Mountain Power plans to install a three-phase, 200 amp voltage regulator bank, on the feeder serving the complainant.

The lead time for delivery of the voltage regulator bank is approximately 12 weeks. As a result, Rocky Mountain Power anticipates that the new regulator bank will be installed in the early part of June 2007. The company will provide periodic updates to the parties on the timeline for installing the regulator bank.

Another issue raised at the technical conference was the question of how much new load has been added to complainant's feeder in the past two years. Rocky Mountain Power has investigated this matter and has identified only three customers who have added any significant load in the last two years. These customers are the same ones discussed at the technical conference:

<u>Meter No.</u>	<u>Amount of Load Added</u>	<u>Date Added</u>
21386387	14 kW	5/23/2005
23668209	37 kW	4/14/2005
3678034	22 kW*	2006
2076621	22 kW**	2006

* Meter #3678034 upgraded pumps and pivot in 2006. Approximately 22 kW of load was added at this location. Total load at this meter is 56 kW, 34 kW of which was already existing on the system prior to 2006.

** Further analysis of customer usage indicates that load increased at meter #2076621 during 2006. The company was not informed of this additional load at the time and this amount of load would not have required upgrade of company facilities.



Rocky Mountain Power's analysis of the loads on the Green River 12 feeder indicate that the complainant has one of the largest loads being served by this feeder. In 2006, complainant's load was greater than 200 kW.

Rocky Mountain Power also analyzed the increase in kW load on Green River 12 North Long St. Feeder in the past two years by looking at kW used on this circuit by irrigators. In July 2004 irrigation customers used 464 kW compared to 542 kW in July 2006. This is an increase of only 78 kW over this two-year period. This further demonstrates that increases in load on this circuit have not been substantial.

Rocky Mountain Power will be monitoring voltage upstream of the complainant in the coming months and will provide this information when it is available. The last two actions the company is responsible for, preliminary monitoring of complainant's facilities and spot checking complainant's equipment terminals, cannot be accomplished until complainant makes payment for his disconnected service.

Please advise if there are any questions or further follow up actions other than what has been outlined above. We appreciate the opportunity provide the additional information requested.

Sincerely,



Carole Rockney, Director
Customer & Regulatory Liaison

Cc: Ms. Rea Petersen
Mr. Tim Vetere