

Mark C. Moench (2284)
Yvonne R. Hogle (7550)
Daniel E. Solander (11467)
Rocky Mountain Power
201 South Main St., Suite 2300
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
(801) 220-4014 (telephone)
(801) 220-3299 (Fax)
mark.moench@pacificorp.com
yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com
daniel.solander@pacificorp.com

Attorneys for Rocky Mountain Power

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of: the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of Its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations, Consisting of a General Rate Increase of Approximately \$161.2 Million Per Year, and for Approval of a New Large Load Surcharge

Docket No. 07-035-93

**MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF
STIPULATION**

Rocky Mountain Power hereby moves the Public Service Commission of Utah (the “Commission”) for approval of the Stipulation dated September 25, 2008 (the “Stipulation”) which was filed with this Motion. The grounds for this motion are as follows:

1. On December 17, 2007, Rocky Mountain Power (the “Company”) filed an application, together with revenue requirement, cost of service, rate spread and rate design testimony, for a rate increase of \$161.2 million based on a 12 month forecast test period beginning July 1, 2008 and ending June 30, 2009.

2. The Commission issued its Report and Order on Revenue Requirement on August 11, 2008 (“Original Order”), approving an increase in the Company’s revenue requirement in the amount of \$33.378 million, based on a forecasted 2008 test period and an allowed rate of return on equity of 10.25%.

3. The Commission issued its Erratum Report and Order on Revenue Requirement on August 21, 2008 (“Erratum Order”) after being made aware of a calculation error contained in the Original Order related to the generation overhaul adjustment. Consequently, the Commission, in its Erratum Order, increased the Company’s annual revenue requirement to \$36.164 million. The Company made a compliance filing reflecting the change in the approved revenue requirement in the Erratum Order, which filing is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

4. Non-company parties filed testimony in the cost of service, rate spread and rate design phase of the case on July 21, 2008. The Company and other parties filed rebuttal testimony on September 4, 2008. The Company and certain other parties filed surrebuttal testimony on September 24, 2008.

5. Parties have participated in several settlement conferences on the cost of service, rate spread and rate design phase of the case.

6. As a result of those settlement discussions, the parties whose signatures appear on the signature pages of the Stipulation (collectively referred to herein as the “Parties”) have reached agreement on the cost of service, rate spread and rate design phase of the case and on other matters specified in the Stipulation.

7. As specified in the Stipulation, the Parties agree that the Stipulation is in the public interest and that all of its terms and conditions, considered as a whole, will produce fair, just and reasonable results.

Wherefore, Rocky Mountain Power requests that the Commission grant this motion and approve the Stipulation.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 29th day of September, 2008

By _____

Mark C. Moench
Yvonne R. Hogle
Daniel E. Solander

Attorneys for Rocky Mountain Power