

- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

In the Matter of the Formal Complaint of)
Richard E. Drake on behalf of the) DOCKET NO. 07-035-08
Lambourne Avenue Neighborhood vs.) PROCEDURAL NOTICE REGARDING
Rocky Mountain Power) UPCOMING TECHNICAL
) CONFERENCE

ISSUED: June 5, 2007

By The Commission:

On May 2, 2007, the Commission issued a Notice of Technical Conference setting said conference to convene with the Administrative Law Judge at 9:30 a.m. on Thursday, June 14, 2007. Said Notice stated this conference is generally intended to address issues and questions raised by responses to ongoing discovery by the parties and also noted the Commission may issue a specific agenda for the conference at a later date if deemed appropriate. Furthermore, the Notice indicated parties could contact the Commission to ensure certain issues are included in said agenda.

To date, no party has provided the Commission with any suggested or desired agenda items for the Technical Conference. However, in preparation for said conference, the Commission provides the following items that it requests Respondent Rocky Mountain Power address and explain during said conference:

- Respondent's policies and procedures for visual inspections of its overhead distribution system, including standards or definitions by which company personnel assign condition codes A, B, and C to conditions discovered during inspections, the sources of said standards or definitions, and a discussion of the training provided to personnel conducting said inspections to assist them in properly assigning said codes. For example, how does Respondent determine or define an "imminent" hazard resulting in assignment of condition code A?

DOCKET NO. 07-035-08

-2-

- Breakdown of distribution system maintenance expenditures by activity, such as tree trimming, equipment testing, and inspection, maintenance, and repair of poles and overhead lines, for 2006 and budgeted for 2007. Compare Utah-specific expenditures to those for other states served by Respondent.
- Report of results of most recent Facility Point Inspection for the Neighborhood at issue in the subject complaint, as well as any ongoing inspection and maintenance activities intended to address the specific concerns raised by Complainant in this docket.

The matters enumerated above are not intended to detail or limit the agenda for the Technical Conference. Parties will be free at said conference to discuss any issues relevant to the subject complaint.

Finally, on June 4, 2007, Complainant Richard Drake filed a memorandum seeking Commission action to obtain information and discovery from Respondent. Said request enumerated eleven specific data requests for Respondent. The Commission does not typically participate in the discovery process except to resolve disputes among parties, and said request does not indicate that Respondent has refused to provide the requested information. Therefore, the Commission declines to insert itself into the discovery process at this time. However, the Commission views Complainant's June 4, 2007, filing as a discovery request from Complainant to Respondent and expects Respondent to respond accordingly as it deems appropriate.

DATED at Salt Lake City, Utah, this 5th day of June, 2007.

/s/ Julie Orchard
Commission Secretary
G#53583